

REPORT TO HOSPITALS AND HOUSING COMMITTEE MEETING OF WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 4, 2024

<u>SUBJECT</u> Capital Regional District's Role in Regional Homelessness and Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region Funding Increase Request

ISSUE SUMMARY

This report outlines the current role of the Capital Regional District (CRD) on the issue of homelessness in response to a motion adopted by the CRD Board in April 2024 (Appendix A) and considers a request for additional funding to support the Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region (AEHCR) received on August 15, 2024 (Appendix B).

BACKGROUND

Experiences of homelessness are the result of an intricate interplay between structural factors (e.g., rents are too high, incomes are too low, etc.), systemic failures (e.g., difficult transitions from child welfare, correctional facilities, hospitals, etc.) and personal circumstance/relational challenges (e.g., traumatic events, personal crisis, mental health, and substance use challenges, etc.). Intervening in, and/or preventing homelessness, is often complicated as the line between being housed and unhoused can be fluid and the recovery from homelessness for individuals or households is neither linear nor uniform.

Additionally, the tension between structural factors and systemic failures – where program design and policy decisions are often made by senior orders of government, while individual and household needs are often delivered at the community-level – introduces considerable complexity in advancing solutions. Therefore, much of the work undertaken related to homelessness is through a collaborative and community-based approach.

The CRD has been active in working to address the needs of those experiencing or at-risk of homelessness since the update of the *Regional Housing Affordability Strategy* (RHAS) in 2007. This document included "Strategy 5: Expand the scope of the Victoria Homelessness Community Plan to the region as a whole". An additional update to the RHAS in 2018 included "Goal 4: Develop and operationalize a regionally coordinated housing and homelessness response." Building on the 2018 RHAS, the CRD also includes in its *2023-2026 Corporate Plan*, "Initiative 5c-1: Support a coordinated, regional, and collaborative response to homelessness". The CRD's specific role pertaining to regional homelessness can be distinguished between the administration of services on behalf of other orders of government and direct service delivery (Appendix C) as authorized under the CRD's Community Health Service and the Land Assembly, Housing, Banking and Housing Service.

ALTERNATIVES

Alternative 1

The Hospitals and Housing Committee recommends to the Capital Regional District Board:

- 1. That the Capital Regional District (CRD) commits to continue funding the Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region through annually negotiated service agreements at their core service level of \$225,000 to the end of 2026; and
- 2. That the CRD includes a one-time increase of \$100,000 in the CRD's 2025 Financial Plan for CRD staff to undertake regional engagement to determine the CRD's potential role in advancing regional supportive housing and sheltering objectives.

Hospitals and Housing Committee – September 4, 2024 Capital Regional District's Role in Regional Homelessness and Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region Funding Increase Request 2

Alternative 2

The Hospitals and Housing Committee recommends to the Capital Regional District Board:

- 1. That the Capital Regional District (CRD) commits to funding the Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region through annually negotiated service agreements at an increased funding amount of \$145,000 to a total of \$375,000 starting in 2025 and then indexed to inflation 2026-2030; and
- 2. That the CRD includes a one-time increase of \$100,000 in the CRD's 2025 Financial Plan to undertake regional engagement to determine the CRD's potential role in advancing regional supportive housing and sheltering objectives.

Alternative 3

That this report be referred to staff for additional information based on the Hospitals and Housing Committee direction.

IMPLICATIONS

Service Authority Implications

Currently, there are two established authorities through which the CRD supports efforts related to homelessness. *Supplementary Letters Patent-Community Health* dated May 16, 1974, and the amendment dated December 19, 1986, provide a narrow scope of service delivery that is focused through the Community Health Service. It is through this service that the CRD provides operational funding to the Aboriginal Coalition to End Homelessness (ACEH) and the AEHCR. Bylaw No. 3712 Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking authorizes the CRD to participate in senior order government housing programs, such as the Reaching Home Program (RHP), the Rapid Housing Initiative (RHI), and the Strengthening Communities' Services Program (SCSP).

Should the CRD Board wish to revisit the role of the CRD in addressing homelessness beyond its support to the ACEH and AEHCR and outside of the various programs it currently administers, it may require amending the CRD's existing service authority or establishing a new service. Prior to considering any adjustments to existing service levels, or creating a new service, staff would recommend that region-wide engagement be undertaken to help identify the differentiated value proposition that the CRD could provide in support of community-level outcomes within the context of an increasingly complex homelessness intervention landscape.

Service Delivery Implications

Specific to the motion adopted by the CRD Board in April 2024, the CRD-AEHCR 2024/25 Service Agreement, attached as Appendix D, identifies under s. 4.1 that the AEHCR will prepare a 2024/25 Regional Resources Guide that will provide a regional overview of services that are available through BC211, which includes those related to homelessness, housing, employment, financial assistance, food, and basic goods, etc. Further, the CRD-AEHCR 2024/25 Service Agreement includes under s. 2.1 a Regional Overview of Permitted Sheltering Spaces that will detail municipal areas across the capital region where those who are unhoused can camp, shelter, or park their vehicle overnight. S. 4.1 and s. 2.1 of the CRD-AEHCR 2024/25 Service Agreement address parts one and two of the April 2024 CRD Board motion.

The third part of the April 2024 CRD Board motion is currently being advanced through the CRD's administration of the RHP. Specifically, the ongoing implementation of Coordinated Access (CA) and the Homelessness Individuals and Families Information System (HIFIS). A staff report from February 2024 (Appendix E) details the RHP and outlines the CRD's obligations to the Government of Canada regarding the RHP's administration and deliverables.

In early 2024, the CRD, in its capacity of Community Entity (CE) under the RHP, signed a Project Charter for Phase 1 of HIFIS Community Deployment. This project charter maps out a collaborative approach whereby HIFIS access will be expanded to all service providers that require access to BC Housing's HIFIS license. Importantly, the project charter also provides for roles and responsibilities of the CRD that include such tasks as establishing local governance and community buy-in, completing community system mapping, and securing local resources for a local HIFIS lead role, etc. The roles and responsibilities of Phase 1 of the project charter were considered through Initiative Business Case (IBC) 5d-1.1 Regional Data System and HIFIS as a part of the CRD's 2025 Service Planning Process. This IBC seeks a one Full Time Equivalent (FTE) term position for a Community HIFIS Coordinator from January 2025 to March 2026 and will be considered by the CRD Board through the 2025 Financial Plan.

Currently, funding through the RHP is fully committed to support community organizations in delivering services and advancing the CRD's work under CA and HIFIS. CRD staff have not yet received confirmation that funding will be available beyond March 31, 2026, and this uncertainty impacts the ability of staff to engage in new initiatives related to regional homelessness. Additionally, the potential end of the program in March 2026 raises concerns about the sustainability of regional homelessness initiatives, as a lack of continued funding could disrupt or reduce essential services that are currently supported by the RHP.

Alternative One maintains the core funding level to the AEHCR of \$225,000 per year. It is likely this alternative would require a recalibration of CRD expectations related to AEHCR outputs as the AEHCR may have to reduce their activities to absorb costs associated with inflation and/or seek additional funding sources. This alternative, if approved, would result in CRD staff working through the annual negotiation of the CRD-AEHCR 2025/26 service agreement to determine the appropriate scope of work given the available resources, the identified needs of the CRD, and AEHCR priorities. It would be the CRD staff's intent to focus the activities of the AEHCR to convening various community-based tables, advancing information sharing across these tables, and supporting other CRD-led initiatives related to homelessness. Alternative One would further enable the CRD to provide for a one-time budget increase for CRD staff to undertake works in support of the motion adopted by the CRD Board in April 2024. The \$100,000 would be used to support region-wide engagement activities that could include, but are not limited to, municipalities, electoral areas, community-based service agencies and local, provincial, and federal partners and other stakeholders.

The work undertaken through the engagement process would provide the CRD with a comprehensive understanding of what additional role it could play in support of regional efforts as well as enable staff to determine any potential implications associated with adjusting service levels related to homelessness. This would be critical should there be any reduction of funds that are available through the RHP or should the program not be extended. If, following regional engagement activities, it is the intent of the CRD Board to amend existing or establish new service levels, this engagement would be foundational to articulating the business case and would support any communication that may be required with the broader public. Further, as staff work to initiate an update to the RHAS in the coming year, this engagement work would serve to inform a more comprehensive articulation of the role of the CRD in supporting efforts to address homelessness, which would be included in the updated RHAS.

Alternative Two would see the CRD provide a five-year increased funding commitment to support the operations of the AEHCR. Though it would not be CRD staff's intent to enter into multi-year funding agreements, this alternative would provide the additional security to the AEHCR and the CRD would work through the annual service agreement cycle to ensure identified projects and deliverables reflected the identified needs of the CRD Board. Further, this alternative would also ensure the CRD has sufficient resources available through the 2025 Financial Plan to undertake engagement work as detailed above.

Financial Implications

In May 2024, the CRD Board received a staff report detailing the 2025 Service and Financial Planning Guidelines where it was outlined that the Executive Leadership Team (ELT) has set the target for service and financial planning of 3.0% as a percentage increase over the 2024 Budget. It should be noted that the Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region – Funding Request staff report from October 2023 (Appendix F) detailed a going concern related to the operations and long-time viability of the AEHCR. Further, the letter received on August 15, 2024, notes that the "Alliance is currently forecasting a deficit for fiscal 2025 and further deficits for subsequent years. We continue to seek diversification of revenues through grants and other fund development activities, including fundraising."

In a review of the materials provided by the AEHCR, CRD staff note that the going concern related to society operations is not resolved. The 2024 financial statements show a deficit of (\$62,613) in 2023, with another deficit of (\$90,511) shown in 2024. The AEHCR was able to absorb the operational deficit through a one-time gain on the sale of tangible capital assets. CRD staff also reviewed the Fiscal 2025 Budget as provided by the AEHCR and see that the society is anticipating a 2025 deficit of (\$36,633). It should be noted that the August 15, 2024, letter states that the "AEHCR has a Service Agreement with Island Health for \$70,000. However, the terms of the current agreement with Island Health will end on November 30, 2024." As the Fiscal 2025 Budget provided by the AEHCR depicts anticipated revenue of \$100,000 for their 2024/25 budget year and the letter states that Island Health is providing \$70,000 through to November 30, 2024, there may be an additional \$30,000 revenue shortfall which would further increase the AEHCR's anticipated 2025 deficit.

Alternative One would see the CRD continue to contribute a total of \$225,000 annually, which is consistent with the current core service level. Due to the tenuous financial position of the AEHCR, staff will intend to advance annual renewal of the Service Agreement with quarterly disbursement clauses that are based on provided deliverables. This is to ensure there is ongoing dialogue with the AEHCR on the progress of CRD-funded works and that the limited resources available through the CRD are tied to specific outputs. It should also be noted that this commitment would expire at the end of this current CRD Board term, and it would be incumbent on the incoming CRD Board to determine the level of resourcing it wishes to advance in support of the AEHCR. In addition to funding the AEHCR at the current service level, this alternative would also allocate one-time funds which, in support of advancing part three of the April 2024 CRD Board motion, would enable CRD staff to undertake the engagement work as outlined under Service Delivery Implications. The overall 2025 budget impact of this alternative would be \$100,000, which would appear in the Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service and would exceed the 3.0% target as set by the CRD Board. This alternative is being advanced by staff as the recommendation as the additional work undertaken will support the CRD in looking at how it could better support regional supportive housing and sheltering objectives.

Alternative Two would result in an additional CRD budget impact of \$145,000 annually from 2025 to 2030 with adjustment for inflation 2026 to 2030 to support the AEHCR, plus a one-time impact of \$100,000 in 2025 that will support CRD-led engagement work. This would result in an overall impact of \$245,000 in the CRD's 2025 Financial Plan. As noted under Alternative One, staff will work to advance annual renewal of the Service Agreement with quarterly disbursement clauses that are based on provided deliverables.

Intergovernmental Implications

When the AEHCR was first formed in 2008, there was a considerable need for community organizations, governments, businesses, and individuals to work in partnership with each other and the broader community to lead and drive the commitment to end homelessness. However, it is important to note that regional work to address homelessness predated the formation of the AEHCR and has its roots in the *Victoria Community Plan for Homelessness* (published in 2000 and updated in 2003). This municipally led effort established the basis for the CRD to begin advancing homelessness intervention activities through the RHAS (2007). The City of Victoria's *Mayor's Task Force on Breaking the Cycle of Mental Illness, Addictions, and Homelessness* (2007) then provided the context and framework for the AEHCR to begin its efforts in 2008. The AEHCR was initially established to provide tactical leadership to putting systems and processes in place that address the underlying causes of homelessness and enable a wide range of housing and support services to work together more effectively.

However, since the AEHCR was formed, the homelessness intervention landscape has shifted significantly with the Government of Canada's *National Housing Strategy* (NHS) being released in 2017 and the RHP being launched in 2018. The RHP provides direct funding through a CE model and provides the CRD, as CE, with specific resources and deliverables related to streamlining access to housing and supports for people who are experiencing homelessness or at-risk of homelessness, by coordinating local services to achieve community-wide outcomes using real-time data. This includes significant efforts in support of CA and HIFIS which, when implemented, will enable a broad range of housing and support service organizations to collaborate more effectively and report on regional data and outcomes.

Within the Province of British Columbia, the CRD delivering its obligations under the RHP is not possible without working collaboratively with the provincial government and, most critically, BC Housing. To this end, *Belonging in BC: A collaborative plan to prevent and reduce homelessness* seeks to transform housing and health systems, strengthen community partnerships, ensuring programming and service delivery includes input for those impacted, and apply better data on drives and impacts of homelessness. This document sets out a phase one, which is to focus on those with the highest and most complex needs first. Phase two will provide for targeted interventions for priority populations. And phase three will then support system transformation efforts. There is considerable shared intent underpinning the CRD's obligations to the Government of Canada through the RHP as related to CA and HIFIS and the provincial plan, particularly in support system transformation.

Over time the role of the CRD has grown through programs such as the RHP and Regional Housing First Program and the infrastructure being built related to CA and HIFIS. The expansion of the role the CRD plays along with the advancement of federal programs in 2018, and increased efforts through the provincial government in 2023, and the ongoing work happening at the community-level, which has been underway since 2000, all contribute to a vastly different homelessness intervention landscape when compared to 2007 and 2008.

Therefore, it would be appropriate for the CRD to undertake regional engagement to determine the most effective role for it to play in supporting the delivery of senior order government programs that is built on local community need and opportunities. The landscape is now far more complex with multiple orders of government each driving efforts to better address homelessness and there is an opportunity for the CRD to engage with member municipalities, electoral areas, senior orders of government, service delivery organizations, and others, to further explore how it can better advance and/or support regional homelessness intervention and prevention activities in a way that meets local needs within the context of the federal and provincial programs and strategies.

CONCLUSION

Due to the complexity in the homelessness intervention landscape, a collaborative and community-based approach, tailored to the specific needs of individuals and households is the most effective path to providing long-term solutions and ongoing housing stability. In support of addressing homelessness, the CRD has been active in advancing a range of initiatives since 2007 through the Community Health and Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Services. Under the authority of these services, the CRD has provided ongoing operational funding to two non-profit societies, the ACEH and AEHCR, and has administered several programs on behalf of senior orders of government.

Specific to the April 2024 motion approved by the CRD Board, parts one and two were integrated in the 2024/25 service agreement with the AEHCR and part three is currently being advanced within the scope of the RHP. Through the administration of the RHP, the CRD is playing an expanded role in working to support the deployment of HIFIS and will continue working with senior orders of government and other stakeholders to advance work related to CA. CRD staff note that there is a risk that the RHP will not extend beyond March 31, 2026, which could undermine the efforts currently being advanced by the CRD.

In terms of increase funding to the AEHCR, the provided materials suggest a going concern related to the short, medium-and long-term financial position of the society. The letter received from the AEHCR further notes that the society is expecting a deficit budget in 2025 and is also forecasting a deficit budget in subsequent years. It is not clear that the AEHCR will remain viable without additional funding from the CRD and other partners. The AEHCR does note that they are seeking to diversify revenues through grants and other fund development activities.

Due to significant changes to how all orders of government are working together to address homelessness, there is an opportunity for the CRD to undertake regional engagement to determine the potential role it can play in advancing regional supportive housing and sheltering objectives. Staff are therefore recommending that funding to the AEHCR be continued at the current level of \$225,000 per year until the end of 2026 and that a further \$100,000 be budgeted in support of CRD-initiated engagement activities. The engagement will build on the CRD's role as CE under the RHP and would seek to support enhanced efforts to advance a coordinated, regional, and collaborative response to homelessness as outlined in the CRD's 2023-2026 Corporate Plan.

RECOMMENDATION

The Hospitals and Housing Committee recommends to the Capital Regional District Board:

- 1. That the Capital Regional District (CRD) commits to continue funding the Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region through annually negotiated service agreements at their core service level of \$225,000 to the end of 2026; and
- 2. That the CRD includes a one-time increase of \$100,000 in the CRD's 2025 Financial Plan for CRD staff to undertake regional engagement to determine the CRD's potential role in advancing regional supportive housing and sheltering objectives.

Submitted by:	James Proctor, BSS, MA, Manager, Housing Planning, Policy, and Programs
Concurrence:	Don Elliott, BA, MUP, Acting General Manager, Planning & Protective Services
Concurrence:	Nelson Chan, MBA, FCPA, FCMA, Chief Financial Officer
Concurrence:	Kristen Morley, Acting Chief Administrative Officer

ATTACHMENTS:

Appendix A: Motion with Notice from Director Caradonna, dated April 10, 2024
Appendix B: Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region Funding Request

Appendix C: Service Delivery Background and Highlights

Appendix D: CRD-AEHCR: 2024-25 Service Agreement Deliverables

Appendix E: Reaching Home Program Staff Report and Appendices, February 2024
Appendix F: AEHCR 2023-2024 Funding Increase Staff Report, October 2023

Motion with Notice from Director Caradonna, dated April 10, 2024:

To refer the following motion to staff to report back on the approach that would be taken and that a report come back to the Hospitals and Housing Committee:

- "1. That the Board direct staff to work with the Reaching Home Program's Community Advisory Board and/or the Alliance to End Homelessness in Greater Victoria to undertake studies, reports, or analyses on the following topics related to supportive housing across the Capital Region:
 - a) A high-level regional resource inventory on services and supports within each jurisdiction that aid unhoused people (e.g., food banks, support services, indoor shelters, and so on.)
 - b) An analysis of the parks, public spaces, or campgrounds available for overnight sheltering for unhoused people across the region;
 - c) In light of the Province handing down supportive housing targets for all jurisdictions over a certain population, an assessment of the potential role for the CRD to play, in collaboration with local governments and BC Housing, in advancing regional supportive housing and sheltering objectives."



August 15,2024

Mr. Colin Plant Chair - Capital Regional District Board 625 Fisgard Street, Victoria, BC V8W 1R7

SENT VIA EMAIL

Re: Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region - Core Funding Request

Dear Chair Plant,

On behalf of the Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region (AEHCR) (legally known as the Greater Victoria Coalition to End Homelessness), we wish to sincerely thank the Capital Regional District (CRD) for sustaining our collective work to end homelessness in the region.

We are writing to you today to request an increase in the funding provided by the CRD to the AEHCR. The AEHCR is formally requesting an annual increase of \$145,000.

The CRD has been and continues to be a crucial partner supporting the activities of the AEHCR and its member societies since 2008. The AEHCR convenes and supports the work of the regional homelessness and adjacent social serving sectors. At the time of this writing, we have 29 local and regional member organization who primarily provide services in the homelessness and social services sector, as well as 34 individual members. The organizations represented range from Cool Aid Society, Our Place Society, and Pacifica Housing Advisory Association to the Aboriginal Coalition to End Homelessness Society and the Victoria Native Friendship Centre, with sector adjacent members such as The Soup Kitchen and the Victoria Brain Injury Society.

The AEHCR convenes the following tables: AEHCR Health and Housing Steering Committee, System Transformation Working Group, Persons with Lived and Living Experience Council, Youth Task Force, Communications & Community Engagement Working Group, and the Community Data Dashboard. As well, the AEHCR has a seat at many community tables, such as Downtown Service Providers, Coordinated Access and Assessment Working Group, HIFIS Working Group, Sooke Homelessness Coalition, Seniors Housing Council Working Group, BC Coalition to End Youth Homelessness, Island Systems Community of Practice and has now been invited to join the Salt Spring Health Advancement Network (SSHAN).

AEHCR staff bring together leaders and decision-makers at the regional, provincial, and national scale through a unique partnership that continues to make significant inroads in housing, health care, prevention and research around homelessness and its root causes by exploring and creating synergies and opportunities for partnerships and system-wide transformation. One of the significant achievements of this year has been the development of the 2025-2030 Community Plan to Functional-Zero. The Alliance consulted with all its members, partners, and the community and on April 29, 2024, the Plan was agreed upon by our community. The Plan was approved by our Board of Directors in May and in July the Board reviewed the 2025-2030 Action Plan.

The 2025-20230 Community Plan aligns with the principles of Housing First, Belonging in BC and other strategies that aim at making experiences of homelessness rare, brief and not recurring in our region by 2030. This ambitious vision is endorsed by our community and the support of the CRD becomes critical in its achievement.

As part of its work, the AEHCR collects data related to its mission and partners with others to conduct complex research initiatives related to housing, homelessness, prevention, and system transformation. The AEHCR Board of Directors passed a Motion at their February 22nd, 2022, meeting as follows: The GVCEH Board indicate to the CRD and CAA Working Group that it wishes to be the lead organization for the holder of data and the creation of the By Name List. This Motion, and development of a Regional Data Dashboard are key to supporting the development of a baseline to measure progress toward Functional Zero homelessness and support evidence-based decisions regarding resource allocation and re-allocation.

In 2008, the CRD was integral in launching the AEHCR with core funding of \$150,000 per year for three years (2008 to 2010.) This core funding was increased to \$225,000 in 2011 and has not changed for 13 years. The CRD's Board generously approved an increase of \$120,000 for the fiscal year (April 01, 2024 – March 31, 2025), and is limited to our current fiscal year. AEHCR has had two other core funders since its inception. The City of Victoria provides \$100,000 annually and AEHCR has a Service Agreement with Island Health for \$70,000. However, the terms of the current agreement with Island Health will end on November 30th, 2024.

Each year the AEHCR enters into a Service Agreement with the CRD, which includes specific AEHCR deliverables. The AEHCR submits a report on the deliverables achieved to CRD staff each year prior to the negotiation and finalization of the next Service Agreement. This annual process for renewal of the service agreement makes it challenging to advance multi-year initiatives and creates instability in the organization. It is AEHCR's desire to enter into a multi-year service agreement, to advance the deliverables of the organization and support the CRD in delivering on its mandate.

The rationale for an increase in core funding is multi-faceted. While the impacts of inflation, the pandemic, and the challenges in addressing homelessness have increased over the past 13 years, as well as the corresponding AEHCR deliverables, our funding has remained stagnant. For the AEHCR to continue to convene, represent, advocate, support the sector with research and to provide overall support the CRD with its mandate, including as the Community Entity for Reaching Home funding, we need the requested increase in funding on a moving forward basis.

The Alliance is currently forecasting a deficit for fiscal 2025 and further deficits for subsequent years. We continue to seek diversification of revenues through grants and other fund development activities,

including fundraising. However, our costs continue to rise, especially around the implementation, monitoring, and evaluating the ambitious 2025-2030 Community Plan to Functional-Zero. We request the CRD continues to support the AEHCR with an increase of \$145,000 for 2025-2030 (a total investment of \$375,000 per year for each of five years plus an inflationary adjustment for each year after 2025) as we ensure service agreement deliverables while leveraging all funding for the enhancement of those deliverables and our promise to our community.

The work of the AEHCR is made possible thanks to the ongoing investment into community by the CRD. The positive impact of initiatives we have launched together focusing on ending and preventing homelessness cannot be understated. Our collaborative work plays a critical role in transforming the homelessness-serving system in the region and continues to reinforce the importance of the partnership between the AEHCR and the CRD.

This request for an increase in funding will help support the aspiring vision of functional-zero by 2030 and we hope we can count on your support to achieve this lofty goal.

Thank you so much for your continued support, the consideration you give our request, and we look forward to your response.

Respectfully,

Sean Dhillon, ICD.D Community Co-Chair Sylvia Ceacero, MBA, MA, MCRM CEO

Attachments:

- 2023-2024 Audited financial statements (draft) (Board approved)
- 2024-2025 Board Approved Budget
- Current member organizations
- Current Board of Directors
- AEHCR Staff
- 2023-2024 Schedule A CRD Report
- 2025-2030 Community Plan Action Plan (Board reviewed)




Financial Statements

Greater Victoria Coalition to End Homelessness Society (dba Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region)

March 31, 2024

Contents

	Page
Independent Auditors' Report	1-2
Statement of Financial Position	3
Statement of Changes in Net Assets	4
Statement of Operations	5
Statement of Cash Flows	6
Notes to the Financial Statements	7-12



Independent Auditors' Report

Grant Thornton LLP Suite 650 1675 Douglas Street Victoria, BC V8W 2G5

T +1 250 383 4191 F +1 250 381 4623

To the members of Greater Victoria Coalition to End Homelessness Society

Opinion

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of Greater Victoria Coalition to End Homelessness Society ("the Society"), which comprise the statement of financial position as at March 31, 2024, and the statements of operations, changes in net assets and cash flows for the year then ended, and notes to the financial statements, including a summary of significant accounting policies.

In our opinion, the accompanying financial statements present fairly in all material respects, the financial position of Greater Victoria Coalition to End Homelessness Society as at March 31, 2024, and its results of operations and its cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with Canadian accounting standards for not-for-profit organizations.

Basis for Opinion


We conducted our audit in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the *Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements* section of our report. We are independent of the Society in accordance with the ethical requirements that are relevant to our audit of the financial statements in Canada, and we have fulfilled our other ethical responsibilities in accordance with these requirements. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.

Responsibilities of Management and Those Charged with Governance for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with Canadian accounting standards for not-for-profit organizations, and for such internal control as management determines is necessary to enable the preparation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the financial statements, management is responsible for assessing the Society's ability to continue as a going concern, disclosing, as applicable, matters related to going concern and using the going concern basis of accounting unless management either intends to liquidate the Society or to cease operations, or has no realistic alternative but to do so.

Those charged with governance are responsible for overseeing the Society's financial reporting process.



Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of these financial statements.

As part of an audit in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards, we exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit. We also:

- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks, and obtain audit evidence that is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Society's internal control.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates and related disclosures made by management.
- Conclude on the appropriateness of management's use of the going concern basis of accounting and, based on the audit evidence obtained, whether a material uncertainty exists related to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the Society's ability to continue as a going concern. If we conclude that a material uncertainty exists, we are required to draw attention in our auditor's report to the related disclosures in the financial statements or, if such disclosures are inadequate, to modify our opinion. Our conclusions are based on the audit evidence obtained up to the date of our auditor's report. However, future events or conditions may cause the Society to cease to continue as a going concern.
- Evaluate the overall presentation, structure and content of the financial statements, including the disclosures, and whether the financial statements represent the underlying transactions and events in a manner that achieves fair presentation.

We communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and significant audit findings, including any significant deficiencies in internal control that we identify during our audit.

Report on other legal and regulatory requirements

As required by the Societies Act of British Columbia, we report that, in our opinion, the accounting principles in the Canadian accounting standards for not-for-profit organizations have been applied on a consistent basis.

Victoria, Canada July 30, 2024

Chartered Professional Accountants

Grant Thornton LLP

Greater Victoria Coalition to End Homelessness Society (dba Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region) Statement of Financial Position

March 31		2024		2023
Assets Current Cash and cash equivalents	\$	402,027	\$	171,903
Accounts receivable Prepaid expenses and deposits Short term investments	_	9,187 8,925	· ·	7,245 10,078 26,000
		420,139		215,226
Tangible capital assets (Note 4)	_	10,793	-	194,186
	\$_	430,932	\$_	409,412
Liabilities Current				
Payables and accruals Deferred contributions (Note 5) Deferred capital contributions (Note 6)	\$ _	91,146 77,383	\$	39,367 126,696 188,516
	_	168,529	>=	354,579
Net Assets Invested in tangible capital assets Internally restricted (Note 3) Unrestricted	_	10,793 120,000 131,610	:=	5,670 - 49,163
	_	262,403	-	54,833
	\$_	430,932	\$_	409,412

Commitments (Note 11)

On behalf of the Board

Calugat Director Cliff McNew Smith Director

See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

Greater Victoria Coalition to End Homelessness Society (dba Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region) Statement of Changes in Net Assets

Year ended March 31							2024	2023
		ested in tangible capital assets	·	Internally restricted	. <u>-</u>	Unrestricted	Total	Total
Balance, beginning of year	\$	5,670	\$	-	\$	49,163 \$	54,833 \$	117,446
Excess (deficiency) of revenue over		5,123		-		202,447	207,570	(62,613)
Fund transfers			_	120,000	_	(120,000)		
Balance, end of year	\$ _	10,793	\$_	120,000	\$_	131,610 \$	262,403 \$	54,833

See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

Greater Victoria Coalition to End Homelessness Society (dba Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region) Statement of Operations

Year ended March 31		2024		2023
Revenue Government grants (Note 7) Corporate donations Contributions from other registered charities (Note 7) Individual donations Miscellaneous revenue Membership fees Interest	\$	610,317 153,218 83,962 22,873 7,588 1,710 230	\$	1,114,104 146,939 101,155 199,728 2,522 1,000 978
E	,	879,898	-	1,566,426
Expenses Wages and benefits		444 505		450 700
Wages and benefits Program expenses (Note 8)		414,525 202,649		452,720 615,932
Amortization		192,913		384,494
General administration		55,990		58,461
Rent		46,473		72,495
Professional fees		27,409		25,313
Communications and consultation		20,230		9,520
Council and committee meetings	;	10,220	-	10,104
		970,409	-	1,629,039
Deficiency of revenue over expenses before other income	,	(90,511)	_	(62,613)
Other income Gain on sale of tangible capital assets (Note 6)		298,081		-
Excess (deficiency) of revenue over expenses	\$	207,570	\$.	(62,613)

See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

Greater Victoria Coalition to End Homelessness Society (dba Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region) Statement of Cash Flows

Year ended March 31		2024		2023
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents				
Operating Deficiency of revenue over expenses Amortization of deferred capital contributions Amortization	\$ -	207,570 (188,516) 192,913 211,967	\$	(62,613) (377,032) 384,494 (55,151)
Change in non-cash operating working capital Accounts receivable Government remittances receivable Prepaid expenses and deposits Payables and accruals Deferred contributions	-	(1,942) - 1,153 51,779 (49,313) 1,677	-	(3,701) 3,120 2,918 (63) (96,858) (94,584) (149,735)
Investing Purchase of short term investments Sale of short term investments Purchase of tangible capital assets		26,000 (9,520) 16,480	-	(26,000) - - (26,000)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		230,124		(175,735)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	_	171,903	_	347,638
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$_	402,027	\$.	171,903

See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

March 31, 2024

1. Purpose of the Society

The Greater Victoria Coalition to End Homelessness Society (the "Society") was incorporated on July 25, 2008 under the Societies Act of British Columbia. The Society received status as a registered charity effective April 1, 2009. In September 2023 the Society's members agreed that the Coalition rebrand to The Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region. The Society's mission is to ensure experiences of homelessness in the Capital Region by 2030 are rare, brief, and non-recurring.

2. Summary of significant accounting policies

Basis of presentation

The Society has prepared these financial statements in accordance with Canadian accounting standards for not-for-profit organizations ("ASNPO") and include the following significant accounting policies.

Revenue recognition

The Society follows the deferral method of accounting for contributions.

Operating grant revenue is recognized in the year for which the grant is awarded. Accordingly, operating grant revenue awarded for periods subsequent to the current year is deferred to the next fiscal year.

Restricted contributions related to general operations are recognized as revenue in the year in which the related expenses are incurred.

Unrestricted contributions are recognized as revenue in the year received or receivable if the amount to be received can be reasonably estimated and collection is reasonably assured.

Cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand and balances with banks and highly liquid temporary investments with maturities of three months or less.

March 31, 2024

2. Summary of significant accounting policies (continued)

Tangible capital assets

Tangible capital assets are initially recorded at cost and subsequently measured at cost less accumulated amortization. Tangible capital assets are amortized straight-line over its useful life at the following rates:

Furniture and equipment Computer equipment Leasehold improvements Temporary shelter 20%, straight-line 33-1/3%, straight-line Term of lease Term of lease

Impairment of long-lived assets

The Society tests for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. Recoverability is assessed by comparing the carrying amount to the projected future net cash flows the long-lived assets are expected to generate through their direct use and eventual disposition. When a test for impairment indicates that the carrying amount of an asset is not recoverable, an impairment loss is recognized to the extent the carrying value exceeds its fair value.

Use of estimates

The preparation of these financial statements in conformity with ASNPO requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Significant estimates include the recoverability of accounts receivable, useful lives of tangible capital assets and the amount of accruals and deferred contributions. While management believes these estimates are reasonable, actual results could differ from those estimates and could impact future results of operations and cash flows.

Financial instruments

The Society initially measures its financial assets and liabilities at fair value, except for certain non-arm's length transactions. The Society subsequently measures its financial assets and financial liabilities at amortized cost. Financial assets measured at amortized cost include cash and cash equivalents and accounts receivable. Financial liabilities measured at amortized cost include accounts payable and deferred contributions.

Volunteers

Volunteers contribute an indeterminable number of hours to the Society across its operations. Since no objective basis exists for recording and assigning fair values to donated time, the value of this time has not been reflected in the accompanying financial statements.

March 31, 2024

3. Internally restricted net assets

Internally restricted net assets include \$120,000 that was approved by the Board on March 26, 2024 for use as a contingency fund in the event of a future wind-down of the Society.

4. Tangible capital assets			2024	_	2023
	<u>Cost</u>	Accumulated amortization	Net book value		Net book value
Furniture and equipment \$ Computer equipment Leasehold improvements Temporary shelter	1,993 27,969 - -	\$ 1,516 17,653 - -	\$ 477 10,316 - -	\$	- 5,753 1,089 187,344
\$	29,962	\$ 19,169	\$ 10,793	\$	194,186

5. Deferred contributions

Deferred contributions relate to restricted operating funding received that relate to future years.

		CRD	City of Victoria		Island Health Authority	 Non- Government	Total
Balance, beginning of year	\$	4,284 \$	3,028	\$	-	\$ 119,384 \$	126,696
Received / recievable during the year		368,252	100,000	_	108,333	30,600	607,185
Less: amounts recognized as revenue	·	372,535 372,535	103,028 100,000		108,333 100,000	149,984 83,962	733,880 656,497
Balance, end of year	\$	- \$	3,028	\$	8,333	\$ 66,022 \$	77,383

March 31, 2024

6. Hey Neighbour Project

During previous fiscal years, the Society crowd-sourced funding from numerous individuals and local businesses and raised \$1,131,096 toward construction costs for the Hey Neighbour project. The useful life of the program was previously extended to 30 months and ended on September 30, 2023. The amount of deferred capital contributions recognized as revenue during the year is \$188,516 (2023: \$337,032). During the current fiscal period, the Society sold the remaining assets to BC Housing for \$300,000 and recognized a gain on sale of \$298,081 after the associated selling costs.

7. Grants

The Society's major funding sources are from contributions received from other registered charities and grant received from government sources as follows:

	_	2024	 2023
Government grants			
Core Service Agreements: Capital Regional District (Note 5) City of Victoria (Note 5) Island Health (Note 5) Project Funding:	\$	225,000 100,000 100,000	\$ 225,000 100,000 100,000
Capital Regional District - Community Entity (Note 5) Capital Regional District - Deferred Capital Contributions BC Housing City of Victoria		147,535 35,298 2,484	25,716 70,595 37,359 505,816
Canadian Mortgage & Housing Corporation Other	_	-	 49,418 200
	\$_	610,317	\$ 1,114,104
Contributions from other registered charities (Note 5)			
Victoria Foundation Catherine Donnelly Foundation Other Burnside Gorge Community Association Second Harvest Food Bank	\$	46,550 33,204 4,208 - -	\$ 29,333 - 16,660 36,962 18,200
	\$_	83,962	\$ 101,155

March 31, 2024

8. Program expenses	 2024		2023
Program expenses consist of the following:			
Sector Capacity Building	\$ 111,911	\$	25,716
Face to Face with Stigma	39,732		151,634
Community Data Dashboard	28,166		-
Inclusion & Collaboration	21,411		8,607
Extreme Weather Response	1,429		6,941
Peer Housing Support	-		338,356
National Housing Solutions	-		37,359
Burnside Gorge Neighbourhood Engagement	-		36,962
Documentary: 940 Caledonia	-		6,250
Youth Hostel Pilot	 -		4,107
	\$ 202,649	. \$ _	615,932

9. Risk management

Transactions in financial instruments may result in an entity assuming or transferring to another party one or more of the financial risks described below. The required disclosures provide information that assists users of financial statements in assessing the extent of risk related to financial instruments.

(a) Credit risk

Credit risk is the risk that one party to a financial instrument will cause a financial loss for the other party by failing to discharge its obligation.

The Society is exposed to credit risk with respect to its cash and cash equivalents and accounts receivable. Credit risk related to cash and cash equivalents is mitigated as the amounts are held with major Canadian financial institutions. Credit risk related to accounts receivable is mitigated as the Society enters into credit agreements with credit worthy customers.

(b) Liquidity risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Society will encounter difficulty in meeting obligations associated with financial liabilities. The Society is exposed to this risk mainly in respect to its accounts payable. Cash from operations provides a substantial portion of the Society's cash requirements.

March 31, 2024

10. Remuneration

The Societies Act (British Columbia) requires certain information to be reported with regard to remuneration of employees, contractors and directors.

During the year, the Society paid a total of \$105,000 (2023: \$107,827) to one employee (2023: one), whose remuneration, during the applicable period, was at least \$75,000.

11. Commitments

The Society has operating leases with future minimum aggregate lease payments as follows:

2025	18,405
2026	18,405
2027	4,601
	\$ 41,411

12. Economic dependence

The Society receives a significant portion of its funding (69%) from the government and related government agencies (2023: 71%). The ongoing operation of the Society in its present form is dependent on continuing to receive adequate levels of funding from these sources.

13. Comparative figures

Comparative figures have been adjusted to conform to changes in current year presentation.

Greater Victoria Coalition to End Homelessness FISCAL 2025: BUDGET APPROVED 3/26/2024

CONFIDENTIAL

REVENUE	2025 BUDGET DRAFT
CRD	245 000
Island Health	345,000 100,000
City of Victoria	100,000
BC Government	100,000
Core Service Agreements	545,000
PROJECT Grants:	343,000
Government	10,000
Non-Government	45,944
Non Government	55,944
	33,3
DONATIONS	20,000
OTHER	3,000
	-,
TOTAL REVENUE	623,944
EXPENSE	
Payroll - Core staff	448,333
rayion - core stair	440,333
Project Costs:	
Wages / Contractors	27,244
Stipends, Supplies & Misc	20,000
Total Project Costs	47,244
General & Admin	165,000
TOTAL EXPENSE	660,577
NET SURPLUS (DEFICIT)	(36,633)
Updated 2024.03.07	

SERIAL NUMBER	DONOR FIRST NAME	DONOR LAST NAME	DONOR COMPANY NAME
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

Greater Victoria Coalition to End Homelessness Society Information for 2024 AGM

	Lastname	Firstname	Role
1	McNeil-Smith Cliff Co-Chair		Co-Chair
2	Little	Marie-Térèse	Director
3	Murdoch	Kevin	Director
4	Reid	Kelly	Director
5	Stenson	Erika	Director
6	Fox	Jennifer	Director
7	Richardson	Sandra	Director
8	Kattler	Donald	Director
9	Dhillon	Sean	Community Co-Chair
10	Sluggett	Emily	Secretary / Treasurer
11	Position Vacant		Director PWLE
12	Tucker	Troy	Director PWLE
13	Kim	Susan	Director Youth Rep.



Alliance Permanent Staff – August 15 2024

Sylvia Ceacero, MBA, MA, MCRM Chief Executive Officer (full-time)

Michelle Vanchu-Orosco, PhD Director of Research and Data Analysis (full-time)

Andrew Holeton
Director of Collaborative Engagement and Impact (full-time)

Elizabeth Skillings, CPA
Director of Finance (part-time)

Michelle Pedersen Administration and Business Coordinator (full-time)



Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region (Greater Victoria Coalition to End Homelessness)
CRD Service Agreement Report 2023/24

2023 – 2024 REPORT SUBMITTED BY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: SYLVIA CEACERO

Table of Contents

.023 -	– 2024 REPORT SUBMITTED BY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: SYLVIA CEACERO	1
Core	e Functions & Objectives	3
1.	. Regional Planning and Coordination	3
2.	Ongoing Research and System Monitoring	3
3.	. Communications and Engagement	3
4.	. General Administration	3
Deli	verables	4
1.	. Regional Planning and Coordination (Collective Impact)	4
2.	. Ongoing Research and System Monitoring (Research and Data)	6
3. In	. Communications and Engagement (Advocacy and Communications) (Collective mpact)	8
4.		
5.		
Арр	pendices	14
	Appendix A: Executive Director Reports	14
	Appendix B: Community Data Dashboard (V1)	20
	Appendix C: Community Data Dashboard Board Presentation	22
	Appendix D: Community Data Dashboard H&HSC Update	35
	Appendix E: GVCEH Community Data Dashboard Final Report	49
	Appendix F: Report to the Ministry of Children and Family Development	66
	Appendix G: Aging in Uncertainty: The Growing Housing Crisis for BC Seniors	75
	Appendix H: Community Plan Consultation Event Agenda	142
	Appendix I: Community Plan Consultation Event Presentation	143
	Appendix J: 2022-23 Annual Report	149
	Appendix K: 2023 Special General Meeting Minutes	187
	Appendix L: Finding Housing for Displaced Ukrainians and Refugees on Vancouver I Regional Housing Report	

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 2 | Page

Core Functions & Objectives

1. Regional Planning and Coordination

Objectives: (i) Develop Regional Plan of Action on addressing and preventing homelessness. (ii) Ensure plans and initiatives developed and implemented by the Greater Victoria Coalition to End Homelessness have a region-wide focus and that housing and services are well coordinated and integrated.

2. Ongoing Research and System Monitoring

Objective: Develop and implement a monitoring framework to ensure efforts to address homelessness are effective and are providing necessary outcomes for the region.

3. Communications and Engagement

Objective: Implement community engagement and awareness strategies to ensure the underlying contributing factors of homelessness, the extent of homelessness and region and solutions to ending homelessness are better understood by interested parties and the general public.

4. General Administration

Objective: Perform administrative functions required to support the Board and Committee activities, including overall day-to- day operations, annual action planning, and review of the long-term strategic plan as required.

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 3 | P a g e

Deliverables

 Regional Planning and Coordination (Collective Impact)

- i. Share GVCEH Executive Director reports that are delivered to the GVCEH Board quarterly.
 - Executive Director Reports delivered (see Appendix A)
 Appendix A: Executive Director Report
- ii. Report on the ongoing work of the Health and Housing Steering Committee (HHSC); coordinate and co- chair the HHSC leadership meetings.

Coordinate and co-chair 6 HHSC meetings/year (ongoing) Co-chair 6 HHSC leadership meetings/year (ongoing)

- Health and Housing Steering Committee (Leadership meetings)
 May 2023; July 2023; September 2023; November 2023; February 2024;
 April 2024 (6 meetings)
- 2. Health and Housing Steering Committee (Full Committee meetings)
 April 11, 2023; June 2022; August 2022; November 29, 2022; January 09, 2024; March 12, 2024 (6 meetings)
- iii. Continue supports for the implementation of the Sooke Homelessness Strategic Plan by participating in the Sooke Homelessness Coalition (SHC)

Attend meetings as scheduled by the SHC (ongoing)

- 1. Attend and support the SHC Committee as required or as invited. Member of the Advisory Committee as well.
- 2. Attend the SHC meetings and offer updates, as well as support for the implementation of the Strategic Plan.
- 3. Review the Sooke Shelter Draft Service Agreement and provide guidance to the SHC Executive Director for content and presentation to Sooke Council.
- 4. Engage and consult with SHC for the 2025-2030 Community Plan.
- iv. Engage with Salt Spring Island (SSI), homelessness serving sector organizations.

3 meetings/year (ongoing)

- 1. Initiatives to engage with SSI have been continuous but slow due to changes in leadership in one of the key organizations. Outreach continues to re-engage with the ED of IWAV.
- 2. Connected with SSI community leaders at April 2023 BCNPHA Rent event; attended with Rob Grant, ED SI Community Services Society, May 24, 2023. Invite Rob to attend the HHSC.
- v. Participate in and support Victoria Downtown Service Providers Meetings (DSP) 12 meetings/year (ongoing)

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 4 | P a g e

Downtown Service Providers (DSP) meetings:
 April 25, 2023; May 23, 2023; June 27, 2023; July 2023 (no meeting, in lieu of Project Reconnect organizing); August 22, 2023 (Project Reconnect); September 26, 2023; October 24, 2023; November 29, 2022; December 12, 2023; January 23, 2024; February 27, 2024; March 26, 2024 (12 meetings/events)

i. Supporting Work

Continued engagement and meetings with Saanich and Sidney (ongoing)

- Met with Mayor of Saanich and will continue working to improve relationships.
- Met with Mayor of Sidney, Cliff McNeil-Smith, City staff and RCMP detachment to discuss homelessness in Sydney.

Seniors' Housing Council

 Member of the Seniors' Housing Council – meeting attendance, advice on seniors' housing report (Aging in Uncertainty) and spokesperson for the region (media engagement)

Community Plan Engagement

- March 2023 November 2023: AEHCR meet with AEHCR Committees and working groups
 AEHCR Board, Health & Housing Steering Committee, Lived & Living Experience Council, Sooke Homelessness Coalition, Downtown Service Providers, Youth Serving Organizations
- September 2023 December 2023: Reviewed information collected for emerging themes and analysis
- January 30, 2024: Cross-sector Community Plan Engagement event
- March 2024: Community Plan follow-up questionnaire
- March 2024+: Continuing information collection with review for emerging themes and analysis

Core Area of Work: Work with Island Health partners to develop and initiate person-centered and needs based health and social supports in housing and in community.

• Service agreement in place with IH. Specific deliverables with them.

Core Area of Work: Work with key partners by participating and/or facilitating planning groups that support SSI with best practice knowledge to integrate SSI CAA model.

 (From 2022-2023) A number of outreach and conversations have taken place between April 01, 2022, through March 31, 2023, and have led to a May 24, 2023, meeting.
 Key partners: Island Health, BC Housing, IWAV, and Island Community Services

Core Area of Work: Priority given to communities/neighborhoods identified as locations for Rapid Housing Initiative's new or renovated supportive housing.

This deliverable was unclear and expectations around the supporting work were not established.

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 5 | P a g e

- 2. Ongoing Research and System Monitoring (Research and Data)
 - i. Support the 2023-2024 Point in Time Count.
 - March 2023 PIT count: Supported in significant ways including questionnaire revision, attendance to all meetings, to volunteering the day of the count.
 - ii. Engage the community around the expanded required. This could include supporting the CRD Community Planner's work by facilitating connections and engagement with community partner working groups and people with lived/living experience. All stipend, food, and travel costs for people with lived/living experience attending meetings or portions of meetings specifically related to Coordinated Access and/or HIFIS, as well as the general costs of these meetings, will be provided by the CRD.
 - Attend CAA, HIFIS, Built for Zero Webinars: July 28, 2022.
 - Attend CAA WG, HIFIS WG, and CAA/HIFIS/CDD meetings.
 - Attend Cowichan CA Engagement Planning meetings October 24, 2023, November 21, 2023.
 - Ongoing meetings with CRD Community Planner for design and delivery of engagement with people with lived/living experience. Recruitment of supporting partners/sites.
 - Review with Lived/Living Experience Council.
 - iii. Engage with community partners to coordinate system transformation processes that result from Coordinated Access process improvement through the System Transformation Working Group.
 - STWG Meetings
 9 meetings (ongoing)
 May 25, 2023; June 26, 2023; July 27, 2023; September 20, 2024 (Co-chairs);
 October 5, 2023; October 31, 2023; Nov 8, 2023 (Co-chairs).
 Co-Chairs requested STWG hiatus August, December 2023. Feb 13, 2024;
 Mar 12, 2024.
 - iv. Support CAA Working Group attend meetings as scheduled by the CRD Community Planner

(ongoing/until project completion)

- CAA-WG Meetings: January 09, 2023
 1 meeting
- CAA Event (including event planning): May 17, 2023; June 21, 2023; June 22, 2023 (all day workshop)
 2 meetings/1 workshop
- v. Support HIFIS Working Group attend meetings as scheduled by the CRD Community Planner

(ongoing/until project completion)

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 6 | P a g e

- HIFIS-WG Meetings: May 12, 2023; September 07, 2023; November 17, 2023 (missed December 05, 2023, meeting); February 08, 2024 Attended 4 meetings.
- Review of BC Housing Project Charter for HIFIS implementation
- Review of HIFIS consent forms for HIFIS implementation

vi. Quarterly meetings between director of research and data analysis (GVCEH) and the CRD Community Planner (HIFIS project)

(ongoing)

- CAA-WG Meetings: January 09, 2023
 1 meeting
- CAA Event (including event planning): May 17, 2023; June 21, 2023; June 22, 2023 (all day workshop)
 2 meetings/1 workshop
- HIFIS-WG Meetings: May 12, 2023; September 07, 2023; November 17, 2023 (missed December 05, 2023, meeting); February 08, 2024 Attended 4 meetings.
- Standup meetings: 1/2-hour meetings, with some discussion of Community Planner work Approx. 10 meetings

vii. Work with Community Based HIFIS Lead - attend meetings as scheduled by the Community Planner.

(ongoing/until project completion)

- BC Housing Project Lead not identified.
- Currently, working with Community Planner, CAA WG, and HIFIS WG on BC Housing Project Charter for HIFIS Implementation

Supporting Work

Community Data Dashboard

- CDD-WG bimonthly Meetings
 6 meetings
 May 03, 2023; July 05, 2023: September 06; November 01, 2023; January 10, 2024; March 06, 2023
- CAA, HIFIS, and Community Data Dashboard Collaboration Monthly meeting to discuss CAA, HIFIS, and Community Data Dashboard collaboration efforts with CRD, BCH, AEHCR

1 meeting May 11, 2023

Phase 1: Version 1 completed.
 Appendix B: Community Data Dashboard (V1)

Community Data Dashboard Presentations

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 7 | P a g e

- Presentation to the Board of Directors: November 28, 2023
 Appendix C: Community Data Dashboard Board Presentation
- CDD Update Presentation to H&H Steering Committee (Full Committee): January 09, 2024

Appendix D: Community Data Dashboard H&HSC Update

Community Data Dashboard Reporting

Report to Catherine Donnelly Foundation
 Appendix E: GVCEH Community Data Dashboard Final Report

BC Coalition to End Youth Homelessness

Report to the Ministry of Children and Family Development
 Appendix F: January 2024 BCCEYH Report to the Ministry of Children and Family Development

Seniors' Housing Council

• Appendix G: United Way report presented to the Seniors Housing Council Aging in Uncertainty: The Growing Housing Crisis for BC Seniors

Communications and Engagement (Advocacy and Communications) (Collective Impact)

- 1. Convene and support the work of the Lived Experience Council 6 meetings/year or as scheduled by the LEC (ongoing)
 - Lived and Living Experience Council (12 meetings)
 - April 28, 2023; May 23, 2023; June 28, 2023; July 26, 2023; August 30, 2023; September 27, 2023; October 27, 2023; November 30, 2023; December 19, 2023; Jan 27, 2024, March 7, 2024; March 28, 2024.
- 2. Municipal engagement of the Communications, Education, and Awareness initiative, with an effort to engage all municipalities.
 - 1. The Communications Specialist position was vacant for approximately 5 months. A new person has now been hired and the communications plan is back on tract: immediate response to media enquiries, redirection of enquiries, strategic communications plan revision, tactical communications plan development, social media management etc.
- 3. Meet with CRD to determine priority municipalities.
 - 1. Initial meetings with Mayors Sooke, Langford, and Saanich. Presentation at the City of Victoria Council October 26, 2023.
 - 2. Langford Cross-sectoral event November 28, 2023.

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 8 | P a g e

4. Develop community- specific presentations.

The Communications Specialist position was vacant for approximately 5 months. The strategic communications plan deployment has begun with a digital campaign and will progress to municipal outreach.

- 5. Offer to present to Mayor and Council of at least 5 identified priority municipalities. CRD to support connections with municipalities, as required. (ongoing)
 - 1. District of Sooke Council: Presented Sooke Homelessness Awareness & Strategic Plan on January 16, 2023
 - 2. Presentation to other municipalities (work in progress).
- 6. Leadership provided to support coordination and implementation of Community Planning Engagement activities informed, in part, by the CRD CAB (ongoing)
 - 1. Attendance of all CAB meetings. Engagement with CAB Chair. Proposal evaluations.
 - 2. Change in the role of Director of Engagement and Impact.
 - Recruitment process January-February 2023 and arrival of new director in March 2023.
 - Andrew Holeton onboarded, orientation and community introductions/meetings.
 - Community Planning engagements executed and culminated on January 20, 2024, with the community consultation.
 - Refining objectives and setting priorities survey distributed March 2024.

Supporting Work

Sentiment Analysis - Report on social media/news posts on homelessness by tone (positive, neutral, and negative) using relevance and sentiment model.

- Phase 1 & 2 completed. (includes Twitter posts)
- Phase 3 underway (will include Reddit posts)

Face 2 Face with Stigma (F2F) workshops conducted to educate, inspire empathy, and reduce fear toward people experiencing homelessness and/or substance use disorder. (ongoing)

- From April 2023 to March 2024, F2F delivered 24 workshops with a total of 426 attendees.
- Since 2018, F2F delivered 89 workshops to more than 1400 attendees from various groups.

Community consultation and engagement for the development of the 2025-2030 Community Plan (ongoing)

 Community Engagements March – November 2023)

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 9 | P a g e

AEHCR Committees & Workgroups engaged: Board, Health & Housing Steering Committee, Lived & Living Experience Council, Sooke Homelessness Coalition, DSP, Youth Serving Organizations

September –December 2023 Emerging themes & analysis

Community Plan Consultation Event

January 30, 2024

Appendix H: Community Plan Consultation Event Agenda
Appendix I: Community Plan Consultation Event Presentation

4. General Administration (Organizational Strength)

i. Annual Report (ongoing)

1. 2022-23 Annual Report

Appendix J: 2022-23 Annual Report

- 2. 2023-2024 Annual Report
- Production and development of 2023-24 Annual Report and distribution to all interested parties including members, municipalities, government partners, other partners.

ii. Annual General Meeting

- 1. 2023 AGM
 - AGM held September 28, 2023. Lack of quorum: meeting held to update members. No business conducted. SGM planned.
 - SGM held to comply with Society's Act. All filings done.
 Appendix K: 2023 Special General Meeting Minutes
- iii. Convening of quarterly funder meetings with BC Housing, Island Health, CRD & the City of Victoria to update on progress towards shared deliverables.
 - 1. Attempted to convene the meetings but there was unavailability for all suggested dates.
- iv. Convening meetings of the Executive to discuss emerging issues- as required.
 - 1. Executive Committee met on a regular basis ahead of the Board meetings and dealt with emerging issues.
- v. Facilitate, chair, or otherwise support Working Groups/ monthly meetings and provide notes or minutes, as appropriate, for the following committees.

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 10 | P a g e

- Health and Housing Steering Committee & Health and Housing Steering Committee Leadership – 12 meetings/year
- Health and Housing Steering Committee (Leadership meetings)
 May 2023; July 2023; September 2023; November 2023; January 2024; March 2024 (6 meetings)
- Health and Housing Steering Committee (Full Committee meetings)
 April 11, 2023; June 9, 2023; November 29, 2022; January 09, 2024; March 12, 2024 (6 meetings)
- 2. System Transformation Working Group: Review all partner tables with efficiency recommendations.
- Adapt terms of reference as required (ongoing)
 Ongoing; Initial review June 26, 2023; Amendments Oct 5, 2023; October 31, 2023, and development of Draft Framework
- Facilitate 12 meetings/year (ongoing)
 May 25, 2023; June 26, 2023; July 27, 2023; September 20, 2024 (Co-chairs);
 October 5, 2023; October 31, 2023; Nov 8, 2023 (Co-chairs) (7 meetings)
- 3. Community Engagement & Communications Working Group; facilitate quarterly meetings and establish working groups to address specific initiatives.
- Committee is in hiatus pending governance review.
- 4. Youth Task Force (Prevention of Homelessness) 6 to 12 meetings/year (ongoing)
- Committee is being reviewed and redesigned at the request of the Youth Serving Sector members.
- 5. BC Coalition to End Youth Homelessness attend meetings as scheduled by the BCCEYH (ongoing)
- Attendance to all BCCEYH meetings.
- Alliance Executive Director part of the Advocacy and Communications Committee.
- Minutes are available through the BCCYEH.

Supporting Work

Core Areas of Work: Develop an annual Plan of Action for review by the Health & Housing Steering Committee and approval by the Board of Directors.

• Discussions with the HHSC ongoing as the governance review for the Alliance is underway.

5. Additional Activities

Fundamentals for the Homelessness Serving Sector (FHSS): A micro-credential.

 Collaboration between AEHCR and the University of Victoria to develop a set of courses that leads to a micro-credential for persons working in the homelessness serving sector. Development Relational Practice standards through micro-credential training

Refugee Readiness Team - Vancouver Island

RRT-VI meetings and Events

- Attending meetings as able.
- February 22, 2024: From Diversity to Prosperity: Employing Newcomers in the Capital Region event.

Collaboration on Finding Housing for Displaced Ukrainians and Refugees on Vancouver Island: Regional Housing Report (surveying Landlords and Ukraine Refugees on housing on Vancouver Island)

<u>Appendix L</u>: Finding Housing for Displaced Ukrainians and Refugees on Vancouver Island: Regional Housing Report (report to be provided when released)

System Navigators and a Community Hub: Re-imagining Care, Support and Community-belonging for Older Women and Gender Diverse Persons Experiencing Housing Insecurity

- Collaboration with AEHCR and University of Victoria's Faculty of Social Sciences & IALH
- Continuation of the NHS Solutions Lab Peer Navigator prototype
- AEHCR role co-co-principal investigator

SYNOPSIS

Overall Goal: To make a difference in the lives of OWGD who will receive support from the CCSNs and Hub interventions. Personalized guidance, information and opportunities for social interaction will help to support housing stability and permanent exits from homelessness.

Supporting Vulnerable and Marginalized Older Adults to be Cared for and to Die at Home.

- Collaboration with AEHCR and University of Victoria's interdisciplinary team
- Palliative Care for persons experiencing homelessness.
- AEHCR role research: data interpretation, stakeholder identification and engagement, and dissemination, ensuring findings are translated to public housing decision and policy making audience.

SYNOPSIS

The overall goal of this study is to understand how we can facilitate dying-in-place for structurally vulnerable older adults. Objectives are to:

- 1. Identify and analyze published literature, and policy and regulatory guidelines that guide decision-making related to place of care and death for structurally vulnerable older adults;
- 2. Describe, from the perspective of older adults, their support persons, and housing and health service providers, the conditions that would enable older adults to be cared for and die-in-place;
- 3. Determine the required policy and regulatory changes and community-based health and social services that would be needed to promote older adults

experiencing structural vulnerability to be cared for and die-in-place if that was their wish to do so; and integrate findings to inform the co-development of a set of actionable recommendations to guide health and housing sector leaders to promote equity-oriented policies and services to enable older adults to be cared for and die-in-place or in locations consistent with their wishes.

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 13 | P a g e

Appendices

Appendix A: Executive Director Reports

ED Report – July 2023 – Summary report - first quarter

Advocacy and communications

- BC Coalition to End Youth Homelessness: attendance and support.
- BC Coalition to End Youth Homelessness Advocacy Committee: attendance and support advocacy and communications efforts.
- AMSAA Housing Committee: attendance inaugural meeting
- Seniors Housing Working Group: meeting attendance.
- Engage with City of Victoria Manager to obtain date for presentation.
- Attend Council to support BC Housing's Russell St Project
- Write letters of support for diverse housing developments (BC Housing, Greater Victoria Housing Society)
- Informal partner meetings to discuss ongoing files / issues.
- Meeting with BC Housing CEO and Executive team advocacy and relationship building.

Collective Impact

- Community Plan consultations: done Board, HHSC, PLLEC, STWG. Upcoming: DSP, SCEH, SSI, PLLEC, Community engagement
- Health and Housing Steering Committee Leadership and regular meetings: prepare, attend, and chair ongoing meetings.
- System Transformation Working Group prepare, attend, and chair ongoing monthly meetings, review of terms of reference and priority issues of focus.
- Downtown Service Providers: attend monthly meetings.
- Sooke Coalition to End Homelessness: attend ongoing meetings.
- Regional Housing Advisory Committee: attended first meeting.
- WorkBC Initiated partnership to design a WorkBC cohort program for Peer Workers
 'Community Care Works 4 Me' to increase employment and employability. Focus groups and
 meetings held.

Research and Data

Data Dashboard - Michelle

- Hiring Research Associate to support work
- Engagement with housing providers (including bi-monthly meetings)
- Creation of snapshot 'dashboard' to include:
 - Aggregate numbers for housing (mat, bed, unit) by defined housing type (e.g., emergency shelter, transition house, transitional housing...),
 - o Bylaw information on outdoor shelters, starting with City of Victoria by month,
 - o Emergency Weather Response information, by month, and
 - Housing stock coming online, by expected date.

CAA / HIFIS Support – ongoing support: attendance at meetings and discussions with BC Housing

Member of CAA working group,

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 14 | P a g e

- Member of HIFIS working group,
- Ongoing discussions with BC Housing, CRD Community Planner,
- Keeping current on Built for Zero communities, CA workshops/engagements, HIFIS workshops/engagements, and
- Attendance at Community Planner workshops, engagements, meetings.

Women+ Fleeing Violence and Herluma - Michelle

- App creation with INSPIRE group,
- Review of development plan,
- Presentations/meetings with BC Housing, and
- Possible future meetings with Tectoria (Viatech).

Sector Resiliency

Best practices / training / resource sharing Group (Best Practices Collective) – Michelle

• Monthly meetings to discuss best practices for HR, training resources for staff.

Micro-credentialing project with UVic- Michelle

- Organizing monthly Best Practices Collective meetings (includes agenda, minutes, additional documents as needed),
- Organizing presentations to different tables (e.g., DSP),
- Supporting/organizing Focus group engagements,
- Funds allocation, and
- Quarterly reports to CRD.

Organizational Strength

- Executive Committee: support and briefing: governance review, AGM
- Finance Committee: Audit 2022-2023, policy discussion, monthly financials.
- Governance Committee: review bylaws, meetings with all Board members and CRD Ex-Officio, conversations with partners
- Planning sessions: staff Community Plan and organizational review and redesign
- Negotiating service agreements: IH and CRD
- HR matters: Organizational redesign
- Tiny town: Pursuing all avenues to realize sale by September 30^{th.}
- Funding: Research all sources of funding, reports to funders for ongoing funding and service agreements
- IT project Business analysis and continuity
- Relocation of offices

ED Report – February 20, 2023 – Summary report

Advocacy and communications

- BC Coalition to End Youth Homelessness: attendance and support.
- BC Coalition to End Youth Homelessness Advocacy Committee: attendance and support advocacy and communications efforts.
- AMSAA Housing Committee: attendance

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 15 | P a g e

- Seniors Housing Working Group: meeting attendance, report revision, media outreach/spokesperson, dissemination.
- Progress report: CRD January 31
- Meetings: City of Victoria Manager, Community Services Bylaw and Licensing Services
- Formal and Informal partner meetings to discuss ongoing files / issues / matters.
- Langford community collaboration: turning information to action session.

Collective Impact

- Community Plan consultations: done Community engagement January 30, 2024
- Health and Housing Steering Committee Leadership and regular meetings: prepare, attend and co-chair ongoing meetings.
- System Transformation Working Group Lead: Andrew prepare, attend, and chair ongoing monthly meetings, review of terms of reference and priority issues of focus.
- Downtown Service Providers: attend monthly meetings.
- Sooke Coalition to End Homelessness: attend ongoing meetings, as invited.
- Regional Housing Advisory Committee: attend ongoing meetings.
- BC Seniors Housing Council: attend ongoing meetings.
- Reaching Home CAB: attend ongoing meetings, evaluate and score funding proposals.
- EWR continue to support to Salvation Army and partners for the 2023-2024 EWR deployment.
- Face2Face with Stigma ongoing development lead Andrew

Research and Data

Data Dashboard – Lead: Michelle

- Research Associate supporting work.
- Engagement with housing providers (including bi-monthly meetings)
- Creation of snapshot 'dashboard' to include:
 - Aggregate numbers for housing (mat, bed, unit) by defined housing type (e.g., emergency shelter, transition house, transitional housing...),
 - o Bylaw information on outdoor shelters, starting with City of Victoria by month,
 - o Emergency Weather Response information, by month, and
 - Housing stock coming online, by expected date.

CAA / HIFIS Support – ongoing support: attendance at meetings and discussions with BC Housing

- Member of CAA working group,
- Member of HIFIS working group,
- Ongoing discussions with BC Housing, CRD Community Planner,
- Keeping current on Built for Zero communities, CA workshops/engagements, HIFIS workshops/engagements, and
- Attendance at Community Planner workshops, engagements, meetings.

Women+ Fleeing Violence and Herluma – Michelle

- App creation with INSPIRE group,
- Review of development plan,
- Presentations/meetings with BC Housing, and
- Possible future meetings with Tectoria (Viatech).

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 16 | P a g e

Sector Resiliency

Best practices / training / resource sharing Group (Best Practices Collective) - Michelle

Monthly meetings to discuss best practices for HR, training resources for staff.

Micro-credentialing project with UVic- Michelle

- Organizing monthly Best Practices Collective meetings (includes agenda, minutes, additional documents as needed),
- Organizing presentations to different tables (e.g., DSP),
- Supporting/organizing Focus group engagements,
- Funds allocation, and
- Quarterly reports to CRD.

Organizational Strength

- Lived Experience Council support and consultation Lead Andrew
- Executive Committee: support and briefing: governance review, AGM
- Finance Committee: draft budget 2024-2025, finance policies discussion, monthly financials.
- Governance Committee: governance review, review bylaws, seek and obtain quotes for consultant to perform governance review, conversations with partners, reference to Deloitte – governance review pro-bono.
- Planning sessions: staff Community Plan and organizational review and redesign
- Tiny town: meetings and site visits with several interested parties, negotiations of purchase / sale and securing legal advice to conclude sale at \$300,000, advising all interested parties of sale, supporting communications; chair last CAC meeting.
- HR matters: organizational redesign, contract preparation P/T Communications Specialist
- Funding: research all sources of funding, reports to funders for ongoing funding and service agreements
- IT project business analysis and continuity ongoing
- Co-Chairs engagement and support ongoing
- Oversight of all administrative matters: insurance, HR, departments, health benefits negotiations
- AGM and SGM: preparation and execution
- Communications: social media presence and website engagement
- IT: meeting with current service provider to clarify contract deliverables.

ED Report – February 20, 2023 – Summary report

Advocacy and communications

- BC Coalition to End Youth Homelessness: attendance and support.
- BC Coalition to End Youth Homelessness Advocacy Committee: attendance and support advocacy and communications efforts.
- AMSAA Housing Committee: attendance / participation
- Seniors Housing Working Group: meeting attendance, report revision, media outreach/spokesperson, dissemination of report.

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 17 | P a g e

- Schedule A progress report: meeting with CRD January 31 and upcoming meetings for final presentation and service agreement negotiation
- Meetings: City of Victoria Manager, Community Services Bylaw and Licensing Services and regular City Manager meetings
- Formal and Informal partner meetings to discuss ongoing files / issues / matters.
- Langford community collaboration: attend the "turning information to action" session.
- Presentations to high schools: École Intermédiaire Central Middle School, Reynold Secondary School, and Frances Kelsey Secondary School
- Participation as panelist: London Homelessness Hub Model Webinar organized by the CSPC.
- Meeting with Grace Lore, Minister MCFD to discuss collaboration and potential funding.
- Screening of 940 Caledonia: attendance
- Review strategic communication plan tactics: digital campaign, events, website.

Collective Impact

- Community Plan consultations: done Community engagement January 30, 2024
- Health and Housing Steering Committee Leadership and regular meetings: prepare, attend and co-chair ongoing meetings.
- System Transformation Working Group Lead: Andrew prepare, attend, and chair ongoing monthly meetings, review of terms of reference and priority issues of focus.
- Downtown Service Providers: attend monthly meetings.
- Sooke Coalition to End Homelessness: attend ongoing meetings, as invited.
- Regional Housing Advisory Committee: attend ongoing meetings.
- BC Seniors Housing Council: attend ongoing meetings.
- Reaching Home CAB: attend ongoing meetings, evaluate, and score funding proposals.
- EWR continue to support to Salvation Army and partners for the 2023-2024 EWR deployment.
- Face2Face with Stigma ongoing development lead Andrew

Attend Grace Lore's Holiday get together, attend Our Place Turkey Lunch

Research and Data

Data Dashboard - Lead: Michelle

- Research Associate supporting work.
- Engagement with housing providers (including bi-monthly meetings)
- Creation of snapshot 'dashboard' to include:
 - Aggregate numbers for housing (mat, bed, unit) by defined housing type (e.g., emergency shelter, transition house, transitional housing...),
 - o Bylaw information on outdoor shelters, starting with City of Victoria by month,
 - o Emergency Weather Response information, by month, and
 - Housing stock coming online, by expected date.

CAA / HIFIS Support – ongoing support: attendance at meetings and discussions with BC Housing

- Member of CAA working group,
- Member of HIFIS working group,
- Ongoing discussions with BC Housing, CRD Community Planner,

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 18 | P a g e

- Keeping current on Built for Zero communities, CA workshops/engagements, HIFIS workshops/engagements, and
- Attendance at Community Planner workshops, engagements, meetings.

Women+ Fleeing Violence and Herluma – Michelle

- App creation with INSPIRE group,
- Review of development plan,
- Presentations/meetings with BC Housing, and
- Possible future meetings with Tectoria (Viatech)
- Meeting the team to assess next steps.

Sector Resiliency

- Best practices / training / resource sharing Group (Best Practices Collective) Michelle lead
- Monthly meetings to discuss best practices for HR, training resources for staff.

Micro-credentialing project with UVic – Michelle lead

- Organizing monthly Best Practices Collective meetings (includes agenda, minutes, additional documents as needed),
- Organizing presentations to different tables (e.g., DSP),
- Supporting/organizing Focus group engagements,
- Funds allocation, and
- Quarterly reports to CE CRD

Organizational Strength

- Lived Experience Council support and consultation Lead Andrew
- Executive Committee: support ongoing meetings
- Finance Committee: support agenda, draft budget 2024-2025, policies discussion, financials.
- Governance and Nominations Committee: governance review, bylaws review, continue to meet and liaise with Deloitte.
- Planning sessions: staff Community Plan and organizational review and redesign
- Tiny town: file is concluded successfully. \$300,000 proceeds were deposited in our account early January.
- HR matters: organizational redesign, P/T Communications Specialist hired, P/T Administrative and Business Coordinator hired.
- Funding: research all sources of funding, preparation of reports to funders for ongoing funding and upcoming service agreements, write letter of request of increased funding from the CoV, follow-up Ministry of Housing funding request
- IT project: business analysis and continuity ongoing
- Co-Chairs: engagement and support ongoing
- Communications: social media presence and website engagement. interviews
- IT: search for optimal service provider
- Oversight of all administrative matters: all departments, insurance, HR, health benefits
- Chair: monthly staff meetings

The Community Data Dashboard

The first iteration of the internal data dashboard includes

- shelter/housing information
- extreme weather program information
- @ outdoor sheltering City of Victoria by-law information
- rew housing stock information

Shelter/Housing

Type of Housing	September 01 - 30, 2022 (#)	October 01 - 31, 2022 (#)
Temporary Emergency Shelter (mats)	0	0
Temporary Emergency Shelter (beds)	20	20
Temporary Emergency Shelter (family units)	3	3
Year-round Emergency Shelter (mats)	0	0
Year-round Emergency Shelter (beds)	109	109
Transitional Housing (beds)	43	43
Transitional Housing (units)**	104	104
Supportive Housing (embedded supportive services)*	1014	746
Supported Housing (support services NOT embedded)*	43	43
Below market (unit - Residential Tenancy Agreement)*	63	63
Rental at Market Price with Rent Subsidy	0	0
	1399	1131

Emergency Weather Program

Month	Date	Mats Available (co-ed)
September 01 - 30, 2022	September 01 - 30, 2022 ¹	
October 01 - 31, 2022	October 01 - 31, 2022 ¹	
November 01 - 30, 2022	Monday, November 7, 2022	25
	Tuesday, November 8, 2022	35
	Wednesday, November 9, 2022	35
	Friday, November 18, 2022	35
	Sunday, November 27, 2022	35
	Wednesday, November 30, 2022	65

No activations for this month.

^{*} includes single room occupancy [shared ammenities]

* (ne) beds may be distributed amnorgst (n) rooms, for women with and without children - families do not share rooms, but singles sometimes do September/October 2022: One site - 33 individuals housed; 44 individuals waitlisted

Data reviewed by & aggregated over 7 housing organizations

City of Victoria Weekly Bylaw data

2022	Mean (Weekly)	Median (Weekly)	Interactions with People Weekly totals
Aug 29 - Sep 04	24.7	24.0	363
Sep 05 - Sep 11	30.3	30.0	512
Sep 12 - Sep 18	27.7	29.0	441
Sep 19 - Sep 25	29.6	28.0	400
Sep 26 - Oct 02	27.1	27.0	276
Oct 02 - Oct 09	30.1	30.0	382
Oct 10 - Oct 16	32.0	32.0	62
Oct 17 - Oct 23	34.3	25.0	402
Oct 24 - Oct 30	29.0	25.0	441

New Housing Stock

Housing Name	Housing Type	Number of Units	Residents	Expected Date of Completion
Albina	supportive	52		Feb 01/2023
Juniper (PHS)	supportive	46		Feb 01/2023
House of Courage	supportive	45	Indigenous (ACEH)	Mar 01/2023
Prosser	supportive	39		May 01/2023
Prosser	RHFP	10		May 01/2023
Meares	supportive	52	Youth (Beacon)	Summer 2023
Balmoral (Cool Aid)	supportive	50		Summer 2023
Transition House	transition	7	Women (Anawin)	Spring 2023

Appendix C: Community Data Dashboard Board Presentation



We will cover...

- Community Data Dashboard Ecosystem
- Our Research Associate
- Community Data Dashboard Working Group members
- Housing Data
- 2023-2024 Timeline
- Summary
- Discussion & Questions



CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 22 | P a g e

The Community Data Dashboard Ecosystem

The Community Data Dashboard (CDD)

Strategic use of data, the CDD is

- an initial step in creating baseline information for the Capital Region
- one indicator, a snapshot, demonstrating our progress toward Functional Zero
- a system inventory of housing for the Capital region

Allowing us to


 share information, in aggregate form, across our partners and others in the region

With aggregate data eventually shared

- · across the entire sector
- with the general public



CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 23 | P a g e



Our Research Associate

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 24 | Page

Using Catherine Donnelly Foundation funding...

Meet Marc Rawson, our CDD Research Associate!

Started May 24, 2023, working until April 2024.

- · Research, updating, & development of CDD
- Supporting organizations onboarding to CDD
- · Aggregating and communicating data



Community Data Dashboard Working Group members

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 25 | P a g e

Community Data Dashboard Working Group members

We have engaged people working with data from the following organizations

Housing Providers/Operators

- Anawim Companion Society
- · BC Housing
- Beacon Community Services
- Capital Region Housing Corporation
 The Cridge Centre for the Family
- Greater Victoria Housing Society
- Island Health
- John Howard Society
- Our Place Society
- Pacifica Housing Advisory Association
- · PHS Community Services Society
- Salvation Army Victoria
- Society of Saint Vincent de Paul
- Threshold Housing Society
- · Victoria Cool Aid Society
- · Victoria Native Friendship Society
- · Victoria Women's Transition House

Housing Providers/Operators

· Capital Regional District Community Planner



Housing Data

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 26 | Page

The Community Data Dashboard

This iteration of the internal data dashboard includes

- · Shelter/housing information
- Extreme Weather Response (EWR) program information
- Outdoor sheltering City of Victoria bylaw information
- New housing stock information



Shelter and Housing Inventory

(August 1, 2023, to September 30, 2023)

Housing Type	August 01 - 31, 2023 (#)	September 01 - 30, 2023 (#)
Emergency Shelter Seasonal (temporary)	0	0
Emergency Shelter (temporary)	127	127
Safe Homes (temporary)	0	0
Transition Houses (temporary)	0	0
Transitional Housing (temporary)	431	438
Second-stage Housing (temporary)	7	7
Third-stage housing (semi-permanent)	19	19
Women-specific (permanent)	12	12
Supportive Housing (permanent)	382	382
Social/Subsidized Housing (permanent)	566	566
Unknown	1085	1085
Total	2629	2636

^{**} Data aggregated from 12 organizations



CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 27 | P a g e

Emergency Weather Response (EWR) Program

2022

	Onpe	Mars Estations (Co-ed)
September 01 - 30, 2022		0
October 01 - 31, 20221		0
	Monday, November 7, 2022	25
	Tuesday, November 8, 2022	35
November 01 - 30, 2022	Wednesday, November 9, 2022	35
November 01 - 30, 2022	Friday, November 18, 2022	35
	Sunday, November 27, 2022	35
	Wednesday, November 30, 2022	65
	Sunday, December 4, 2022	35
	Monday, November 7, 2022 Tuesday, November 8, 2022 Wednesday, November 9, 2022 Friday, November 18, 2022 Sunday, November 27, 2022 Wednesday, November 30, 2022	50
		80
		80
		80
		80
December 01 - 31, 2022		80
	Tuesday, December 20, 2022	80
	Wednesday, December 21, 2022	80
	Thursday, December 22, 2022	80
	Friday, December 23, 2022	80
	Saturday, December 24, 2022	0
	Sunday, December 25, 2022	0

2		

	Date	(Co-ed)
	Wednesday, January 11, 2023	
	Wednesday, January 18, 2023	60
	Thursday, January 19, 2023	50
	Friday, January 20, 2023	50
	Saturday, January 21, 2023	50
January 01 - 31, 2023	Wednesday, January 11, 2023 Wednesday, January 18, 2023 Thursday, January 19, 2023 Friday, January 20, 2023	50
	Friday, January 27, 2023	0
	Saturday, January 28, 2023	
	Sunday, January 29, 2023	
	Monday, January 30, 2023	0
	Tuesday, January 31, 2023	
	Friday, February 3, 2023	80
	Monday, February 13, 2023	80
	Tuesday, February 14, 2023	80
	Monday, February 20, 2023	50
	Tuesday, February 21, 2023	60
T-1 01 30 3033	Wednesday, February 22, 2023	60
February 01 - 28, 2023	Thursday, February 23, 2023	30
	Friday, February 24, 2023	60
	Saturday, February 25, 2023	30
	Sunday, February 26, 2023	0
	Monday, February 27, 2023	60
	Tuesday, February 28, 2023	30
March 01 - 31, 2023		0
April 01 - 30, 2023-		0

^{1.} No activations for this mont.





City of Victoria Weekly Bylaw Data

(August 29, 2022 - October 30, 2022)

Date (2022)	Mean (Weekly)	Median (Weekly)	Interactions with People Weekly Totals
Aug 29 - Sep 04	24.7	24.0	363
Sep 05 - Sep 11	30.3	30.0	512
Sep 12 - Sep 18	27.7	29.0	441
Sep 19 - Sep 25	29.6	28.0	400
Sep 26 - Oct 02	27.1	27.0	276
Oct 03 - Oct 09	30.1	30.0	382
Oct 10 - Oct 16	32.0	32.0	62
Oct 17 - Oct 23	34.3	25.0	402
Oct 24 - Oct 30	29.0	25.0	441

^{**} Met with City of Victoria Bylaw Services, will get information for 2023 and moving forward



CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 28 | Page

New Housing Stock

2023 New Housing Opening Status

Name	Housing Type	Units	Residents	Expected Date of Completion	Status
Albina (OPS)	Supportive Housing (permanent)	52		1/2/2023	Completed
House of Courage (ACEH)	Supportive Housing (permanent)	43	Indigenous	1/3/2023	Completed
Prosser Place (CRHC)	Social/Subsidized Housing (permanent)	41		1/5/2023	Completed
Prosser Place (CRHC)	Regional Housing First Program	10		1/5/2023	Completed
Meares (Beacon)	Supportive Housing (permanent)	48	Youth (19-27)	Summer 2023	Completed
Transition House (Anawim)	Transitional Housing (temporary)	7	Women	1/9/2023	Completed
Juniper (PHS)	Supportive Housing (permanent)	46		Late Fall 2023	In Progress
Balmoral (Cool Aid)	Supportive Housing (permanent)	56		Spring 2024	In Progress



City of Ottawa Dashboards

The Temporary Emergency Accommodations Dashboard provides information about people experiencing homelessness in Ottawa.

It includes people who have stayed in a City of Ottawa funded shelter, transitional housing program, and overflow sites which include hotels/motels and post-secondary institutions.




City of Ottawa Temporary Emergency Accommodations Dashboard (PowerBI) ottawa.ca/en/family-and-social services/housing/temporary-emergency-accommodations-dashboard

HOPE HAS FOUND A HOME

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 29 | P a g e

City of Ottawa Dashboards






City of Ottawa Temporary Emergency Accommodations Dashboard (PowerBI) ottawa.ca/en/family and social services/housing/temporary-emergency-accommodations-dashboard



Power BI Examples



BurnDown Chart - Sprint, Release and Product Tracking - Tech Agilist

HOPE HAS FOUND A HOME

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 30 | Page

2023 - 2024 Timeline

Timeline

Milestone	Date	Progress
Initial internal data dashboard for housing services	April 2023	Completed
All organizations in the housing homelessness serving sector will be onboarded and data provided for the internal dashboard	August 2023	Completed
Onboarding of a select group of organizations that provide supports and services in the homelessness sector in the CRD to the Community Data Dashboard Working Group	February 2024	In progress
An external public-facing dashboard with aggregate housing data will be released	March 2024	In progress
Initial internal release of the CDD with shelter, housing, and services/supports information	May 2024	In progress




CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 31 | P a g e

Who are we onboarding next?

Onboarding...

- Aboriginal Coalition to End Homelessness Society
- Islanders Working Against Violence
- M'akola Housing Society
- Sooke Shelter Society



CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 32 | Page

In order to influence decision making and policy in the Capital Region, all voices must be present, collaborating on decisions on data to collect and interpretation of the data we collect.




We Covered...

- Community Data Dashboard Ecosystem
- Our Research Associate
- Community Data Dashboard Working Group members
- · Housing Data
- 2023-2024 Timeline
- Summary
- Discussion & Questions



CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 33 | P a g e

Discussion & Questions



CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 34 | P a g e

Appendix D: Community Data Dashboard H&HSC Update



We will cover...

- Community Data Dashboard Ecosystem
- Our Research Associate
- Community Data Dashboard Working Group members
- Housing Data
- 2023-2024 Timeline
- Summary
- Discussion & Questions



CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 35 | P a g e

The Community Data Dashboard Ecosystem

The Community Data Dashboard (CDD)

Strategic use of data, the CDD is

- an initial step in creating baseline information for the Capital Region
- one indicator, a snapshot, demonstrating our progress toward Functional Zero
- a system inventory of housing for the Capital region


Allowing us to

 share information, in aggregate form, across our partners and others in the region

With aggregate data eventually shared

- · across the entire sector
- with the general public





Our Research Associate

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 37 | Page

Using Catherine Donnelly Foundation funding...

Meet Marc Rawson, our CDD Research Associate!

Started May 24, 2023, working until mid-2024.

- · Research, updating, & development of CDD
- Supporting organizations onboarding to CDD
- Aggregating and communicating data



Community Data Dashboard Working Group members

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 38 | P a g e

Community Data Dashboard Working Group members

We have engaged people working with data from the following organizations

Housing Providers/Operators

- Anawim Companion Society
- · BC Housing
- Beacon Community Services
- Capital Region Housing Corporation
 The Cridge Centre for the Family
- Greater Victoria Housing Society
- Island Health
- John Howard Society
- · Our Place Society
- Pacifica Housing Advisory Association
- PHS Community Services Society
- Salvation Army Victoria
- Society of Saint Vincent de Paul
- Threshold Housing Society
- · Victoria Cool Aid Society
- · Victoria Native Friendship Society
- · Victoria Women's Transition House

Housing Providers/Operators

· Capital Regional District Community Planner



Housing Data

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 39 | Page

The Community Data Dashboard

This iteration of the internal data dashboard includes

- · Shelter/housing information
- Extreme Weather Response (EWR) program information
- Outdoor sheltering City of Victoria bylaw information
- New housing stock information



Shelter and Housing Inventory

(August 1, 2023, to September 30, 2023)

Housing Type	August 01 - 31, 2023 (#)	September 01 - 30, 2023 (#)
Emergency Shelter Seasonal (temporary)	0	0
Emergency Shelter (temporary)	127	127
Safe Homes (temporary)	0	0
Transition Houses (temporary)	0	0
Transitional Housing (temporary)	431	438
Second-stage Housing (temporary)	7	7
Third-stage housing (semi-permanent)	19	19
Women-specific (permanent)	12	12
Supportive Housing (permanent)	382	382
Social/Subsidized Housing (permanent)	566	566
Unknown	1085	1085
Total	2629	2636

^{**} Data aggregated from 12 organizations



CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 40 | P a g e

Emergency Weather Response (EWR) Program

2023

	Onn	Multi Allaliable (co-ed)	
	Wednesday, January 11, 2023		
January 01 - 31, 2023	Wednesday, January 18, 2023	60	
	Thursday, January 19, 2023	50	
	Friday, January 20, 2023	50	
	Saturday, January 21, 2023	50	
	Sunday, January 22, 2023	50	
	Friday, January 27, 2023	0	
	Saturday, January 28, 2023		
	Sunday, January 29, 2023		
	Monday, January 30, 2023		
	Tuesday, January 31, 2023		
	Friday, February 3, 2023	80	
	Monday, February 13, 2023	80	
	Tuesday, February 14, 2023	80	
	Monday, February 20, 2023	50	
	Tuesday, February 21, 2023	60	
01 20 2022	Wednesday, February 22, 2023	60	
February 01 - 28, 2023	Thursday, February 23, 2023	30	
	Friday, February 24, 2023	60	
	Saturday, February 25, 2023	30	
	Sunday, February 26, 2023	0	
	Monday, February 27, 2023	60	
	Tuesday, February 28, 2023	30	
March 01 - 31, 2023 ¹		0	
April 01 - 30, 2023		0	

	Date	Math consists (fin-ed)	
September 01 - 30, 2023 ¹		.0	
October 01 - 31, 2023		0	
November 01 - 30, 2023	Thursday, November 23, 2023	80	
December 01 - 31, 2023	Monday, December 4, 2023	80	
	Saturday, December 23, 2023	80	
	Sunday, December 24, 2023	2	
	Monday, December 25, 2023	2	
January 01 – 31, 2024	Saturday, January 6, 2024	80	
	Sunday, January 7, 2024	80	
	Monday, January 8, 2024	80	
	Tuesday, January 9, 2024	80	

No activitions for this month.
No data



City of Victoria Weekly Bylaw Data

(September 19, 2022 – October 30, 2022 \\ December 3, 2023 – December 30, 2023)

Interactions with People Weekly Totals	Median (Weekly)	Mean (Weekly)	Date Mean (W Sep 19 - Sep 25, 2022	
400	28.0	29.6		
276	27.0	27.1	Sep 26 - Oct 02, 2022	
382	30.0	30.1	Oct 03 - Oct 09, 2022	
62	32.0	32.0	Oct 10 - Oct 16, 2022	
402	25.0	34.3	Oct 17 - Oct 23, 2022	
441	25.0	29.0	Oct 24 - Oct 30, 2022	
			11	
	39.0	38.1	Dec 3 – Dec 9, 2023	
	30.0	33.1	Dec 10 – Dec 16, 2023	
	1	31.3	Dec 17 – Dec 23, 2023	
	10.0	16.0	Dec 24 – Dec 30, 2023	

^{1.} No data.


Disclaimer: Please note the number of structures is not an accurate number. The number is a representation of what Bylaw Officers have observed at given dates/times/locations. Factors that affect the counts include daily staffing levels, the assignment of other duties that do not include involvement with structures, and various factors involving the structures themselves.

HOPE HAS FOUND A HOME


CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 41 | P a g e

City of Victoria Weekly Bylaw Data Graphics

(September 19, 2022 - October 30, 2022)



December 3, 2023 - December 30, 2023)



Disclaimer: Please note the number of structures is not an accurate number. The number is a representation of what Bylaw Officers have observed at given dates/times/locations. Factors that affect the counts include daily staffing levels, the assignment of other duties that do not include involvement with structures, and various factors involving the structures themselves.

HOPE HAS FOUND A HOME

New Housing Stock

2023 New Housing Opening Status

Name	Housing Type	Units	Residents	Expected Date of Completion	Status
Albina (OPS)	Supportive Housing (permanent)	52		1/2/2023	Completed
House of Courage/Kwum Kwum Lelum (ACEH)	Supportive Housing (permanent)	45	Indigenous	1/3/2023	Completed
Prosser Place (CRHC)	Social/Subsidized Housing (permanent)	41		1/5/2023	Completed
Prosser Place (CRHC)	Regional Housing First Program	10		1/5/2023	Completed
Meares (Beacon)	Supportive Housing (permanent)	48	Youth (19-27)	Summer 2023	Completed
Women's Transition House (Anawim)	Transitional Housing (temporary)	7	Women	1/9/2023	Completed
Juniper (PHS)	Supportive Housing (permanent)	46		27/11/2023	Completed
Balmoral (Cool Aid)	Supportive Housing (permanent)	56		Spring 2024	In Progress




CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 42 | Page

City of Ottawa Dashboards

The Temporary Emergency Accommodations Dashboard provides information about people experiencing homelessness in Ottawa.


It includes people who have stayed in a City of Ottawa funded shelter, transitional housing program, and overflow sites which include hotels/motels and post-secondary institutions.





City of Ottawa Temporary Emergency Accommodations Dashboard (PowerBI) ottawa.ca/en/family and social services/housing/temporary emergency accommodations dashboard

City of Ottawa Dashboards






City of Ottawa Temporary Emergency Accommodations Dashboard (PowerBI) ottawa.ca/en/family and social services/housing/temporary emergency-accommodations-dashboard



CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 43 | Page

Power BI Examples



2023 - 2024 Timeline

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 44 | Page

Timeline

Milestone	Date	Progress
Initial internal data dashboard for housing services	April 2023	Completed
All organizations in the housing homelessness serving sector will be onboarded and data provided for the internal dashboard	August 2023	Completed
Onboarding of a select group of organizations that provide supports and services in the homelessness sector in the CRD to the Community Data Dashboard Working Group	February 2024	In progress
An external public-facing dashboard with aggregate housing data will be released	March 2024	In progress
Initial internal release of the CDD with shelter, housing, and services/supports information	May 2024	In progress



Who are we onboarding next?

Onboarding...

- Aboriginal Coalition to End Homelessness Society
- Islanders Working Against Violence
- M'akola Housing Society
- Sooke Shelter Society



CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 45 | Page

Summary

In order to influence decision making and policy in the Capital Region, all voices must be present, collaborating on decisions on data to collect and interpretation of the data we collect.



CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 46 | P a g e

We Covered...

- Community Data Dashboard Ecosystem
- Our Research Associate
- Community Data Dashboard Working Group members
- Housing Data
- 2023-2024 Timeline
- Summary
- Discussion & Questions




Discussion & Questions

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 47 | Page



CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 48 | Page



CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 49 | Page

Table of Contents

Introduction	3
Timeline	3
Ecosystem	5
Research Associate	6
Working Group Members	6
Housing Data	7
Housing and Shelter	7
Extreme Weather Response Program	8
Outdoor Sheltering	
Program Data	9
Connections	
Presentations1	0
Dashboard Example1	
Future Onboarding and Outreach1	1
Appendices1	
Appendix A: Housing Definitions1	3
Appendix B: Youth Definitions1	
Appendix C: Visual Basic Excel Automation Processes	7

Introduction

The Community Data Dashboard (CDD) supports the mission of the Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region (the Alliance, AEHCR) by providing a monthly updated snapshot that will be used to inform our progress toward achieving Functional Zero in the Capital Regional of Vancouver Island. The CDD is an initial step in creating baseline housing, services, and supports information for the Capital Region.

The CDD is one indicator, a snapshot, that is being used to illustrate our progress towards Functional Zero, where experiences of homelessness are rare, brief, and non-recurring. Two questions that are addressed by the CDD are:

- Is there enough housing supply to house all persons identified as experiencing homelessness?
- Are there enough services and supports for all persons identified as experiencing homelessness?

Additionally, the CDD acts as a system inventory for housing and services for the Capital Region. The CDD allows us to share information, in aggregate form, across our partners and others in the region. Aggregate data will eventually be shared across the entire sector and with the general public.

The Community Data Dashboard Working Group (CDD-WG) is the primary community engagement mechanism to provide support for the development, implementation, maintenance, and socialization of the CDD. This requires a multi-stage process, beginning with the collection of shelter and housing baseline data.

Timeline

As part of the initial planning for the CDD project, a timeline for the implementation and initial release of the data dashboard was developed in the fall of 2022 (see Table 1).

Milestone	Expected date for completion
Initial internal data dashboard for housing services	December 2022
All organizations in the housing homelessness serving sector will be onboarded and data provided for the internal dashboard	March 2023
An external public-facing dashboard with aggregate housing data will be released	May 2023
Onboarding of organizations that provide supports and services in the homelessness sector in the CRD to the Community Data Dashboard Working Group	May 2023

3

Milestone	Expected date for completion
Initial internal release of the CDD with shelter, housing, and services/supports information	August 2023
Posting external agreed upon aggregated information for shelter, housing, services and supports for the homelessness serving sector	December 2023

Table 1: CDD Project Initial Timeline

However, the project evolved the timeline revisited and revisions were made April 2023. To start, although a Community Data Dashboard Working Group (CDD-WG) had been stuck in July of 2022, there was a delay in hiring a Research Associate and kicking off the CDD project. Projections for the delayed April 2023 start pushed each stage of the project forward (see Table 2).

Milestone	Expected date for completion
Initial internal data dashboard for housing services	April 2023
All organizations in the housing homelessness serving sector will be onboarded and data provided for the internal dashboard	August 2023
An external public-facing dashboard with aggregate housing data will be released	October 2023
Onboarding of organizations that provide supports and services in the homelessness sector in the CRD to the Community Data Dashboard Working Group	October 2023/November 2023
Initial internal release of the CDD with shelter, housing, and services/supports information	January 2024/February 2024
Posting external agreed upon aggregated information for shelter, housing, services and supports for the homelessness serving sector	April 2024/May 2024

Table 2: CDD Project Timeline (Revision 1)

As we began to work through the different milestones on the project timeline, we found that we, again, needed to make revisions. A revised version of the timeline, together with some changes to the milestones, was created late 2023. We noted that the length of time required to collect relevant data exceeded our initial estimates as building relationships with community members is a timely process, requiring consistent engagement, trust-building, and ongoing efforts to maintain meaningful connections, impacting the data acquisition phase. Additionally, the iterative development processes involved in refining housing and other definitions, as well as the other project components introduced complexities, necessitating more time for analysis, reevaluation, and adjustments. The challenges that emerged during the implementation phase, contributed to the overall slippage in the project timeline. Thus, the the timeline was revised to

4

better reflect these challenges to ensure a more accurate projection for the successful implementation and release of the data dashboard.

Milestone	Date	Progress
Initial internal data dashboard for housing services	April 2023	Completed
All organizations in the housing homelessness serving sector will be onboarded and data provided for the internal dashboard	August 2023	Completed
Onboarding of a select group of organizations that provide supports and services in the homelessness sector in the CRD to the Community Data Dashboard Working Group, starting with rent supports (e.g., rent supplements, rent bank)	February 2024	In progress
Initial internal release of the CDD with shelter, housing, and initial services/supports information	March 2024	In progress
External public-facing dashboard release with aggregate housing data	May 2024	In progress

Table 3: CDD Project Timeline (Revision 2)

Ecosystem

The CDD project sits in a complex ecosystem that compliments current Reaching Home, Canada's Homeless Strategy¹ project efforts in the Capital Region.

The coordinated access (CA) process is designed to prioritize those most in need of assistance in a community, matching them to appropriate housing and services. The community CA process supports fairness in access to housing and services, as well as streamlining access. These efforts also include design and use of a homelessness management information system (HMIS). The HMIS requires an understanding of the organizations in the sector, as well as those organizations that are sector adjacent, which is being completed through a systems mapping process. These two aspects of the Reaching Home strategy are managed by a project steering committee. The CDD project lies adjacent to the Reaching Home project, complimenting the project through the creation of the housing systems inventory for the Capital Region. Future work cataloguing the services and supports in the Capital Region will further support and compliment the Reaching Home project.

See https://www.infrastructure.gc.ca/nomelessness-sana-abn/index-eng.html for more information regarding Canada's Homelessness Strategy.




Figure 1: CDD Ecosystem

Research Associate

To support the work necessary to create the initial CDD, the AEHCR hired Research Associate co-op student, Marc Rawson on May 24, 2023. Marc is a Computer Science student attending the University of Victoria. He is assisting with research, updating, and development of the CDD. Marc supports housing provider organizations onboard their housing inventory to the CDD. Additionally, he supports aggregation of data and communication of findings.

Working Group Members

The CDD-WG consists of representatives working in data from the community (homelessness serving sector and homelessness serving sector adjacent organizations) and various levels of government. Starting with the development of the housing inventory, the Alliance engages people from the following housing provider and operator organizations:

- · Anawim Companion Society
- Beacon Community Services
- Capital Region Housing Corporation
- Greater Victoria Housing Society
- Island Health

- PHS Community Services Society
 - Salvation Army Victoria
 - Society of Saint Vincent de Paul
 - The Cridge Centre for the Family
 - Threshold Housing Society

6

- John Howard Society
- Our Place Society
- · Pacifica Housing Advisory Association
- · Victoria Cool Aid Society
- Victoria Native Friendship Centre
- Victoria Women's Transition House

The Alliance also engages individuals working in data from service provider organizations including:

- · Victoria Brain Injury Society
- Victoria Brain Injury Society

As well as government entities including:

BC Housing

- BC Housing
- · City of Victoria Bylaw Services

Engaging with these diverse community partners allows for sharing knowledge that enables us collaboratively build a CDD that reflects the evolving needs of community.

Housing Data

Housing and Shelter

The current version of the CDD includes data aggregated from 12 organizations operating a total of 81 sites/locations. These include: Anawim Companion Society, Capital Region Housing Corporation, The Cridge Centre for the Family, Greater Victoria Housing Society, Island Health, John Howard Society, Our Place Society, Pacifica Housing Society, PHS Community Services Society, Salvation Army, Victoria Cool Aid Society, and Victoria Women's Transition House. In order to ensure that we are aggregating similar housing information, the CDD-WG have created a shared understanding of housing definitions (see Appendix A). As we move forward, we are looking to ensure that housing designed specifically for youth, as well as services and supports, are included and have created a definition for youth (see Appendix B). See Appendix C for information on data aggregation methods.

Housing Type	August 01 - 31, 2023 (#)	September 01 - 30, 2023 (#)
Emergency Shelter Seasonal (temporary)	0	0
Emergency Shelter (temporary)	127	127
Safe Homes (temporary)	0	0
Transition Houses (temporary)	0	0
Transitional Housing (temporary)	431	438
Second-stage Housing (temporary)	7	7
Third-stage housing (semi-permanent)	19	19
Women-specific (permanent)	12	12

7

Housing Type	August 01 - 31, 2023 (#)	September 01 - 30, 2023 (#)
Supportive Housing (permanent)	382	382
Social/Subsidized Housing (permanent)	566	566
Unknown	1085	1085
Total	2629	2636

Table 4: Shelter and Housing Inventory as of September 30, 2023

Extreme Weather Response Program

During days of extreme weather, the City of Victoria triggers the Emergency Weather Response (EWR), allowing for shelter openings to provide additional mats for people experiencing homelessness. The EWR is activated when the temperature reaches under 0°C or reaches under 2°C combined with another weather implication such as snow, rain, or wind².

Month	Date	Mats Available (Co-ed
September 01 - 30, 2023 ¹		0
October 01 - 31, 2023 ¹		Ö
محدد در ما المد	Thursday, November 23, 2023	80
November 01 - 30, 2023	Friday, November 24, 2023	,2
December 01 - 31, 2023	Monday, December 4, 2023	80
	Saturday, January 6, 2024	30
	Sunday, January 7, 2024	30
	Monday, January 8, 2024	30
	Tuesday, January 9, 2024	30
	Wednesday, January 10, 2024	30
	Thursday, January 11, 2024	30
January 01 - 31, 2024	Friday, January 12, 2024	80
	Saturday, January 13, 2024	80
	Sunday, January 14, 2024	80
	Monday, January 15, 2024	80
	Tuesday, January 16, 2024	80
	Wednesday, January 17, 2024	80
	Thursday, January 18, 2024	80
February 01 - 09, 2024 ¹		0

No activations for this month.

Table 5: EWR 2023-2024 Season Activations

8

^{2.} No data.

² See Salvation Army Shelter in Victoria Expands Capacity During Extreme Weather – The Salvation Army in Canada for more information.

Outdoor Sheltering

The City of Victoria Bylaw Services Department provides daily counts of structures observed by bylaw officers on a weekly basis. This data is aggregated, by week, with mean and median number of structures reported³.




Figure 2: Weekly City of Victoria Structure Counts, Daily Mean and Median

Program Data

We have recently engaged with the Victoria Brain Injury Society, Community Social Planning Council, and BC Housing to learn more about, and capture data that is used to supplement an individual or family's ability to maintain housing (i.e., rent supplement, rent bank). Through continued discussion and collaboration, this information will be incorporated as part of the CDD.

Connections

In our pursuit to enhance the effectiveness of our data dashboard, we established connections with an Assistant Teaching Professor at the school of Health Information Science, University of

Community Data Dashboard Final Report

³ **Disclaimer:** Please note the number of structures is not an accurate number. The number is a representation of what Bylaw Officers have observed at given dates/times/locations. Factors that affect the counts include daily staffing levels, the assignment of other duties that do not include involvement with structures, and various factors involving the structures themselves.

Victoria, BC, Dillon Chrimes. Professor Chrimes has a wealth of experience building databases, data pipelines, and dashboards. Through this collaboration, we are ensuring a robust and evidence-based approach to understanding and addressing homelessness through data. Additionally, we established connections with the senior statistics and data consultant at the City of Ottawa, Boun Inthavong. The City of Ottawa has implemented the Temporary Emergency Accommodations data dashboard, a similar initiative with notable success, using the same tool that we will be using for our CDD. Our engagement with the City of Ottawa provided us with practical insights into the challenges they encountered during the implementation phase and the strategies they used to overcome them.

This collaborative effort helped us gain expertise and practical experience and it has significantly strengthened our plan and informed our progress to creating a robust dashboard, positioning it as a valuable tool in the regional homelessness serving sector.

Presentations

The CDD has been formally presented to community four times, three times as an initial presentation and once as an update. Firstly, the CDD was presented to the Downtown Service Providers (DSP) committee during their spring 2023 meeting. The DSP meet monthly to discuss ways to better serve the complex needs of community through collaboration across organizations. The CDD concept was presented to the Health and Housing Steering Committee (HHSC) June of 2022. The HHSC provide leadership to ensure approved activities are carried out through the working and/or community service organizations and produce results desired by the community. The HHSC was updated on CDD progress in January of 2024. Additionally, the CDD update presentation has been presented to the Alliance's Board of Directors, this past November of 2023.

Dashboard Example

While we are not there yet, we are working towards creating a fully-fledged CDD using PowerBl⁴, a Microsoft collection of software services, apps, and connectors used to visual information. The City of Ottawa uses PowerBl to turn the information from their HMIS into a visually powerful data dashboard.

⁴ See https://learn.microsoft.com/en-us/power-bi/fundamentals/power-bi-overview for more information.



Figure 3: City of Ottawa Temporary Accommodations All Clients Dashboard




Figure 4: City of Ottawa Temporary Accommodations Annual Usage Trends Dashboard

Future Onboarding and Outreach

To broaden the scope and impact of our data-driven approach to addressing homelessness, we have successfully expanded our working group by onboarding key organizations and stakeholders. These include renowned non-profit organizations such as

Community Data Dashboard Final Report

Shelter Solutions and Community Aid Network, as well as governmental bodies like the Department of Housing and Urban Development. The inclusion of these diverse entities brings a wealth of expertise, resources, and perspectives to our collaborative efforts. Shelter Solutions, with its extensive experience in providing housing solutions, contributes valuable on-the-ground insights, while Community Aid Network's focus on community engagement enhances our ability to implement grassroots initiatives. The Department of Housing and Urban Development's involvement ensures alignment with broader policy frameworks and facilitates seamless coordination with governmental initiatives. By fostering a collaborative network of such esteemed organizations, our working group not only gains access to a wealth of knowledge and resources but also enhances the overall efficacy and sustainability of our homelessness intervention strategies. This inclusive approach strengthens our collective commitment to creating meaningful and lasting solutions for individuals experiencing homelessness.

We look to continually expand of our working group to incorporate additional organizations that can further enrich our collaborative approach to information sharing leading to a comprehensive CDD. We are actively seeking partnerships with diverse community organizations and to build relationships and partnerships to diversify our perspective and strengthen our impact. As we continue to cultivate these partnerships, we anticipate dynamic and inclusive partnerships that enhance the collective impact of our shared mission. We are currently in the process of building relationships with the Aboriginal Coalition to End Homelessness Society, Islanders Working Against Violence, M'akola Housing Society, and Sooke Shelter Society.

Appendices

Appendix A: Housing Definitions

Housing Categories¹

Emergency Shelter (temporary)

- Primary response to homelessness, providing temporary shelter.
- Mats; single, shared, or multi- bedrooms.
- Offers short-term crisis support to those who are experiencing homelessness.
- May provide basic needs such as food and health services.
- Specialized shelter services exist for certain subgroups of the homeless population, and other individuals who use shelters (e.g., shelters designed for women fleeing violence).

Seasonal Emergency Shelter (temporary)

 Temporary emergency shelters that operate for a fixed length of time (generally November 1 through March 31).

Safe Homes (temporary)

- Specifically for women fleeing violence, especially in rural or remote communities.
- Single bedrooms or units.
- A variety of housing types are provided, depending on the community (e.g., apartment or townhouse unit, hotel or motel room, or secure room in a private home or rental unit.
- Individual stay is typically no longer than 10 days.

Transition Houses (temporary)

- Safe, temporary, 24/7 staffed shelter; primarily for women fleeing violence.
- Single bedrooms or units.
- Most transition houses are residential homes in confidential locations where women and families live communally.
- Support workers are available to provide emotional support, crisis intervention, and safety planning.

Transitional Housing (temporary)2

- Intermediate step between emergency crisis shelters and permanent housing, providing a safe and secure environment.
- Single bedrooms or units.
- Residents are encouraged to rebuild their lives.
- Temporary solution that aims to bridge the gap, moving from homelessness to permanent housing.
- Often used as a form of supportive housing for treatment and mental health.
- Individual stay is typically 3 months to 3 years.

Second-stage Housing (temporary)

13

- Temporary housing for women & children fleeing violence who have completed a stay in transition housing or safe housing.
- Single bedrooms or units.
- Residents are encouraged to make plans for independent living.
- Individual stay is typically 6 to 24 months before transitioning to more permanent housing³.

Third-stage Housing (semi-permanent)

- Safe and affordable housing for women and children who are ready to live more independently.
- Single bedrooms or units.
- Offers less support than second stage housing.
- Focus is on building life skills.
- Transition to permanent housing; affordable or market rent.
- Individual stay is typically 3 to 5 years.

Women-specific (permanent)

- Affordable housing specifically designed to assist women, may include women fleeing violence.
- Unit or home; rented.
- Permanent housing with Residential Tenancy Agreement (RTA).
- Includes some support.

Supportive Housing (permanent)

- Subsidized housing with on-site support for people who need assistance to live independently.
- Units.
- Groups supported include single adults, seniors, and people with disabilities at risk of or experiencing homelessness.
- 24/7 staff on-site to provide non-clinical support such as: life skills training, connections
 to health care, mental health, and/or substance-use services.
- Support is linked to housing, not the individual.

Social/Subsidized Housing (permanent)

- Rents are usually calculated using the Rent Geared to Income (RGI) model and are reviewed annually.
- Includes purpose-built low-income housing developments.
- Subsidized units in market-rate buildings, or market-rate apartments paid for in part by provincial rent subsidies.

Co-op Housing (permanent)

Housing cooperative or "co-op" is a type of residential housing option.

14

- Housing co-ops are consumer co-operatives, entirely owned by their members.
- Co-op housing may be a non-profit organization.
- Co-ops are mixed income communities.
- Members set policies, make decisions, and elect leaders who report to them together.
- Presents individuals with greater autonomy over their housing.

Market Housing (permanent)

- Rental Rooms/Suites
- Rental Apartments
- Rental Townhouse/Duplex/House
- Condominiums (Condos)
- Townhouse/Duplex
- Single family houses

Affordable Housing (permanent)

- Rental or ownership
 - Ownership costs should be less than 30 percent of the homeowner's pre-tax income⁴ (BC Gov).
 - Affordable rental units are available to individuals with low-to-moderate income (based on BC Housing-specified Housing Income Limits, updated periodically.
- Government programs and non-profit organizations offer low-income homeowners' subsidies and financing.

Glossary

Affordable Housing is created with the support of government financing and funding strategies. **Bed:** A bed in a room with 2 or more occupants who are not related.

Island Health housing includes complex care units.

Market Affordability is created by a variety of potential causes, like age, neglect, or location—whatever the reason, the rents and prices are affordable to a broader cross section of the population.

RTA: Residential Tenancy Agreement (e.g., lease)

RGI: Rent Geared to Income (Subsidized housing with housing provider matching rent to tenants' income.)

Unit: Self-contained units, or private rooms, with doors

15

¹ Supported Housing, found in some documentation regarding housing types, is not included in this list of housing as it is considered supportive housing for some organizations and social housing by other organizations.

² Does not include recovery programs.

³ Some organizations may extend the length of stay to up to 5 years. This may be as a result of availability of third stage housing for women.

⁴ The median pre-tax total income for the CRD in 2019 – \$40,000 and 2020 - \$43,200 (2021 Census).

Appendix B: Youth Definitions

Definitions for youth

Encompass all youth housing, programs, services, and supports in the Capital Regional District.

- a) Housing for the purpose of housing/rent, an individual between the ages of 15 and 24 is considered a youth.
- b) Programs, Services, and Supports for the purpose of programs/services/supports, an individual between the ages of 15 and 29 is considered a youth.

Appendix C: Visual Basic Excel Automation Processes

Currently, data is being stored on a secure site, with each partner organization only having access to their own data. The following is the initial method we are using to aggregate data across these disparate housing data sources.

Unit Type Summation:

- Create/update spreadsheet with "date", "organization name", "co-ed", "family", "menonly", "women-only", "youth", and "senior" fields.
- 2. Loop through each organization's spreadsheet within housing provider spreadsheets folder. For each:
 - a. Find the row with sums.
 - b. Write "date accessed", "housing provider", and the sums of "co-ed", "family", "men-only", "women-only", "youth", and "senior" to aggregate spreadsheet.
- 3. Repeat step 2 until all organizations are looped through.
- Sum aggregate info, leaving a total for "co-ed", "family", "men-only", "women-only",
 "youth", and "senior".
- 5. Done

Appendix F: Report to the Ministry of Children and Family Development



Report to the Ministry of Children & Family Development February 2024

Introduction

In 2021, MCFD announced exciting expansions and a re-imagining of youth transitions services. The multi-year plan spanning from 2019 - 2024 is creating changes both at a policy level and legislative level. Now in the plan's final year, the *BC Coalition to End Youth Homelessness* (BCCEYH) has created this report to provide feedback and input to highlight youth in care's experiences with the expansion of these transition services over the last three years.

With the Province investing nearly \$35 million over three years, including increases of \$4.6 million in 2022 - 2023, \$10 million in 2023 - 2024 and \$19.8 million in 2024 - 2025, there is tremendous opportunity for improvement and continued expansion. We are heartened by the intentions expressed in the changes being made and we would like to serve as allies in creating further change. We are particularly impressed by the great work being done to empower delegated agencies to support their Indigenous and Metis youth. We have seen some great results from these changes.

The changes MCFD is building are monumental and such large projects cannot be implemented without the need for iteration and revisions. In our collective experience as service providers, the implementation of the programs and supports offered in this expansion have not been smooth sailing, revealing gaps which create barriers for youth. We've seen these gaps in the service system to have detrimental effects on youth who are currently transitioning out of care, especially youth in the 17 - 20 age bracket.

It is exciting that BC is being held up as a national leader in creating new standards of care and we are grateful for the hard work that has gone into making steps forward. It is vital we remain diligent and follow through on those commitments to ensure promises of action and policy changes are being implemented as intended. This report hopes to shine a light on the gaps youth have identified in the following program areas:

- · Temporary Housing Agreements & Temporary Service Agreements
- Rental Subsidy Program
- Transition Planning (SAJE)
- Agreements with Young Adults (AYA)

Capacity & Communication

1. Temporary Housing Agreements & Temporary Service Agreements

a) What was Announced

Eligible young adults on a Temporary Housing Agreement (THA) can now access a Temporary Support Agreement (TSA) on their 19th birthday regardless of their living arrangement, There is now a two-year time-frame in effect for accessing a THA and TSA which are available to young adults between the ages of 19 and 21. (MCFD Transitions RoadMap)

b) Gaps in Implementation

Both agreements are complicated and hard to understand, and there appear to be loopholes that mean access is inconsistent. It has been explained that a young person can age out in their MCFD placement, yet frontline workers have not observed this to be the case.

c) Young People's Experience

One of the BCCEYH organizations has only observed one young person offered the option to remain in their placement after aging out. This youth was told by their MCFD worker that the only support they would receive is financial and other supports would stop as they aged out. The young person was also told that while they would not be kicked out, that they should try to leave as soon as possible because MCFD did not have enough placements. As a result, this young person felt compelled to move out of their placement with a poorly designed transition plan due to the pressure to leave quickly. This transition plan did not include a plan for permanent housing, only a 6-month rental agreement through a landlord network without providing any additional supports. This left the young person to struggle on their own and was detrimental to their well-being. The service provider voiced strongly their concern for this young person to MCFD, making it clear the most supportive response and intervention would be to have this young person move onto a THA and remain in their placement. Unfortunately, this request was denied, with no clarity as to why such a decision was made.

This is one of many examples shared with the BCCEYH where attempts to advocate for a young person to remain on a THA were denied, and where the young person and the service organization were not provided clear reasons for the decision. It appears information about THAs is not clear to the MCFD workers who we have been in touch with. Many youth who are aging out without adequate transition planning or THAs have

complex needs which require an array of interconnected supports that unfortunately are not made available to them.

d) Recommendations

- Allow contracts within Specialized Homes and Support Services (SHSS) within MCFD to provide housing support and housing for youth past the age of 19.
- Provide clear and consistent direction across BC to MCFD workers and community supports about how and when to access these supports.

2. MCFD Rent Subsidy

a) What was Announced

Through this program, eligible young adults can access a \$600-a-month rent supplement for up to two years. All eligible young adults are encouraged to apply 50% of the rent supplements are available to Indigenous young adults. The rent supplement program aims to prioritize the most vulnerable young adults and applications are assessed based on income criteria. (MCFD rent supplement faq https://www2.gov.bc.ca/assets/gov/family-and-social-supports/fag_rent_supplements.pdf)

We appreciate the announcement stating that this would be seamless and would like to know what date this level of integration can be expected to be made available to the youth who so desperately need it.

https://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content/family-social-supports/youth-and-family-services/youth-transitions

b) Gaps in Implementation

Currently, the MCFD rent supplement program announcements appear to be unpredictable and the window for applications is quite short. There isn't a timeline of when supplements are available, very short notice is given when they are, and there is no transparency on the quantity available This causes an unnecessary panic for youth and those who support them in the service sector, who are often given less than 2 weeks to get all the necessary documentation ready for the release date. Workers have long queues of priorities that cannot be set aside on such short notice. Without the necessary lead time to notify youth, or help youth apply, many applications that could have been made will not be coming through and the need for these rent supplements may be under represented.

c) Young People's Experience

We have met youth who expected to transition out of their programs or placements before the age of 19 but were not yet age-eligible for the rent supplement. There was then a gap between when the youth moved out and when the next announcement for applications came out, leaving them in a vulnerable position unable to pay market rent. Many youth are pressured to apply for PWD, and while this can sometimes mean a higher level of monthly income, it also means a completely severed connection to MCFD, which can then result in no supports that are readily accessible to these vulnerable young adults.

d) Recommendations

- We recommend that all youth aging out of care are automatically enrolled onto the rent subsidy, if they need it, and that it is a seamless process for them.
- We recommend MCFD provides a full calendar-year or more of regular dates when the Rent Supplement Applications will be accepted or change the program to have applications open year-round. This could be implemented following the well-established SAFER model available to seniors in BC.
- We also recommend guaranteed rent supplements for all youth from government care who need it, and increases to the amount available to keep pace with the rising costs of rent across the Province.
- We would also like to see practices around moving youth to PWD be examined, the financial supports are important but the connections to ongoing supports can be more important for many vulnerable young adults.

3. MCFD Transition Planning, Navigator Role

a) What Was Announced

(MCFD Standards https://www2.gov.bc.ca/assets/gov/family-and-social-supports/policies/cf_5_children_you th_in_care.pdf)

5.10(1) Transition planning is initiated with the youth and their Care Circle or Team when the youth is 14, or for youth who are over the age of 14, upon coming into care. This occurs by including goal setting and planning to support their transition into adulthood in their Care Plan and

reviewing it at least annually with the youth.

5.10(2) A comprehensive Care Plan for youth in care, aged 14 and older, identifies transition planning goals and activities to support such goals across the Care Plan domains.

5.10(3) Concurrent permanency planning and family finding processes are continued throughout transition planning.

Note: these standards must be met, regardless of whether a SAJE Navigator or SAJE Guide is involved with the youth.

b) Gaps in Implementation

Youth Transition Plans are being requested by young people and organization's but MCFD currently does not provide these to everyone consistently. One service organization within the BCCEYH has requested these for all of the young people receiving their services but only 2 have been provided since the beginning of 2019. A regional inequity of transition planning has also become apparent to us, with planning meetings more likely to happen in one region versus another region in the Province. When transition planning is provided by MCFD, it has been positive for the youth, and we request similar helpful planning to be provided consistently.

We understand that changes take time to implement however, there is a current lack of transparency surrounding transition planning policies where BCCEYH members have been trying to piecemeal information together and determine how it connects with the new Navigator role. It would appear that current policy is not in line with the Navigator role as described in the MCFD Standards. Additionally, observations of the Navigators currently in place show they may be overwhelmed, unable to provide extensive outreach, and as a result are reverting to triaging their planning very close to transition dates.

c) Young People's Experience

One youth was told that there's no Navigator who would be available to help her. The Navigator role was delegated to a youth worker from a contracted organization until the program coordinator notified MCFD that the youth worker's role was to focus on the youth's mental health/court date/physical wellness and not coordinating an appropriate transition plan. From there, the Child & Youth Mental Health CYMH (MCFD) counselor took on the Navigator role. From this we can only assume was that there were not enough staff within MCFD to complete this role. The youth also struggled with responding to messages and missing appointments with Social Workers. Social Workers would not do outreach when they would not hear back from the youth. On top of these complications, the youth's Social Workers kept changing which also made it difficult to create an appropriate transition plan.

d) Recommendations

- We recommend that staffing levels are increased further across BC to make transition planning and support a priority. This preventative measure will save many youth great hardship while also saving the Province an even greater amount of spending that would be required in the future should youth not be supported to become independent and thriving adults.
- Community supports require more clarity on all of the steps and timelines for the
 creation of transition plans. We also need these plans to be consistently created,
 administered, and monitored for all youth across BC. We request that youth are
 connected to staff dedicated to the appropriate roles who themselves are well
 supported within MCFD to reduce turnover and provide consistent, transparent
 support to all youth.

4. Agreements with Young Adults & SAJE

a) What Was Announced

"Expanded eligibility for post-19 supports and services." (MCFD Transitions Roadmap)

b) Gaps in Implementation

The extension of care is desperately needed for all youth who have had experiences in care, regardless of the type of care agreement they were associated with. This announcement is prudent and urgently needed to be implemented. Ideally, we would like to see temporary measures put in place while we await Legislative changes in 2024 to ensure no youth falls through the cracks. Unfortunately, some of the most vulnerable youth are still left to fend for themselves at the age of transition when complex supports are needed that could be better administered with an AYA in place than without one.

Unfortunately, we have seen youth who were on Voluntary Care Agreements or Special Needs Agreements left to completely fend for themselves. Without adequate housing or supports, their options for housing often put them at greater risk of abuse, violence, and sometimes increased their risk of exposure to active substance use. All of these influences can compound existing trauma, creating even greater difficulty in breaking cycles of abuse and poverty they may have been subjected to in their short lives.

c) Young People's Experience

Some of the youth we work with are approaching the age of transition, or have recently transitioned out of care before SAJE services have rolled out. Several youth will be moving out of care without adequate supports before the new Legislation rolls out and/or before the SAJE programming is consistently administered across BC.

One youth we work with was told by their worker that if there is a possibility that they can move home they will not qualify for SAJE. This issue applies to many services for the youth we work with, and while we understand that reunification is always the best-case scenario, there are many young people who will not be going home even if they technically could. From our perspective, the homes that the youth could technically return do not always offer a consistently safe environment. The care-givers may be able to present a safe environment during a home visit, or series of home visits, but when the worker is not present a different environment that is not supportive is the reality for that youth. The sentiments of the youth about their own safety do not always outweigh the brief encounters that workers have with the home environment and we believe more weight should be given to the youth's experience.

d) Recommendations

- Improved communication to all organizations who serve youth in or from the government care system and to the young people themselves around access to SAJE.
- A retroactive consideration for youth who are on TCA or VCA and currently not eligible for SAJE.
- Given the rate of inflation since the amount of the monthly income supplement was first set at \$1,250/month, we recommend increasing the monthly amount to be announced in the 2024 provincial budget and considering building in annual indexing.
- Greater emphasis on youth's voices when assessing home or resource safety.

5. Issues with Capacity & Communication Gaps

a) What Was Announced
"Expanded eligibility for post-19 supports and services." (MCFD Transitions Roadmap)

b) Gaps in Implementation

Social workers within MCFD appear to be facing overwhelming workloads and juggling numerous cases and responsibilities. The demands placed on them seem to exceed manageable levels, impacting their ability to provide quality consistent support to transitioning youth while also having a work-life balance.

MCFD workers across BC also appear to be receiving different information at different times on how best to support youth. Community support workers report that workers within MCFD seem to be left in the dark not knowing what services and programs are available through MCFD and community agencies. We know of examples where community workers save emails from MCFD about policy/process changes to share with MCFD workers when they are not aware of policy changes announced, or the worker changes and the next worker has a different understanding of how the programs work.

Turn-over in youth workers appear to be becoming more common. Many program staff do not know who to connect with for youth's supports when workers leave MCFD, there is sometimes a delay in a new worker being assigned and the lack of consistent support is quite detrimental to the youth. The BCCEYH and its members have nothing but empathy for this situation and recognize that it's an issue commonly shared within the sector and arguably in all sectors of the province. What we know is that the sector-wide capacity issues that are happening both within MCFD and youth-serving organizations are having significant effects in the lives of youth and families.

c) Young People's Experience

One of the youth who is living in supportive housing was promised supports by their worker including access to a season pass to the local ski hill and support in finding their own market housing unit. That youth worker left MCFD and the new worker was not aware of these promises and was not able to follow through on providing the items that were promised to the youth. Other program staff had witnessed these verbal promises being made but nothing was put into writing, so no follow up was possible and the youth is left disillusioned and disappointed.

d) Recommendations

- Consider contracting or partnering with organizations for transition planning services and ongoing transition planning supports for youth aging out of care.
- Adopt the Equitable Standards for Transitions to Adulthood for Youth in Care Evaluation
 & Fidelity Model, created by the Child Welfare League of Canada
- Increased inter-ministerial collaboration that build on the great inroads being made already.
- Increase funding to prevention programming and family supports services. Grow support for existing programs that keep families together and create new programs to fill gaps.
- Partner with the BBCCEYH on a communication strategy to share our changes and updates on MCFD service delivery and to receive on the ground feedback on how these changes are impacting the lives of youth.

Closing

The BCCEYH has a strong and diverse membership and can provide critical information, feedback, and suggestions based on the immediate and long-term needs of youth. We would like to make our group available to you for ongoing communication particularly with our members who are youth with lived expertise. We believe our ongoing input can help MCFD initiatives and program changes be implemented in timely and appropriate ways that reflect the priority issues identified by frontline workers and youth.

As MCFD continues to make these important changes to its services that expand supports for youth past the age of 19, the BCCEYH would like to support this work and encourage further collaboration and communication. Changing systems is challenging and hard. We are hugely supportive of the changes so far and want to be a partner in this continued work.

We know that you, like the BCCEYH, want the best for youth who are in and from care in BC and we can all do better when we work together. We encourage your feedback on this report and would like to be a resource to you as your work evolves. Please include the BCCEYH in consultations and opportunities to provide feedback on MCFD programs. We would very much welcome the attendance of MCFD staff at our meetings and events, and we look forward to working together!

The BC Coalition to End Homelessness leadership team and Youth Advisors,


Ashley Crossan Coordinator ashley@awayhomekamloops.com

Sarah Stewart
Co-Chair BCCEYH,
Executive Director - Aunt Leah's Place
sstewart@auntleahs.com

Tangie Genshorek Executive director - A Way Home Kamloops ed@awayhomekamloops.com

Kira Cheeseborough Co-Chair BCCEYH, Project Coordinator A Way Home Kamloops kira@awayhomekamloops.com

Appendix G: Aging in Uncertainty: The Growing Housing Crisis for BC Seniors





CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 75 | Page

Report Background and Acknowledgements

This report was prepared by United Way British Columbia and the Housing Working Group, a committee of the Community Based Seniors' Services (CBSS) Leadership Council. The Leadership Council advises United Way BC's Healthy Aging Department and is a provincially-represented body of leaders working in the not-for-profit and municipal-based seniors' services sector, as well as older adults who are leaders in this sector.

The Housing Working Group was established by the Leadership Council in response to growing concerns raised by not-for-profit agencies and service providers across BC on two issues: the growing unaffordability of housing for seniors and the lack of social supports tied to housing that would make it possible for seniors to live well and remain in their own homes for longer.

The Housing Working Group includes members from urban and rural communities throughout BC who have an interest in, and knowledge of, seniors' housing needs, challenges, models, and/ or solutions. We would like to thank the working group co-chairs, Deborah Hollins and Tim Rowe, as well as the other members of the working group for their insights and support on our journey to develop this report.

We would also like to thank 411 Seniors Centre, and the agencies that work with them, who raised the alarm bells about the growing crisis of housing insecurity (i.e., precarity) and homelessness within BC's seniors' population.

And a special thanks to all the seniors, volunteers, and housing and service providers who participated in interviews or shared their insights with us in other ways.



Contents

Key Messages4	
Executive Summary	
1. Introduction	1
2. Report Methodology11	
3. Literature Review: Housing, Human Rights, and Health 13	
4. The Growing Affordability and Precarity Crisis in Seniors Housing	
5. Interview Findings23	
6. Seniors Housing Precarity Goals and Recommendations	
Appendix 1. Prevalence of Low-Income After Tax in British Columbia by Age Group, 1990-2021	
Appendix 2. Government Retirement Income Benefits	
Appendix 3. Average Monthly Rental Cost for a 1-Bedroom Apartment by Community54	
Appendix 4. Seniors Living in Unaffordable Housing or Precariously Housed, By Community55	
Appendix 5. 100 More Homes Penticton58	
Appendix 6. Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region)
Appendix 7. Whole Way House Model61	
Appendix 8. SRO Hub Program – Tenant Based Initiatives	
Appendix 9. Seniors Housing Information and Navigation Ease65	
Appendix 10. Temporary Housing Program66	1

3

 ${\sf United\ Way\ British\ Columbia-Aging\ in\ Uncertainty: The\ Growing\ Housing\ Crisis\ for\ BC\ Seniors}$


CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 77 | Page

Key Messages

- Low-income rates for seniors in BC (15.2%) are now the highest for any age group, which is a
 dramatic reversal from three decades ago when seniors had the lowest low-income rates of any
 age group.
- Population aging, coupled with rapidly rising costs of living and the inadequate incomes of seniors, is contributing to the seniors' housing precarity crisis.
- A 70-year-old senior who is dependent on government benefits (i.e., OAS, GIS, BC Seniors Supplement) would spend 78% of their income to rent an average one-bedroom apartment in BC (\$1,432), on average.
- Access to subsidized rent-geared-to-income seniors' housing has been declining in BC, while
 at the same time, low-cost private market options are being lost due to skyrocketing rents,
 evictions, renovictions, and redevelopments; as well as seasonal and regional influxes of people
 into communities.
- Almost 1 in 5 senior-led renter households (18% or 21,565 households) are spending 50% or more of their income on housing and are considered to be precariously housed and at risk of homelessness.
- In our interviews we heard that:
 - There is a growing number of seniors who are unsheltered or living in substandard or unsafe
 housing situations (e.g., staying with abusive family members; living in cars or storage
 lockers; camping in the woods; housing without heat or electricity).
 - An increasing number of these seniors find themselves on the verge of homelessness for the first time in their 60s and 70s.
 - Housing precarity and homelessness takes a significant toll on the physical and mental health of seniors. For example, one frontline service provider stated that over half of their clients experiencing housing precarity talk openly about whether they want to live anymore.
 - The current continuum of housing and housing supports does not serve seniors well, due to gaps in the continuum as well as a lack of senior-specific supports and housing options.
- In our report recommendations, we have identified six goals and sixteen specific recommendations, including examples of positive actions that have been initiated by the BC Government, municipalities in various regions of the province, and community-based organizations. Expanding on these examples will help to achieve the goal of housing affordability for seniors and other low-income British Columbians. The first three goals address the need for more low-income rental housing stock in BC for all age groups, while the last three goals focus specifically on the needs of the senior population.

4

United Way British Columbia - Aging in Uncertainty: The Growing Housing Crisis for BC Seniors



Executive Summary

Introduction

In British Columbia (BC), low- and moderate-income people of all ages and from all walks of life are being affected by the housing affordability crisis. Our report highlights the impact of the housing affordability crisis on one specific demographic: seniors. Over the past year and a half, community-based seniors' services (CBSS) have been sounding the alarm that the risk of homelessness among low-income seniors is reaching crisis proportions.

Population aging, coupled with rapidly rising living costs and inadequate retirement incomes, is contributing to a growing number of seniors experiencing housing precarity later in life. Historically, seniors have received limited policy attention in terms of their housing rights, as improvements to retirement income benefits in the 1970s dramatically reduced the low-income rates for seniors to levels lower than those of other age groups. However, today seniors' rates of low income in BC (15.2%) are nearly double that of any other age group. Furthermore, one in four seniors has an income below \$21,800.

In this report, we delve into the distinctive housing contexts, challenges, and needs that emerge later in life, as well as potential solutions for meeting the needs of precariously housed seniors. We synthesize data from various sources to illustrate the scope of the seniors' housing precarity crisis among seniors and present findings from interviews with seniors, volunteers, housing providers, and service providers. While our primary focus is on seniors, we acknowledge housing as a basic human right, and emphasize the significance of taking broader action to ensure that British Columbians at all stages of life have access to affordable housing.

5

United Way British Columbia - Aging in Uncertainty: The Growing Housing Crisis for BC Seniors

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 79 | P a g e


The Growing Affordability and Precarity Crisis in Seniors Housing

In BC, the cost of housing has been skyrocketing, making it nearly impossible to find an affordable rental unit in many communities. The average monthly rental cost for a 1-bedroom apartment in a purpose-built rental building has surged from \$871 in 2010 to \$1,432 in 2022, marking a 64% increase. In comparison, the monthly income available to seniors through OAS/GIS/BC Seniors Supplement for seniors aged 65-74 was only \$1,841.35 in 2023. While rental supplements are available for seniors through the Shelter Aid for Elderly Renters (SAFER) program, these subsidies are inadequate to make housing affordable for seniors, with the average monthly SAFER subsidy amounting to only \$195.

Furthermore, evictions for personal or family use, renovictions, and redevelopments have displaced many longstanding senior tenants. Seasonal and

regional influxes of people into communities (e.g., tourists, migrant and remote workers, etc.) have added extra pressure to housing markets. One study estimated that from 2016-2021, approximately 6.1% of BC's non-subsidized low-cost units (units with rents below \$750) were lost.

Subsidized housing with rent-geared-to-income, where renters pay 30% of their income for housing, is an effective way to ensure that housing is affordable to low-income seniors. However, despite a 20% increase in the senior population from 2017-2022, access to subsidized rent-geared-to-income housing remained stagnant over this period (0.1% increase was observed) (see figure 1). Close to 30,000 units of subsidized rent-geared-to-income housing will be lost in BC by 2033 and 51% of these will be seniors' units. This loss is due to the expiration of operating agreements with the provincial and/or federal governments that provided funding for these subsidized rent-geared-toincome housing units.



CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 80 | P a g e

Figure 1. Declining Access to Subsidized Rent-Geared-To-Income Housing in BC, 2017-2021/22



- Statistics Canada, Table 17-10-0005-01 Population estimates on July 1st, by age and sex [Data table]. 2023. Accessed September 21, 2023. https://doi.org/10.25318/1710000501-ang
- 2 Data request from BC Housing

The housing situations of senior renters are extremely precarious. The loss of subsidized units, rising rents, evictions for personal or family use, renovictions and redevelopments are pushing more senior renters to the brink of homelessness. In 2020, almost 1 in 5 senior-led renter households (18% or 21,565 households) spent 50% or more of their income on housing, rendering them precariously housed and at risk of homelessness. In 2023, in Greater Vancouver, seniors made up 22% of the homeless population.

Interview Findings

In the interviews, we learned about the significant toll that the housing affordability crisis is taking on low-income seniors. There is a growing number of seniors who are unsheltered or living in substandard or unsafe housing situations (e.g., staying with abusive family members; living in cars or storage lockers; camping in the woods;

housing without heat or electricity). The housing affordability crisis has been reported to affect seniors residing in large urban communities and small rural and remote areas. Most shockingly, an increasing number of seniors, who have worked all their lives, are finding themselves on the verge of homelessness or experiencing homelessness for the first time in their 60s and 70s.

Housing precarity and homelessness significantly impact the physical and mental health of seniors. In addition, the high costs of housing often leave seniors in impossible situations, where they must choose between paying for housing and meeting other essential needs such as food or medications.

The interviewees also reported that the staff and volunteers supporting these seniors are experiencing significant moral distress because there are no affordable housing options available in their communities. Burnout is a concern for

7

many organizations, due to heavy workloads and insufficient resources, as well as the difficult nature of the work. Several interviewees noted that their organizations had staff members currently on mental health leave or who had left their positions due to the stress of their work.

The lack of coordination with and support from the healthcare system, particularly to address mental health needs, further exacerbates the challenges for both the seniors and the staff who assist them. The interviews also emphasized that seniors, and particularly those who are homeless and precariously housed, need access to a continuum of housing options based on their housing situation and level of support need - including access to housing navigation services, homeless shelters, transitional and temporary housing, subsidized rent-geared-toincome and other low-income rental housing units, supportive housing, assisted living facilities and long-term care facilities. The most persistent concern expressed by interviewees was that the current continuum of housing supports does not adequately serve seniors due to gaps in the continuum as well as a lack of senior-specific supports and housing options.

66

And I would say, well, over half of our clients talk openly about the question of whether or not they want to live anymore, and the burden that they feel like in the current system.

Service Provider

99

And so, we just see this influx of people that are in absolute crisis because they know that they have a very limited time and that their application for BC Housing, or their going into BC Housing, probably won't be realistic and so [they're] looking to us and are coming to us and just saying, "what are our options?" And unfortunately, we don't say this to people, but on our side, we know that there are none. And I've said this multiple times on my team before, I honestly cringe whenever these kinds of things happen, because we have a very limited ability, if anything, to respond. Service Provider

8

United Way British Columbia - Aging in Uncertainty: The Growing Housing Crisis for BC Seniors

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 82 | P a g e

Recommendations

Moving forward, there is an urgent need for multigovernmental and intersectoral collaboration to address the housing affordability crisis in BC. In this report, we have identified six goals and sixteen specific recommendations, along with examples of positive actions initiated by the BC Government, municipalities in various regions of the province, and community-based organizations. Expanding upon these examples would significantly contribute to achieving the goal of housing affordability for seniors and other low-income British Columbians.

The first three goals target the necessity for more low-income rental housing options in BC for all age groups:

- Goal 1. To increase access to subsidized rent-geared-to-income housing for lowincome people of all ages (i.e., where tenants pay no more than 30 percent of their income on housing).
- Goal 2. To retain the existing stock of lowincome rental housing for all age groups.
- Goal 3. To develop multisectoral community tables, coalitions, or alliances to identify common housing issues and develop community-driven solutions.

Furthermore, we propose eight recommendations detailing specific steps that can be taken to accomplish these three goals - such as increasing investments in non-profit housing developments, utilizing local government policy levers to encourage the development of low-income rental housing.

strengthening protections for renters in the private market, implementing a home repairs grant program, and more.

The last three goals are specifically tailored to the needs of the senior population:

- Goal 4. To increase financial assistance for low and moderate-income seniors living in private market rental housing by introducing needed changes in the SAFER program.
- Goal 5. To increase access to housing with supports (both social and health supports) to ensure low-income seniors can maintain their housing tenancy and improve their health and well-being.
- Goal 6. To ensure that housing navigation supports, the shelter system, and transitional and low-income rental housing are, not only adequately funded, but also accessible, safe, and appropriate for seniors who are homeless or precariously housed.

The report presents eight recommendations outlining specific steps that can be taken to achieve these senior-focused goals. These steps include increasing SAFER amounts to better align with the rental market, developing funding streams to support community-based organizations providing on-site tenant and social connection supports, increasing access to multidisciplinary mental health services, supporting and expanding access to the Seniors Housing Information and Navigation Ease program, investing in transitional housing models for seniors, and more.

9

United Way British Columbia - Aging in Uncertainty: The Growing Housing Crisis for BC Seniors

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 83 | P a g e



1. Introduction

In British Columbia (BC), there is a growing number of reports and news stories focused on the housing affordability crisis and the increasing numbers of individuals who are homeless or at risk of homelessness. Young couples wanting to buy their first home and start a family, older renters who are living on fixed incomes, students who are despairing if they will ever be able to move out from their parents' home, recent immigrants who have moved to BC to start a new life - low and moderate income British Columbians of all ages and across all walks of life are being impacted by the housing affordability crisis. While BC has been widely recognized as one of the provinces that has been most severely impacted by the housing affordability crisis, we are not alone. Housing affordability and increased homelessness is a challenge across our country. In 2023, the Canadian Human Rights Commission released a scathing report, stating:

Thousands of people across the country are having their human right to adequate housing violated. Affordability for those who need it most is being eroded. Housing insecurity is worsening. People are at risk of falling through the growing cracks of the safety net into homelessness. Encampments are more visible than they have ever been.¹

Our report focuses specifically on seniors, a population that has traditionally received little policy attention when it comes to the right to housing and issues of housing precarity and homelessness. This is because of improvements to retirement income benefits in the 1970s that dramatically reduced the low-income rates for seniors to the point that they were lower than for other age groups in the population. This began to change in the mid-1990s. Today, the

10

United Way British Columbia - Aging in Uncertainty: The Growing Housing Crisis for BC Seniors

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 84 | P a g e

incomes of many seniors are not keeping pace with the rapidly rising costs of living and the percentage of seniors with low incomes has been rising. In fact, seniors' rates of low-income in BC (15.2%) are now almost double that of any other age group (see Appendix 1).² In 2020, in BC, half of all seniors had an after-tax income below \$32,000, and one in four seniors had an income below \$21,800, an amount that is about \$10,000 below the minimum wage.³

In addition, over the past decade, the proportion of the BC population that is over 65 has significantly increased, from 15% of the population in 2010 (667,472 seniors) to 20% in 2022 (1,058,462 seniors). The combination of population aging, rapidly rising costs of living, and inadequate retirement incomes means that many more seniors are experiencing the effects of the housing affordability and homelessness crisis. 5-6-7

In this report, we explore the unique housing contexts, challenges, and needs that arise later

in life, along with some of the solutions for addressing the needs of precariously housed seniors. At the same time, we recognize housing as a fundamental human right, and stress the importance of taking broader action to ensure that British Columbians in all stages of life have access to affordable housing. Our perspective aligns closely with a 2023 position paper from the Health Officers' Council of BC, where they argue that homelessness is an urgent public health crisis,8 and highlight the need for multisectoral, upstream approaches to prevent homelessness. This includes options for seniors, people living with complex chronic health needs, young adults, and people in need of transitional or emergency housing. While the primary focus of this report is on seniors, we identify goals and recommendations at the end of the report to both increase the affordability of housing for British Columbians of all ages and specifically address the unique needs of low-income seniors.

2. Report Methodology

Over the last year and a half, community-based seniors' services (CBSS) have been sounding the alarm that the risk of homelessness among low-income seniors is reaching crisis proportions. The goal of this report is to draw both the public's and governments' attention to this crisis. The report begins with a review of the relevant literature that establishes housing as a human right and a social determinant of health. It then summarizes recent data from numerous sources to illustrate the scope and reasons why the housing affordability crisis for seniors is so dire. Lastly, and most importantly, it includes findings from interviews with seniors, volunteers, housing providers, and service providers, to better understand what

this crisis looks like on the ground, including the impact on the seniors, the communitybased agencies supporting these seniors and the health system.

A total of 16 interviews were conducted, and we received one response to our questions over email. The interviewees are from communities across the province, with all five health regions and both urban and rural communities represented. In addition, we also include the lived experience of two seniors who have direct experience with housing precarity and/or homelessness. The names of the seniors and some details of their stories have been altered to protect their identities.

11

United Way British Columbia - Aging in Uncertainty: The Growing Housing Crisis for BC Seniors

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 85 | P a g e

Box.1 Report Terminology


Defining affordable housing

In Canada, housing has traditionally been considered affordable when the cost of rental housing or housing ownership is less than 30% of a person's income. Unfortunately, today, many people use the term "affordable housing" in various ways, making it more misleading than helpful. For example, "affordable rental housing" is often used to refer to lower-end-of-market housing or below market housing where there may be some level of subsidy, but the cost of rent is still often unaffordable for seniors and those with low incomes. That's why, in this report, and especially in the recommendations, when we discuss rental housing that is affordable for everyone, we use the term "rent-geared-to-income" (i.e., housing that costs no more than 30% of a person's income). Subsidized rent-geared-to-income housing may be available through BC Housing, co-operative housing providers, or non-profit housing providers.

Defining homelessness and housing precarity

The Canadian Observatory on Homelessness defines homelessness to include a range of living situations. This encompasses situations where an individual is unhoused and living on the streets, in emergency shelters, or in other locations unsuitable for habitation. Additionally, it covers temporary living situations (e.g., couchsurfing, transitional housing). Individuals are also considered at risk of homelessness if their current financial or housing situation is precarious or does not meet public health and safety standards. ¹⁰ In this report, we use the term "housing precarity" to refer to individuals at risk of homelessness. Housing is commonly considered precarious, and an individual is at risk of homelessness if they are spending 50% or more of their income on housing.

Note: Housing co-operatives (co-ops) are a unique form of housing that are controlled by their members and provide at-cost housing. In BC, most housing co-ops offer non-profit rental housing, and some are subsidized.



CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 86 | P a g e



3. Literature Review: Housing, Human Rights, and Health

3.1 Housing as a Human Right

The right to adequate housing has been recognized as a basic human right internationally since the United Nations' 1948 *Universal Declaration of Human Rights.*¹¹ Key elements of adequate housing include:¹²

- affordability (i.e., the cost should not comprise other basic human rights);
- security of tenure (i.e., protection from unjust evictions, harassment, and threats);
- habitability (i.e., providing physical safety and adequate space);
- availability of services and infrastructure (i.e., having access to sanitation, electricity, safe drinking water, etc.);
- location (i.e., living in a safe location with access to needed services and amenities);
- accessibility (i.e., ensuring vulnerable and marginalized groups have access to housing)
- cultural adequacy (i.e., respecting your cultural identity).

Canada is a signatory to the *Universal Declaration* of *Human Rights*, and the right to housing has been enshrined in federal housing policy through the 2019 National Housing Strategy Act, which states, "It is declared to be the housing policy of the Government of Canada to (a) recognize that the right to adequate housing is a fundamental human right affirmed in international law." ¹³ Housing as a human rights issue was also reaffirmed in a 2023 op-ed by BC's Human Rights Commissioner, which emphasized the need for all levels of government to collaborate in meeting the housing needs of British Columbians. ¹⁴

3.2 Housing as a Social Determinant of Health

Housing precarity is both a human right concern and a public health concern. Housing is widely recognized in Canada as a key social determinant of health. Social determinants of health are the living and working conditions that impact the health and well-being of individuals and communities. 15

There are several different ways through which housing can impact health outcomes. ¹⁶ First, research suggests a relationship between housing instability (i.e., housing insecurity and

13

United Way British Columbia - Aging in Uncertainty: The Growing Housing Crisis for BC Seniors

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 87 | P a g e

homelessness) and poor physical and mental health. Second, individuals typically spend a significant amount of time at home, and a poor quality or unsafe home environment (e.g., moldy, overcrowded) can negatively impact health. Third, when the costs of housing are too high, this can lead to a lack of funds to cover other expenses such as food and medications. Fourth, the neighbourhood and built environment surrounding our home (e.g., public transportation, access to grocery stores, safety) have an impact on our health.

The Health Impacts of Housing Precarity and Homelessness

There is a consistent body of evidence linking housing precarity with mortality. One extensive study examined the relationship between housing affordability and mortality rates in 27 countries, including Canada, and found that high housing costs were associated with higher levels of avoidable mortality and suicides. The study also revealed that the impacts of housing costs on health were mitigated in countries with greater social spending on pensions and unemployment benefits, more social housing, and rent control policies. This suggests that these are effective interventions for addressing the negative health impacts of housing precarity.¹⁷

A second study, which analyzed mortality rates in a sample of 881,220 Canadians aged 65 and over, showed a clear gradient between mortality and both housing assets and income. Individuals who were renters (housing asset poor) had higher mortality rates than homeowners, while people who owned the most expensive houses had the lowest rates of mortality. Having a lower income was also associated with higher rates of mortality. Additional research suggests that for seniors, being a renter or facing high housing costs or difficulties paying for housing is associated with greater odds of experiencing:

- an activity limitation, 19
- cardiovascular risk factors and conditions^{20,21}
- poor self-rated health^{22,23}
- chronic conditions²²
- symptoms of depression,^{23,24}
- poorer respiratory health.²⁵

Unsurprisingly, homelessness is also associated with significant negative health outcomes. Analyses of the health needs of homeless seniors aged 50 and up in the United States have found that the prevalence of age-related conditions (e.g., activity limitations, cognitive impairments, visual impairments, falls) is much higher among homeless seniors than the general population of seniors. 28,27 In fact, one of these studies found that homeless seniors experienced worse health than housed seniors who were, on average, 20 years older. 26

The Health Care Costs of Housing Precarity and Homelessness

Researchers from the United States have studied the impacts of housing homeless seniors on their health care utilization and costs. One study conducted in San Francisco followed a group of 51 homeless seniors who were placed in supportive housing for 7.5 years. In the year prior to supportive housing placement, the total health care costs of the seniors were \$1.7 million. After being placed in supportive housing, the total annual cost of provide housing, day health services, and hospital care for the group was only \$1.2 million per year. 38 Another study from the United States that followed 250 homeless seniors (50+) in Boston for 12 months found that at follow-up, participants who obtained housing had a rate of acute care visits of 2.5 per year compared to 5.3 per year for participants who remained homeless.29

14

United Way British Columbia - Aging in Uncertainty: The Growing Housing Crisis for BC Seniors

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 88 | Page

4. The Growing Affordability and Precarity Crisis in Seniors Housing

4.1 BC's Growing Seniors Population

The seniors' population in BC has been rapidly growing, and in 2022, one in five British Columbians were seniors. The vast majority of seniors in BC (95%) live independently in the community, while about 5% live in assisted living or long-term care. Among seniors living in the community, approximately 50% reside in detached houses, 22% in an apartment or condominium, and 23% in a townhouse, duplex or other type of home. Most seniors in BC are homeowners (80%), while 20% are renters. The vast majority of the seniors of the sen

4.2 Many Seniors are Low-Income

While some seniors have comfortable retirement incomes, a large proportion are low-income. Half of seniors in BC have an after-tax income below \$32,000, and one in four seniors have an income below \$21,800.3 Most low-income seniors rely solely on government retirement income benefits, including Old Age Security (OAS), Guaranteed Income Supplement (GIS), and the BC Seniors Supplement, for their income. The income seniors receive from these government benefits (\$22,096.20 annually for seniors aged 65-74 and \$22,934.52 annually for seniors 75+) is about \$10,000 less than the income a person would make at minimum wage in BC.* (See Appendix 2 for more information about Government Income Retirement Benefits).


Box 2. Spotlight on the Income of Older Women

The median after-tax income for senior women in BC (\$27,600) is approximately \$10,000 less than the median income of senior men (\$37,600).3 Senior women typically have lower incomes than senior men due to financial disadvantages that accumulate over the life course. Traditionally, married women with children did not work outside of the home. Unfortunately, these women were not eligible for their own pension under the Canada Pension Plan. The married women who worked outside of the home usually had different work patterns than men. Women are more likely to engage in part-time work or experience work disruptions due to child-rearing and caregiving responsibilities, which also have implications for the receipt of pensions. Finally, there is a gender pay gap which still exists. In 2022, women in Canada earned approximately \$0.88 for every dollar a man earns.32



15

^{*} Annual income from minimum wage (\$34,840) was calculated by assuming a 40 hour work week, 52 weeks of the year. Minimum wage in September 2023 was \$16.75. Annual income from OAS/GIS/BC Seniors Supplement is based on maximum amounts for a single senior in September 2023 and was \$1,841.35 per month for seniors aged 65-74 and \$1,911.21 per month for seniors 75+.



CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 90 | P a g e

4.3 The Cost of Rental Housing is Growing Faster than Seniors' Income

The cost of rentals has been skyrocketing in recent years, making it nearly impossible to find an affordable rental unit in many communities. For example, in Greater Vancouver, only 1 in 200 units are affordable for renters with the lowest 20% of incomes.⁵⁵

As shown in Figure 2, particularly since 2016, average monthly rental costs have been rapidly increasing in the primary rental market. The average monthly rental cost of a 1-bedroom apartment increased from \$871 in 2010 to \$1,432 in 2022 (a 64% increase). In comparison, the monthly income available to seniors through OAS/GIS/BC Seniors Supplement for seniors aged 65-74 is only \$1,841.35 in 2023. Appendix 3 shows the current average rental cost of a 1-bedroom apartment in different communities, ranging from a low of \$651 in Quesnel to a high of \$1,643 in North Vancouver.



Almost half of older renters live alone.³⁶ If a senior is living alone and fully reliant on government retirement income benefits (i.e., OAS/GIS/BC Seniors Supplement), it would be practically impossible to rent a bachelor or one-bedroom apartment. For example, a 70-year-old senior would spend 69% of their income to rent an average bachelor apartment (\$1,271) and be left with \$570.35 to cover the costs of food, utilities, transportation, medications, internet and phone, clothes, and basic necessities. A one-bedroom apartment (\$1,432) would be essentially out of the question, with 78% of the senior's income spent on housing and only \$409.35 left.




Figure 2. Average Monthly Rental Cost (\$) of Apartment in BC, 2010-2022

Data Source: CMHC Housing Market Information Portal, Primary Rental Market Statistics,

Note: The Primary Rental Market refers to rental housing units in apartments that were purpose-built as rental housing.

17

Box 4. Spotlight on Food and Housing Security

There are strong connections between food and housing security. When an individual is living in unaffordable housing, food may be one of the areas that they need to cut costs in order to afford rent. In a survey of low-income seniors conducted by the Office of the Seniors Advocate, 84% reported running out of food some of the time, with 16% reporting this happening most or all of the time. Furthermore, 27% of low-income senior renters reported accessing a foodbank.³⁷ Canadian research suggests that individuals who are severely food insecure die on average 9 years earlier than food secure individuals.³⁸

The provincial government regulates rent increases and places a limit on the amount a landlord can increase the rent each year (e.g., for 2023 the limit was 2%). However, there are no limits on the increases that can occur when a unit is vacant (i.e., if the renter moves out of the unit, the landlord can increase the rent as much as they want for the next tenant). As a result of this loophole, landlords can circumvent the caps on rent increases, and in the current housing market, they have significant incentives to evict long-standing tenants. For example, in Greater Vancouver in 2022, the average rent for a newly vacant unit is a staggering 43% higher than for occupied units. This is a dramatic increase from the year before (i.e., 2021) when the average rent increase for a newly vacant unit was only 10%.35


Landlords and property developers use multiple strategies to force tenants to leave so they can take advantage of this loophole, including repossessing a property for "personal or family" use, renovicting their tenants, or redeveloping the property. Seniors are particularly vulnerable as they often have been long-term tenants and therefore are paying low rents. In many communities, the old three and four-storey rental housing buildings that house low-income seniors are being torn down to build high-rise condominiums. Other traditional low-cost

housing options, such as motels and trailer parks, are also being lost to redevelopment.

Particularly in communities outside of the Lower Mainland, there are often additional regional and seasonal factors contributing to the loss of existing rental housing options for low-income residents, causing them to be priced out of the market. These factors include:

- Increased tourism and visitors to resort towns (primarily during the summer, but also during the winter in some communities);
- Seasonal and migrant workers who temporarily move into communities for work;
- The transformation of rental housing stock into Airbnbs;
- Influxes of workers into industry and resource communities to work on largescale projects;
- Remote workers moving into smaller communities; and
- Residents of other communities being displaced due to emergencies or disasters.

18



CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 93 | P a g e


4.4 Declining Access to Seniors' Rent-Geared-To-Income Housing

As noted earlier, subsidized housing with rentgeared-to-income is an effective way of ensuring that housing is affordable to low-income seniors (i.e., when the senior renter pays 30% of their income for their housing). In BC, most of this housing is subsidized through BC Housing's Seniors Subsidized Housing program. There is also a type of subsidized housing known as Seniors Supportive Housing where a senior pays 50% of their income and receives housing and some hospitality services (e.g., meals, housekeeping, recreational activities, etc.). However, there is a significant lack of subsidized rent-geared-to-income housing in BC. While the senior's population has increased by 20% over 2017-2022, access to subsidized rent-geared-toincome housing has stagnated. And at the same

time, the waitlist for subsidized rent-geared-toincome housing has increased by 45% for seniors (see figure 3).

While there have been new investments in subsidized rent-geared-to-income housing in some communities in recent years, a significant number of units are also being lost due to expiring operating agreements. Operating agreements are agreements between the provincial and/or federal governments to provide funding for subsidized rent-geared-to-income housing, and when these expire, the non-profit providers, who deliver much of this housing for BC Housing, become fully responsible for the financial costs. In BC, it is estimated that the operating agreements for almost 30,000 units of subsidized rent-geared-to-income housing will expire by 2033, and 51% of these will be seniors' units. 40 When operating agreements expire, many of the non-profit housing providers are no longer

Figure 3. Declining Access to Subsidized Rent-Geared-To-Income Housing in BC, 2017-2021/22



Data Sources: (1) Statistics Canada. Table 17-10-0005-01 Population estimates on July 1st, by age and sex [Data table], 2023. Accessed September 21, 2023. https://doi.org/10.25318/1710000501-eng (2) Data request from BC Housing.

Notes: There are some subsidized rent-geared-to-income housing units that are subsidized through sources other than BC Housing (e.g., housing providers, donors) and therefore are not included in these figures.

20

able to provide rent-geared-to-income units and instead need to convert the units to more expensive below-market or market-priced units. The same thing is happening in the co-op housing sector in BC, where many of the operating agreements are also expiring, and individual co-ops, who are now fully responsible for the costs, are becoming less able to provide co-op members with rent geared-to-income subsidies.

At the same time we are losing these subsidized rent-geared-to-income housing units, we are also losing low-cost market rental housing units (see Section 4.3). In fact, it has been estimated that from 2016-2021, approximately 6.1% of BC's non-subsidized low-cost units (units with rents below \$750) were lost. It is difficult to quantify exactly how many low-income rental housing units are being built and lost in BC over time, but the numbers are likely significant, and there is a need for better tracking of these changes.



"There's just not enough housing out there. There's kind of this, screaming into the void kind of thing, that's how I would put it. You're filling out these applications for BC Housing units, and there's no BC Housing units, and there's just no way that there's going to be enough units that are going to be built. So, you know, where are people going to go?"

(Service Provider)



4.5 Measuring Housing Precarity and Homelessness

The housing situations of senior renters are particularly precarious, and an increase in rent, eviction for personal or family use, renoviction or redevelopment can tip a senior renter into homelessness. For example in Section 4.3, the 70-year-old living alone on government benefits, who would have to pay on average, 78% of their income to cover the cost of a 1-bedroom apartment, is a case in point.

Data from the 2021 Census shows that half (49%) of senior-led renter households in BC (56,930) live in housing that is unaffordable. Furthermore, almost 1 in 5 senior-led renter households (18% or 21,565 households) are precariously housed and at risk of homelessness. ⁴² Appendix 4 shows the number of senior-led renter households at risk of homelessness in communities across the province.

Unfortunately, because these statistics were collected during the height of the COVID-19 pandemic, they under-estimate the situation we are facing in 2023/24. In 2020, when the census income data was collected, some seniors may have been receiving the Canada Emergency Response Benefit (CERB) or other pandemic-related payments, which meant that their incomes were temporarily higher than they are in 2023.

Furthermore, policies put in place by the BC Government to freeze rents and ban evictions, very likely prevented some seniors from becoming homeless. Given the significant increase in the cost of living in 2022 (annual inflation was 7%),⁴³ and continuing increases in 2023, this underestimation is likely quite significant.

The other key source of information on seniors' homelessness and housing precarity are homeless counts, and homeless counts conducted across BC over the past decade suggest that in many regions, senior homeless populations are

21

United Way British Columbia - Aging in Uncertainty: The Growing Housing Crisis for BC Seniors

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 95 | P a g e

Box 6. Homeless Counts are Important Resources but Have Limitations

Homeless counts usually include both homeless individuals who are unsheltered (i.e., people living on the streets or in abandoned buildings) and sheltered (i.e., staying in homeless shelters or other temporary accommodations). In many homeless counts, people aged 55+ are considered to be seniors, but some use other age cut-offs. It is important to recognize that homeless counts are underestimates and do not represent the full picture of homeless populations for a number of reasons, including: a) counts only represent the homeless population during a single point of time within a year, b) homeless counts usually miss the hidden homeless (e.g., individuals who are homeless but temporarily staying in another household) and individuals at risk of homelessness, and c) homeless individuals may prefer not to be counted or prefer not to disclose their age and other demographic data.

increasing. However, they also underestimate the scope and extent of homelessness among seniors (Box 6 provides more information on what is included and excluded in the homeless counts).

Combined data from homeless counts conducted over 2020/21 in 25 different communities across BC suggest that approximately 21% of homeless individuals are seniors aged 55 and up (a total of 1,165 homeless seniors). ⁴⁴ The proportion of homeless individuals who are seniors has been increasing in many regions where historical data is available. For example, in Greater Vancouver the proportion of homeless seniors (55+) more than doubled from 9% of the homeless population in 2008 to 22% in 2023 (568 seniors). A total

of 216 seniors (38%) reported experiencing homelessness for the first time as a senior. 45

With the data currently available, the most we can say is that for every senior that is homeless, there are approximately 19 more who are precariously housed. However, because both statistics underestimate the scope and size of the problem, homelessness and housing precarity among seniors in 2023 are likely to be considerably higher.

4.6 Housing Precarity in the Rural and Remote Context

It is important to recognize that experiences of housing precarity in rural and remote communities may differ from those in urban communities. Generally, there are higher rates of home ownership in these communities; however, while having a house is a significant asset, the costs of home maintenance and modifications can prevent seniors from remaining in their own homes. In BC, about 4% of senior homeowners (35,075) and 28% (950) of Elders in housing provided by a First Nation band are living in housing that is inadequate and requires major repairs. 46 Furthermore, while the costs of rents tend to be lower outside of the Lower Mainland and other major metropolitan areas, the costs of food, gas, and utilities are usually higher in rural and remote communities.

Downsizing or moving into housing that is closer to family or services may not possible due to the lack of housing options in the community and the low values of many rural properties. A recent analysis of data from the 2021 Census found that the there is a lack of diversity of housing stock in non-metropolitan areas in BC. Mismatches frequently exist between the size of households and the types of housing that are available, which are often larger and more expensive than required (e.g., a single senior may be living in a detached house due to the lack of smaller housing options).⁴⁷

22

United Way British Columbia - Aging in Uncertainty: The Growing Housing Crisis for BC Seniors

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 96 | P a g e



5. Interview Findings

5.1 The Significant Toll of the Housing Crisis on Seniors in BC

Living in unsheltered, substandard, or unsafe housing situations

"We're seeing seniors who are living in their cars, seniors who are living in their storage lockers... seniors who were going out to the airport, and because they were dressed kind of nice, they could spend a night out there."

(Senior Volunteer)

Most of the interviewees talked at length about the growing number of seniors who are unsheltered or living in substandard or unsafe housing situations because they have no other housing options available to them.

They provided examples of seniors who are:

 Staying with abusive family members or roommates;

- Living in rodent-infested buildings;
- Living in housing without heat, electricity, or plumbing;
- Staying in cars, moving trucks, or storage lockers;
- Living unsheltered on the streets or in homeless shelters; and
- Camping in the woods, even during the middle of winter.

Several of the interviewees spoke about how in the current housing market, senior renters are often afraid to make complaints, which allows landlords to take advantage of them and get away with offering terrible living conditions. Seniors, particularly senior women, who are staying with family or friends, are vulnerable to abuse due to the lack of any other housing options.

Substandard housing was also a concern for homeowners, particularly for rural, remote, and Indigenous communities. Multiple examples were provided of seniors living without heating, plumbing and/or electricity, either because their housing lacks access to the infrastructure, or because they cannot afford them. An example was provided of an older man living in a trailer who had lost his job, and as a result, he went through a winter of minus 40-degree weather with no electricity or heat. Declines in health and

23

United Way British Columbia - Aging in Uncertainty: The Growing Housing Crisis for BC Seniors

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 97 | P a g e

physical abilities that can occur with age make it more difficult for seniors to cope with such conditions (e.g., physical frailty can lead seniors to no longer be able to chop wood).

"I've had people that
we've just had to move into
emergency housing because
their circumstances are so
bad. And, you know, for
instance, they have inadequate
insulation, a broken
window, no heat except for a
woodstove. And as they get
more and more frail, they're
not capable of managing the
woodstove."

(Service Provider)

Homeless for the first time in their lives at the age of 60 or 70

"We are in a housing crisis.
But we're also in an income
disparity crisis. And so, it is
important to emphasize, these
are folks that worked, and
worked hard all their lives and
provided for themselves, and
are now finding themselves
in a place where they can't
manage."

(Service Provider)

For some seniors, housing precarity and homelessness are chronic issues that have impacted them over the life course. Interviewees noted that, as our population ages, the homeless population is aging as well.

There are also, however, a growing number of seniors who are finding themselves homeless, or on the verge of homelessness, for the first time in their 60s or 70s. The lived experience story in Box 7 highlights this point. A range of factors can tip a precariously housed senior into homelessness, including eviction or renovictions, death of a partner, loss of income, and mental health or physical health issues. Multiple interviewees highlighted that they are seeing more and more seniors who were steadily employed for most of their lives, and they never imagined they would be unable to afford housing later in life. Interviewees described how seniors are shocked when they end up on the verge of homelessness for the first time late in life, as they believed the housing and retirement income systems would take care of them in their old age.

"The emotional outlook of our clients is overwhelmingly dark. It's, there's a lot of language around: I failed, I didn't want a handout, I thought I did everything right. Yeah, so there was a belief that there was a support structure in place. And I find that for seniors the shock of like, what do you mean, there's no housing? What do you mean, there's no support?"

(Service Provider)

24

Box 7. Lived Experience Story: Lucy

Lucy became homeless at the age of 73 when, due to the pandemic, she was unable to retain her long-time job. After a year of burning through her savings to keep her \$1,100 per month housing, she was given two months' eviction notice. She struggled to reach out to family and friends because of the shame she felt and the concern of being a burden.

When it was time for Lucy to move out of her apartment, she went to a homeless shelter, but upon arrival she felt unsafe and uncomfortable due to the substance abuse and other activities taking place at the shelter. When Lucy could no longer stay at the shelter, her last option was to sleep in her car. She didn't sleep very much during these times as she was aware of her vulnerability and the men nearby also sleeping in their cars. Three weeks after moving into her car she was taken to the hospital after a blood clot formed in her legs that nearly took her life. Following this experience, she was brought to a different shelter operated out of a motel and she was treated very poorly there.

These experiences had huge impacts on Lucy's mental, spiritual and emotional health. She felt anxious, she didn't have energy and was losing weight. Lucy felt embarrassed and ashamed. She often wondered how she had landed in her situation, having raised 3 children as a single mother and worked full-time for many years. The stress took a huge toll on her body, "It made me think I aged 10 years. I just looked in the mirror. I didn't recognize that woman."

Thanks to a few non-profits, Lucy was able to get some support for gas cards and food. Today, she lives in a low-cost housing facility for women. She is inspired and determined to make a difference in her community in the little ways she can, by sharing love and encouragement with the people around her. Even with her limited income, she still finds ways to bless others, whether it is surprising a stranger by paying for their Tim Hortons bill or giving someone a compliment.

While Lucy is grateful to have secured affordable housing, she knows that many other seniors are still struggling and are forced to make enormous health sacrifices to maintain or acquire their housing. "When I'm thinking of housing for seniors, you know, when you have to make a decision whether you want to get your medications or eat that month, or if you're paying rent, that's pretty, pretty scary."

United Way British Odlambia - Aging in Uncertainty: The Growing Housing Crisis for BC Seniors

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 99 | P a g e

Compromised access to other basic necessities

Interviewees described how the high cost of housing often leaves seniors in impossible situations where they must choose between paying for housing and other essential needs. Even though an individual may be housed, this can occur at the expense of meeting other health and safety needs.

"But we do hear a lot of the time people say, you know, I'm prioritizing my rent over food. And that's why there's now such a need for Meals on Wheels and subsidized food for seniors, because when they're on fixed incomes, they can't afford both. So even if they are housed, I think it's important to kind of highlight that, those essential needs of shelter, and warmth, as well as like sustenance are kind of pitted against each other."

(Service Provider)

Food security was a particular concern, with some interviewees reporting increased use of food banks, Meals on Wheels, and other low-cost and free food programs by seniors due to the rising cost of living. However, the interviewees also noted the limitations of currently available food security supports, including a lack of low-cost and free food, food that is not culturally appropriate, and lack of cooking and meal preparation support. For example, an interviewee stated that while their community's food bank is supposed

to be open four days a week, usually they offer information and referral services on the fourth day because they run out of food by then.

The inability to afford prescription medications was also a key concern. An example was provided by an interviewee of an older gentleman who has been experiencing chronic pain for many years, but is unable to afford his prescribed pain medications due to the high costs of housing. Multiple interviewees also stated that when clients are unable to afford medications for pain or mental health conditions, this can lead them to turn to alcohol or street drugs to address their unmet needs.

The physical, mental, and emotional toll of housing precarity

The interviewees said housing precarity and homelessness have significant negative impacts on the physical, mental, and emotional health of seniors. One interviewee succinctly summed this up by stating that "homelessness is healthlessness." Multiple interviewees described the physical, mental, and emotional toll that housing precarity was having on their clients.

"So, they really are quite a bit more vulnerable, not just income wise, but medically, they've often lived harder lives. Like more transient lives. There's a lot of people who maybe have been close to being homeless before. But there's also a lot of women who've never been homeless that we've been seeing too. And they're facing the streets

26

for the first time at the age of like 68, or 70, which is very terrifying for them, rightfully so. And just living with the stress of the housing insecurity, it plays a huge toll, especially if they're not eating. And then their physical health goes downhill. And of course, it compounds with their mental health."

(Service Provider)



Seniors who are experiencing housing precarity are often already experiencing other forms of vulnerability (e.g., poverty, mental health problems, chronic medical conditions, addictions) that housing precarity further exacerbates. Interviewees particularly emphasized the mental health impacts, including stress, anxiety, shame, fear, anger, and depression. Self-neglect and unhealthy coping mechanisms, such as turning to alcohol or drugs, were reported as common among clients.

Disturbingly, it was also reported that some seniors were considering suicide or medical assistance in dying due to their feelings of hopelessness about their situation. One interviewee stated her team had been referring so many seniors to their organization's mental health and counselling services that they had introduced new training for staff on the mental health needs of seniors.

"And I would say, well, over half of our clients talk openly about the question of whether or not they want to live anymore, and the burden that they feel like in the current system."

(Service Provider)

Loss of community and social supports

The housing crisis has caused seniors to be displaced from their long-standing communities due to evictions, renovictions, redevelopments, and rising rents. The displacement of seniors was reported to be occurring in both urban and rural communities. Examples were provided of seniors forced to move multiple times in the same year. Interviewees described how the loss of their community (e.g., friends, social activities, health services) can be devastating for seniors.

"The issue for us is really, that these older folks who have lived in our community for decades, who are being renovicted, are not going to be able to stay in their own communities. And what we know about that is that as people get pushed out of their communities, that those protective relationships that have kind of helped them through, get severed. And it causes additional issues in people's lives."

(Service Provider)

27

United Way British Columbia - Aging in Uncertainty: The Growing Housing Crisis for BC Seniors

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 101 | P a g e

In particular, seniors who live alone or lack family support may struggle to build social support networks if they are forced to relocate to a new community. An interviewee described how they have some clients who no longer live in their community but continue to travel one or two hours by public transportation in order to access their services and connect with their friends.

The impacts of intersecting vulnerabilities

Interviewees highlighted how intersecting vulnerabilities and sources of discrimination can influence and intensify the housing challenges faced by seniors.

Gender influences experiences of housing precarity, with older men and women reported to have different housing experiences. Several interviewees observed that older men were more likely to be living unsheltered on the streets or camping, while older women were more likely to be able to couch surf with family and friends or live with roommates. It was highlighted that women are more vulnerable to violence and abuse and may stay in abusive relationships or trade sex to maintain their housing. Income was particularly emphasized as a factor contributing to the housing precarity experiences of women. Additionally, a couple interviewees raised the concern about the lack of safe and inclusive temporary and transitional housing options for 2SLGBTQIA+ seniors, and in particular transgender seniors.

Interviewees also identified that there is lack of culturally and linguistically appropriate housing and support services for immigrant and ethnocultural seniors. For immigrant seniors with limited English language skills, language barriers can be a significant challenge. Furthermore, seniors who have come to Canada as sponsored immigrants are fully reliant on their sponsors (i.e., do have access to most government benefits) and have few options for alternative housing, which can put them at risk for abuse.



CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 102 | Page Similar concerns were also raised about services for Indigenous Elders, with an interviewee noting available housing and supports may not be culturally safe. Furthermore, the interviewee emphasized the need to recognize the enduring impacts of colonialism and how residential schools, the 60s scoop, the cultural genocide of Indigenous peoples, and other injustices of colonialism contribute to Indigenous Elders' experiences of housing precarity today.

Other interviewees highlighted the unique context of housing precarity experiences within rural, remote, and Indigenous reserve communities and reinforced the concerns that were previously discussed in Section 4.6 about rising costs of living for both renters and homeowners, home maintenance and repair needs, and the lack of diversity in housing options available. For example, one interviewee noted that they recently had several senior clients who had to sell their homes and move into rentals. Interviewees also talked about the need for more funding for homeowners in order to address home maintenance issues that compromise their health and safety. While there are programs available for home improvements and modifications, these programs are not appropriate for small repairs or low-income seniors who cannot afford to pay upfront and wait to be reimbursed.



"When I'm trying to find help for some of these people, it's that we have these programs that are designed to assist people who are basically middle class who can afford to pay, you know, 10 grand, even if it's with a temporary loan, to get a heat pump put in and then get reimbursed for it. But if someone has no money, they can't even get their window that's broken fixed."

(Service Provider)

Overall, it was reported that these communities have fewer health, housing, and support services available. There also can be significant financial and time costs associated with accessing needed services. For example, it was observed that for Elders from remote reserve communities, it can cost \$30 just to drive into town to buy groceries, attend medical appointments, or access social services.

The lack of accessible and affordable rental housing also significantly disadvantages seniors living with disabilities, who usually have lower incomes and more limited housing options than other individuals. Older apartment buildings, which are often the most affordable, tend to lack elevators and other accessibility features. Stairs can be a significant barrier for people who use mobility devices (e.g., wheelchairs, walkers). The lived experience story in Box 8 highlights the impacts that intersecting vulnerabilities can have on housing precarity experiences.

29

United Way British Columbia - Aging in Uncertainty: The Growing Housing Crisis for BC Seniors

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 103 | P a g e

Box 8. Lived Experience Story: David

David's story highlights the experience of an older person with a disability trying to navigate the housing affordability crisis. David enjoyed his well-paying career for many years until he noticed he was developing a visual impairment. Unfortunately, this unexpected disability led to David losing his long-term job which he had been planning to continue for several years before retiring.

Around this time, David almost became homeless, not because he couldn't afford a place, but because his visual impairment meant he could not search for and attain a rental unit at the competitive pace that the market requires. "I was almost homeless looking for a place. And with visual impairment, I had a heck of a time tracking down vacancies, getting support to help me track down vacancies and then getting to appointments to meet if there was one. And I was finding that there were so few places available. I was running around trying my best catching buses and by the time I get to a place and meet the landlord, it was basically gone."

With affordable housing being so sparse and competition for units high, David was aware that his age, disability, and involuntary retirement made his chances of finding housing low. "The fact that I could no longer work and in my age -- given the choice of 20 candidates for a vacant apartment, I was not in the top five. Ever. So, the housing shortage just completely throws a curveball at anybody who doesn't fit the bill."

David eventually found a rental unit, but things took another turn downhill. The unit had looked very promising; it was a clean building and seemed fine at first, but after a little time, David realized that the building was riddled with drug trafficking, theft, and violence. There was a steady level of fear and alertness he had to maintain because people knew he was partially blind. "So, for some reason, if you have a disability that's visible, it makes you especially vulnerable. You are a target." There were several occasions where his money, keys, and other small belongings were stolen while he was out of his unit. Even his own security was not safe. Once, while he was sleeping in his home, his belongings were stolen. "And so, it was the world I walked into, it was unbelievable. Nothing safe, nothing was secure."

David has received valuable aid from local non-profit organizations in navigating the housing system and securing safer accommodation compared to his previous home. Unfortunately, his visual disability makes him a target for break-ins, posing ongoing challenges.

While local non-profit organizations have been supportive, their extensive client bases restrict their ability to offer intensive individual assistance. David wishes additional resources could be allocated to local non-profit organizations, thereby enhancing their capacity to ensure that seniors like him can live safely and be supported in affordable housing environments.

30

Inited Way British Columbia - Aging in Uncertainty: The Growing Housing Crisis for BC Seniors

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 104 | P a g e

5.2 The Moral Distress and Burnout of Housing and Service Providers

Staff and Volunteers are Burning Out

Due to the lack of low-income rental housing available, interviewees reported that staff and volunteers experience significant moral distress when a senior comes to them and there are no housing options. Homeless shelters were reported to regularly operate at capacity, therefore even finding a shelter bed can be very difficult. While staff and volunteers can try and help by making phone calls, getting people on waitlists, providing information, etc., it is deeply frustrating and distressing when they know the reality is that the senior is probably going to end up homeless. Multiple interviewees commented that our housing and health care systems are broken.

"I was just so distressed by it.
Some seniors or volunteers
were close to tears. And also,
I would say it's very hard
because it makes you feel
helpless, because I can get
people to fill out SAFER, I can
do that in a minute, but I know
at the end of the day, that
person still is going to
be homeless."

(Senior Volunteer)

Burnout was a concern for many organizations, due to heavy workloads and inadequate resources, as well as the difficult nature of the work. Several interviewees noted that their organizations had staff members currently on mental health leave or who had left their

positions due to the stress and trauma of their work. At a homeless shelter in a rural community, it was reported that they have 50 beds, but can only use 30 beds due to lack of staffing. This interviewee raised the point that we can build more beds and housing, but we also need to be able to staff it. Multiple interviewees identified the importance of organizations having adequate funding so they can provide more mental health and wellness supports for staff. Organizations that rely on volunteers also noted that while there are many amazing volunteers, it can be difficult to retain them due to the intense nature of the work (and in some cases using volunteers may be inappropriate for high needs clients).

One interviewee spoke about the lasting impacts burnout has, and how while you may be able to eventually return to work, once you have experienced burnout there are lasting changes to the lens through which you view the world. Staff and volunteers also may experience direct or vicarious trauma due to the difficult situations they deal with, which can involve seniors experiencing abuse, mental health crises, and violence. Multiple interviewees stated that working with precariously housed and vulnerable seniors has increased their anxiety around their own housing security and aging experience.

"I would say we're like, myself, but I'm beyond the state of burnout. I'm operating in some alternate reality. And at this point, the last seven months have been the most challenging I've had in all of my career. And I've been working with this population for 15 years or so."

(Housing Provider)

31



Lack of Support from the Health System to Address Mental Health Needs

Interviewees from communities across the province decried the gaps in services to address the mental health needs of seniors, including addictions, cognitive impairments and dementia, and psychiatric conditions. The gaps in mental health services in rural communities were especially dire. For example, in one rural community, it was reported people needed to travel 5-6 hours by bus in order to access addictions detox and treatment services.

"Two of [my clients in a shared rental house] are schizophrenic, and they need more care than they're getting. But they're falling through the cracks in the mental health system, because they're not getting mental health support because they're housed... But just because you're housed doesn't mean you don't need supports to help you live well."

(Service Provider)

"And there are really not any mental health services in the community. We have a mental health department, but I know a woman, one of my clients who's supposed to be a client of mental health, and she can't even get them to call her back... So, she calls me. And she's suicidal for cripesakes."

(Service Provider)

In rental housing, individuals with unmanaged mental health concerns can be a serious safety concern to themselves, as well as the other tenants and staff in the building. When CBSS or housing providers try to seek help for these seniors, interviewees reported there is often a lack of responsiveness from the health care system - either due to lack of capacity, the mental health issues not being deemed serious enough, or poor coordination between services. Examples were provided of tenants who had assaulted other tenants and staff, destroyed property, or caused fires due to unmanaged mental health issues. Unfortunately, housing providers sometimes find themselves with no other option but to evict the tenant.

"But as a landlord, we occupy a very small space in terms of what we can action on behalf of the tenant, or in support of other tenants, when a tenant's behavior is increasingly adverse. We cannot remove someone from a building, we rely on health,

32

law enforcement and the RTB.
And when those three systems
don't work, or are not open
to working with us, it creates
untenable situations and
leaves people at risk, greater
risk, not just tenants or their
family members or contractors
who are going into the
buildings but also our staff."

(Housing Provider)

Hoarding was also raised in several interviews as very difficult to address, due to the complex and cross-sectoral nature of the issue (i.e., behaviour is a mental health concern, but also can be a municipal bylaw issue, pet issue, fire safety issue, etc.) and there is a lack of integrated support services.

Enhanced Collaboration is Required Between the Health, Housing, and CBSS Sectors

Interviewees recognized that clients of the health, housing and CBSS sectors often overlap, and in order to support seniors to age in place, coordination and supports are required from all sectors. However, there are often no mechanisms in place for collaboration or for streamlined communications between the CBSS, health, and housing sectors.

In addition to the mental health service concerns described previously, a key concern raised by multiple interviewees was around hospital discharges, with examples provided of seniors being:

 Discharged back into the community only to find out they had no housing (e.g., landlord had evicted them because they had been in the hospital for so long);

- Sent directly to homeless shelters because they were homeless; or
- Discharged back into their current housing when they clearly required a higher level of care.

These situations leave CBSS or housing providers scrambling to find ways to support extremely vulnerable seniors. In some communities, interviewees reported they had been successful in building relationships with health services so that they could effectively coordinate services with them and address issues such as inappropriate discharges, but in others, coordinating with health services was a persistent challenge.

Interviewees also raised concerns about seniors who clearly had care needs that exceeded their current housing situation. In several communities, it was reported that home support staff would not go into homeless shelters, leaving the untrained shelter staff with the responsibility of assisting frail seniors with bathing or other activities of daily living, Interviewees also raised concerns about inappropriate expectations around the role of rental housing staff (e.g., requests to be emergency contacts for seniors without family) and the lack of mechanisms to transition individuals from rental housing into assisted living or long-term care facilities when their needs increase.

5.3 A Continuum of Housing Supports Are Required

Seniors, and particularly those who are homeless and precariously housed, need access to a continuum of housing options depending on their housing situation and level of support need – including access to housing navigation services, homeless shelters, transitional and

33

United Way British Columbia - Aging in Uncertainty: The Growing Housing Crisis for BC Seniors

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 107 | P a g e



temporary housing, subsidized rent-geared-to-income and other low-income rental housing units, supportive housing, assisted living facilities and long-term care facilities. The most persistent concern of interviewees was that the current continuum of housing supports do not serve seniors well, due to gaps in the continuum as well as a lack of senior-specific supports and housing options.

Growing need for housing navigation services for seniors

Interviewees highlighted how the needs of seniors experiencing housing precarity and homelessness can differ from other groups, therefore navigation and support services primarily targeting people with mental health and addictions needs or street-entrenched individuals may not be appropriate for seniors. The demand for housing navigation and related supports for seniors (e.g., applying for benefits, filling out forms, filing taxes, outreach, etc.) was observed to vastly exceed the current capacity of most CBSS organizations. The movement of services and information online in recent years has intensified the need for navigation supports for seniors, who have lower digital literacy levels than the rest of the population. Interviewees noted that it can take a couple of hours just to look up and communicate needed information to a client, and clients who have complex cases or require more intensive one-on-one supports may require multiple interactions over several weeks or months to address their needs.

"I would say I noticed quite a bit of moral distress, spiritual distress among staff -- like I feel it myself too. Because my job description isn't to be a housing navigator and I do it because the need's there and we're here to serve older adults, but my job is not to be really that frontline. And I'm still spending probably about 10 hours a week doing this on top of my other work."

(Service Provider)

The current shelter system is not serving seniors well

Multiple interviewees reported that homeless shelters in their community are regularly at capacity and it is difficult to place people in them. Furthermore, interviewees expressed concerns about the safety and accessibility of homeless shelters for seniors. Homeless shelters were not considered to be a safe place for frail seniors, particularly if they have a physical disability or cognitive impairment. One interviewee highlighted the accessibility issues at their homeless shelter (e.g., use of bunkbeds, no elevator or ramp access).

34

"I've advised strongly against sending any seniors [to our homeless shelter] that have not been [previously] involved with us, because they will be taken advantage of. It's not a safe place. It's a very dangerous place. And especially if you have any sort of mobility challenges, people will rob you, that has happened here. We had a senior who came to us a couple of years ago, and he was in a walker and people robbed him and would just take his stuff, and he couldn't do anything about it, unfortunately."

(Housing Provider)

Seniors need access to safe and supported low-income rental housing options

In communities across the province, interviewees highlighted the lack of low-income rental housing in BC, and in particular, the lack of subsidized rent-geared-to-income units. Concerns were raised both about the insufficient number of new units being built and the loss of existing units. Long wait lists of two, three or four or more years for subsidized rent-geared-to-income housing were common in many communities. While there are private rental market subsidies available (e.g., SAFER), the interviewees noted the amounts are inadequate to meet the needs of seniors.

"And so, we just see this influx of people that are in absolute crisis because they know that they have a very limited time and that their application for BC Housing, or their going into BC Housing, probably won't be realistic and so [they're] looking to us and are coming to us and just saying, "what are our options?" And unfortunately, we don't say this to people, but on our side, we know that there are none. And I've said this multiple times on my team before, I honestly cringe whenever these kinds of things happen, because we have a very limited ability, if anything, to respond."

(Service Provider)

While the limited availability of units was the primary concern, and has been well documented in Section 4, interviewees also noted that the low-income rental housing options that are available are not necessarily appropriate for seniors. Multiple interviewees expressed concerns over the lack of senior-specific buildings, and the tendency to house vulnerable low-income seniors in places where many of the other residents struggle with mental health and addiction challenges.

35

"And finally we were able to find her some housing, but she had to move to another neighbourhood. There's a BC Housing site right there, which was incredibly frightening for her. She found the neighborhood to be such that she wasn't comfortable. The neighbourhood is a very interesting area, I'd love to live down there, but I have lots of resources. But for somebody who is living in supported housing in that area, there's a lot of drug use in that area, there's a lot of homelessness in that area. And she found it very, very anxiety provoking to be forced into that kind of an environment. And in fact, the building she's living in has a lot of drug users and mental health issues within the building. And so, we are still in touch with her, but it is very anxiety provoking for her - and I would say not appropriate, mixed housing for a senior."

(Service Provider)

Many of the interviewees also identified the need for more supports to help vulnerable seniors in low-income rental housing to maintain their tenancies and feel safe and supported. Seniors within low-income rental housing buildings often have higher health and social needs. For example, one housing provider reported that one in five seniors in their rental buildings have no family or friends to call for support during an emergency.

Interviewees observed that there are some evictions or emergencies that could be prevented if a senior had more support (e.g., senior is in the hospital so fails to pay rent, housekeeping concerns). In many communities, there are only a small number of low-income rental housing providers, so once a senior has burned their bridges with one provider, it is difficult to find other housing options. Housing providers themselves are quite limited in regard to the supports they can provide, given their mandates and current legislation. In addition, tenants often are reluctant to seek help from their landlord given concerns that disclosing issues may affect their tenancy.

"The expectations placed on landlords by health workers, tenant family members, and tenants themselves are often beyond organizational and legislated capacity. We're also seeing fewer resources to refer tenants to for support or it's harder to access. We as landlords, we're not acting, we're not eligible for a lot of the program funding that social services agencies are, or community based senior service agencies are. Because our mandate isn't programming. Our mandate is housing."

(Housing Provider)

36



6. Seniors Housing Precarity Goals and Recommendations

There is an urgent need for multigovernmental and intersectoral collaboration to ensure that seniors have access to housing that is appropriate and affordable and are supported to navigate the housing system, maintain their health and tenancies in rental housing, and age in place. We have identified six goals, with specific recommendations for each of these goals. The first three goals address the need for more low-income rental housing stock in BC for all age groups, while the last three goals focus specifically on the needs of the seniors' population. Our hope is that governments at all levels, housing providers, the health care system, the CBSS sector, and other partners will begin working together towards these goals and recommendations.

We also want to acknowledge that inadequate income is an important root cause of housing precarity for low-income seniors, with one in four seniors having an income below \$21,800.³ While the focus of this report is housing, and it is beyond its scope to explore in-depth the financial security of seniors, we strongly support the recommendations that have been made by the Office of the Seniors Advocate in her report *BC Seniors: Falling Further Behind* ³⁷ to improve the financial security of seniors at the Provincial level. We also recognize the need at the Federal level to enhance GIS and other income supports for seniors.

37

Goal 1: To increase access to subsidized rent-geared-to-income housing for low-income people of all ages (i.e., where tenants pay no more than 30 percent of their income on housing).

As outlined in this report, the vast majority of rental housing in BC is unaffordable for low-income seniors. Furthermore, the growth of subsidized rent-geared-to-income housing (which provides guaranteed affordability for all seniors) has stagnated and is not keeping pace with the growth of the seniors' population.

Recommendation 1.1: The Federal and Provincial Governments need to prioritize and significantly increase their investments in non-profit housing developments.

It is widely recognized that to increase the affordability of building rental housing, using non-profit developers creates the largest potential cost savings – between 20 to 30 percent of total construction costs. AB This is due to non-profit developers having no expectations of developer profits, as well as the availability of preferential financing options.

The Office of the Federal Housing Advocate makes a similar point arguing that the financialization of housing is a key factor contributing to the loss of housing affordability in Canada.⁴⁹ Financialization refers to the growing dominance of private for-profit interests in housing markets, which leads to markets where maximizing profits takes precedence over government's role in ensuring access to affordable housing for low-income people.

If you look at the evidence, it is clear that we cannot count on for-profit developers to build the housing we need to support low-income renters.

In Metro Vancouver, it has been estimated that the 1-bedroom apartments in a new building would need to rent for between \$1,942 to \$2,970 per month depending on land costs and type of construction to be a worthwhile project for a private developer. On the other hand, a non-profit developer would require rentals of \$1,357 to \$1,995.50 However, even with the provision of low or no-cost land, the lowest rents that non-profit developers can offer (\$1,357) are still unaffordable for many low-income seniors, illustrating the need for provincial and federal investments to further offset costs and allow for the provision of subsidized rent-geared-to-income units.

Since the Federal Government largely withdrew from its role in developing and funding rental housing in the early 1990s and downloaded these responsibilities onto the provinces and municipalities, there has been a severe lack of investment in building rental housing across Canada.⁴⁹

At the provincial government level, the end of a major program to fund new subsidized rent-geared-to-income housing in 2002 further decreased investments in subsidized housing in BC, though in recent years there have been some positive investments made, such as the development of the Community Housing Fund.51 The Community Housing Fund is intended to fund the development of 20,000 new affordable rental homes by 2031/32 in addition to funding for 6,000 units specifically targeted at seniors or families. Under the Community Housing Fund requirements, 70% of units will need to be rentgeared-to-income, while 30% will be market rents.52 While this is a positive step, further investments are still required from the Province of BC to keep pace with demand and population growth. In 2021 alone, the Community Housing Fund received applications to build 13,000 units, but was only able to fund 2,600.53

Housing experts assert that there is a pressing need for greater investments from the Federal and Provincial Governments to support the

38

capital and operating costs of subsidized rentgeared-to-income housing.^{48,54}

Recommendation 1.2: Local governments develop and utilize all the tools that are available to them, building on best practices from local governments in BC and elsewhere, to support non-profit developers to build low-income rental housing.

While increased Federal and Provincial funding is essential for the development of low-income rental housing, there are multiple zoning, development, and financial policy levers that local governments can use to encourage the development of low-income rental housing.

Key challenges to building new housing include time, costs, and uncertainty,⁵⁵ so any steps local governments can take to address these challenges enhance the capacity of non-profit developers to build low-income rental housing.

The Community Social Planning Council recently released a toolkit of *Local Government Levers* for Housing Affordability⁵⁵ that outlines policy levers available to local governments to address housing affordability. Similar policy levers are also recommended in the Institute on Municipal Finance & Governance's *The Municipal Role in Housing* report.⁵⁶ Examples of key policy levers include rental only or inclusionary zoning, policies to fast-track developments, waiving and lowering development fees, and property tax exemptions (see Box. 9).

Box 9. Examples of Local Government Policy Levers

Rental Only or Inclusionary Zoning: In BC, municipalities have the power to implement rental only zoning that requires an area, building, or portion of a building to be used for rentals only. Inclusionary zoning can also be used to encourage or require new developments or re-zoning projects to include low-income rental housing. New Westminster is an example of a municipality in BC that is using rental only zoning to protect and create new rental housing stock.

Fast-Tracking Developments: Changes to the Local Government Act in 2021 now allow municipalities in BC to fast-track housing developments by a) no longer requiring public hearings for changes to zoning if developments are consistent with the municipality's Official Community Plan and b) allowing municipal staff to approve permits and variances. The City of Victoria has passed legislation in accordance with

these changes to fast-track developments and estimates that this will reduce the time required to build new housing by 9 months.

Waiving or Reducing Development and Permit Fees: In some communities, permit and development fees can make up almost 20% of the costs of building housing, therefore if a municipality waives or reduces these fees, this can significantly reduce development costs. Penticton and Parksville are two municipalities that currently waive 100% of development fees for low-income rental housing.

Property Tax Exemptions: Municipalities have the option of waiving or reducing property taxes for a set period of time, which can enhance the financial viability of rental housing projects. For example, Victoria and Langford currently offer property tax exemptions for 10 years to lowincome rental housing projects.

Sources: Local Government Levers for Housing Affordability⁵⁵. The Municipal Role in Housing⁵⁶

39

Recommendation 1.3: All levels of government work together to enable access to publicly owned land that can be provided for free or at a low cost to non-profit housing developers.

In some communities, local governments may have suitable, unused publicly owned land that can be offered for free or at a low cost to non-profit housing developers. For example, in Kelowna, researchers have mapped out 230 plots of suitable government and non-profit land that could be used for new housing developments. 48 However, not all local governments have such land; therefore, partnerships and support from other levels of government are also required to support land acquisition and the potential use of crown land or other government-owned land. 54 It has been estimated that free land can reduce the costs of new housing developments by between 15 to 25 percent. 48

Goal 2: To retain the existing stock of low-income rental housing for all age groups.

In addition to creating new low-income rental housing stock, another key priority is to retain the current stock that exists. As described previously in <u>Section 4</u>, BC is currently experiencing the loss of many subsidized rent-geared-to-income housing units due to expiring operating agreements, as well as the loss of other affordable rental and low-cost housing options on the private market due to redevelopments and rising rental costs.

Recommendation 2.1a: The Federal Government increases available financial supports for subsidized housing buildings with expiring operating agreements.

Expiring operating agreements are a significant threat to the continued viability of subsidized

rent-geared-to-income housing in BC, with the receipt of Provincial and/or Federal Government subsidies tied to these agreements. As noted previously, in BC the operating agreements for almost 30,000 housing units will expire by 2033. 40 While the Province of BC has committed to continuing to fund its share of federal/provincial cost-shared projects, even after operating agreements expire, 40 greater federal investments are needed to protect existing subsidized rent-geared-to-income housing.

Recommendation 2.1b: The Provincial Government continues to support the development of housing acquisition programs.

Housing acquisition programs are an effective strategy that has been implemented internationally and in Canada (e.g., Toronto, Quebec, Downtown Eastside of Vancouver) to purchase existing rental housing or properties (e.g., hotel, motels) that can be transformed into low-income rental housing.⁵⁷ The Province of BC's introduction of the \$500 million Rental Protection Fund in 2023 to purchase existing purpose-built rental buildings has been a very positive step.⁵⁸ It has been estimated that this fund will protect the affordability of approximately 2,000 homes across the province.⁵⁹

Recommendation 2.2: Provincial and local governments must take steps to protect the housing security of renters in the private market, including implementing vacancy controls (i.e., limits on rent increases between tenancies) and strengthening tenant protections. These must be implemented in a way that avoids unintended negative consequences for non-profit housing providers.

The majority of renters live in private market rental housing. One in five senior-led renter

40

households are already at risk of homelessness, and if rents continue to increase, more seniors and people of all age groups will be at risk. There is an urgent need for action to be taken to enhance housing security and affordability for renters in the private market.

As described in Section 4.3, there are limits on rental increases set by the Provincial Government each year. However, the lack of vacancy controls to limit rent increases between tenancies provides landlords with a convenient loophole to circumvent these rent controls. As noted previously, in Greater Vancouver the average rent for a newly vacant unit is 43% higher than for occupied units. Vacancy control was in place previously in BC until 1983, and it has been recommended that the Provincial Government reinstate vacancy controls.

We recognize that vacancy controls could potentially have a negative impact on non-profit housing providers given that raising rents in between tenants is one of the only ways they can keep up with the rising cost of operations within the current economic environment. Therefore, steps would need to be taken to ensure that vacancy controls are implemented in a manner that ensures the continued financial viability of non-profit housing. Consultations would need to be undertaken with non-profit housing providers in order to determine the best way to implement vacancy controls.

Steps also need to be taken to strengthen protections for renters from renovictions and redevelopments. A recent report found that BC has the highest rates of eviction in Canada, with 11% of renter households experiencing an eviction over 2016-2021. BC's higher rates of eviction are primarily driven by more no-fault evictions (i.e., evictions for personal use, renovation or repair, sale of the property, or redevelopment).⁶²

As of 2021, landlords must apply to the Residential Tenancy Branch for pre-approval to issue evictions for renovations. A similar eviction approval system could also be introduced by the Provincial Government for evictions due to "personal or family" use to ensure they are being conducted in good faith. 63 Municipalities can also take the initiative to introduce tenant assistance policies that require developers to provide displaced tenants with supports such as financial compensation, moving or relocation assistance, and/or a unit in the new building. Tenant assistance policies are already in place in municipalities such as Victoria and Burnaby. 55



Recommendation 2.3: The Provincial Government introduce a home repairs grant program to support seniors to age in place in adequate housing.

In our interviews, we heard about seniors living in housing with significant heating, plumbing, electrical, or structural issues. This was particularly a concern in Northern BC and the Interior due to the cold winters experienced in these regions. Currently, the Province of BC only offers a Home Renovation Tax Credit (of little use to low-income seniors due to their lack of financial capacity to pay for repairs upfront) and the Rebate for Accessible Home Adaptations program through BC Housing (focuses on modifications to increase accessibility, not home repairs like fixing broken windows or leaky roofs). Several Canadian provinces offer grant programs for home repairs for seniors, and the Province of BC could implement a similar program. For example, Nova Scotia provides grants of up to \$6,500 for minor home repairs for low-income seniors.³⁷

41

Goal 3: To develop multisectoral community tables, coalitions, or alliances to identify common housing issues and develop community-driven solutions.

Recommendation 3.1: Local governments provide adequate and sustainable funding for the establishment of community tables/ coalitions/alliances to address housing and homelessness in local communities.

The context within which housing precarity and homelessness occurs in different regions and communities within BC is unique – housing solutions for the Downtown Eastside of Vancouver might look very different from solutions for vacation destinations in the Okanagan or rural communities in Northern BC. It is clear, though, that housing precarity and homelessness are complex and multisectoral issues, requiring coordinated efforts from multiple sectors. Even more important, solutions need to be rooted in the community and co-developed with people experiencing housing precarity and homelessness.

In order to develop effective community-driven solutions, coordinated planning and advocacy must occur between the broad range of partners who interact with and influence the lives of people experiencing housing precarity and homelessness, including but not limited to local governments, housing providers, the health care system, and youth, immigrant, Indigenous, and senior-serving organizations.

Community tables/coalitions/alliances already exist in some communities in BC, such as 100 More Homes Penticton and the Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region (see <u>Appendices 5</u> and <u>6</u> for descriptions of these initiatives). Key to the success of both initiatives has been funding to provide backbone

organizational support to ensure the sustainability of the table/coalition/alliance.

While community tables/coalitions/alliances usually do not directly engage in building housing themselves, they can play a key role in supporting advocacy for subsidized rentgeared-to-income housing, community planning, public education to destigmatize homelessness and rental housing developments, and enhance coordination of services for homeless or precariously housed individuals.

A key priority for these tables/coalitions/ alliances should be to advocate for the development and retention of low-income rental housing in their community by promoting strategies such as those outlined in Goals 1 and 2. To specifically support the needs of seniors, steps can also be taken, such as the formation of seniors housing working groups.

The Provincial Government has also begun introducing housing targets for select municipalities in BC under its Housing Supply Act which was introduced in 2022. Ten initial municipalities were selected in spring 2023 and an additional 8-10 will be selected in the fall. The Province of BC will work with the municipalities to assess local housing needs and select targets, and then monitor progress and provide supports to address any barriers. If necessary, compliance measures can be utilized. 64 Local community tables/coalitions/alliances could work with the selected municipalities to develop community-focused strategies to meet housing targets.



42

Goal 4: To increase financial assistance for low and moderateincome seniors living in private market rental housing by introducing needed changes in the SAFER program.

Recommendation 4.1: The Provincial Government increase SAFER amounts to ensure they accurately reflect the rental market, and thereafter annually review and adjust the amounts. Steps should also be taken to expand and streamline access to the program.

Many of the policy solutions proposed so far will require time to be implemented, however, we know that there are precariously housed seniors who need assistance now. The SAFER program can provide valuable financial assistance to lowincome senior renters in BC who are currently struggling to make ends meet. However, as has been noted in this paper and by the Office of the Seniors Advocate of BC,37 there are limitations to the SAFER program. The most significant limitation is that current rates do not reflect the private rental market and there are no regular adjustments made to the subsidy to account for increases in costs of living. Both the Office of the Seniors Advocate37 and the Union of BC Municipalities⁶⁵ have recommended that SAFER amounts be increased to reflect the current rental market, and then annually reviewed and adjusted. Currently, the SAFER program is under review, and we are hopeful that changes can be made to increase the accessibility and effectiveness of this program.

For example, in order to ensure SAFER aligns with the rental market, the rent ceilings could be tied to average rents for the zone based on Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation (CMHC) data. Currently the rent ceiling for SAFER is \$803 for zone 1 communities

(Greater Vancouver)³⁹ but the average rent for a 1-bedroom apartment is \$1,543 in Greater Vancouver, almost double what the rent ceiling is. As a result, when calculating a SAFER subsidy, the \$740 in rent above the \$803 rent ceiling is currently not taken into account. SAFER rent ceilings should be increased to current CMHC average rental amounts, and thereafter annually adjusted based on either CMHC data, annual allowable rent increases, or inflation.

Furthermore, to ensure SAFER stays in line with the changing incomes of seniors, the income ceilings for SAFER should be tied to the average income of a senior. SAFER also should be available for co-op rental housing, providing that the housing is not subsidized rent-geared-to-income. Steps also need to be taken to increase awareness among seniors of SAFER and identify ways to streamline access (e.g., expedite the application process to decrease wait times, remove the need to re-apply each year).

Goal 5: To increase access to housing with supports (both social and health supports) to ensure low-income seniors can maintain their housing tenancy and improve their health and well-being.

In our interviews, the need for a full continuum of affordable housing options to be made available to seniors was emphasized. On one end of this continuum is living independently in your own home, while at the other end are health care options such as assisted living or long-term care. In telephone and online surveys conducted by the City of Vancouver for their seniors housing strategy, approximately half of seniors reported interest in living in seniors housing with supports. 5

43

While assisted living and long-term care facilities are beyond the scope of this paper, we recognize and support the urgent need to increase access to these types of housing.

Research from Canada and the USA suggests that seniors living in low-income rental housing often experience significant vulnerabilities – including food insecurity, multiple chronic conditions, activity limitations, loneliness, poor mental health, and limited family support. 66 Furthermore, approximately 23% of low-income seniors report having a low or moderate level of social support. 67

Low-income rental buildings represent an important setting where we can provide vulnerable seniors with services to support their tenancies and well-being. Based on the feedback from our interviews, we have identified three strategies to enhance supports for seniors living in low-income rental housing: 1) external community agencies supporting the provision of on-site tenant supports and social connection activities: 2) services like meals. housekeeping, laundry and recreation activities built into the housing itself through the currently underused seniors supportive housing model; and 3) enhanced coordination and collaboration between health and housing, particularly to support the mental health needs of seniors.

Recommendation 5.1: The Provincial Government develop funding streams to support community-based organizations providing on-site tenant and social connection supports to vulnerable seniors living in low-income rental housing.

In our interviews, it was noted that housing a vulnerable individual is not enough, you also need to provide them with the supports required to stay healthy and housed.

Research with Canadian service providers recommends integrating support services into seniors housing that can assist seniors with needs such as housekeeping, tenant education, social connection, food security, and form and tax

assistance.^{68,69} The integration of these types of services into housing has been recognized as a proactive strategy to support aging in place and prevent evictions and homelessness.⁶⁶

The extent that housing providers in BC can provide supports to vulnerable tenants is limited due to regulations related to their role as landlords, as well as their organizational willingness and capacity. However, examples have emerged of innovative programs where external community-based organizations provide on-site tenant support and social connection programs to vulnerable rental housing tenants. One example is the Whole Way House model that provides on-site community building programs and tenant support services at ten non-profit affordable and transitional housing buildings in Vancouver, Burnaby, and Surrey (See Appendix 7 for a full description). Another example is the Downtown Eastside SRO Collaborative that provides tenants living in single room occupancy (SRO) units with practical supports, a voice in decision making, and employs tenants to connect and help their neighbours (See Appendix 8 for a full description).

Due to the large clusters of seniors in low-income rental housing buildings, and often higher levels of need, there is the potential to have significant positive impacts if investments are made by the Provincial Government to develop programs to provide social supports to residents of these buildings. It is important that these programs be delivered in ways that are linguistically appropriate and culturally safe for the residents they serve and inclusive and safe for marginalized and underserved population groups.

Recommendation 5.2: The Ministry of Housing expand access to seniors supportive housing.

BC has a very small subsidized rent-geared-toincome Seniors Supportive Housing program. It

44

was introduced in 2007/08, and over four years, 856 affordable housing units were converted to Seniors Supportive Housing.§ Residents pay a monthly rent equivalent to 50% of their income for housing and hospitality services (at least one daily meal, light housekeeping, laundering of towels and linens, 24-hour emergency response, and weekly social and recreational activities).

While a number of the original Seniors Supportive Housing units still remain today, there have been no subsequent investments in this program with the focus shifting to supportive housing models for individuals with mental health and addiction concerns.

Given our aging population and the increasing need for support services for seniors, the Provincial Government should re-invest in the Seniors Supportive Housing program.

Recommendation 5.3a: Regional health authorities provide access to multidisciplinary mental health teams to support seniors to stay housed.

In our interviews, mental health crises were identified as one of the main reasons a senior may no longer be able to safely remain in their home. Research suggests that mental health conditions are a key factor contributing to at-fault tenant evictions and are often a root cause of issues such as hoarding and clutter, lack of unit maintenance, and landlord-tenant conflicts. ^{67,70} There is a need for proactive multidisciplinary supports to be made available to seniors to address mental health conditions and prevent evictions like these from occurring.

Seniors are also particularly vulnerable to hoarding and clutter issues as a result of having a lifetime of accumulated possessions that

§ Based on the BC Housing Annual Service Plans which reported 196 units converted in 2007/08, 491 in 2008/09, 114 in 2009/10, and 55 in 2010/11, can be difficult to fit into an apartment if they downsize. Due to the complexity of hoarding issues, coordinated community approaches that involve actors from a range of sectors (e.g., multidisciplinary mental health, housing, fire services, bylaw officers, animal control) are considered one of the most effective responses. For example, in 2011 the City of Vancouver formed the the Hoarding Action Response Team (HART), in partnership with Vancouver Coastal Health, although demand for this service exceeds current staffing and resource levels.

Recommendation 5.3b: The Ministries of Housing, Health, and Mental Health and Addictions must work together to develop policies and processes to improve the coordination of services for seniors living in low-income rental housing.

Finally, a consistent concern that was raised in the interviews was the lack of integration and coordination of housing and health services. This was particularly a concern around mental health services and hospital discharge processes. The need for intersectoral collaboration between the housing and health sectors has been strongly articulated by the Health Officers' Council of BC.⁸ We recommend that the Ministries of Housing, Health, and Mental Health and Addictions work together to address these issues.



45

Goal 6: To ensure that housing navigation supports, the shelter system, and transitional and lowincome rental housing are, not only adequately funded, but also accessible, safe, and appropriate for seniors who are homeless or precariously housed.

Throughout the interviews, a common theme was the need to recognize that seniors have unique needs and there should not be a one-size fits all approach to providing housing and supports in BC. Interviewees emphasized how the current continuum of housing services may not be accessible, safe, or appropriate for seniors.

Recommendation 6.1: The Ministry of Mental Health and Addictions continue to support and expand access to the SHINE program.

The interviewees made apparent the need for senior-specific housing navigation services. There is currently a high level of demand for these services in communities across BC, yet many CBSS have limited capacity to offer the in-depth navigation supports that are required by seniors. In BC, SHINE (Seniors Housing Information and Navigation Ease) is an innovative housing navigation program that is led by the Seniors Services Society of BC located in New Westminster. The program is available in additional communities through partnerships with 9 organizations across the province. SHINE represents an important addition to the continuum of services that are available to support seniors who are homeless or precariously housed. Appendix 9 describes the SHINE program in more detail.

Recommendation 6.2: The Ministry of Housing should provide funding for needed renovations to increase the accessibility and safety of existing homeless shelters and low-income rental housing.

In Canada, it is estimated that 38% of seniors aged 65 and up have a disability, and 24% have a mobility disability.⁷³ As a result, the accessibility of temporary and permanent housing is a key concern for seniors (e.g., presence of elevators, ramps, accessible bathrooms, etc.). In our interviews, we heard that people living with disabilities (particularly mobility disabilities) often have very limited housing options due to the lack of accessible rental housing units and shelter beds. For example, BC Housing reported that in 2022, there were 1,087 people waiting for a wheelchair accessible housing unit.⁷⁴

Accessibility issues are particularly a concern for older buildings (both low-income rental housing buildings and homeless shelters), which will need to be renovated or retrofitted to better meet the needs of our aging population in BC. Improvements to the accessibility of these buildings will also significantly benefit younger individuals living with disabilities, in addition to seniors.

Furthermore, a common concern that was raised in the interviews is the tendency to house vulnerable low-income seniors in buildings with population mixes that are not safe and appropriate for seniors. We recognize the need for discussions and solutions to address this issue and ensure that seniors feel safe and secure in their housing.

Recommendation 6.3: The Ministry of Housing should invest in transitional housing models for seniors.

Physical and cognitive declines that can occur with age may place seniors at increased risk of

46

United Way British Columbia - Aging in Uncertainty: The Growing Housing Crisis for BC Seniors

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 120 | P a g e

being victims of abuse or crimes when placed in mixed use low-income rental housing or homeless shelters. This emerged as a common concern in our interviews and has been echoed in other Canadian research. Furthermore, concerns were also raised about the fact that frail seniors are usually unable to access home support services in homeless shelters as home support staff often will not provide services in shelters.

Therefore, we recommend that the Ministry of Housing expand investments in transitional housing models for seniors to provide an alternative to homeless shelters and allow seniors, and particularly those experiencing homelessness for the first time later in life, to safely transition from temporary to permanent housing. The Temporary Housing Program from Seniors Services Society of BC is an example of a transitional housing model for seniors (see Appendix 10).

47

Endnotes

- 1 Canadian Human Rights Commission. Advocating For Change; The Housing Crisis In Canada. 2023, Accessed September 21, 2023. https://housing.chrcreport.ca/pdf/federal-housing-advocates-annual-report-2022-2023.pdf
- Statistics Canada. Table 11-10-0135-01 Low income statistics by age, sex and economic family type [Data table]. 2023. Accessed September 21, 2023. https://doi.org/10.25318/1110013501-eng
- 3 Statistics Canada. Income Explorer 2021 Census. 2022. Accessed September 21, 2023. https://www12.statcan.gc.ca/census-recensement/2021/dp-pd/dv-vd/income-revenu/index-en.html
- 4 Statistics Canada. Table 17-10-0005-01 Population estimates on July 1st, by age and sex [Data table]. 2023. Accessed September 21, 2023. https://doi.org/10.25318/1710000501-eng
- Happy Cities. City of Vancouver Seniors Housing Strategy: What we Heard Report. 2023. Accessed September 21, 2023. https://www.shapeyourcity.ca/seniors-housing
- 6 Langley Seniors Community Action Table. Impact of Housing First and Supportive Housing on Frail Seniors. 2018. Accessed September 21, 2023. https://www.langleyseniorsinaction.ca/newpage
- 7 Khoo LM, Vancouver Chinatown Affordable Seniors Housing Inventory. 2023. Accessed September 21, 2023. https://bc.healthyagingcore.ca/resources/january-2023-vancouver-chinatown-affordable-seniors-housing-inventory.
- 8 Health Officers' Council of BC. Position on Homelessness, Equity, and Health. 2023. Accessed September 21, 2023. https://www.healthofficerscouncil.net/positions-and-advocacy/publications/homelessness/
- 9 BC Housing, Subsidized Housing, Accessed September 21, 2023. https://www.bchousing.org/housing-assistance/rent-al-housing/subsidized-housing
- 10 Gaetz S, Barr C, Friesen A, et al. Canadian Definition of Homelessness. 2012. Accessed September 21, 2023. https://www.homelesshub.ca/sites/default/files/COHhomelessdefinition.pdf
- 11 United Nations, Universal Declaration of Human Rights. 1948. Accessed September 21, 2023. https://www.un.org/en/about-us/universal-declaration-of-human-rights
- 12 Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights. The human right to adequate housing Special Rapporteur on the right to adequate housing. Accessed September 21, 2023. https://www.ohchr.org/en/special-procedures/sr-housing/human-right-adequate-housing
- 13 National Housing Strategy Act (S.C. 2019, c. 29, s. 313)
- 14 Govender K. Kasari Govender: We need to talk about encampments. Vancouver Sun. September 14, 2023. Accessed September 21, 2023. https://vancouversun.com/opinion/op-ed/kasari-govender-we-need-to-talk-about-encampments
- 15 Raphael D, Bryant T, Mikkonen J, Raphael A. Social Determinants of Health: The Canadian Facts, Oshawa: Ontario Tech University Faculty of Health Sciences and Toronto: York University School of Health Policy and Management; 2020.
- 16 Taylor L. Housing And Health: An Overview of the Literature. 2018. Accessed September 21, 2023. https://www.healthaf-fairs.org/do/10.1377/hpb20180313.396577/
- 17 Park GR, Grignon M, Young M, Dunn JR. The association between housing cost burden and avoidable mortality in wealthy countries: cross-national analysis of social and housing policies, 2000-2017. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2023;77(2):65-73. doi:10.1136/jech-2022-219545
- 18 Park GR, Grignon M, Young M, Dunn JR. How do housing asset and income relate to mortality? A population-based cohort study of 881220 older adults in Canada. Soc Sci Med. 2022;314:115429. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2022.115429
- 19 Jenkins Morales M, Robert SA, Housing Cost Burden and Health Decline Among Low- and Moderate-Income Older Renters, J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci. 2022;77(4):815-826. doi:10.1093/geronb/gbab184
- 20 Mawhorter S, Crimmins EM, Ailshire JA. Housing and cardiometabolic risk among older renters and homeowners. Hous Stud. 2021; 1-23. https://doi.org/10.1080/02673037.2021.1941792
- 21 Mehdipanah R, Martin J, Eisenberg AK, Schulz AJ, Morgenstern LB, Langa KM. Housing status, mortgage debt and financial burden as barriers to health among older adults in the U.S. Hous Soc. 2022;49(1):58-72, doi:10.1080/0888274 6.2021.1881373
- 22 Bhat AC, Almeida DM, Fenelon A, Santos-Lozada AR. A longitudinal analysis of the relationship between housing insecurity and physical health among midlife and aging adults in the United States. SSM Popul Health, 2022;18:101128, doi:10.1016/j.ssmph.2022.101128
- 23 Szabo A, Allen J, Alpass F, Stephens C. Longitudinal trajectories of quality of life and depression by housing tenure status. J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci. 2018;73(8):e165-e174. doi:10.1093/geronb/gbx028
- 24 Singh A, Daniel L, Baker E, Bentley R. Housing disadvantage and poor mental health: A systematic review. Am J Prev Med. 2019;57(2):262-272. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2019.03.018

48

- 25 Webb E, Blane D, de Vries R. Housing and respiratory health at older ages. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2013;67(3):280-285. doi:10.1136/jech-2012-201458
- 26 Brown RT, Hemati K, Riley ED, et al. Geriatric conditions in a population-based sample of older homeless adults, Gerontologist. 2017;57(4):757-766. doi:10.1093/geront/gnw011
- 27 Brown RT, Kiely DK, Bharel M, Mitchell SL. Geriatric syndromes in older homeless adults. J Gen Intern Med. 2012;27(1):16-22. doi:10.1007/s11606-011-1848-9
- 28 Bamberger J, Dobbins S. Long-term Cost Effectiveness of Placing Homeless Seniors in Permanent Supportive Housing. 2014. Accessed September 21, 2023. https://www.frbsf.org/community-development/wp-content/uploads/sites/3/wp2014-01.pdf
- 29 Brown RT, Miao Y, Mitchell SL, et al. Health Outcomes of Obtaining Housing Among Older Homeless Adults. Am J Public Health. 2015;105(7):1482-1488. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2014.302539
- 30 Office of the Seniors Advocate, British Columbia. Monitoring Seniors Services: 2022 Report. 2022. Accessed September 21, 2023. https://www.seniorsadvocatebc.ca/app/uploads/sites/4/2022/12/M55_Report_2022.pdf
- Statistics Canada. Table 98-10-0241-01 Housing indicators by tenure including presence of mortgage payments and subsidized housing, household type including census family structure and period of construction: Canada, provinces and territories, census metropolitan areas and census agglomerations. 2023. Accessed November 7, 2023. https://doi.org/10.25318/9810024101-eng
- 32 Statistics Canada. Table 14-10-0340-02 Average and median gender wage ratio, annual, inactive [Data table]. 2023. Accessed September 21, 2023. https://doi.org/10.25318/1410034001-eng
- 33 Government of British Columbia. Disability Assistance Rate Table. Updated August 1, 2023. Accessed September 21, 2023. https://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content/governments/policies-for-government/bcea-policy-and-procedure-manual/bc-employment-and-assistance-rate-tables/disability-assistance-rate-table
- 34 Government of British Columbia. Income Assistance Rate Table. Updated August 1, 2023. Accessed September 21, 2023. https://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content/governments/policies-for-government/bcea-policy-and-procedure-manual/bc-employment-and-assistance-rate-tables/income-assistance-rate-table
- 35 CMHC. Rental Market Report: January 2023 Edition. 2023. Accessed September 21, 2023. https://www.cmhc-schl.gc.ca/-/media/sites/cmhc/professional/housing-markets-data-and-research/market-reports/rental-market-report-2022-en.ashx
- 36 Statistics Canada. Table 98-10-0251-01 Family characteristics of seniors by total income statistics: Canada, provinces and territories, census metropolitan areas and census agglomerations [Data Table]. Updated September 21, 2023. Accessed September 21, 2023. https://doi.org/10.25318/9810025101-eng
- 37 Office of the Seniors Advocate, British Columbia. BC Seniors: Falling Further Behind. 2022. Accessed September 21, 2023. https://www.seniorsadvocatebc.ca/app/uploads/sites/4/2022/10/OSA-BCFFB_FINAL.pdf
- 38 Men F, Gundersen C, Urquia ML, Tarasuk V. Association between household food insecurity and mortality in Canada: A population-based retrospective cohort study. Can Med Assoc J. 2020;192(3):E53-E60
- 39 BC Housing, Shelter Aid For Elderly Renters (SAFER). Accessed September 21, 2023. https://www.bchousing.org/housing-assistance/rental-assistance-programs/SAFER
- 40 BC Non-Profit Housing Association, BC Housing. Expiring Operating Agreements: A Planning Guide for BC's Non-Profit Housing Societies. 2018. Accessed September 21, 2023. https://www.bchousing.org/publications/Expiring-Operating-Agreements.pdf
- 41 Pomeroy S, Updating analysis on erosion of lower rent stock from 2021 Census, 2021, Accessed September 21, 2023. https://chec-ccrl.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/10/Updated-Analysis-on-Housing-Erosion-from-2021-Census-Steve-Pomeroy.pdf
- 42 BC Non-Profit Housing Association, Canadian Rental Housing Index, 2023, Accessed September 21, 2023, https://www.rentalhousingindex.ca/en/#intro
- 43 Statistics Canada. Consumer Price Index: Annual review, 2022. Published January 17, 2023. Accessed September 21, 2023. https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/daily-quotidien/230117/dq230117b-eng.htm
- 44 Homelessness Services Association of BC, 2020/21 Report on Homeless Counts in B.C. Accessed October 18, 2023. https://www.bchousing.org/publications/2020-21-BC-Homeless-Counts.pdf
- 45 Homelessness Services Association of BC. 2023 Homeless Count in Greater Vancouver. 2023. Accessed October 18, 2023. https://hsa-bc.ca/_Library/2023_HC/2023_Homeless_Count_for_Greater_Vancouver.pdf
- 46 Statistics Canada. Housing indicators, 2021 Census. Updated on May 10, 2023. Accessed on September 21, 2023, https://www12.statcan.gc.ca/census-recensement/2021/dp-pd/dv-vd/housing-logement/index-en.cfm
- 47 Morris M, Good J, Halseth G. Housing Affordability, Income, and Vulnerability in Non-Metropolitan British Columbia: 2021 Census Data Edition. 2023. Accessed September 21, 2023. https://www2.unbc.ca/community-development-institute


49

- 48 Whitzman C, Flynn A, Gurstein P, Jones C. Chapter 2: Affordable Housing: Increase Federal and Provincial Funding to Support Municipal Action. In Eidelman G, Hachard T, Slack E, eds. The Municipal Role in Housing. The Institute on Municipal Finance and Governance; 2022:4-8.
- 49 August M. The Financialization of Housing in Canada: A Summary Report for the Office of the Federal Housing Advocate. 2022. Accessed September 21, 2023. https://www.homelesshub.ca/resource/financialization-housing-canada-project-summary-report
- 50 Lee M. How to Build Affordable Rental Housing in Vancouver. 2021. Accessed September 21, 2023. https://policyalter-natives.ca/sites/default/files/uploads/publications/BC%20Office/2021/03/ccpa-bc_Affordable-Housing-March2021_report.pdf
- 51 Lee M. Getting Serious About Affordable Housing: Towards a Plan for Metro Vancouver. 2016. https://policyalternatives.ca/sites/default/files/uploads/publications/BC%20Office/2016/05/CCPA-BC-Affordable-Housing.pdf
- 52 BC Housing. About the Community Housing Fund. n.d. Accessed September 21, 2023. https://www.bchousing.org/ sites/default/files/media/documents/CHF-RFP-Fact-Sheet.pdf
- 53 Lee M. Still so far from home: An update on BC's 114,000 homes promise. Published February 10, 2023. Accessed September 21, 2023. https://www.policynote.ca/housing-update/
- 54 Suttor G. Chapter 4: Social Housing: Strong City Roles Need Regional and Federal-Provincial Partnerships. In Eidelman G, Hachard T, Slack E, eds. The Municipal Role in Housing. The Institute on Municipal Finance and Governance; 2022:14-17.
- 55 Community Social Planning Council. Local Government Levers for Housing Affordability. 2023. Accessed September 21, 2023. https://communitycouncil.ca/wp-content/uploads/2023/06/CSPC-Local-Government-Toolkit.pdf
- 56 Eidelman G, Hachard T, Slack E, eds. The Municipal Role in Housing. The Institute on Municipal Finance and Governance; 2022
- 57 Daniels J, August M. Acquisitions for Affordable Housing: Creating Non-Market Supply and Preserving Affordability. 2023. Accessed September 21, 2023. https://hart.ubc.ca/wp-content/uploads/2023/08/HART-Acquisition-Report.pdf
- 58 New fund will protect thousands of renters in B.C. News Release. Office of the Premier, January 12, 2023. Accessed September 21, 2023. https://news.gov.bc.ca/releases/2023PREM0002-000023
- 59 BC Non-Profit Housing Association. New Housing Strategy Follows BC Budget 2023. Published April 4, 2023. Accessed September 21, 2023. https://bcnpha.ca/new-housing-strategy-follows-bc-budget-2023/
- 60 Lee M. Vacancy control: taking the next step on housing affordability, Published February 11, 2021, Accessed September 21, 2023. https://www.policynote.ca/vacancy-control/
- 61 BCGEU. Evaluating Prospects for Vacancy Control Policy in B.C.'s Housing Affordability Crisis. 2023. Accessed October 11, 2023. https://www.affordablebc.ca/vacancycontrolreport
- 62 Xuereb X, Jones C. Estimating No-Fault Evictions in Canada: Understanding BC's Disproportionate Eviction Rate in the 2021 Canadian Housing Survey. 2023. Accessed September 21, 2023. https://housingresearch.ubc.ca/sites/default/ files/2023-05/estimating_no-fault_evictions_in_canada_0.pdf
- 63 Weichel A. B.C. considering reforms to 'eliminate' bad-faith evictions for landlord's use. CTV News. August 25, 2023. Accessed September 21, 2023. https://bc.ctvnews.ca/b-c-considering-reforms-to-eliminate-bad-faith-evictions-for-landlord-s-use-1.6535843
- 64 Housing targets expected to deliver more homes faster, first communities selected. News Release, Ministry of Housing, May 31, 2023. Accessed September 21, 2023. https://news.gov.bc.ca/releases/2023HOU50059-000851
- 65 UBCM. 2023 UBCM Resolutions Book. 2023. Accessed September 21, 2023. https://www.ubcm.ca/sites/default/files/2023-08/2023-UBCM-Resolutions-Book.pdf
- 66 Sheppard CL, Kwon C, Yau M, Rios J, Austen A, Hitzig SL. Aging in Place in Social Housing: A Scoping Review of Social Housing for Older Adults. Can J Aging. 2023;42(1):69-79. doi:10.1017/S0714980822000125
- 67 Frank K. COVID-19 and social support for seniors: Do seniors have people they can depend on during difficult times?. 2020. Accessed September 21, 2023. https://www.150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/pub/45-28-0001/2020001/article/00007-eng.htm
- 68 Sheppard C, Gould S, Um S, Austen A, Roche B, Hitzig S. Enhancing Eviction Prevention Supports for Older Adults in Social Housing. 2023. Accessed September 21, 2023. https://www.wellesleyinstitute.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/ Eviction-Prevention-Supports-Designed-Report-2023-Final.pdf
- 69 Weldrick R, Canham SL, Sussman T, et al. "A right place for everybody": Supporting aging in the right place for older people experiencing homelessness. Health Soc Care Community. 2022;30(6):e4652-e4661. doi:10.1111/hsc.13871
- 70 Zell S, McCullough S. Housing Research Report: Evictions and Eviction Prevention in Canada. 2020. Accessed September 21, 2023. https://eppdscrmssa01.blob.core.windows.net/cmhcprodcontainer/sf/project/archive/research_6/evictions-and-eviction-prevention-in-canada.pdf

50

- 71 Whitfield KY, Daniels JS, Flesaker K, Simmons D. Older adults with hoarding behaviour aging in place: looking to a collaborative community-based planning approach for solutions. J Aging Res. 2012;2012:205425. doi:10.1155/2012/205425.
- 72 Bratiotis C, Muroff J, Lin NXY, Hoarding disorder: Development in conceptualization, intervention, and evaluation, Focus (Am Psychiatr Publ). 2021;19(4):392-404. doi:10.1176/appi.focus.20210016
- Morris S, Fawcett G, Brisebois L, Hughes J. A demographic, employment and income profile of Canadians with disabilities aged 15 years and over, 2017. 2018. Accessed September 21, 2023. https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/pub/89-654-x/89-654-x2018002-eng.htm
- 74 Braich B. Thousands of people with disabilities are waiting for an accessible home in B.C. CBC News. September 1, 2022. Accessed September 21, 2023. https://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/british-columbia/disability-accessible-housing-bc-1.6567378

Appendix 1. Prevalence of Low-Income After Tax in British Columbia by Age Group, 1990-2021



Year	0-17	18-64	Seniors (65+)
1990	16.3	11.1	8
1991	12.5	10.1	5.6
1992	14.4	10.7	6.6
1993	14.4	10.5	4.3
1994	14.9	10.5	3.1
1995	15.4	11.2	2.9
1996	14.6	12.1	2.2
1997	14.8	12.9	5.0
1998	15.1	14.5	6.5
1999	17.3	14.9	7.7
2000	15.8	16.1	7.3
2001	16.5	16.0	8.6
2002	22.1	16.3	12.4
2003	21.4	15.8	10.6
2004	20.6	15.5	10.0
2005	19.7	14.1	10.6

Year	0-17	18-64	Seniors (65+)
2006	21.5	15.0	10.6
2007	18.8	13.1	10.2
2008	14.6	13.6	11.4
2009	19.3	14.8	12.6
2010	17.6	14.7	13.9
2011	19.4	15.2	11.7
2012	14.8	14.3	11.7
2013	15.1	14.5	13.0
2014	15.3	13.4	13.0
2015	14.1	17.7	15.0
2016	13.0	13.5	13.2
2017	10.7	11.8	15.6
2018	9.2	11.9	14.0
2019	7.5	12.4	12.0
2020	6.7	8.9	10.8
2021	8.3	8.1	15.2

Data Source: Statistics Canada. Table 11-10-0135-01 Low income statistics by age, sex and economic family type. Accessed September 21, 2023. https://doi.org/10.25318/1110013501-eng

Note: The low-income measure used is low-income measure after-tax.

52

United Way British Columbia - Aging in Uncertainty: The Growing Housing Crisis for BC Seniors

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 126 | P a g e

Appendix 2. Government Retirement Income Benefits

Most low-income seniors rely on government income benefits (i.e., OAS, GIS, and the BC Seniors Supplement) for their income. Individuals aged 65 and up who have been living in Canada for at least 10 years are eligible for OAS. GIS and BC Seniors Supplement are additional benefits for qualifying low-income seniors.

In September 2023, the annual income from OAS/GIS/BC Seniors Supplement that a single low-income senior would receive was:

- \$1,841.35 per month for seniors aged 65-74 (\$22,096.20 annually)
- \$1,911.21 per month for seniors 75+ (\$22,934.52 annually)

	Monthly Amount	Annual Amount
Old Age Security	\$698.60 (ages 65-74) \$768.46 (ages 75+)	\$8,383.20 (ages 65-74) \$9,221.52 (ages 75+)
Guaranteed Income Supplement	\$1,043,45	\$12,521.4
BC Seniors Supplement	\$99.30	\$1,191.60
Total Income from OAS, GIS and BC Seniors Supplement	\$1,841.35 (ages 65-74) \$1,911.21 (ages 75+)	\$22,096.20 (ages 65-74) \$22,934.52 (ages 75+)

Notes: Benefit amounts are from September 2023. BC Seniors Supplement and GIS show the maximum monthly amount for a single senior. Both OAS and GIS are adjusted regularly based on costs of living, while the BC Seniors Supplement is not and has only been increased once since introduced in 1987.

53

Appendix 3. Average Monthly Rental Cost for a 1-Bedroom Apartment by Community

Community	Average Rental Cost for 1 Bedroom Apartment (2022	
Abbotsford	\$1,142	
Burnaby	\$1,418	
Campbell River	\$1,157	
Chilliwack	\$1,022	
Colwood	\$1,226	
Coquitlam	\$1,343	
Courtenay	\$1,233	
Cranbrook	\$844	
Dawson Creek	\$825	
Delta	\$1,140	
Duncan	\$982	
Esquimalt	\$1,269	
Fort St. John	\$772	
Kamloops	\$1,169	
Kelowna	\$1,287	
Langley	\$1,240	
Langford	\$1,592	
Maple Ridge	\$1,102	
Mission	\$1,010	
Nanaimo	\$1,230	
Nelson	\$923	
New Westminster	\$1,338	
North Vancouver	\$1,643	
Parksville	\$1,284	
Penticton	\$1,023	

Community	Average Rental Cost for 1 Bedroom Apartment (2022	
Pitt Meadows	\$1,529	
Port Alberni	\$819	
Port Coquitlam	\$1,393	
Port Moody	\$1,610	
Powell River	\$821	
Prince George	\$923	
Prince Rupert	\$961	
Richmond	\$1,459	
Quesnel	\$652	
Saanich	\$1,299	
Salmon Arm	\$951	
Sidney	\$1,447	
Squamish	\$1,421	
Surrey	\$1,365	
Terrace	\$1,008	
Vancouver	\$1,629	
Vernon	\$1,002	
Victoria	\$1,336	
West Kelowna	\$1,595	
Williams Lake	\$807	

Data Source: CMHC Housing Market Information Portal, Primary Rental Mark Statistics

Notes: The Primary Rental Market refers to rental housing units in apartments that were purpose-built as rental housing.

54

Appendix 4. Seniors Living in Unaffordable Housing or Precariously Housed, By Community

Community	Living in unaffordable housing (spending 30% or more of income on housing)		Precariously housed (spending 50% or more of income on housing)	
	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
Abbotsford	1,450	50%	400	14%
Burnaby	2,685	45%	1,145	19%
Campbell River	535	55%	145	15%
Castlegar	65	37%	20	11%
Central Saanich	165	51%	50	15%
Chilliwack	1,155	51%	335	15%
Colwood	170	61%	105	38%
Comox	260	64%	75	19%
Coquitlam	1,285	50%	570	22%
Courtenay	490	58%	170	20%
Cranbrook	235	43%	55	10%
Creston	115	59%	30	15%
Dawson Creek	225	54%	20	5%
Delta	740	41%	335	18%
Duncan	155	49%	30	10%
Esquimalt DM	475	56%	185	22%
Fernie	65	48%		
Fort St. John	115	38%	25	8%
Gibsons	130	68%	40	21%
Норе	100	56%	15	8%
Kamloops	1,110	50%	350	16%
Kelowna	2,400	62%	970	25%
Kimberley	95	45%	15	7%
Kitimat	35	32%		
Ladysmith	130	53%	40	16%

55

Community	Living in unaffordable housing (spending 30% or more of income on housing)		Precariously housed (spending 50% or more of income on housing)	
	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
Lake Country	120	45%	55	21%
Langford	470	53%	255	29%
Langley DM	630	47%	275	21%
Langley	665	58%	265	23%
Maple Ridge	655	42%	220	14%
Merritt	120	55%	30	14%
Mission	215	38%	70	13%
Nanaimo	810	47%	265	18%
Nelson	155	44%	55	16%
New Westminster	1,270	48%	445	17%
North Cowichan	400	52%	130	17%
North Vancouver DM	695	51%	290	21%
North Vancouver	1,385	60%	725	31%
Oak Bay	385	50%	125	16%
Parksville	375	53%	150	21%
Penticton	1,095	62%	370	21%
Pitt Meadows	150	43%	65	19%
Port Alberni	385	56%	115	17%
Port Coquitlam	550	57%	205	21%
Port Moody	175	38%	45	10%
Powell River	230	47%	105	21%
Prince George	840	45%	260	14%
Prince Rupert	115	28%	15	4%
Quesnel	195	43%	25	5%
Revelstoke	50	48%		-
Richmond	2,150	50%	960	22%
Saanich	1,155	49%	435	18%
Salmon Arm	300	50%	95	16%
Sechelt	150	45%	50	15%

56

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 130 | Page

United Way British Columbia - Aging in Uncertainty: The Growing Housing Crisis for BC Seniors

Community	Living in unaffordable housing (spending 30% or more of income on housing)		Precariously housed (spending 50% or more of income on housing)	
	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
Sidney	330	63%	140	27%
Smithers	40	29%	1	
Sooke	135	55%	50	20%
Squamish	180	50%	75	21%
Summerland	140	68%	*	4
Surrey	3,385	44%	1,225	16%
Terrace	80	29%	54	-
Trail	145	43%	30	9%
Vancouver	10,440	46%	4,375	19%
Vernon	1,155	61%	460	24%
Victoria	3,180	55%	1,225	21%
View Royal	150	51%	60	20%
West Kelowna	280	58%	160	33%
West Vancouver	1,045	62%	575	34%
Whistler	25	31%	194	
White Rock	890	67%	375	28%
Williams Lake	150	38%	40	10%

Data Source: BC Non-Profit Housing Association. Canadian Rental Housing Index. 2023. Accessed September 21, 2023. https://www.rentalhousingindex.ca/en/#intro

Notes: All numbers have been rounded. Numbers marked – have been suppressed by Statistics. Canada due to the small sample size.

57

Appendix 5. 100 More Homes Penticton

100 More Homes Penticton is a collaborative initiative that recognizes the intersecting vulnerabilities that can lead to homelessness and the need for integrated approaches to address homelessness. The collaborative was formed in 2016 by 25 community partners in Penticton, including the City of Penticton, Interior Health, BC Housing, the RCMP, Osoyoos Correctional Centre, United Way British Columbia, and local non-profits delivering housing and support services for vulnerable community members.

United Way British Columbia serves as the backbone organization for the collaborative. United Way BC's role as a neutral convening organization that can bring partners together and provide staff support to ensure the consistency of the work has contributed to the success of the collaborative. The 100 More Homes steering committee meets monthly to work towards their goal to prevent homelessness in Penticton. While the collaborative does not directly build housing, they act as a forum for advocacy for affordable housing and homelessness solutions and support the development of integrated approaches.

The 100 More Homes partners have successfully supported each other to apply for funding to enhance access to supportive housing in the community and there are now just under 200 units of supportive housing in Penticton. There is also a permanent homeless shelter in the community and there was a winter shelter for a period of time.

Additionally, the collaborative has helped Penticton develop coordinated access, an approach for communities to develop real-time data on housing supply and demand (e.g., number of available shelter beds) and implement standardized and streamlined processes for providing housing. The collaborative has also been working on the development of a by-name-

list, a real-time list of individuals experiencing homelessness in the community. A Community Active Support Table (CAST) was also formed to bring together stakeholders to support vulnerable people in the community. To address the stigma associated with homelessness, some of the collaborative partners have developed a series of videos highlighting the stories of people who have experienced homelessness.

Despite the progress that has been made by the collaborative, homelessness continues to be a challenge in Penticton. Originally, the collaborative was named 100 Homes Penticton, but after they were able to meet their goal of housing 100 individuals (in fact they housed 133 individuals in the first 18 months) their name was updated to 100 More Homes Penticton. This was in recognition of the ongoing need to provide housing and homelessness supports in their community, as at the same time new supportive housing units were being built, other housing options for low-income individuals (e.g., motels, RV sites) were being lost.

In 2022, 100 More Homes entered into a 3-year memorandum of understanding with the City of Penticton to collaborate on homelessness and housing planning. The work of the 100 More Homes initiative had outgrown the capacity of the volunteer members sitting at the table. To further the priorities of the table, and to meet the ongoing housing needs from the City of Penticton, it was identified that a full time Strategy Coordinator would be best placed to coordinate the community partners, develop a community-driven strategy, and be the Systems Planning Organization in housing and supports for Penticton. With 100 More Homes recognized by the municipality as the leader in Penticton and the surrounding region for housing and homelessness, a mouthpiece and organized advocacy approach is created. The non-profit

58

sector is recognized as key to the development of a fully rounded housing continuum – from shelter provision to supportive housing, to complex care housing, to affordable housing and market rentals. The 100 More Homes initiative can navigate many different partners, funders and relationships as a neutral backbone that collaboratively builds solutions to the housing crisis the communities in the South Okanagan find themselves in.

United Way British Columbia - Aging in Uncertainty: The Growing Housing Crisis for BC Seniors

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 133 | Page

Appendix 6. Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region

The Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region is a multisectoral alliance that works to develop community-owned solutions in the Capital Region. The Alliance originated from a municipal taskforce set up in 2007 by the Mayor of Victoria. In 2008, to build on the work of the taskforce and respond to the housing, homelessness, and mental health challenges identified, the community formed the Greater Victoria Coalition to End Homelessness. The Coalition evolved into the Alliance in 2023.

The Alliance centers its work around people with lived experiences of homelessness and develops the Community Plan to engage all partners in aligning their respective efforts. Funding to support the Alliance is provided by Island Health, the Capital Regional District, the City of Victoria, the Victoria Foundation, through grants, and the generosity of individual donors.

Members of the Alliance include housing providers, health and social service providers, non-profits, government, businesses, researchers, the faith community, people with lived and living experiences of homelessness, and the public.

The Alliance is governed by a Board of Directors that includes both appointed and elected directors from government and the community. The Board of Directors engages with the community through several statutory committees as well as advisory committees, such as the Health and Housing Steering Committee, comprised of partners who provide recommendations and advise for the implementation of the Alliance's initiatives. The work of the Alliance is also supported by six other tables: System Transformation Working Group, Lived and Living Experience Council, Community Data Dashboard, Community Engagement and Communications

Working Group, Youth Task Force, and other regional planning tables.

Over 2019-2024, the Alliance has been focusing its efforts on five key outcome areas that were identified through a community planning process:

1) Support services, 2) Housing, 3) Advocacy and Awareness, 4) Prevention Support, and

5) Collaboration and Leadership. Examples of key successes achieved by the Alliance and its members include:

- Commencing development of a Community Data Dashboard to monitor regional housing inventory
- Offering Face 2 Face with Stigma workshops and other educational resources
- Supporting the Surfacing our Strengths: Co-Creating Strategic Solutions with Women+ At Risk of Violence and Homelessness Solutions Lab
- Partnering with BC Housing and Our Place Society to develop a Tiny Homes Village to provide temporary housing over 2021-2023 until construction is completed on supportive housing
- Implementing the Peer Housing Support program for people transitioning from homelessness to safe and stable housing

Moving forward, the mission of the Alliance is to support the vision of a region, province and nation where everyone has a safe place to call home as we reach functional zero "where experiences of homelessness are rare, brief, and non-recurring and that housing and supports are culturally adaptive, creative, caring, and person-centered."

60

Appendix 7. Whole Way House Model

The Whole Way House (WWH) model seeks to build healthy communities in housing. WWH is a non-profit organization that provides onsite community building programs and tenant support services at ten non-profit affordable and transitional housing buildings in Vancouver, Burnaby, and Surrey. The Whole Way House model has three pillars: 1) Re-connecting with others, 2) Re-building a sense of purpose and belonging, and 3) Re-centering by having access to on-site support services.

Six of their buildings target low-income seniors and veterans: Veterans Manor, Alexander House, Chelsea Tower, Chelsea Terrace, Granville House, and Shiloh Place. BC Housing funds the staffing for programs and services at five of these buildings, while Reaching Home funds the staffing at Shiloh Place. WWH also does fundraising for program resources such as food, transportation, etc.

Building trusting relationships with tenants is key to the success of the WWH model, and a Community Committee is formed for each building to help guide the approach and develop the monthly schedule of activities. Each new tenant is provided with a welcome basket with items such as cleaning supplies, linens, etc., to start their experience on a positive note. WWH offers a variety of community building programs that are designed to provide lowbarrier opportunities for social connection and/or provide tenants with opportunities to contribute and find a sense of purpose.

Examples of community building programs include morning coffee, seated exercise classes, food programs, outings, visits if the tenant is in hospital, and room cleaning programs. The programs are run by staff to ensure consistency, but tenants are encouraged to contribute and share their skills through volunteering to teach

classes, help set up for activities, or assist with programs in other ways. External volunteers also support some of the programs.

The WWH model can play an important role in supporting tenants to age in place and preventing crisis-driven evictions. WWH's tenant support workers are regularly on-site to provide one-on-one support services such as information and referral, assistance with forms and applications for benefits, advocating for and helping to coordinate health care services, and mediating issues with landlords. Depending on the complexity of the tenants' needs, staff are onsite at each building between 3 to 7 days a week.

WWH views their position as an independent non-profit organization as a contributor to the success of the model, as tenants are often reluctant to ask for help or disclose health or personal issues to their landlord. Tenant support workers are in regular contact with the tenants, so they can monitor tenants' health and wellbeing and help connect them with health and community services as needs emerge. If care needs begin to exceed what can safely be provided in the building, the tenant support workers initiate conversations with the tenant about transitioning them to assisted living or long-term care. The tenant support workers also seek to proactively address or mediate issues that may put the tenancy of a senior at risk (e.g., arranging a volunteer team to assist with cleaning units, referral to adult quardianship programs if needed, helping to setup repayment plans if a tenant is behind on their rent).

WWH estimates that the cost of their model ranges from \$1 per tenant per day to \$8 per tenant per day depending on the needed intensity of programs and services. A preliminary 6-month evaluation of the WWH model was conducted by researchers from Simon Fraser

61

University at four pilot sites. Data was collected from 143 tenants at the pilot sites and 91 tenants from comparison sites. Due to the small sample size and short period of study, further research is required to determine the full impacts of the model, but there were promising results in several areas. At the pilot sites, a key finding was that the intervention resulted in increases over time in participants' sense of belonging, sense of purpose and meaning in life, and feelings that they were valuable to others. Positive trends were also observed for mental health and some types of social support, particularly for those with higher levels of participation in the activities and services. In the qualitative feedback, participants emphasized the impacts the programming has on their mental health and social connectedness, and how the program is a lifeline for lonely and isolated older adults.

"It pulled me right up to the surface. I wasn't very sociable at all. Whole Way House was the best thing that's happened because it helps your sanity. You get to be seen [rather than] sit in your room in solitary confinement all the time. They've got to keep this going. Don't shut it down."

"It gets me out of bed and it gives me something to do. I know somebody's going to be down here and I can talk to people. I have a different outlook now on life. So, everything's better. Everything."

Appendix 8. SRO Hub Program - Tenant Based Initiatives

The <u>SRO Hub Program</u> is a unique model that supports tenants to have a voice in decision making and employs tenants to support other tenants living in their buildings. The program was established in 2020 in eight privately-owned SROs in the Downtown Eastside of Vancouver by the SRO Collaborative. Single room occupancy hotels (SROs) are a type of low-income housing that consist of a small room with no private bathroom or kitchen. In the SROs, approximately 50% of the tenants are aged 50 and up.

The SRO Hub Program is built upon community organizing principles, and empowers and supports tenants to build relationships, provide mutual aid, and collectively identify issues and solutions. Goals of the program include: 1) Preventing evictions and homelessness and 2) Improving the affordability, habitability, health, sense of belonging, and safety of SROs. When possible, the SRO HUB Program attempts to build relationships with landlords and demonstrate the value of the tenant-based initiatives, although the programs operate even if the landlords are not engaged or supportive.

Tenant-based initiatives include:

- Outreach organizers who routinely survey their neighbours to identify unmet needs and issues and connect them to resources;
- Food programs where tenants cook, and/or distribute food to their neighbours;
- Organizing to stop the effects of extreme heat;
- · Fire prevention;
- Education on community organizing and tenant rights;
- Overdose response training and naloxone kits distribution;

- The BOLTS program provides maintenance and housekeeping supports (e.g., painting, decluttering) via peers; and
- Connecting Indigenous tenants to Elders and knowledge keepers and provision of holistic wellness supports.

The tenant who leads each initiative in their building receives a stipend or honorarium to support their work. SRO Collaborative staff do outreach in the buildings to understand their communities, identify potential tenant leaders, and offer them coaching and support.

To date, the tenant-based initiatives have been strongly embraced by the SRO tenants. For example, over April 2020 to October 2022, the BOLTS team completed 2,629 maintenance jobs and the food programs provided 44,000 prepared meals. The tenant based initiatives have immediate positive impacts on the tenants receiving the services (e.g., increase food security, prevent overdoses, create sense of pride in building), as well as those leading the initiatives.

"As I've just told you, I'm now elderly, officially, and uh, the great danger of being a single old man is isolation! And isolation and loneliness kills you, eventually. So, the SRO-C helps mitigate that; I'm connected, I have friends, I'm doing something, a sense of accomplishment."

63

Some of the landlords are also beginning to see the value of the BOLTS teams and SRO Hub's community development approach.

The SRO Collaborative is currently working on a governance project whereby tenants in the participating buildings can be members of their Tenant Building Committee, and an Organizing Committee is formed to lead the tenant-based initiatives, which are developed based on tenant needs and interests, as well as available resources.

The <u>SRO Hub Tenant Organizing Roadmap</u> provides more information on the SRO Hub organizing process.

The tenant-based initiatives also contribute more broadly to building community and the capacity of the SRO Hub Program to advocate with landlords, government, and other policymakers. One example is the SRO Vacancy Control Bylaw implemented by the City of Vancouver to regulate how much landlords can increase rents between tenancies. Because the controls put in place by the province to limit annual rental increases only apply when a unit is tenanted, landlords have a strong motivation to renovict/evict longstanding tenants so they can significantly raise the rents. The SRO Collaborative worked with the City to develop an approach for the bylaw that does not put the onus on the tenants to make complaints, but rather requires landlords to submit rental data to the City. This data can be checked against data the SRO Collaborative collects from tenants to ensure landlords are being truthful. In the spring of 2022, two landlords challenged the right of the municipality to implement such a bylaw in court, with the court ruling in favour of the landlords in August 2022. However, an appeal is underway and scheduled to be heard in late 2023.

64

Appendix 9. Seniors Housing Information and Navigation Ease

SHINE (Seniors Housing Information and Navigation Ease) is a collaborative province-wide initiative that supports older adults aged 60+ at risk of homelessness to navigate housing, mental health, and addiction services. The program is jointly funded by the Ministry of Health and Ministry of Mental Health and Addictions. Seniors Services Society of BC is the lead organization for the initiative and collaborates with nine partner organizations (West End Seniors' Network, Nanaimo Family Life Association, Prince George Council of Seniors, Seniors Outreach Services Society, Langley Senior Resources Society, Brella Community Services Society, Beacon Community Services, OneSky Community Resources, and Richmond Cares, Richmond Gives) to offer SHINE programs in all five regions of the province.

Key components of the SHINE program include: 1) Housing navigation and information and referral, 2) Connecting seniors to other support services, and 3) Training and knowledge mobilization (e.g., offering housing navigation training, educational podcasts, self-advocacy supports). SHINE housing navigators can assist seniors with housing needs such as advising on tenant rights, applying for housing and filling out forms, and mediation and conflict resolution with landlords. While SHINE was designed to support housing navigation, many of the seniors accessing the program have complex needs, and therefore also receive help with navigating a range of needs beyond housing (e.g., income benefits, digital technology literacy, health services).

In addition to providing assistance directly to individual seniors, SHINE also engages in advocacy to address process and policy issues that impact the housing stability of seniors. For example, the transition off of provincial disability or income assistance at age 65 to federal retirement income benefits was causing an income gap for some seniors. Therefore, SHINE advocated to the Ministry of Social Development and Poverty Reduction to ensure case managers will connect individuals turning 65 to federal retirement income benefits.

Appendix 10. Temporary Housing Program


The Temporary Housing Program (THP) is a program operated by Seniors Services Society of BC that provide short-term housing to Metro Vancouver seniors who are experiencing the loss of their home for a range of reasons (e.g., change in financial situation, building redevelopment, fleeing abuse). THP addresses the lack of senior-specific shelter options and fills a gap for seniors aged 60+ who are experiencing first-time homelessness.

Through the program, the senior can stay in a studio apartment for between 3-6 months, during which time a case worker works with them to organize their finances and secure permanent housing. Rent is 45% of the

individual's monthly gross income (or \$425 if they are a client of the Ministry of Social Development and Poverty Reduction).

Seniors Services Society of BC has developed strong relationships with many landlords which they are able to leverage when searching for housing options. After securing permanent housing, the case worker continues to provide support to the senior for up to three months.

Currently, the THP runs 15 units in New Westminster in partnership with BC Housing and there is very high demand for the units. There are plans to add an additional 38 units over the next two years.





@UnitedWay_BC



@unitedwaybritishcolumbia



@UnitedWayBritishColumbia



@unitedway_bc



United Way British Columbia



Working with communities in BC's North, Interior, Lower Mainland Central & Northern Vancouver Island

4543 Canada Way Burnaby, B.C. V5G 4T4 P 604.294.8929 | F 604.293.0220

Charitable registration number: BN 108160185 RR0001



CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 141 | Page

Appendix H: Community Plan Consultation Event Agenda

AEHCR Community Plan, Working Towards Functional Zero Homelessness: Partner Gathering, Victoria, January 30, 2024

Session Objectives

- Inform partners about the outcomes of community consultations that took place in 2023, including the key themes that emerged;
- Generate and theme actions towards the goal of functional zero homelessness in the Capital Region by 2030;
- Map actions against priority categories;
- · Identify what is needed to achieve the actions, and who needs to be involved; and
- Through the above, build a sense of ownership of and responsibility for the community plan among partners.

Time	Activity			
8:30 – 9:00am	Arrival, refreshments and registration			
9:00 – 9:30am	Welcome and introductions			
9:30 – 9:45am	Overview of day			
9:45 – 10:15am	Presentation from AEHCR			
10:15 – 10:45am	EXERCISE 1: Generating actions towards reaching the goal of functional zero homelessness in the Capital Region by 2030			
10:45 – 11:00 am	Break			
11:00 - 12:00pm	EXERCISE 2: Theming actions towards reaching the goal of functional zero homelessness in the Capital Region by 2030			
12:00 – 1:00pm	Lunch			
1:00 – 2:00pm	EXERCISE 3: Prioritizing actions towards reaching the goal of functional zero homelessness in the Capital Region by 2030			
2:00 – 2:15pm	Break			
2:15 – 3:00pm	EXERCISE 4: Exploring implementation of actions towards reaching the goal of functional zero homelessness in the Capital Region by 2030			
3:00 – 3:30pm	Final remarks, thanks and close			

Appendix I: Community Plan Consultation Event Presentation



Ending Homelessness and Prevention

Ending homelessness means investing in homelessness prevention – that is, stopping people from becoming homeless to begin with.

This involves a change in the way we think about homelessness.

Rather than managing the problem through emergency services, such as soup kitchens and shelters, it makes more sense to intervene before the loss of housing occurs, or if someone does experience homelessness, to get them stably housed as quickly as possible.

This requires several sectors, like the health, education, justice, child, and housing systems to work together to implement policies and interventions that lower the risks of homelessness.

Fisher, R. (2018) Homelessness Prevention: The Public Health Model. https://www.homelesshub.ca/blog/homelessness-prevention-public-health-model

HOPE HAS FOUND A HOME

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 143 | P a g e

A Typology of Homelessness Prevention

The typology of homelessness prevention is an integrated systems approach addressing the complex interplay of individual, relational, institutional, and structural or societal factors that produce and sustain homelessness.

Adapted from Riana Fisher (April 03, 2018)

A Typology of Homelessness Prevention https://www.homelesshub.ca/blog/typology-homelessness-prevention



CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 144 | P a g e

Structural Prevention

Structural Prevention

- Reduces the structural and systemic factors that contribute to housing precarity and social exclusion
- Goal is to enhance housing stability and inclusion
- Aimed at individuals, families, communities, or the entire population
- Can also involve more targeted strategies aimed at groups that are at higher risk of homelessness, such as seniors



Systems Prevention

Systems Prevention addresses

- The role of public systems in prevention homelessness
- Institutional and system failures
- Unsuccessful transitions from state institutions, such as hospitals, corrections, and child protection; institutional reintegration support

through three components

- 1. Fixing policy and procedural barriers
- 2. Enhancing access to public systems, services, and appropriate supports
- 3. Reintegration support



•

Early Prevention

Early Prevention is...

the policies, practices, and strategies targeted at individuals and families at imminent risk of, or who have just become, homeless

Ву

- addressing the underlying circumstances that increase the risk of homelessness,
- · building resilience
- decreasing the possibility for negative outcomes



Evictions Prevention

Evictions Prevention is...

early intervention and housing stability that keeps individuals and families at imminent risk of eviction in their homes

- Begins with landlord-tenant law and legislation, which outlines the rights and responsibilities of both landlords and tenants
- Informs both landlords and tenants of their rights through public awareness → important first step in helping tenants avoid the conditions that might result in eviction
- Tenants aware of their rights in the case of wrongful eviction



Housing Stability

Housing Stability is...

downstream prevention involving interventions to help people who experienced homelessness to

- · achieve and maintain housing stability
- never experience homelessness again

Key components are

- Housing supports
- · Supports for health and wellbeing
- Supporting access to income and education
- · Complementary supports
- Enhancing social inclusion



Takeaways

To **prevent homelessness**, we must address the issue across **multiple levels**.

It is vital that all orders of government and other sectors, such as justice and corrections, income supports, mental health and health, child protection, and housing, are engaged in homelessness prevention.







CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 148 | Page

Appendix J: 2022-23 Annual Report



CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 149 | Page



This year, the Greater Victoria Coalition to End Homelessness (GVCEH) has rebranded its name to ALLIANCE TO END HOMELESSNESS IN THE CAPITAL REGION (AEHCR).

The new name allows us to continue the extensive work that the GVCEH started in 2008 and build on our focus of leading change toward Functional Zero Homelessness in the Capital Region and beyond, grow partnerships to drive prevention, and shape a future where everyone has a safe place to call home.

VISION

A region, a province, and a country where everyone has a safe place to call home.

MISSION

To ensure experiences of homelessness in the Capital Region by 2030 are rare, brief, and non-recurring and that housing and supports are culturally adaptive, creative, caring, and person-centered.

TERRITORIAL ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We acknowledge with respect that we conduct our business within the traditional territories of many First Nations, including but not limited to BOKEĆEN (Pauquachin), MÁLEXEL (Malahat), P'achi:da?aht (Pacheedaht), Pune'laxutth' (Penelekut), Sc'ianew (Beecher Bay), Songhees, STÁUTW (Tsawout), T'Sou-ke, W JOLELP (Tsartlip), W SIKEM (Tseycum), and X*sepsem (Esquimalt), all of whom have a long-standing relationship with the land and waters from time immemorial that continues to this day.

We are aware of and strive to continue educating ourselves on the multilayered injustices that these communities still experience, and to keep on forging collaborative actions in addressing homelessness among Indigenous peoples.

CONTENTS

- 04 Message from the Co-Chairs
- Message from the Executive Director
- **06** Mission Critical
- 07 Community Outcomes Annual Highlights

Support Services
Housing
Advocacy & Awareness
Prevention Support
Collaboration & Leadership

- 19 Regional Highlights
- 23 Board of Directors & Committees
- 24 Auditor's Report

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 151 | Page



On behalf of the Board, it is our pleasure to reflect on the critical work accomplished by the Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region in the past year and to celebrate our collective efforts in achieving functional-zero by 2030.

2022-2023 was a year of continued transition. As our organization and sector re-emerges from the long-lasting effects of COVID, together, we have rallied to re-energize and re-commit to meeting the challenges that are facing our region, our nation and the world. The Alliance's efforts have continued to be deployed in supporting our members, partners and community and in bringing together all those who are able to transform the existing systems and to work for better outcomes for our unsheltered neighbours.

The focus of the Alliance has been to ensure that we cross the last few miles of the current Community Plan and to begin the consultation process to draft the upcoming one, where a greater focus must be placed on the upstream and downstream prevention needed to achieve functional-zero by 2030.

As the affordability crisis deepens, high interest rates and high inflation prevail, the Alliance pivoted to returning to its core mission and to ensure that our sector, now more than ever, continues to focus, cooperate and propose workable solutions.

Our small but mighty team has not been spared significant changes as we lost some of our staff to other opportunities. We also welcomed new staff to our organization who are just as passionate and caring and who dedicate their talents to our mission and the vision for our community.

Together we have the vision to effect change. Together we must continue to forge ahead to ensure every is welcomed, belongs and thrives in our community. No one is left behind. No one is forgotten. And everyone has a place they can call home.

MESSAGE FROM THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR



Dear members, colleagues, and partners,

I want to thank all of you for the contributions you make to this sector and for your dedication to continue to work together. Together, we continue the drive to find workable and sustainable solutions to achieve our common vision. Your tireless efforts, your perseverance and your resiliency are not only remarkable, but are at the center of my professional and personal inspiration.

SYLVIA CEACERO Executive Director

This year has shown us that circumstances beyond our control; labour shortages, economic turmoil, supply change issues, dimate change etc... have translated into a slower than anticipated post-COVID recovery. The connections and interconnections that are required to deal with this wicked problem that is homelessness and the demands to give more of us to this fight, have not gone unnoticed. Now, more than ever, we must come together to drive change and to be relentless in our quest to move forward with a dear direction, mandate and a plan of action.

As I perused previous annual reports, I was struck by the profound thoughts of two of our previous Executive Directors when they wrote: [...we needed to think beyond only mental health and substance use as critical drivers of homelessness and barriers to recovery. Homelessness and poverty are inextricably interconnected and need to be understood from the point of view of the people experiencing them. As we listen to those willing to share their story, it becomes critical to acknowledge and accept that the pervasive and inescapable experiences of poverty are trapping individuals in cycles of homelessness and this complex community challenge requires a complex societal response... Although those words were written almost 5 years ago, they continue to ring true today.

COVID dearly demonstrated that during a global crisis, we are able and capable of rallying together to find a way to safeguard the most vulnerable. As the housing, mental health, drug poisoning crisis escalate, so must our efforts. Prevention must be at the forefront of all that we do. The silos must be broken. The same old approaches must be shattered and rebuilt with a reconciliation lens. Collaboration and cooperation must prevail above all else.

Our complex societal response must be informed by the voices of lived and living experience and must engage every single person in our community. We continue to call for governments to work together and coordinate their efforts with us around housing, mental health, addictions, poverty reduction and support of families. We continue to call on partners to find the pathways to sustainable solutions with us. We look to our community at large to unite their voices to ours so we can carry those messages forth and effect change. We must act in ways we have not acted before. Ginni Rometty was famously quoted for saying "someone once told me growth and comfort do not coexist. I think that is a good thing to remember." We must act now, we must act boldly and we must act together.

Community Plan 2019-2024

The 2019-2024 Community Plan to End Homelessness in the Capital Region reflects the work of many partners, including people with lived experience of homelessness, Indigenous serving organizations, community not-for-profit service organizations, and representatives from government, businesses, and funders.

The on-the-ground experience of the homelessness serving sector, as well as the perspectives of people with lived experience and Indigenous people helped inform the development of Five Key Community-Based Outcome Areas and their associated goals and objectives.

Support Services:

- People experiencing homelessness will quickly and equitably receive the support they need over the course of their journey.
- Support services agencies have the manuale and capacity to deliver the needed services.

Housing

A supply of accessible, appropriate, and safe housing, centered around the unique needs of individuals is available.

Advocacy & Awareness:

Communities and neighborhoods are inclusive, empathetic, compassionate, and welcoming of people experiencing homelessness through advocacy and awareness that homelessness is something that impacts everyone in the community.

Prevention

People are pretented from becoming homoless

Collaboration & Leadership

Leadership at all community and government levels share a common sense or purpose and are effective collaborative, supportive, and inclusive.

The AEHCR's Five Strategic Goals Supporting Community Plan Outcomes



GOAL 1: Collective Impact

We align and focus the opportunities that arise from the intersection of our work in the community through the development and strengthening of partnerships that result in system transformation, upstream and downstream.

GOAL 2: Sector Resiliency

We support our sector's human beings to thrive in the service of our community by developing bestpractices approaches that are human capital centric.

GOAL 3: Research & Data


We uphold the highest research and data standards to support evidence-based decision-making at all levels.

GOAL 4: Advocacy & Communications

We amplify the voice of the sector to reach its functional-zero-by-2030 goal through the development and implementation of a sector-wide advocacy and communications plan.

GOAL 5: Organizational Strength

We continue to develop a GVCEH team that is adequately resourced to augment capacity that aligns and focuses on mission-critical actions that support the evolving needs of the sector.



CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 155 | Page



Project Reconnect 2022

The Downtown Service Providers (DSP) committee brought together dozens of service providers and information booths at the Royal Athletic Park for Project Reconnect last August 23, 2022.

Project Reconnect, the revitalization of a pre-COVID annual event known as Project Connect, is a one-day service and information fair for those experiencing homelessness and extreme poverty in Greater Victoria. More than 30 organizations participated and offered an estimated 200 attendees access to a variety of services including medical care, peer support, professional portraits, an ID clinic, and more. The event was generously sponsored by the United Way of Southern Vancouver Island (UWSVI) and the UWSI Labour Council.

Volunteers from the community and across the sector supported delivery of the event, including people with lived and living experiences of homelessness.



Project Reconnect is a good time to reevaluate the looking glass we view marginalized people in our society, whether they struggle with drug misuse or homelessness, we need to reevaluate and reconnect. I believe it's instrumental in bringing us together in working towards a common solution.

- Darrin Murphy, n with lived experience and one o









Extreme Weather Response Coordination

Starting on December 15, 2022, in anticipation of the cold and snowy winter season for the City of Victoria, the AEHCR took on the role of Extreme Weather Response (EWR) coordinator. The AEHCR coordinated the activation and deactivations of the City of Victoria EWR for overnight warming shelters in the event of extreme weather conditions deemed severe enough to present a substantial threat to the life of health of people who are experiencing homelessness.

Shelter beds were open

> 43 nights

Total of

mat spots provided over the season

3 organizations cooperated to provide mats

- · City of Victoria
- Salvation Army ARC
- Victoria Cool Aid Society

2 churches made their spaces available

- James Bay United
- St. John the Divine



Peer Housing Support Program

The Peer Housing Support Program flourished in 2022-2023 thanks to the UBCM funding support. The team strengthened active and ongoing collaboration between community partners in the housing, supports services, other partners, and those who are living unsheltered. With a holistic understanding of the social issue of homelessness, and a relational approach that centered and amplified the voices of those with lived experiences and their allies, the Peer Housing Support Program actively engaged in increased collaboration across the housing and supports services sector to maintain and build upon current levels of communication and relationship building, and to increase networking practices between teams, programs, and services across the Greater Victoria region, while centering and prioritizing the voices and the needs of those who are most vulnerable in their experiences with homelessness.


With a foundational Lived Experience approach to providing services, the Peer Housing Support Program sought to maintain and increase current support services including; employment for Persons with Lived Experiences of homelessness, increasing the number of persons who are actively supported through the Peer Housing Support Program with peer support, convened the Regional Community of Practice (a monthly meeting between multi-disciplinary peer support workers across the Greater Victoria region working across skillsets), operated the Greater Victoria Street Community Outreach Teams Connect, and supported the ongoing collaboration, relationship-building, daily networking with Victoria City Bylaw Services, continued and increased peer support engagement with the Aboriginal Coalition to End Homelessness with activity-based peer support drop-in times that focused supports for the residents of Speq??éutxw (SPAKEN) House and much more.

The Peer Housing Support Program was a resounding success and the GVCEH is very proud of the team that led this program, the supports they provided to those living unsheltered, and achieving the outcomes expected by all partners and our community.









CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 158 | Page



Tiny Homes Village Licence Extension

BC Housing, Our Place Society and the AEHCR requested a six-month licence extension from the City of Victoria to continue to provide temporary housing at the Tiny Homes Village (940 Caledonia Ave.) until September 30, 2023.

The project partners invited the community to a public information session at the North Park Neighbourhood Association last February 14, 2023 to provide updates, answer questions from the community, and gather feedback.

Since May 2021, this Tiny Homes Village has offered safe and warm conditions to our community's most vulnerable people while BC Housing builds permanent supportive homes in the region. While many building projects are nearing completion, industry-wide construction delays have caused the need for this extension.

It is now anticipated that all Tiny Homes residents will move into permanent homes by the end of September 2023, at which time the Tiny Homes Village will be removed from the site.










CRD 2024 Schedule A Report



CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 160 | P a g e



Sooke Municipal Engagement in Communication, Education, and Awareness Initiative

Sooke Homelessness Coalition volunteer members completed the Sooke Homelessness Awareness & Strategic Plan Presentation to the District of Sooke Council at Committee of the Whole on Jan 16, 2022. Education and awareness were provided regarding funding sustainability around the potential for all levels of government to participate in shared accountability partnerships. District of Sooke to consider Service Agreement with Sooke Shelter Society for core funding.





Talking Circles and Resident Meetings

The talking circles and resident meetings that were facilitated monthly across various supportive housing units and shelters in the capital region served to amplify the voices of the residents who were being served by those shelters and supportive housing units. This amplification of the voices of the residents helps those managers, staff, and supervisors within the sites who can effect change within the units be aware and updated on the needs, wants, and issues of the residents.

These meetings, and the notes transcribed from them, served to provide anonymous qualitative data that was used to create an infographic report on user-centered design for housing as well.

- Number of talking circles and resident meetings facilitated in total: 65.
 - » Number facilitated at Medewiwin: 10.
 - » Number facilitated at Mt. Tolmie: 11.
 - » Number facilitated at The Soleil: 11.
 - » Number facilitated at Tiny Homes: 11.
 - » Number facilitated at The Hope Center: 9.
 - » Number facilitated at Mt. Edwards: 11.
 - » Others upon request: 2.
 - » Total number of resident attendees: 653
- Number of Chew and Chats Facilitated: 4.
- 1 Indigenous focus group for user-centered design for housing.



CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 161 | P a g e



Face 2 Face with Stigma Workshops

Face to Face with Stigma provided 31 workshops, reaching 428 participants, over the course of the 2022-2023 fiscal year. The range of organizations reached was far-reaching and included:

Law Enforcement

- Paladin Security
- Police victims services
- RCMP
- Sooke fire and bylaw
- Victoria Police Department recruits

Events

Sooke Community Event

Education

- Camosun Social Justice
- Reynolds Secondary School
- University of Toronto
- · University of Victoria Inspire program students
- University of Victoria 4th year nurses

Community Organizations

- BC SPCA
- Boys and Girls Club South Vancouver Island
- Canadian Mental Health Association
- Fernwood Neighbourhood Resource Group
- PEERS.
- · Victoria Brain Injury Society

Face 2 Face with Stigma (F2F Stigma) is an anti-stigma workshop created, driven, and led by people with lived/living experiences. The goal of the workshop is to educate, inspire empathy and reduce fear toward people experiencing homelessness and/or substance use disorder.

In a safe environment, the workshop provided an opportunity for essential conversations on various topics relating to equity and stigma. Personal stories presented were used to foster equality to decrease stigma by changing mindsets and perspectives of the community.









CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 163 | Page



Surfacing Our Strengths: Pathway To Action


The Surfacing Our Strengths: Pathway to Action, held last November 15, 2022, convened organizations and representatives from across diverse sectors (e.g. service providers, federal/provincial/regional/municipal governments, health, housing providers) and people with lived experience to advance concrete commitments and actions towards systemic housing solutions for women+ at risk of violence and homelessness in the Capital Region.

The objectives of this half-day event were to:

- » To refocus and prioritize commitment from partners, funders, and government to collaboratively address appropriate and adequate housing and supports for women+ at risk of violence;
- » To share priority opportunities for action from the Surfacing Our Strengths Housing Lab roadmap and explore specific next steps/innovations needed;
- To strengthen relationships and deepen understanding across sectors and organizations.









Inspire Conference (Navigator App)


In the spring and summer of 2022, the GVCEH (doing business as the AEHCR) partnered with Garage Apprentice students from the University of Victoria's Inspire Program to create a Navigator App prototype designed to assist Women and Gender-diverse individuals navigate the systems designed to support those fleeing violence and at risk of homelessness.

The first annual INSPIRE Conference was the culmination of students' community-focused, experiential learning experience and a showcase of their project stories, accomplishments, and experiences in its 2022 Apprentice Garage Program was held September 7, 2022, at the Esquimalt Gorge Park & Pavilion. The GVCEH project team presented their prototype at the event.



Youth Task Force

With Jarvis Neglia as Chair, the Youth Task Force met several times throughout the year with a focus on the distinct needs of youth in the context of: Functional zero, the role of prevention, Coordinated Access, Collective Impact, and growing a connection to the BC Coalition to End Youth Homelessness. Task Force meetings included a strong focus on program level information sharing, as well as sector level actions. This created rich discussion, however varying levels of seniority around the table sometimes made more cohesive action challenging. In the coming fiscal year the Youth Task Force will begin to assess the optimal membership and form to continue both the vital information sharing as well as strategic sector action. Jarvis Neglia has since moved on to new challenges and his initiative and leadership will be greatly missed.



CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 165 | Page



2022 City of Victoria Municipal Election Town Hall

The Downtown Service Providers (DSP) Committee hosted a City of Victoria Municipal Elections Townhall on September 27, 2022, at the First Met Fellowship Hall. The town hall brought together candidates running for Mayor and Councillors for the City of Victoria with the primary focus of putting the homelessness issue at the forefront of the discussion. The Townhall also provided the opportunity for the candidates to engage with the community and answer their questions.

Five questions had been sent out to all candidates prior to the town hall that not only asks about their plans and stand on homelessness issues but also seek their commitment to support concrete actions, should they be elected.








Macro-Support & Sector Capacity Building through Micro-Credentialing

Collaborating with the University of Victoria Division of Continuing Studies, the AEHCR has been co-developing free, accessible micro-credentialed training modules for workers in the homelessness response housing sector. These modules are being created through engagement with housing providers and peers in the community and are aligned with sector/peer-identified needs. These modules



are designed through sector-wide shared understanding and implementation of best practices relating to identified gaps: cultural competencies and decolonization training/practices, supporting women and 2SLGBTQIA+ community (responding to Gender-based and intimate partner violence), self-care and burnout prevention, standardized reporting (data entry and event logs), de-escalation and violence prevention, trauma-informed care, harm reduction, and safety.

The AEHCR and UVic's Division of Continuing Studies, collaborating with the Best Practices Collective, has been developing a 6-module online professional development certificate to increase sector capacity and improve service delivery and resident outcomes. Delivery of the first module, Mental Health, and Substance Use and Supporting Others Caring for Self, was held in April 2023 (fiscal year 2023-2024).



CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 167 | Page

COMMUNITY DATA DASHBOARD

Kicking off on July 26, 2022, the Community Data Dashboard Working Group (CDD-WG), is working to create a data dashboard. The dashboard will be shared internally to start, with the delivery of an external facing dashboard as the work progresses.

The initial data dashboard focuses on a housing baseline snapshot. Information on housing coming online, Emergency Weather Response activations, and City of Victoria outdooring sheltering information are included in the initial dashboard. Additionally, the CDD-WG is looking at housing definitions to categorize existing housing accurately.

Although not ready for external publication, the CDD-WG has finalized information for many member organizations and is in the process of collecting information from the remaining member organizations for the following indicators:

- » mats/beds/units,
- » occupancy rates,
- » waitlist number.
- » and sex & Indigeneity demographics.



To date, the CDD-WG consists of the following alliance member organizations involved in housing:

Anawim Companion Society, BC Housing. CRD, Greater Victoria Housing Society, Island Health, John Howard Society of Victoria, Our Place Society, Pacifica Housing Advisory Association, PHS, Salvation Army, The Cridge Centre for the Family, Threshold Housing Society, Victoria Cool Aid Society, and Victoria Women's Transition House. Recent additions to the working group include Society of Saint Vincent de Paul and Beacon Community Service

EXPLORE SONGHEES TOUR





On June 23, 2022, the System Transformation Working Group joined the Explore Songhees Tour to walk the talk of Reconciliation and learn more about the territories on which we are doing our work. The event offered a unique opportunity to deepen our understanding of Indigenous cultures, histories, and traditions. It was a chance to engage in meaningful dialogue, learn from one another, and build bridges of mutual respect and friendship.

BURNSIDE GORGE COMMUNITY MAPPING

Burnside Gorge Community Association (BGCA), located in lak *agan Traditional Territories, in collaboration with the AEHCR, entered a multi-year Burnside Gorge Neighbourhood Engagement strategy, funded by the Provincial Strengthening Communities Grant. Building on the first phase of the overarching engagement strategy, multiple in-person engagements were held over the course of the 2022-2023 fiscal year. Following up on Phase 1 information gathering, the BGCA and AEHCR hosted an event in Cecelia Ravine on April 9, 2023 to gather further community input.

A further engagement held May 19, 2022, looked at themes developed through the April 9th engagement and included the following:

Access to Nature

• Parks • Dog Parks • Nature Walks • More Plants • Water Access

Rasic Needs

· Health · Housing · Supports · Policy Recommendations

Biking, Driving & Walking

· Galloping Goose · Bike Lanes · Traffic Calming

Business Development

• Social Enterprise • Grocer • Business Hub

Community Events & Inclusion

• Youth & Children • Families • Seniors • BBQs • Nerf Parties • Outdoor Movie Nights

- · Clean Teams & Community Cleaning · Markets
- · Activate Cecelia Ravine Park · Gardens

Safety & Amenities

· Safe Night Walking · Community Patrol · Policing • Showers on the Galloping Goose

Sports & Recreation

· Water Access/Water Park · Walking Groups · Drop-in Sports (ages 20-30) • Basketball







The engagement held June 13, 2022, focused on visioning for community activities that foster mutual care inclusion, safety, health & belonging, and committees were formed to work on two agreed upon projects; the activation/placemaking in Cecelia Ravine Park and the creation of a Map of Burnside Gorge Gems.

While the activation/placemaking in Cecelia Ravine Park was not realized, the project culminated in the Burnside Gorge Community Gems community map.

REFUGEE READINESS TEAM COLLABORATION





The AEHCR joined the Refugee Readiness Team (RRT-VI) September 2022. The Alliance provided support for the development of multiple questionnaires and interview guides to collect housing information from displaced Ukrainians, settlement workers, those sponsoring refugees, those hosting displaced Ukrainians, and landlords on Vancouver Island. Funded by the Province of BC, Ministry of Municipal Affairs, the RR-VI is collaborating with the Alliance to create a Regional Housing report that will be used to support the Refugee Readiness Team initiative.

PARK PEOPLE WEBINAR

The Alliance participated in the Accountability in Community Consultation and Impact Measurement webinar on March 8, 2023, hosted by Park People, presenting information on their Central Park/940 Caledonia case study. Engagement to Inform the City of Victoria Policy Regarding Encampments: Engaging People Sheltering Out-of-Doors was a Central Park Participatory Action case study that focused on engaging members of the unhoused community as collaborators and peer researchers, resulting in best practices developed from the learnings of the engagement.




BOARD OF DIRECTORS & THE ALLIANCE TABLES

BOARD OF DIRECTORS 2022-2023

Co-Chair: Jeff Bray - Downtown Victoria Business Association Co-Chair: Cliff McNeil-Smith – Mayor of Sidney Treasurer: Emily Sluggett Secretary: Sean Dhillon Donald Kattler - Aboriginal Coalition to End Homelessness Erika Stenson – United Way Southern Vancouver Island Jennifer Fox - BC Housing TBC Jill Alley - Person with Lived Experience Kelly Reid - Island Health Kevin Murdoch – Mayor of Oak Bay Maja Tait - Mayor of Sooke Sandra Richardson - Victoria Foundation Troy Tucker - Person with Lived Experience Ex-Officio: Don Elliott

THE ALLIANCE TABLES

Health & Housing Steering Committee System Transformation Working Group Lived & Living Experience Council Community Data Dashboard Working Group Best Practices Collective Community Engagement & Communications Working Group Youth Task Force



Financial Statements


Greater Victoria Coalition to End Homelessness Society (dba Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region)

March 31, 2023

Greater Victoria Coalition to End Homelessness Society (dba Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region)

Contents

	Page
Independent Auditors' Report	1-2
Statement of Financial Position	3
Statement of Changes in Net Assets	4
Statement of Operations	5
Statement of Cash Flows	6
Notes to the Financial Statements	7-12



Independent Auditors' Report

Grant Thornton LLP Suite 650 1675 Douglas Street Victoria, BC V8W 2C5

T +1 250 383 4191 F +1 250 381 4623

To the members of Greater Victoria Coalition to End Homelessness Society

Opinion

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of Greater Victoria Coalition to End Homelessness Society ("the Society"), which comprise the statement of financial position as at March 31, 2023, and the statements of operations, changes in net assets and cash flows for the year then ended, and notes to the financial statements, including a summary of significant accounting policies.

In our opinion, the accompanying financial statements present fairly in all material respects, the financial position of Greater Victoria Coalition to End Homelessness Society as at March 31, 2023, and its results of operations and its cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with Canadian accounting standards for not-for-profit organizations.

Basis for Opinion

We conducted our audit in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements section of our report. We are independent of the Society in accordance with the ethical requirements that are relevant to our audit of the financial statements in Canada, and we have fulfilled our other ethical responsibilities in accordance with these requirements. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.

Responsibilities of Management and Those Charged with Governance for the Financial Statements


Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with Canadian accounting standards for not-for-profit organizations, and for such internal control as management determines is necessary to enable the preparation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the financial statements, management is responsible for assessing the Society's ability to continue as a going concern, disclosing, as applicable, matters related to going concern and using the going concern basis of accounting unless management either intends to liquidate the Society or to cease operations, or has no realistic alternative but to do so.

Those charged with governance are responsible for overseeing the Society's financial reporting process.

ALICE (Tex (Advisory)

(Citrary 1% miles III E. A wanadian Member of Grant Thomas Information) Ltd. 1



Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of these financial statements.

As part of an audit in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards, we exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit. We also:

- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks, and obtain audit evidence that is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Society's internal control.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates and related disclosures made by management.
- Conclude on the appropriateness of management's use of the going concern basis of accounting and based on the audit evidence obtained, whether a material uncertainty exists related to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the Society's ability to continue as a going concern. If we conclude that a material uncertainty exists, we are required to draw attention in our auditor's report to the related disclosures in the financial statements or, if such disclosures are inadequate, to modify our opinion. Our conclusions are based on the audit evidence obtained up to the date of our auditor's report. However, future events or conditions may cause the Society to cease to continue as a going concern.
- Evaluate the overall presentation, structure and content of the financial statements, including the disclosures, and whether the financial statements represent the underlying transactions and events in a manner that achieves fair presentation.

We communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and significant audit findings, including any significant deficiencies in internal control that we identify during our audit.

Report on other legal and regulatory requirements

As required by the Societies Act of British Columbia, we report that, in our opinion, the accounting principles in the Canadian accounting standards for not-for-profit organizations have been applied on a consistent basis

Victoria, Canada July 25, 2023

Chartered Professional Accountants

Great Thousand LLP

Audit | Tinc | Advisory ID Orant Thereton LLP & Consider Momber of Grant Thereton & Considerability 2

Greater Victoria Coalition to End Homelessness Society (dba Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region) Statement of Financial Position

March 31		2023		2022
Assets Current				
Cash and cash equivalents (Note 5) Accounts receivable Government remittances receivable Prepaid expenses and deposits Short term investments	\$	171,903 4,125 3,120 10,078 26,000 215,226	\$	347,638 3,544 3,120 12,996 - 367,298
Tangible capital assets (Note 3)	_	194,186 409,412	_ \$	578,680 945,978
Liabilities Current Payables and accruals Deferred contributions (Note 4) Deferred capital contributions (Note 5)	\$	39,367 126,696 188 516	\$	39,430 223,554 565,548
	_	126,696 188,516	_	223,554 565,548
Net Assets Invested in capital assets Unrestricted	_	5,670 49,163	_	13,132 104,314
	_	54,833	_	117,446
	\$_	409,412	\$_	945,978

Commitments (Note 10)

On behalf of the Board

See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

Greater Victoria Coalition to End Homelessness Society (dba Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region) Statement of Changes in Net Assets

Year ended March 31					2023		2022
	Invested in capital						T-1-1
	assets	Unrestricted	8		Total	8 1-	Total
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 13,132	\$ 104,314	\$	1	17,446	\$	169,308
Deficiency of revenue over expenses	(7,462)	(55,151)		(62,613)		(51,862)
Additions to tangible capital assets less capital contributions received							
Balance, end of year	\$ 5,670	\$ 49,163	\$		54,833	\$	117,446

See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

Greater Victoria Coalition to End Homelessness Society (dba Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region) Statement of Operations

Year ended March 31		2023	2022
Revenue Government grants (Note 6) Individual donations Corporate donations Contributions from other registered charities (Note 6) Miscellaneous revenue Membership fees Interest	\$	1,114,104 \$ 199,728 146,939 101,155 2,522 1,000 978	2,035,285 270,097 219,124 70,401 1,286 725 165
	-	1,566,426	2,597,083
Expenses Amortization Communications and consultation Council and committee meetings General administration Professional fees Program expenses (Note 7) Rent Wages and benefits	-	384,494 9,520 10,104 58,461 25,313 615,932 72,495 452,720	573,266 13,692 7,296 64,983 20,724 1,427,166 65,364 476,454 2,648,945
Deficiency of revenue over expenses	\$_	(62,613)	(51,862)

See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

Greater Victoria Coalition to End Homelessness Society (dba Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region) Statement of Cash Flows

Year ended March 31		2023		2022
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents				
Operating Deficiency of revenue over expenses Amortization of deferred capital contributions Amortization	\$ 	(62,613) (377,032) 384,494	\$	(51,862) (565,548) 573,266
	-	(55,151)	-	(44,144)
Change in non-cash operating working capital Accounts receivable Government remittances receivable Prepaid expenses and deposits Payables and accruals Deferred contributions	_	(581) - 2,918 (63) (96,858)	_	(2,109) 2,383 (4,477) (389,138) 121,359
	_	(94,584)		(271,982)
	_	(149,735)	_	(316,126)
Investing Purchase of short term investments Purchase of tangible capital assets	_	(26,000)	_	(748,027)
		(26,000)	_	(748,027)
Financing				
Deferred capital contributions	-		-	580,918
	_		-	580,918
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		(175,735)		(483,235)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year		347,638		830,873
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$	171,903	\$_	347,638

See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

6

March 31, 2023

1. Purpose of the Society

The Greater Victoria Coalition to End Homelessness Society (the "Society") was incorporated on July 25, 2008 under the Societies Act of British Columbia. In February 2023 the Society changed its operating name to the Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region and continues to do business under this name. The Society's purpose is to engage community organizations, governments and non-governmental agencies to work in partnership with each other and the broader community to lead and drive the commitment to end homelessness in the Capital Regional District of British Columbia. The Society received status as a registered charity effective April 1, 2009.

2. Summary of significant accounting policies

Basis of presentation

The Society has prepared these financial statements in accordance with Canadian accounting standards for not-for-profit organizations.

Revenue recognition

The Society follows the deferral method of accounting for contributions.

Operating grant revenue is recognized in the year for which the grant is awarded. Accordingly, operating grant revenue awarded for periods subsequent to the current year is deferred to the next fiscal year.

Restricted contributions related to general operations are recognized as revenue in the year in which the related expenses are incurred.

Unrestricted contributions are recognized as revenue in the year received or receivable if the amount to be received can be reasonably estimated and collection is reasonably assured.

Cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand and balances with banks and highly liquid temporary investments with maturities of three months or less.

Amortization

Rates and bases of amortization applied to write off the cost less estimated salvage value of capital assets over their estimated lives are as follows:

Furniture and equipment Computer equipment Leasehold improvements Temporary shelter 20%, straight-line 33-1/3%, straight-line Term of lease Term of lease

7

March 31, 2023

2. Summary of significant accounting policies (continued)

Use of estimates

In preparing the Society's financial statements, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the year. The more subjective of such estimates are the collection of accounts receivable, the useful life of tangible capital assets and the accrual of accounts payable and liabilities. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Financial instruments

The Society's financial instruments consist of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, and payables and accruals.

Financial instruments are recorded at fair value on initial recognition and are subsequently recorded at cost or amortized cost, unless management has elected to carry the instruments at fair value. The Society has not elected to carry any such financial instruments at fair value.

Volunteers

Volunteers contribute an indeterminable number of hours to the Society across its operations. Since no objective basis exists for recording and assigning fair values to donated time, the value of this time has not been reflected in the accompanying financial statements.

March 31, 2023

3. Tangible capital as	sets				2023	-	2022
		Cost	į	Accumulated amortization	Net book value		Net book value
Furniture and equipment Computer equipment Leasehold improvements Temporary shelter	\$	12,428 66,969 18,386 1,124,065	\$	12,428 61,216 17,297 936,721	\$ 5,753 1,089 187,344	\$	135 11,065 5,448 562,032
	\$_	1,221,848	\$	1,027,662	\$ 194,186	\$	578,680

4. Deferred contributions

Deferred contributions relate to restricted operating funding received that relate to future years.

	BC Housing	City of Victoria		Island Health Authority	 Canadian Mortgage and Housing	Non- Government	Total 2023
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 27,288	132,290	\$	8,333	\$ 31,718	\$ 23,925	\$ 223,554
Received during the year	10,071 37,359	376,553 508,843	0.0	91,667 100,000	31,718	196,614 220,539	674,905 898,459
Less: amounts recognized as revenue	37,359	501,532		100,000	31,718	101,155	771,764
Balance, end of year	\$ \$	7,312	\$		\$ i.	\$ 119,384	\$ 126,696

5. Deferred capital contributions

Hey Neighbour project: In the previous fiscal years the Society crowd-sourced funding from numerous individuals and local businesses and raised \$1,131,096 toward construction costs. These funds raised are being amortized into revenue over the life of the project. The amount recognized as revenue during the year is \$377,032 (2022: \$565,548).

March 31, 2023

6. Grants

The Society's major funding sources are from contributions received from other registered charities and grants received from government sources as follows:

	_	2023	 2022
Government grants City of Victoria Capital Regional District Island Health CMHC BC Housing Ministry of SDPR	\$	605,816 321,311 100,000 49,418 37,359 200	\$ 322,896 402,167 100,000 60,902 1,126,445 22,875
	\$_	1,114,104	\$ 2,035,285
Contributions from other registered charities			
Burnside Gorge Community Association Victoria Foundation Second Harvest Food Bank Social Planning and Research Council Other	\$	36,962 29,333 18,200 - 16,660	\$ 38,526 - 18,750 13,125
	\$	101,155	\$ 70,401

10

March 31, 2023

7. Program expenses	_	2023	_	2022
Program expenses consist of the following:				
Peer Housing Support	\$	338,356	\$	263,212
Face to Face with Stigma		151,634		80,076
National Housing Solutions		37,359		60,902
Burnside Gorge Neighbourhood Engagement		36,962		4,375
Sector Capacity Building		25,716		
Inclusion & Collaboration		8,607		41,903
Extreme Weather Response		6,941		42,704
Documentary: 940 Caledonia		6,250		16,111
Youth Hostel Pilot		4,107		886,984
Community Laundry Pilot				22,414
Participatory Action Research				6,133
Youth Homelessness	_	-	_	2,351
	\$	615,932	\$_	1,427,166

11

March 31, 2023

8. Risk management

In the normal course of business, the Society is exposed to liquidity risk. The Society's primary risk management objective is to protect cash flow in order to support its operations. Risks are managed within limits ultimately established by the Society's Board of Directors and implemented by management.

The carrying value of cash and cash equivalents, term deposits, accounts receivable, and payables and accruals approximates fair value due to the relatively short-term maturity of these financial instruments. Unless otherwise noted, it is management's opinion that the Society is not exposed to significant interest, currency or credit risk arising from these financial instruments.

Remuneration

Under the new Societies Act, which came into effect November 28, 2016, societies must disclose remuneration paid to directors, and to employees and contractors whose remuneration was at least \$75,000 for the fiscal year. During the year, the Society paid no remuneration to directors.


During the year, one employee met this criterion who was paid total remuneration of \$107,827 (2022: \$88,038).

10. Commitments

The Society has operating leases with future minimum aggregate lease payments as follows:

2024	33,522
2025	18,405
2026	18,405
	\$ 70,332

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 185 | Page



CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 186 | P a g e



2023 SPECIAL GENERAL MEETING NOVEMBER 30, 2023 1:00PM – 2:00PM VIA ZOOM

MEETING MINUTES

Meeting Chair: Cliff McNeil-Smith

ITEM	PROCEEDINGS					
Meeting call to order	Cliff McNeil-Smith called the meeting to order at 1:10PM. He advised everyone that we will be conducting our regular AGM business at this Special General Meeting.					
Land Acknowledgement and welcome	Cliff McNeil-Smith gave the land acknowledgement and introduced the members of the Board.					
Determination of Quorum	Cliff McNeil-Smith advised the meeting that the Societies Act section 82 (4) states: "The bylaws of a society may provide that if a general meeting is adjourned until a later date because a quorum is not in attendance, and if, at the continuation of the adjourned meeting, a quorum is again not in attendance, the voting members in attendance constitute a quorum for the purposes of that meeting."					
	Our by-laws make no provision for this, so we will adhere to the Societies Act, thereby the voting members in attendance at this meeting constitute a quorum.					
Approval of Agenda	Motion to approve the SGM meeting agenda of November 30, 2023. Moved, Seconded, Carried.					
Approval of 2022 AGM Meeting Minutes	Motion to approve the minutes of the 2022 Annual general Meeting November 17, 2023. Moved, Seconded, Carried.					
Treasurer's Report: Approval of Audited Financial Statements 2022-23 Approval of Auditors 2023-24	Board Treasurer Emily Sluggett presented the Audited financial Statements for 2022-23. Emily advised the membership that the Greater Victoria Coalition to End Homelessness was on budget and received a clean audit for the fiscal year 2022-23. Motion to approve the Audited Financial Statements for 2022-23. Moved, Seconded, Carried.					
	Motion to approve the appointment of the Auditors for 2023-2024 fiscal year - Grant Thornton. Moved, Seconded, Carried.					

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 187 | P a g e

Annual Report and Executive Director's Report	Cliff McNeil-Smith asked if there were questions regarding the activities of the Alliance from last year arising from the Annual Report. There were no questions.			
Election/Appointment of Director(s) Troy Tucker, Person with Lived Experience	Cliff began by acknowledging Jeff Bray who came onto the Board of Directors in 2019 and served as the community Co-Chair during his tenure on the Board. Cliff thanked Jeff for his years of service and contribution to the Alliance. Jeff left the Board on September 28. This has created an additional vacancy on the Board; however the Board has chosen not to fill this vacancy at this time.			
	In accordance with bylaw 4.3e "the Board of Directors must call for nominations for directors at least 30 working days before an annual general meeting;" And 4.3 f "the Board of Directors must receive the nominations by no later than 10 working days before the Annual General Meeting."			
	There is one nominee for the only vacancy on the Board of Directors; Troy Tucker for the Director with Lived and Living Experience position. In accordance with bylaw 4.3, I declare this individual elected to the Board of Directors by acclamation. He will serve a 1-year term.			
Closing Remarks	Cliff McNeil-Smith acknowledged and extended appreciation to everyone in attendance, our members, colleagues, partners and friends.			
	He expressed deepest gratitude to the small but mighty Alliance team and to the Board of Directors. Our work would not be possible without your dedication, strength and support.			
	Cliff McNeil-Smith asked Sylvia Ceacero to introduce the staff.			
Motion to adjourned	Motion to adjourn the Special General Meeting for 2023. Moved, Seconded, Carried.			

Appendix L: Finding Housing for Displaced Ukrainians and Refugees on Vancouver Island: Regional Housing Report







FINDING HOUSING FOR DISPLACED UKRAINIANS AND REFUGEES ON VANCOUVER ISLAND: REGIONAL HOUSING REPORT



Presented by:

Inter-Cultural Association of Greater Victoria
Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region

Funded by / Financé par:







Immigration, Refugees and Citizenship Canada

Immigration, Réfugiés et Citoyenneté Canada

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Lan	d Acknowledgement	2
Exe	cutive Summary	3
Mai	n Findings	4
Rec	ommendations	5
FIN	IDING HOUSING FOR DISPLACED UKRAINIANS AND REFUGEES	
ON	I VANCOUVER ISLAND: REGIONAL HOUSING REPORT	6
1.	Introduction: Housing Market on Vancouver Island	6
2.	Methodology	9
3.	Findings: Housing Challenges and Opportunities for	
	Displaced Populations	12
	a) Participant Demographics	12
	b) Finding Housing: Refugee and Displaced Ukrainians	15
	c) Supporting Housing Searches: Private Sponsors and	
	Settlement Workers	30
	d) Limitations	39
4.	What's Next? Working Together for Suitable Housing	40
	a) Key Findings Across Research Groups	40
	b) Recommendations	42
5.	Glossary of Terms	44
6.	References	48
7.	Who are We?	49
	a) We are GVLIP	49
	b) We are RRT-VI	49
	c) Partners	49
	d) Authors	50

Note on How Data is Described in this Report

This report was produced for a general audience with the main findings highlighted and keeping technical language to a minimum. For a deeper look at the data tables and analysis that support the material in this report, please see the <u>Technical Annex</u>.

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 190 | P a g e

Land Acknowledgement

Vancouver Island contains mountains, fertile agricultural lands, beaches, ancient rainforests, rivers, fjords, and archipelagos, which make up the traditional territory of more than fifty Coast Salish Nations. As newcomers and settlers we acknowledge with respect the Coast Salish people whose historical relationships with the land continue to this day. Presenting this housing report, we are aware of our uninvited presence and occupancy as settlers on this land. In the spirit of creating strong, respectful relationships, we are committed to working in partnership with all Indigenous communities who reside on Vancouver Island to reduce the impact of colonization that continues through our work with newcomers.



Charles Elliot (Canadian, Coast Salish) | Seals | 1982 | serigraph | Gift of George & Lola Kidd (2008.003.056)

2

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 191 | P a g e

Executive Summary

This report focuses on housing and community integration for displaced Ukrainians and Afghan and Syrian refugees on Vancouver Island. The report calls for a collaborative and adaptive housing strategy on Vancouver Island, emphasizing resilience, inclusivity, and ongoing support for a sustainable future.

Information was collected through interviews, focus groups, and an online survey from January to March 2023. A total of 109 individuals responded among them displaced Ukrainians and refugees, hosts of Ukrainians, private sponsors of refugees, landlords, and settlement workers.

By incorporating the voices of these groups, this report provides a first glimpse into the housing needs, challenges, and strategies of a vulnerable population across Vancouver Island.



CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 192 | P a g e

Main Findings:

Displaced Ukrainians and refugees struggle with high rental prices and limited housing options across Vancouver Island with many participants reporting that they spend more than 70% of their income on rent, indicating that housing is a seriously high financial burden for them.

Challenges finding housing are not just experienced by displaced newcomers, but also by their hosts, sponsors, and settlement workers who are each in their own way offering support and assistance with the housing search.

Communication challenges, including language barriers, pose difficulties. Tenants, hosts, and landlords worry about their ability to communicate with each other. Even with language barriers, several landlords in this study shared that they found renting to refugees rewarding and that they would be open to renting to refugees again.

Most hosted Ukrainians rated their hosts highly and hosts found the experience of hosting fulfilling. Both groups felt supported by community organizations like Ukrainian cultural organizations and expressed a willingness to host again.

Hosts, sponsors, and settlement workers agree that well-rounded community support makes a difference. For example, the role that Ukrainian cultural organizations played in matching and supporting hosts and hosted individuals was highly valued. All groups spoke about the importance of sharing resources and increasing or maintaining community support for displaced and refugee tenants, hosts, landlords, and sponsors.

The majority of displaced Ukrainians shared that they feel connected to their communities, citing that they like knowing their neighbors and that they feel safe in their new communities. This connection influences their preference for staying in their current neighborhoods on Vancouver Island.



CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 193 | P a g e

Recommendations:

Information Sharing: Develop a comprehensive information-sharing strategy to disseminate resources on housing, services, and support. Ensure tenants with refugee backgrounds are well-informed about their rights and responsibilities.

Awareness and Collaboration: Recognize the challenges faced by displaced individuals and refugees in finding permanent housing. Offer ongoing support by collaborating with community organizations and partners to provide resources, share information on reliable housing opportunities, and assist in finding affordable housing. Collaborate with local immigrant serving agencies to facilitate smoother transitions for displaced individuals and refugees.

Support for Landlords, Hosts, and Sponsors: Provide tailored support for hosts, landlords, and private sponsors involved in accommodating displaced populations and refugees. Arrange regular check-ins with settlement workers to ensure hosts receive necessary support and guidance throughout the hosting process.

Communication and Interpretation: Emphasize the importance of effective communication in the landlord-tenant relationship and provide translation and interpretation to facilitate communication.

Advocate: Advocate for the development of a comprehensive support infrastructure, including financial assistance, settlement worker check-ins, and accessible online resources for all partners involved in housing initiatives.

Effective and Responsive Strategies: Encourage municipalities to regularly evaluate the effectiveness of their housing strategies. Seek resident and stakeholder feedback to adapt policies in response to evolving community needs and emerging challenges.

Effective and Responsive Policies: Engage with policymakers to promote diversity in housing options and address the unique needs of different communities.

Create Community Connections: Finally, recognize the pivotal role of community connections for displaced populations. Develop programs that foster ongoing support networks, creating a sense of community and addressing the diverse needs of displaced individuals.





-

FINDING HOUSING FOR DISPLACED UKRAINIANS AND REFUGEES ON VANCOUVER ISLAND: REGIONAL HOUSING REPORT



1. Introduction: Housing Market on Vancouver Island

As an increasingly popular living destination, Vancouver Island has witnessed a surge in population growth (8%) from 799,400 in 2016 to 864,864 residents in 2021. The most significant growth was seen in the municipality of Langford (31.8%), and in Nanaimo (10%) and Courtenay (9.2%) regions. Greater Victoria grew 8% with a population of 397,237 in 2021 (Focus on Geography, Census of Population 2021).

This population growth, together with low vacancy rates across the Island poses significant challenges to its housing market. This is true across the Island but particularly evident in the south. At the time of the survey (late 2022), the median price of single-family homes and condominiums combined was just over \$1 million. At that same time, the average price of a two-bedroom rental in Greater Victoria was \$1,711 a month. According to the National Rent Ranking of December 2023, the average two-bedroom rental on the South Island had increased to \$2,786 a month and the region was ranked as the third-most expensive rental market in British Columbia after Vancouver and Burnaby.

As a result, across Greater Victoria, many households are overspending on housing, "renters, homeowners, and those on the verge of homelessness say that an increasingly unaffordable housing market is driving them to [consider leaving the Island]... residents ... are worried about what it means for their futures — and their ability to afford to stay on the South Island" (Fagan, CBC News, 2023, February 22).

While housing and rental prices in the Mid and North Island are not quite as high as in the South, these regions also have seen a steady increase in prices, with rents in Nanaimo, Courteney and Cambell River coming close to those of the South Island (\$1,300-\$1,500 for a two-bedroom at the end of 2022).



Although there has been much effort across municipalities on the Island to build and/ or secure more affordable rental housing and provide homeownership opportunities for residents, the rental vacancy rate on the Island remains low (ranging from 0.3 in Duncan to 2.2 in Nanaimo in 2022; Canadian Mortgage and Housing Corporation, 2022) and housing affordability remains stubbornly unattainable.

Refugees and Displaced Populations

The housing crisis affects individuals and families across the country, but for refugees and other displaced populations who are already struggling with multiple barriers to integration in their Canadian communities, the housing crisis exacerbates the trauma of displacement and fosters a sense of helplessness in a new country.

As of February of 2023, we have seen an estimated 950 Ukrainians arriving on Vancouver Island.¹ Based on information from Ukrainian cultural organizations across Vancouver Island, there were approximately 103 families hosting displaced Ukrainians.

[&]quot;Non-profit group sees 'massive uptick' in Ukrainian refugees arriving on Vancouver Island Vancouver Island News," Brendan Strain, CTV News (Feb. 23, 2023).

We were interested in hearing from these groups about the successes and challenges of this approach to responding to the housing needs of recently displaced individuals.

In this report, we draw insights from interviews, focus groups, and online surveys conducted with displaced Ukrainians, and Afghan and Syrian refugees. Further, we heard from hosts of displaced Ukrainians, landlords, private sponsors of refugees, and settlement workers on Vancouver Island to provide insight into the successes and challenges of those providing housing and those providing support.

"It's getting harder every year to live here. The conditions for finding housing are too many, and there's no solution. Food is too expensive, and life is becoming hard."

[Research participant]



By incorporating the voices of these various populations, this report provides a first glimpse into the housing needs, challenges, and strategies of a vulnerable population across Vancouver Island.

Recruitment of Participants

Participation for the Hosted Ukrainians survey was solicited through contact with Ukrainian cultural organizations on Vancouver Island who shared the link to the online questionnaire with Ukrainians living with host families. Information regarding the survey with the link to the questionnaire was also uploaded to the social media platforms of the Inter-Cultural Association of Greater Victoria (ICA). Participation for the private refugee sponsors survey was solicited through contact with Sponsorship Agreement Holder agencies (SAH) across Vancouver Island, asking these agencies to share the online questionnaire with people who have sponsored a refugee. Participation for the landlord survey was solicited through ICA's social media and by sharing the online questionnaire with the RRT-VI's Housing Working Group members and asking them to pass it on to landlords in their network. Participation for the settlement worker survey was solicited through contact with immigrant serving agencies across Vancouver Island, requesting that the agencies share the questionnaire with their settlement workers, asking them to participate in the survey.

2. Methodology

At the height of the first arrival of displaced Ukrainians in September 2022, the Refugee Readiness Team for Vancouver Island (RRT-VI) developed questionnaires, focus group guides, and interview protocols to capture a diverse range of perspectives on the housing barriers for newly arrived displaced Ukrainians and other recently arrived refugees such as people from Afghanistan and Syria. This was a collaborative effort with input from the RRT-VI Housing Working Group and housing experts across the Island.

In addition to hearing directly from displaced Ukrainians and refugees from Syria and Afghanistan, an effort was made to gather information from the people housing them including hosts of Ukrainians, and landlords as well as from groups offering support such as private sponsors of refugees and settlement workers. Tailored questionnaires were conducted for each group to capture their unique perspectives and experiences. In addition, several focus groups were held across the Island to capture the stories of displaced Ukrainians and refugees to acknowledge the rich lived experiences that a survey cannot gather and to provide a fuller understanding of the challenges these populations face.

This rich and multifaceted approach sought to provide a nuanced understanding of the regional housing dynamics and the complexities inherent in the housing experiences of recent refugees and displaced people on Vancouver Island. Spanning from early January to the end of March 2023, the gathered data and conversations covered a range of topics, including housing searches, current accommodations, budgets, and



CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 198 | P a g e


The interview and focus group guide focused on displaced Ukrainians, many of whom held Canada-Ukraine Authorization for Emergency Travel (CUAET) visas, and Syrian and Afghan refugees. Focus group sessions conducted both in-person and online from January 30 to March 29, 2023, were facilitated by ICA, the Cowichan Intercultural Society (CIS), and the Immigrant Welcome Centre of Comox Valley (IWC) across South Island/ Capital Region, Mid-Island/ Cowichan Valley, and North Island/ Comox Valley. Participants for the focus groups were recruited from various sources, including Help Ukraine Vancouver Island's client base. In total four interviews and four focus groups were held as follows:

- South Island 2 focus groups
- Mid-island 1 focus group, 4 individual interviews
- North Island 1 focus group

Interviews were mostly conducted in English. Ukrainian, Russian, and Arabic interpretations were provided in some instances.

Qualitative Analysis of Focus Groups

The interview and focus group data consisted of interviewer/facilitator field notes. Field notes were coded and analyzed by themes such as length and strategies for finding housing, types of housing, sense of belonging, surprises when looking for housing, and other such groups. This theming was done by a group of individuals, aggregated by the lead researcher, reviewed, and is available in the <u>Technical Annex</u>.



Analysis and Reporting of Surveys

Results of surveys are reported in aggregate by specific groups (e.g., Ukrainians being hosted, hosts of Ukrainians, private sponsors of refugees, landlords, and settlement workers) as each survey contained a different series of questions.

Results for questions that spanned multiple groups surveyed, interviewed, and engaged are examined in a later section, with a discussion focusing on trends across areas where there were similar results across groups. As the respondents were allowed to skip questions they did not want to answer, the number of individuals responding to each question often varied within a questionnaire (i.e., all 7 private sponsors did not answer all questions).²

Because some of the survey respondent groups were quite small, it is difficult to report percentages or extrapolate wide-ranging conclusions from them. In those cases, when groups were small (8 respondents or fewer), discussion for these questionnaires were not reported in percentages but instead, we used the following in-text descriptors: "a couple" or "few" (2), "some" (3-4), "most" or "almost all" (5-7), all (8). A more detailed categorization of respondents along these lines can be found in the <u>Technical Annex</u>.



² Lack of response may also be due to the individual not finishing the questionnaire before the survey was closed. Questionnaires designated as "In progress" are included in the analyses provided.

3. Findings: Housing Challenges and Opportunities for Displaced Populations

a. Participant Demographics



In total, there were 109 people who responded to questionnaires or participated in interviews or focus groups. One-half of the respondents and participants identified as Ukrainian.

With a total of 55 Ukrainians responding either with the online survey, an interview, or a focus group (29 questionnaire participants, 26 interview and focus group participants), the total number of Ukrainian participants in this study represents almost 6% of the total number of displaced Ukrainians on the Island (estimated at 950). All participants were over the age of 18.


With a total of 13 hosts of Ukrainians responding to the survey, the total number of participants represents almost 13% of the total number of families hosting displaced Ukrainians on the Island (estimated at 103).

The majority of participants were living in Greater Victoria. This region was the place of residence for 21% of Ukrainian participants who were living with hosts, most of the hosts, and more than one-half of the landlords who participated in this research. The largest groups of displaced Ukrainians, Syrian refugees, and Afghan refugees were living in either Duncan (38%) or Victoria (31%).

For interview and focus group participants, we see that most interview or focus group participants were from Duncan or Greater Victoria. This is most likely due to the location of the organizations hosting the interviews and focus groups and the availability of transport to those locations.³

Private sponsors of refugees and settlement workers were asked to provide the name of the municipality or region where they lived or worked, respectively. Most private sponsors lived in the Cowichan Valley/Duncan area, while most settlement workers worked in Greater Victoria.

Individuals participating in interviews and focus groups were asked for additional demographic information. This information included gender, age, family composition, country of origin, religion, ethnic group, arrival in Canada, and cost of living/income.




Almost all focus group participants provided information regarding their country of origin, with the majority of people stating that Ukraine (72%) was their country of origin.

All focus group participants provided information regarding their ethnicity with nearly three-quarters of participants (69%) describing their ethnic background as white.


Out of the focus group participants, 64% shared their date of arrival in Canada. Since not everyone gave an exact date, we're focusing on the year of arrival. 73% mentioned they came in 2022-2023, and most of them were Ukrainians who had been displaced due to the war, others were refugees who arrived between 2015 and 2019.

³ The full cross reference of location and category of participant can be found in the technical annex.

Focus Group Demographic Information



Three-quarters of participants provided information regarding the percentage of their income spent on rent. The majority of participants spend more than 70% of their income on rent, with an additional one-quarter of participants spending between 30% and 50% of their income on rent. It should be noted that this is above the recommended 30% of before-tax income.





b. Finding Housing: Refugees and Displaced Ukrainians

Observations from Focus Groups with Refugees and Displaced Ukrainians

Four Interviews and four focus groups with a total of 36 displaced Ukrainians, Syrian and Afghan refugees were held from January 30, 2023, to March 29, 2023. Instead of exact numbers, we will use approximation terms like few, some, most, or all to describe responses.

When asked about their experiences looking for housing, participants described how they felt and what surprised them the most. All interview and focus group participants provided information on their experiences looking for housing with almost all of those responding by stating that they were shocked by rental prices. All but one participant stated that the high rent prices and the high demand with low vacancy rates were surprising. Some were also surprised that rentals were unfurnished.

When asked about their active search for housing, with all but one participant providing information, some stated that they had been looking for housing for three to six months or that they were still looking for housing.

While not everyone had found a rental, everyone responded to the question 'How did you find your rental?' The chart below combines information from those who already found housing with those still in the process of searching. While it is not possible to distinguish between those who found permanent housing and those who have yet to find permanent housing, the information still paints a picture of how people are finding housing and the most common places they have looked or are continuing to look. Many found housing, or are looking for housing, through family or friends, with a few looking online or through a property management company.



Participants were asked to describe their current housing with questions ranging from describing the housing, landlords, rental agreements, and past rentals. When asked to describe their current housing all focus group participants and one interview participant provided information ranging from the number of bedrooms to satisfaction with current housing. Some participants expressed satisfaction with their current housing (e.g., 'meeting immediate needs,' 'alright,' 'okay'), while only a few stated that they were not satisfied with their current housing.

Many participants were either living with their host family or another type of shared accommodation or in an apartment. All participants provided information regarding their landlord. Twenty of the participants had a private landlord, six rented through a management company, five were still living with their host families, and one participant was living in an Airbnb rental. Two of the participants said that their landlord was a good person.

Many participants discussed maintenance issues. One-half of this group felt that there were moderate issues with their housing and these issues were addressed in a timely manner. However, this may be an 'over-estimate' of the timeliness of fixing maintenance issues given the lack of rental/ housing stock so people may be less willing to ask for issues to be fixed.

Almost all participants provided rental agreement information, with many having short-term or one-year lease agreements. There were a few individuals that were still living with their hosts. Also, it must be noted that one individual did not have any type of rental agreement and two indicated that they had verbal agreements, thus would not be afforded any rental protections if the relationship between those participants and their landlords were to change in a negative way.



When asked if there was any direct link between finding employment and finding housing, many, but not all, provided information regarding their employment status. Almost three-quarters of participants listed their sources of income, with most stating that they were employed. Almost all participants discussed the connection between employment and housing applications with some stating that they required proof of employment when they were applying for housing.

Looking at rents and the percentage of income spent on rent, we found that many people pay \$1,500 or more per month, and for more than 30% of participants, this exceeds their total income. Only a few managed to find rents below \$1,500 per month. Incomes seemed to vary widely between participants. Some participants reported spending over 70% of their total income on rent but paying less than \$1,500 a month, indicating that these participants are lower earners. Other participants said that they were spending less than 30% of their income on rent but paid \$1,500 or more each month, indicating a higher income.

"We cannot buy everything. Enough for food and we also visit the food bank, we don't always have enough for the right clothes, we mostly buy second-hand."

[Focus group participant]



Many of those participating in the interviews and focus groups indicated that they were not able to afford food and necessities once their rent was paid. Some were relying on Ukrainian cultural organizations, foodbanks, income assistance, and community organizations for assistance, and some individuals relying on multiple sources or working overtime to afford food and necessities.

People were asked in interviews and group discussions about their ties to the community. Almost everyone said they either *felt a strong connection* or had some level of connection to the community.



Many people feel strongly connected to their community, so it's not surprising that they prefer living in their current neighborhood or on Vancouver Island. Although some are open to moving for a better job in another city, many are still influenced by their desire to remain on Vancouver Island.

Key Findings from Focus Groups

- 1. High Rental Prices: Many refugees and displaced Ukrainians are struggling with high rental prices and limited housing options. Rental costs and high demand for housing are major barriers, with most expressing shock at the high prices and low vacancy
- 2. Long Search Duration: Participants typically spend three to six months or more searching for housing. Employment status plays a role, with some facing challenges due to the need for proof of employment in housing applications.
- 3. Financial Strain: Some individuals spend a significant portion of their income on rent, making it difficult to afford basic needs. This financial burden leads many to rely on external support like food banks and income assistance.
- 4. Community Connection: Despite challenges, the majority feel connected to their communities, citing factors like knowing neighbors and feeling safe. This connection influences their preference for staying in their current neighborhood or on Vancouver Island.

Recommendations

- 1. Affordable Housing Support: Implement initiatives to support affordable housing, easing financial burdens for refugees and displaced individuals. Ensure housing costs are reasonable and accessible.
- 2. Employment Programs: Strengthen programs supporting newcomers in finding meaningful employment, recognizing the crucial role of secure employment in securing a home.
- promote community integration, acknowledging its role in fostering a sense of belonging and well-being for newcomers.

3. Community Integration Initiatives: Encourage initiatives that







Responses from Ukrainians Living with Host Families:


The survey data from the responses of Ukrainians living with host families on Vancouver Island provides valuable insights into their experiences and challenges.

The highest number of Ukrainians moving in with host families did so in February and March 2023, with 30% and 26%, respectively.

The family composition of the 29 Ukrainians living with hosts reveals a diverse group, with a total of 53 adults and 21 children. The family sizes ranged from one to four individuals with an average of 2.6 individuals per family which highlights the predominantly small family units.


The majority of respondents were matched with host families before arriving in Canada, with a significant proportion ranking the matching process highly. This underscores the effectiveness of pre-arrival coordination, contributing to the positive experiences reported by the vast majority who did not encounter difficulties with their host families: of Ukrainians living with hosts, 92% reported no problems with their host families while other 8% mentioned language barrier and cultural differences as difficulty.

Shared accommodation emerged as the prevailing living arrangement for Ukrainian families, with over 60% reporting that they shared some living space with their hosts. This not only reflects the practicalities of available housing but also highlights the communal approach to providing support and fostering connections within the community.



In total, 18 respondents opened the "Ukrainians Living with Hosts" online questionnaire. Of those 18 individuals, there were 11 fully completed questionnaires, 2 partially completed questionnaire, and 5 partially completed questionnaires with no data. Questionnaires with no data were eliminated from further analyses, leaving a total of 13 for analyses.

Seventy-seven of the participants (29 respondents) shared that they are actively searching for a permanent home and of these, 69% told us about the resources they're using to find housing. Half of them are using social media for this purpose.




Further, 66% provided information on the number of housing listings they had applied for, with the majority of respondents indicating that they had applied for more than 5 listings and some applying to 11 or more.

Out of the people surveyed, most answered a question about searching for housing in various places. About four-fifths of them said they are looking for housing in their current area and not elsewhere.

The challenges faced by displaced Ukrainians in their search for more permanent housing reveal common barriers. Lack of available housing, lack of secure employment, and lack of credit history were identified as the top three barriers. These challenges underscore the complex nature of securing stable housing, with economic and credit-related factors playing significant roles. The fact that over three-quarters of respondents are actively looking for more permanent housing suggests a continued need for support in this crucial aspect of resettlement.

Of all participants, 36% mentioned that they received support with their search for permanent housing from their hosts and the Ukrainian community (20%). This emphasizes the importance of community connections in providing the necessary support network for displaced individuals and refugees navigating the challenges of finding housing in a new country.



When asked about the support they want for finding housing, the top preference was sharing resources for the housing search. Participants clarified that this meant that they are looking for information on where to look for housing, providing rental websites, assisting with the search process, explaining how to search, offering a verified housing database, and providing information on finding reliable ads.



Key Findings from Ukrainians Living with Hosts

- 1. Family Size: Ukrainians living with host families on Vancouver Island are small families with an average of 2.6 individuals per family.
- Recent Influx and Community Responsiveness: A significant number of Ukrainians moved in with host families in February and March 2023, indicating a need for host families and community responsiveness.
- 3. **Pre-arrival Coordination Success:** The majority were matched with host families before arriving, highlighting the effectiveness of pre-arrival coordination resulting in positive experiences for 92% of respondents.
- 4. Common Living Arrangements: Shared accommodation is prevalent, with over 60% sharing some living space with their hosts, highlighting a communal approach to support.
- **5. Barriers to Housing Access:** The top three barriers are lack of available housing (62%), lack of secure employment (46%), and lack of credit history (39%).
- Desired Housing Support: Respondents express preferences for sharing resources for the housing search (32%) and support in finding affordable housing (21%).
- Community Support: 36% received support in securing permanent housing, primarily from hosts and the Ukrainian community, underscoring the importance of community connections.

Recommendations

- 1. Strengthen Pre-arrival Coordination: Enhance efforts to match Ukrainians with host families before their arrival in Canada to ensure a smooth transition.
- **2.** Address Barriers: Address language, economic, and credit-related barriers to facilitate the housing search process for Ukrainians.
- 3. Enhance Community Engagement: Provide targeted support for housing searches, including sharing resources, assistance with the search process, and information on finding reliable housing.
- **4.** Foster Community Connections: Continue building and fostering community connections to provide a strong support network for displaced individuals.




c. Housing and Hosting: Hosts and Landlords

Responses from Hosts of Ukrainians⁵

Out of the 13 hosts responding, all shared details about the housing they were providing. Most offered shared living spaces, while only a few had separate units. This matches the responses from displaced Ukrainians in the survey.

Almost all hosts of displaced Ukrainians provided information regarding the individuals and families they were hosting, providing accommodation for 19 adults and 4 children for a total of 23 guests, with an average of 2 guests per host family. Just under three-quarters of hosts provided information regarding the length of time they have been hosting displaced Ukrainians, with over one-half still hosting.




Almost two-thirds of hosts started hosting in the Spring and Summer of 2022. This aligns with the responses given by hosted Ukrainians who reported a start date of April 2022 through March 2023.

Many hosts of Ukrainians did not encounter difficulties while hosting and provided answers about what they found rewarding while hosting. Some hosts indicated that they did encounter difficulties with the language barrier marked as the main difficulty.

In total, 18 respondents opened the "Ukrainians Living with Hosts" online questionnaire. Of those 18 individuals, there were 11 fully completed questionnaires, 2 partially completed questionnaires with no data. Questionnaires with no data were eliminated from further analyses, leaving a total of 13 for analyses.

Responses to the question of what the hosts found rewarding were coded into three categories and included providing support, learning from guests, and appreciation. Of the 10 respondents describing what they found rewarding, almost one-half stated that providing support and/or learning from guests was rewarding.

Regarding support for guests seeking permanent housing, the majority of hosts expressed commitment to aiding this transition. However, the data also highlights a subset of hosts who did not offer such support, indicating a potential area for improvement in ensuring consistent assistance for displaced individuals. Notably, for hosts providing support, the top response was directing guests to the right organizations for professional assistance. This underscores the crucial role of local Settlement agencies and Ukrainian organizations in supporting hosts and facilitating smoother transitions for displaced individuals, highlighting the effectiveness of community partnerships in addressing the needs of the displaced population.



Almost all hosts replied to whether they felt supported in their role as a host, with almost two-thirds replying that they felt supported or somewhat supported in their role as a host. People who felt supported as hosts shared information about their support.

Of the 25 Ukrainians living with hosts almost two-thirds (64%, 16) reported that they did not receive support in their housing search. However, for a multiple selection question, of the 9 respondents (36%) who said they received support, 7 indicated that their hosts provided support with their housing search (accounting for 78% of total responses). (See the <u>Technical Annex</u> for details).

Almost all hosts replied to whether they felt supported in their role as a host, with almost two-thirds replying that they felt supported or somewhat supported in their role as a host. People who felt supported as hosts shared information about their support. Most commonly, they mentioned getting support from local Ukrainian cultural organizations. This suggests that Ukrainian organizations have played a significant role in supporting those who hosted displaced Ukrainians moving to Vancouver Island.

Who supported you in your role	of host?				
Support from local Ukrainian organizations				433	
Support from Settlement agencies	4	•			
Support from personal network	49)			
Support from churches	729				
Other		(2	1%		

Looking forward, hosts expressed a willingness to continue their roles, with a majority open to hosting again.

However, a more complicated view emerges as hosts are less certain about hosting refugees from countries other than Ukraine, indicating a potential need for tailored support and guidance for those considering expanding their hosting efforts. Additionally, hosts expressed specific desires for financial assistance and check-ins with immigrant serving agencies, underscoring the importance of ongoing community support to ensure the well-being of both hosts and those

Key Findings from Hosts of Ukrainians

- 1. Language Barrier: Language barrier was a difficulty for some hosts.
- 2. Rewards for hosts: Rewards for hosts included providing support and learning from guests.
- **3. Support for Permanent Housing:** The majority of hosts supported guests by helping to find permanent housing. The top support method was directing guests to relevant organizations for professional assistance.
- **4.** Feeling Supported: Nearly two-thirds of hosts felt supported in their role. Local Ukrainian cultural organizations were a significant source of support for hosts.
- Future Hosting Intentions: Most hosts expressed a willingness to continue hosting displaced Ukrainians. They were uncertain about hosting refugees from countries other than Ukraine.

Recommendations

- Tailored Support for Hosts: Provide tailored support for hosts willing to increase their
 efforts through immigrant-serving agencies and community organizations. Specifically,
 consider how to expand the readiness of hosts to host refugees from countries other than
 Ukraine.
- 2. Address Views and Worries: Address views on hosting refugees from countries other than Ukraine and provide tailored guidance to ensure diverse hosting efforts are supported.
- 3. Financial Assistance and Check-Ins: Address hosts' specific desires for financial assistance.



26

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 215 | P a g e

Responses from Landlords

The insights gathered from landlords responding to the questionnaire shed light on their perspectives, practices, and willingness to rent to individuals or families with a refugee background. Almost all of the respondents identified themselves as private landlords, emphasizing the prevalence of independent property owners in the housing market. This distribution suggests that either many refugees find housing in private rental accommodations or that private landlords are more willing to participate in the survey.


All landlords responding provided self-contained spaces for those they were renting to. A self-contained space can mean a completely separate space (such as an apartment or a townhouse), but it can also mean a suite in the same building as the landlord but with separate access and amenities (a basement or other suite). As expected, landlords more often provide self-contained spaces when compared with hosts of displaced Ukrainians.



Notably, six out of ten landlords have rented to individuals or families with a refugee background in the past. Concerns about renting to refugees were relatively low, with half of the respondents expressing no concerns. Among the identified concerns, language barriers were mentioned by nearly one-third of the landlords, highlighting the importance of effective communication strategies in the landlord-tenant relationship.


Almost all landlords stated that they would be open to renting to an individual or a family with a refugee background who has no previous rental experience in Canada. This includes a rental provider managing 925 units. Only one landlord stated that they would not be open to renting to a refugee and one landlord did not respond.

In total, 13 respondents opened "A questionnaire for Landlords" online questionnaire. Of those 13 individuals, there were 8 fully completed questionnaires, 2 partially completed questionnaires, and 3 partially completed questionnaires with no data. Questionnaires with no data were eliminated from further analyses, leaving a total of 10 for analyses.



Those landlords who stated that they would be open to renting to persons with a refugee background also provided information on what might encourage them to rent to such individuals. Incentives included tenants knowing their rights, access to translators as needed, available housing funds for the first year to cover the rent if needed, and access to support workers from immigrant-serving agencies or non-profit organizations.

The linkage between landlords' concerns and encouraging incentives was dependent on language barriers and the ability to access translation services.



Key Findings from Landlords

- 1. Landlord Participation: Most landlords participating in this survey, were open to renting to individuals or families with a refugee background.
- **2.** Concerns About Renting to Refugees: Language barriers are a significant concern, mentioned by almost one-third of the landlords.
- 3. Incentives for Landlords: Landlords express willingness to rent to refugees, with incentives such as informed tenants, translation services, housing allocation for the first year, and support from immigrant serving agencies.

Recommendations

- 1. Address Language Barriers: Implement strategies to address language barriers between landlords and tenants with a refugee background.
- Provide Resources: Offer resources to facilitate successful housing arrangements, including information on tenants' rights and responsibilities, translation services, and support from immigrant serving agencies.



CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 218 | Page


d. Supporting Housing Searches: Private Sponsors and Settlement Workers

Responses from Private Sponsors

The data on refugee sponsorship provides valuable insights into the experiences of private sponsors of refugees and the challenges they face in supporting newcomers with refugee backgrounds. In contrast to the number of displaced Ukrainians supported by hosts, the sponsors have supported a larger group, totaling 39 adults and 19 children, amounting to 58 family members with a mean average of 5 individuals per family. There are likely reasons for this difference, such as sponsors not necessarily hosting the refugees they support themselves. About half of the refugees rented a place before arriving, while the other half stayed with one or more private sponsors.


All respondents sponsored refugees from Syria, except for one who also sponsored refugees from Afghanistan. Notably, four out of six respondents personally knew the Syrian refugees they sponsored because they were family members.

The challenges associated with planning for housing upon the newcomers' arrival are evident, with all 7 sponsors finding it difficult. The main barriers identified include the high cost of housing, the lack of available rental housing, family considerations, and the challenge of not having a set arrival date. These challenges underscore the complex nature of resettlement and the multifaceted support required for successful integration.




In total, 8 respondents opened the "Questionnaire for private sponsors on supporting refugees" online questionnaire. Of those 8 individuals, there were 5 fully completed questionnaires, 2 partially completed questionnaires, and 1 partially completed questionnaire with no data. Questionnaires with no data were eliminated from further analyses, leaving a total of 7 for analyses.





Sponsors actively involved in searching for permanent housing for newcomers indicated various types of support provided. These include helping with applying for below-market housing, offering guidance on the search process, providing hands-on support, leveraging personal networks, and assisting with applications to organizations such as BC Housing. Finances were identified as the primary barrier for newcomers with a refugee background to find housing. Five private sponsors provided information regarding the type of support they received when sponsoring newcomers, with the majority of those respondents stating that they received support from the Sponsorship Agreement Holder (SAH) organization.



In reflecting on the most challenging aspects of being a private sponsor, the sponsors highlighted challenges in supporting access to housing and facing financial constraints. These challenges underscore the importance of ongoing support mechanisms and resources to ensure the well-being of both sponsors and the refugees they are supporting.



Key Findings from Private Sponsors

- 1. Planning Challenges: Sponsors face difficulties in planning for housing upon the refugees' arrival, with barriers including high housing costs, limited rental options, family considerations, and uncertainty about arrival dates.
- **2.** *Financial Constraints:* Cost is a significant barrier to finding housing, identified by 64% of sponsors as a challenge.
- **3.** Language Barriers: Communication challenges, including language barriers, pose difficulties for sponsors, with 11% mentioning this as a concern.

Recommendations

- **1. Ongoing Support:** Sponsors and refugees can benefit from continuous support mechanisms to navigate challenges in housing, communication, and integration.
- Accessible Online Resources: Providing accessible online resources, such as toolkits and workshops, can empower sponsors and refugees in their housing search and integration process.








33

CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 222 | P a g e

Responses from Settlement Workers

Settlement workers responding to the online questionnaire provided services for all newcomers eligible to receive settlement services or Government-Assisted refugees only. The services provided ranged from supporting applications to BC Housing to support move-in and included assistance with the housing search process, referrals to housing organizations, emailing or calling landlords on behalf of the clients, landlord advocacy, and education on tenants' rights and responsibilities.



When settlement workers were asked about how newcomers have been settling in the past two years, they mentioned that newcomers usually stay in temporary housing such as staying with relatives, in hotels, shelters, or rentals with a set end date (e.g. Airbnb) for an average of 3 months to 1.5 years. This situation affects the newcomers' feelings of stability and safety, causing frustration that diminishes their motivation to continue searching for housing. One settlement worker pointed out the challenges refugees face, especially those sponsored under the Government Assisted Refugees (GAR) program because they only have 12 months of funding. This creates difficulties in establishing a stable routine when they arrive and can give a false perspective on "starting in Canada."

In total, 16 respondents opened the settlement worker online questionnaire. Of those 16 individuals, there were 13 fully completed questionnaires, 1 partially completed questionnaire, and 2 partially completed questionnaires with no data. Questionnaires with no data were eliminated from further analyses, leaving a total of 14 for analyses.

When settlement workers were asked about the challenges that people or families with a refugee background face when searching for housing, most of them said that high rents are the main problem. Some mentioned that not having references from previous landlords and having a limited income are also obstacles. Additionally, a few said that landlords turning down applications from big families and language difficulties are significant challenges. Surprisingly, only a small number of respondents mentioned a lack of available rental properties as a barrier to finding housing.

"If you are talking about refugees that are sponsored, it is very challenging as it gives a false perspective on 'starting in Canada' and as they only have 12 months of allowance, they need to get into a routine fast."

[Settlement worker]





When settlement workers were asked if they believed that specific socio-demographic groups faced more difficulties in securing housing than others, almost all responded "yes". The most frequent responses regarding the socio-economic groups facing more difficulties included limited income, groups who cannot communicate in English, immigrants, and refugees. It is important to note that a number of settlement workers highlighted that they felt that refugees from the Middle East and Africa face more difficulties in securing housing than refugees from other regions. While we know that the settlement workers responding to the online questionnaire worked with all newcomers eligible to receive immigrant services or Government-Assisted Refugees only, we do not know the ethnic composition of the groups they supported that may have some influence on their responses.

Most settlement workers shared reasons why certain socio-demographic groups might struggle to find housing. Some mentioned that refugees and immigrants face challenges because they can't express their needs directly. Others said that prejudice against people from different races or having low income and high living expenses could be factors. Less frequently mentioned reasons included a lack of suitable and affordable housing, cultural differences, the challenges of being a newcomer without community connections, and limited resources like no internet.

"Unlike international students who tend to leave after 8 months or Ukrainians who have a more familiar background to Canadians, Afghan clients are unknown to many landlords and because of their lack of English language skills landlords tend to worry about renting to Afghans."

[Settlement Worker]





One settlement worker commented on the possibility that unfamiliarity with cultures from the Middle East might create issues due to biases and racism in the community. Another settlement worker commented on refugees having a "lack of privileges to have time to network to make connections."

When asked what concerns landlords might have, almost all settlement workers provided 29 responses that were distilled into 14 categories. The top two suggestions for landlord concerns were the ability to pay rent on time and take care of the rental property. While not mentioned as frequently, some settlement workers suggested that the size of the family, language barriers, poverty/unstable income, and having no references may also have been concerns for landlords.

While not a concern, one settlement worker commented on landlords feeling renting to newcomers as "too much work for them because it is easier to rent to more affluent and local people" and that landlords feel they are "able to make more money off locals."

Insights into landlords' concerns and incentives reveal a nuanced picture. While financial stability and the ability to care for property remain top concerns, there is room for positive engagement. Landlords express a sense of compassion for newcomers and believe in the positive impacts of increased diversity in neighborhoods and long-term tenancy. However, challenges persist, with some landlords expressing a reluctance to rent to newcomers due to perceived complexities.

As is evident in these answers, there wasn't much agreement on what settlement workers perceived landlords' concerns and landlords' responses to the survey except for both groups recognizing that language barriers could be a challenge. Because the numbers responding to these surveys was low, this is not a surprise. It is good to keep in mind as well that settlement workers work with a variety of landlords and that there are a lot of differences between landlords. Absentee landlords, landlords with inequitable practices, and landlords who are hostile to newcomers, are less likely to volunteer to answer a survey asking about their practices and their attitudes towards immigrant tenants. The differences between the answers should be seen as complementary: as examples of the needs of mostly sympathetic landlords, and the barriers that difficult landlords might create for newcomers.

Both landlords and settlement workers identified access to and collaboration with settlement services as a potential support and incentive for landlords to rent to newcomers.

37.

Key Findings from Settlement Workers

- Temporary Housing Challenges: Refugees on Vancouver Island spend an average of 3 months to 1.5 years in temporary housing, leading to emotional stress and logistical issues.
- Settlement Difficulties: High rent, limited income, and short allowances for Government-Assisted Refugees make it challenging for newcomers to establish themselves quickly.
- Obstacles in Housing Search: Lack of rental history, hesitancy to rent to large families, and language barriers are significant obstacles faced by socio-demographic groups seeking housing.
- 4. Landlord Concerns: Landlords worry about the financial stability of tenants and property care, with some expressing unwillingness to rent to newcomers due to perceived complexities.
- **5.** Compassion and Diversity: Some landlords show compassion and value diversity; incentives like long-term tenancy can positively influence their decisions.

Recommendations

- **1.** Ongoing Support: Policy Adjustments: Adjust policies for refugees to better align with their housing needs within the allowed time.
- 2. Enhanced Support Programs: Enhance support programs for newcomers, including mental health services and community-building initiatives to advance their ability to establish themselves in the community.
- **3.** Bias Awareness Campaigns: Run campaigns to address biases and create an understanding of the challenges faced by newcomers and refugees.
- **4. Positive Landlord Engagement:** Engage landlords positively by showcasing success stories and providing resources for better landlord-tenant relationships.
- **5.** Collaborative Approach: Encourage collaboration between settlement workers, landlords, and communities to create a more supportive housing environment.



CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 227 | P a g e



Limitations

Because this study is based on reaching out to two small subsets of displaced individuals, namely displaced Ukrainians and Syrian and Afghan refugees, it does not represent the broader refugee population or the challenges faced by other immigrant groups. Also, the reliance on Ukrainian cultural organizations, SAH agencies, and immigrant serving agencies for participant recruitment may have inadvertently resulted in an over-representation of individuals connected to these organizations. While the findings are valuable for providing qualitative insights into the experiences of vulnerable newcomer groups, this does impact the ability to generalize the findings to the broader population. It should be kept in mind that other refugees and displaced individuals might experience a more diverse range of challenges and opportunities in the housing market.

Responses from hosts of Ukrainians, private sponsors, landlords, and settlement workers are relatively sparse, making it challenging to draw definitive conclusions from these subsets. The limited data from these key stakeholder groups may result in an incomplete understanding of their full perspectives and experiences. In this report, these additional responses serve to offer additional qualitative framing of the results.

This study primarily focuses on Vancouver Island and findings may not be fully applicable to different regions with different housing markets and community dynamics. As well, the representation of participants in the Mid Island and North Island regions was low. Conclusions drawn from this subset should be approached with caution due to the potential for individual variation. Comparisons between regions have not been made for this reason.

While the decision to use multiple surveys and interviews tailored to specific groups was intentional, it introduces a potential limitation. The divergence in questionnaires may limit the ability to compare responses directly across different participant groups, potentially hindering the formation of a more cohesive narrative that spans all stakeholders involved.

The reliance on field notes instead of recorded conversations presents a potential limitation as well. Transcripts offer a more nuanced and detailed account of responses, aiding in a richer analysis. Subjective differences between individuals coding the conversations might also affect the ability to generalize the findings. The interviews were conducted in English, Ukrainian, Russian, and Arabic and this may have introduced language-related challenges. The choice to conduct interviews and focus group engagements both in-person and online might introduce methodological differences. All this could potentially influence the quality and depth of the data collected.

This study captures a snapshot of the housing situation and experiences during a specific period. Housing dynamics are subject to change over time, and the dynamic nature of the rental market may result in evolving challenges that are not adequately captured in a single-point study.

4. What's Next? Working Together for Suitable Housing

Considering the challenges and successes of providing housing for three vulnerable groups on Vancouver Island, displaced Ukrainians, and Syrian and Afghan refugeesi, it is crucial for local governments and community organizations to work together. By lowering the barriers and celebrating the strengths mentioned in this report, and by being flexible and always keeping in mind the different needs of residents, Vancouver Island can effectively and actively build a future where access to suitable housing is a central part of creating welcoming, resilient, and connected communities.

a. Key Findings Across Research Groups

Perhaps not surprisingly, this report shows that displaced Ukrainians and refugees struggle with the high rental prices and limited housing options across Vancouver Island. The cost of housing is a challenge for any tenant in the region with up to 40% of all tenants spending over 30% and 16% spending over 50% of their income on rent (Canadian Rental Housing Index, 2021). In light of this, it is especially significant to see that many of the participants in this study spend more than 70% of their income on rent, indicating a seriously higher financial burden for these individuals and families. Many of them typically spend three months to 1.5 years or more searching for suitable housing and are facing challenges such as needing proof of employment and credit checks in a country in which they have not yet had time to build up their financial history.



CRD 2024 Schedule A Report 229 | P a g e

The challenges finding housing are not just experienced by newcomers, but also by their hosts, sponsors, and settlement workers who each in their own way offer support and assistance with the housing search. Bringing these different perspectives together paints a picture of a group of vulnerable tenants facing serious challenges that lead many to having to rely on external support like food banks and income assistance.

Across research groups, communication challenges pose difficulties. Tenants, hosts, and landlords worry about their ability to communicate with each other. In addition, some landlords worry about the financial stability of refugee and displaced tenants, and some express reluctance to rent to newcomers due to perceived complexities. Several landlords in this study nonetheless shared that they found renting to refugees rewarding and that they would be open to renting to refugees again.

Even though landlords and tenants have concerns, there is still much to be hopeful about. It is interesting to see that most hosted Ukrainians rated their hosts very highly and that hosts found the experience of hosting fulfilling, both groups were feeling supported by community groups like Ukrainian cultural organizations, and hosts expressed a willingness to host again.

We should be careful to note that this positive result cannot readily be extended to other groups of displaced refugees. Hosts were not sure if they we ready to host someone from another country other than Ukraine. This fits with the perception of settlement workers that among refugees, people from the Middle East and Africa might struggle more with finding suitable housing than people from European backgrounds. It could be helpful to develop programs encouraging hosts and landlords to rent to a wider diversity of refugees with incentives such as informed tenants, translation services, housing allocation for the first year, and support from immigrant-serving agencies.

Hosts, sponsors, and settlement workers agree that well-rounded community support makes a difference. For example, the role that Ukrainian cultural and community organizations played in matching and supporting hosts and hosted individuals was highly rated and mentioned several times by multiple groups. All groups spoke about the importance of sharing resources and increasing or maintaining community support for newcomers, tenants, hosts, landlords, and sponsors alike.





b. Recommendations

Foster Relationships

 Establish a collaborative framework between non-profit/community organizations and those supporting displaced Ukrainians and refugees. Encourage regular meetings and information-sharing sessions to enhance coordination and maximize the impact of support services.

Information Sharing

- Develop a comprehensive information-sharing strategy to disseminate resources on housing, services, and support. Utilize various channels such as social media, news media, community events, and targeted outreach to ensure wide accessibility.
- Create an online platform that consolidates relevant information, including available housing options, support programs, and contact details for key organizations.
 Regularly update this platform to provide accurate and current information.
- Organize community workshops or seminars to educate both newcomers and residents about housing options, tenancy rights and responsibilities, and available support services. Encourage dialogue to address concerns and foster a sense of community understanding.

Suggestions for Hosts

- Actively promote a sense of community among hosted individuals and their hosts.
 Encourage shared activities and interactions to build strong connections and a supportive environment.
- Consider organizing community events or support groups to facilitate bonding between displaced individuals and host families. These events can provide opportunities for cultural exchange and mutual understanding.
- Recognize the challenges faced by displaced individuals in finding permanent housing.
 Offer ongoing support by collaborating with community organizations and partners to provide resources, share information on reliable housing ads, and assist in finding affordable housing.
- Arrange regular check-ins with settlement workers to ensure hosts receive necessary support and guidance throughout the hosting process. Address any concerns promptly and facilitate communication between hosts and support agencies.

Suggestions for Nonprofit Organizations

- Recognize the pivotal role of community connections for displaced populations.
 Develop programs that foster ongoing support networks, creating a sense of community and addressing the diverse needs of displaced individuals.
- Provide tailored support for hosts and landlords involved in accommodating displaced populations. Address challenges proactively, offer resources, and establish ongoing connections with support agencies to ensure a positive hosting experience.
- Develop accessible online resources specifically for private sponsors of refugees.
 These resources should assist in overcoming housing planning challenges and provide ongoing support to both sponsors and refugees throughout the settlement process.
- Advocate for the development of a comprehensive support infrastructure, including financial assistance, settlement worker check-ins, and accessible online resources for all partners involved in housing initiatives.

Suggestions regarding Government and Housing Policies

- Encourage municipalities to regularly evaluate the effectiveness of their housing strategies. Seek resident and stakeholder feedback to adapt policies in response to evolving community needs and emerging challenges.
- Advocate for the adoption of inclusive housing strategies, emphasizing the success
 of community-based approaches observed on Vancouver Island. Engage with
 policymakers to promote diversity in housing options and address the unique needs of
 different communities.

Finally, despite many challenges, the majority of displaced Ukrainians shared that they feel connected to their communities, commenting that they like knowing their neighbors and that they feel safe in their new communities. This connection influences their preference for staying in their current neighborhood on Vancouver Island.

We should all take note of this, that during a time of international crisis and war, during an ongoing national housing crisis, the success of a crisis response still relies on creating strong relationships, community connections, and to showing up as a community for each other.

5. Glossary of Terms

Affordable Housing: housing that is reasonably priced, allowing individuals or families to meet basic living expenses while still having funds available for other necessities.

BC Housing: a government agency in British Columbia, Canada, responsible for providing and managing housing solutions.

Bias and Racism: prejudice or discrimination based on stereotypes related to race or ethnicity.

Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation (CMHC): a governmental organization responsible for providing mortgage insurance, assisting in the development of affordable housing, and conducting research on housing and real estate trends in Canada.

Canada-Ukraine Authorization for Emergency Travel (CUAT) visa: visa issued to Ukrainians allowing them to travel to Canada for emergency reasons, related to conflict or crisis situations in Ukraine.

Communal Approach: emphasizes a sense of community and shared responsibility.

Community Integration: efforts and initiatives that promote the active participation and inclusion of newcomers in the local community.

Condominiums: residential units within a larger building or complex, where each unit is individually owned, and common areas are shared.

Cowichan Intercultural Society (CIS): a registered nonprofit charity serving the Cowichan Valley Region since 1981. With 18 active community service programs currently, CIS is a leading community resource for immigrant services and provides education and awareness to develop welcoming and inclusive communities.¹

Demographics: statistical data relating to the population and particular groups within it, such as age, gender, income, etc.

Displaced Person: individuals who have been forced to leave their home countries due to conflict, persecution, or other crises.

Displacement Trauma: psychological distress and challenges experienced by individuals who have been forced to leave their homes involuntarily, often due to conflict, disaster, or persecution.

Diversity: in the context of neighborhoods, it refers to a variety of people from different backgrounds living together.

Effective Communication: clear and open exchange of information between parties to ensure mutual understanding.

¹⁰ See https://www.cowichanintercultural.org/

Equitable Access: ensuring fair and just access to resources and opportunities, regardless of individual differences or circumstances.

Family Composition: the structure and makeup of the families, including the number of adults and children.

Focus Group Guides: guidelines or plans for conducting focus group discussions, providing a framework for moderators to follow.

Government-Assisted Refugee (GAR): refugees whose resettlement is primarily supported by the Canadian government, including financial assistance for housing.

Host Families; families who host displaced Ukrainians, providing them with accommodation and support.

Hosts: individuals or families who provide accommodations and support for displaced individuals or refugees.

Housing Crisis: a situation where there is a shortage of affordable housing, making it difficult for individuals and families to find suitable and reasonably priced accommodation.

Housing Dynamics: the complex interplay of factors influencing the housing market, including supply and demand, economic conditions, and regional policies.

Housing Types and Lease Agreements: findings related to the types of housing and lease agreements observed.

Housing Working Group: a collaborative team or committee focused on addressing and improving housing-related matters.

Immigrant Services: services provided to help newcomers integrate into a new community.

Immigrant Serving Agencies: organizations that assist newcomers in adapting to a new country.

Immigrant Welcome Centre of Comox Valley (IWC): the Multicultural & Immigrant Services Association of the North Vancouver Island (MISA), operationally known as the Immigrant Welcome Centre, is a registered nonprofit charity serving Campbell River, the Comox Valley, and northern Vancouver Island. IWC offers immigrant services, Language Instruction for Newcomers to Canada (LINC), and social groups and programs for newcomers. Additionally, IWC works to forge partnerships with organizations and people in the region to provide a welcoming, inclusive, and supportive community.²

Influx: a sudden arrival or increase, often referring to a large number of people.

¹¹ See https://immigrantwelcome.ca/

Intercultural Association of Greater Victoria (ICA): a registered nonprofit charity serving the Capital Region of British Columbia for over 50 years. ICA's purpose is to support the full integration of newcomer immigrants and refugees into the social, economic, and civic life in the Capital Region. ICA provides a variety of services and supports to newcomers and the community, as well as learning resources.³

Interview Protocols: a set of guidelines or rules for conducting interviews, ensuring consistency and reliability in the information gathered.

Landlord Advocacy: support or representation provided by settlement workers to tenants when dealing with landlords.

Lease Agreements: legally binding contracts between a landlord and a tenant, specifying terms and conditions of rental arrangements.

Mean Average: the sum of a set of numbers divided by the count of those numbers.

Mean Price: the average price of housing in a given area.

Median: the middle point of a range of values, separating the higher half from the lower half.

Methodological Differences: variations in research methods, particularly between inperson and online interactions.

Newcomer: the Canadian government defines newcomer as an immigrant or refugee who is adapting to life in Canada and does not have a specified length of time attached to this status. For example, a permanent resident who has been in Canada for 10 years could still be considered a newcomer. Once someone gets their Canadian citizenship, they are no longer a newcomer.

Non-Profit Housing Providers: organizations that offer housing solutions without the primary goal of making a profit.

Official Community Plans (OCPs): documents that outline a municipality's policies and goals for future development, including land use, transportation, and housing.

Percentage of Income Spent on Rent: the portion of an individual's or household's income dedicated to paying rent, often expressed as a percentage.

Pre-arrival Coordination: Planning and organizing activities before the displaced individuals arrive.

Private Sponsors of Refugees: individuals or groups who voluntarily commit to supporting and assisting refugees in their resettlement process in a new country.

Qualitative Analysis: examination and interpretation of non-numerical data to identify patterns and themes.

¹² See https://www.icavictoria.org/

Questionnaires: structured sets of questions designed to gather information, typically used for surveys and research.

Refugee Readiness Team: A team or group organized to quickly respond to emerging situations or crises. Funded by the BC Government. There are several RRTs across British Columbia.

Refugee Sponsorship: The act of individuals or groups taking responsibility for supporting refugees, often involving providing housing, financial assistance, and other forms of support.

Refugee: A person who has fled their home countries due to fear of persecution or conflict.

Sponsorship Agreement Holder (SAH) Agency: Agencies that help refugees resettle in Canada. SAHs are committed to financially supporting refugees during their sponsorship, providing settlement services to sponsored refugees, including helping them adjust to life in their new community, and overseeing any co-sponsors, constituent groups, or volunteers they work with.

Settlement Workers: professionals who assist newcomers, including refugees, in adapting to their new environment by providing support in areas such as housing, employment, and community integration.

Socio-Economic Groups: groups described through categories such as income levels, language proficiency, ethnicity, etc.

Subjective Nature of Responses: responses based on personal experiences and perspectives rather than objective facts.

Survey Analysis: the examination and interpretation of data gathered through surveys.

Temporary Housing: short-term accommodations where newcomers, such as refugees, stay while transitioning to more permanent housing. Often found through arrangements between immigrant serving agencies and hotels.

Tenancy Rate: the percentage of available rental properties that are currently occupied.

Tenants' Rights and Responsibilities: the legal and ethical obligations and entitlements of individuals renting or leasing property.

Used Victoria and Craigslist: Online platforms for classified ads and services.

Vacancy Rate: the percentage of unoccupied rental units in a given area, reflecting the availability of housing.

Validity of Findings: the extent to which the research accurately measures what it claims to measure and whether the results can be considered trustworthy, credible, and applicable to the broader context.

6. References

- Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation. "Housing Market Information Portal." https://bit.ly/cmhc-housingmarket-informationportal
- Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation. "Summary Statistics for Primary Rental Market in British Columbia." https://bit.ly/cmhc-primaryrentalmarket-bc
- Canadian Rental Housing Index. Canadian Rental Housing Index. Canadian Rental Housing Index
- Capital Region District. "2018 Regional Housing Affordability Strategy in the Capital Regional District." nd. <u>CRD Regional Housing Affordability Strategy</u>
- City of Victoria. "Official Community Plan: City of Victoria." 2012, July, Updated 2023, September 7. City of Victoria Official Community Plan
- Elliott, V. "Thinking about the Coding Process in Qualitative Data Analysis." The Qualitative Report, vol. 23, no. 11, 2018, pp. 2850-2861. DOI: 10.46743/2160-3715/2018.3560
- Fagan, E. "Greater Victoria's unaffordable housing market is forcing people into extreme situations." CBC News, 2023, February 22. CBC News Article
- Fagan, E. "No place for home: CBC series dives into Victoria's housing crisis." CBC News, 2023, March 02. CBC News Series
- Government of Canada. "Focus on Geography Series, 2021 Census of Population." Statistics Canada, 13 July 2022. www12.statcan.gc.ca/census-recensement/2021/as-sa/fogs-spg
- Premium Electric. "What Is the Average Electricity Use Per Month in BC?" 2023, September 01. Premium Electric Blog
- Strain, Brendan. "Non-Profit Group Sees 'massive Uptick' in Ukrainian Refugees Arriving on Vancouver Island." CTVNews, CTV News, 24 Feb. 2023, www.ctvnews.ca/non-profitgroup-sees-massive-uptick-in-ukrainian-refugees-arriving-on-vancouverisland-1.6287085.
- Urbanation. "National Rent Report." Rentals.ca, December 2023. Rentals.ca Report.

7. Who are we?

a. We are GVLIP:

The Greater Victoria Local Immigration Partnership is responsive to the needs and aspirations of newcomers and the communities in which we live. By listening, informing, researching, connecting, and collaborating on community projects and events we foster partnerships with local governments, employers, educators, healthcare professionals, and housing organizations to create a welcoming, equitable., inclusive, just, and well-connected community in which everybody has opportunities to thrive, learn, live, work, and play in safety. We have four priorities: health, housing, employment, and equity.

We gratefully acknowledge the financial support of the Government of Canada through Immigration, Refugees and Citizenship Canada (IRCC).

b. We are RRT-VI

The Refugee Readiness Team of Vancouver Island works to make sure that Island communities are ready to welcome, respond to, and leverage the strengths and contributions of refugees and displaced Ukrainians. We are here to build safe, welcoming, and resilient communities where refugees and displaced Ukrainians can build their lives. We focus on four key areas: housing, health, employment, and education.

We gratefully acknowledge the financial support of the Province of British Columbia through the Ministry of Municipal Affairs.

The work of the Vancouver Island Refugee Readiness Team (RRT-VI) and the Greater Victoria Local Immigration Partnership (GVLIP) is supported by several organizations. Members include representation from government, funding, intercultural, housing, and non-profit organizations, as well as developers.

c. Partners

Government Organizations:

Capital Regional District (CRD)
City of Nanaimo
District of Saanich
City of Victoria

Funding Organizations:

United Way - United for Ukraine

Victoria Foundation

Developers:

Wild Group

Intercultural Organizations:

Central Vancouver Island Multicultural Society Comox Valley Immigrant Welcome Centre

Housing Organizations:

Greater Victoria Housing Society

Pacifica Housing

Non-profit Organizations:

Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region

Landlords:

Landlords BC

d. Authors:

Michelle Vanchu-Orosco

Director of Research and Data Analysis

Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region

Florentien Verhage

Manager of Immigrant Integration, Research & Planning

Inter-Cultural Association of Greater Victoria

Sahel Rezaei

Refugee Readiness Coordinator for Vancouver Island


Inter-Cultural Association of Greater Victoria

Gurleen Cheema

Resettlement Community Navigator

Inter-Cultural Association of Greater Victoria







2022-25 STRATEGIC PLAN - PLAN OF ACTION

OUR APPROACH

The Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region achieves its mission by working towards decolonization and with an anti-racism, person-centered and abundance-based approach.

VISON

A region, a province and a country where everyone has a safe place they can call home.

MISSION

To ensure experiences of homelessness in the Capital Region by 2030 are rare, brief, and non-recurring and that housing and supports are culturally adaptive, creative, caring, and person-centered.

Table of Contents

	Approach	
	on	
Miss	sion	1
Stra	tegic Plan Final Year & Community Plan 2025-2030 Timelines	3
Stra	tegic Goal	5
1.	COLLECTIVE IMPACT	5
	Continuation of work from our Strategic Plan (2022/04/01 – 2025/03/31)	5
	Service Agreements	5
2.		
	Continuation of work from our Strategic Plan (2022/04/01 – 2025/03/31)	8
	Service Agreements	
3.	RESEARCH AND DATA	9
	Continuation of work from our Strategic Plan (2022/04/01 – 2025/03/31)	9
	Service Agreements	
4.	. ADVOCACY AND COMMUNICATIONS	12
	Continuation of work from our Strategic Plan (2022/04/01 – 2025/03/31)	12
	Service Agreements	12
5.	. ORGANIZATIONAL STRENGTH	13
	Continuation of work from our Strategic Plan (2022/04/01 – 2025/03/31)	13
	Service Agreements	13

STRATEGIC PLAN FINAL YEAR & COMMUNITY PLAN 2025-2030 TIMELINES

Year 1 – April 2025-March 2026

Year 2 – April 2026-March 2027

Year 3 – April 2027-March 2028

Year 4 – April 2028-March 2029

Year 5 – April 2029-March 2030

	CURRENT PLAN	CP 2025-2030				
	SEPT 01 2024 – MARCH 31 2025	APRIL 01 2025 – MARCH 31 2026	APRIL 01 2026 – MARCH 31 2027	APRIL 01 2027 – MARCH 31 2028	APRIL 01 2028 – MARCH 31 2029	APRIL 01 2029 – MARCH 31 2030
		Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5
PLANNING / ACTIVITIES						
Action Plan: Development – Community	Sept-Dec 2024					
Action Plan: Development – Alliance	Sept-Dec 2024					
Action Plan: Draft / Publish – Community	Jan-March 2025 – Year 1	Jan-March 2026 – Year 2	Jan-March 2027 – Year 3	Jan-March 2028 – Year 4	Jan-March 2029 – Year 5	
Action Plan: Draft / Publish – Alliance	Jan-March 2025 – Year 1	Jan-March 2026 – Year 2	Jan-March 2027 – Year 3	Jan-March 2028 – Year 4	Jan-March 2029 – Year 5	
Action Plan: Draft Budget Approval – Alliance	March 2025	March 2026	March 2027	March 2028	March 2029	March 2030
Action Plan: Implement - Community		Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5
Action Plan: Implement - Alliance		Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5
Action Plan: Craft Action Plan (aligned to CP) – Alliance & Community	February 2025	February 2026	February 2027	February 2028	February 2029	
Action Plan: Evaluate – Community		March 31 2026	March 31 2027	March 31 2028	March 21 2029	March 31 2030

	CURRENT PLAN SEPT 01 2024 – MARCH 31 2025	CP 2025-2030 APRIL 01 2025 – MARCH 31 2026 Year 1	CP 2025-2030 APRIL 01 2026 – MARCH 31 2027 Year 2	CP 2025-2030 APRIL 01 2027 – MARCH 31 2028 Year 3	CP 2025-2030 APRIL 01 2028 – MARCH 31 2029 Year 4	CP 2025-2030 APRIL 01 2029 – MARCH 31 2030 Year 5
PLANNING / ACTIVITIES						
Action Plan: Evaluate – Alliance		March 31 2026	March 31 2027	March 31 2028	March 21 2029	March 31 2030
Action Plan + Budget: Board Presentation ¹ – Alliance	March 2025	March 2026	March 2027	March 2028	March 2029	
Final Report – Alliance						March 31 2030
CP 2030 – 2035 Development – Alliance & Community						APRIL 1 2029 – MARCH 31 2030

¹ coinciding with negotiations of the contract agreement with CRD/others

2022-25 STRATEGIC PLAN – PLAN OF ACTION 4 | P a g e

STRATEGIC GOAL

1. COLLECTIVE IMPACT

We align the opportunities that arise from the intersection of our work in community through the development and strengthening of partnerships to achieve system transformation, both to prevent and to, ultimately, end homelessness.

Continuation of work from our Strategic Plan (2022/04/01 – 2025/03/31)

- Youth Homelessness Prevention Mapping Project (Victoria Foundation Grants): Develop, lead and implement
- Project Reconnect 2024 (United Way South Island Funding): Co-chair and execute
- Downtown Service Providers (DSP) Hospital Discharge into Homelessness Working Group, November 2024 Forum: Collaboration, participation, implementation
- BC Coalition to End Youth Homelessness (BCCEYH), Advocacy and Communications Committee member: member and lead participation, collaboration, advocacy and communication plans participation and development
- Seniors Housing Council, Seniors Housing Working Group, Advocacy and Communications Committee member: Member and lead participation, collaboration, advocacy and communication plans participation, development and implementation
- Island Homelessness Systems Community of Practice: Participation and collaboration
- Victoria Peer Support Community of Practice: Collaboration, support and participation through established and new networks
- BC Non-profit Housing Association (BCNPHA) Housing Central Conference: Presentation on the 2025-2030 Community Plan
- Canadian Alliance to End Homelessness 2024 (CAEH 2024): Presentation on Navigating, shaping, and designing services to meet the needs of women & gender-diverse people pioneering Peer Support Navigators and Community Hub pilot in Greater Victoria
- Regional Housing Advisory Committee member: Member participation
- Heart and Hearth Strategic Table: Leadership participation
- Community Social Planning Council (CSPC): Collaboration for Housing and Homelessness Forum
- Representative for Children and Youth: Participation in consultations and supports
- Community Engagement: Individual, formal and informal meetings, supports

Service Agreements

CRD – GVCEH Society 2024/04/01 – 2025/03/31 Service Contract		Island Health – GVCEH Society 2023/01/06/ –2024/11/30 Service Contract		
Goal	Deliverable	Objective	Deliverable	
GOAL 1: Regional Planning & Collaboration	1.1: Community Plan (2025-2030) Finalize and publish the Community Plan 2025-2030.	1.0 Supporting the Most Vulnerable 1.1.1 Convene key partners to address the housing's system ability to house priority populations with significant health care needs.	1.2.1 Ensure housing for people with significant health needs is an area of focus and priority for the relevant working groups and that clear system improvement recommendations to better support this population are generated.	

2022-25 STRATEGIC PLAN – PLAN OF ACTION 5 | P a g e

CRD – GVCEH Soci	iety 2024/04/01 - 2025/03/31 Service Contract	Island Health – GVCEH Society 2023/01/06/ –2024/11/30 Service Contract		
Goal	Deliverable	Objective	Deliverable	
	1.2: Health & Housing Steering Committee Meetings (Leadership) Coordinate and Co-Chair a minimum of six (6), or as many as are held, Health & Housing Steering Committee Leadership meetings and propose items advanced by the CRD on the meeting agenda for consideration by the Health & Housing Steering Committee Leadership Team as requested.	1.1.2 Help ensure the needs of the "Priority One" population, Complex Care Housing population and other populations with significant health needs and who are at risk of homelessness are an area of priority and focus for system enhancement planning.	1.2.2 Work with partners to help develop a Health and Housing model that is inclusive and supportive of those with complex needs.	
	1.3: Health & Housing Steering Committee Meetings (Community) Coordinate and Co-Chair a minimum of six (6), or as many as are held, Health & Housing Steering Committee Community meetings.	3.0 Project & Initiative Support		
	1.4: Downtown Service Providers Meetings Attend a minimum of twelve (12), or as many as are held, Downtown Service Provider meetings and support activities consistent with this Agreement or other plans/strategies as may be applicable.	3.1.1 To support the community in working together in addressing homelessness through focused and targeted priorities, meetings and activities.	3.2.1 Develop Community Plan with clear measurable deliverables.	
	1.5: Sooke Homelessness Coalition Support Engage the Sooke Homelessness Coalition and extend an offer to attend Sooke Homelessness Coalition meetings and participate as invited. Support activities, such as such as information sharing, engagement, as requested by the Sooke Coalition and that are within the scope of work of the Alliance and aligned with this Agreement or other plans/strategies as may be applicable. This will be reported back in writing at a mid-year CRD/AEHCR check-in.	3.1.2 To identify key priorities with the community in support of those experiencing homelessness and significant health concerns.	3.2.2 Ensure new Health and Housing initiatives are made areas of focus for the various Working Groups.	

2022-25 STRATEGIC PLAN – PLAN OF ACTION 6 | P a g e

CRD – GVCEH Society 2024/04/01 – 2025/03/31 Service Contract		Island Health – GVCEH Society 2023/01/06/ –2024/11/30 Service Contract		
Goal	Deliverable	Objective	Deliverable	
	1.6: Salt Spring Island Engagement Engage community organizations and other partners, such as BC Housing, on Salt Spring Island and identify areas of collaboration and suggestions for enhanced local coordination that the Alliance can support. This will be reported back in writing at a mid-year CRD/AEHCR check-in 1.7: Regional Planning & Collaboration Outcome Summary Provide an annual summary at the end of the Agreement Term on the specific outcomes and/or outputs of the various community meeting tables referenced within this agreement. This should also include any recommendations as may be appropriate to enhance the effectiveness of regional planning and collaboration work and drive toward solutions.	3.1.3 Work with partners to develop and describe a Health and Housing model and system that works well for those with complex needs as well as others and the communities in which they are situated.	3.2.3 Ensure that the Service Provider's plans include a description of how Health and Housing services work together in an integrated, coherent and strategic manner.	

2022-25 STRATEGIC PLAN – PLAN OF ACTION 7 | P a g e

2. SECTOR RESILIENCY

We support our sector's human resources to thrive in the service of our community by developing best-practices approaches that are employee-centric. Continuation of work from our Strategic Plan (2022/04/01 – 2025/03/31)

- University of Victoria collaboration Fundamentals for the Homelessness Serving Sector: Micro-credential program developed and delivered (Reaching Home Program Funding)
 - o Taking information about/socializing the FHSS micro-credential to community, through established and new networks.

Service Agreements

CRD – GVCEH Society 2024/04/01 – 2025/03/31 Service Contract		Island Health – GVCEH Society 2023/01/06/ –2024/11/30 Service Contract		
Goal	Deliverable	Objective	Deliverable	
		2.0 System Enhancements		
		2.1.1 To increase the coordination of the housing, health and social support system.	2.2.1 Support Coordinated Access.	
		2.1.2 Encourage housing providers to grant access to housing resources to individuals based on highest need.	2.2.2 Help with the development of an eviction prevention strategy	
		2.1.3 Help ensure the Health and Housing system has a shared service model that informs how Housing and Health services collaborate and how they are accessed.	2.2.3 Actively promote the integration of support and health services with housing services by promoting joint planning activities.	
		2.1.4 Work to develop, implement and coordinate Health and Housing sector education and/or training to support recruitment, retention and staff wellness.		

3. RESEARCH AND DATA

Continuation of work from our Strategic Plan (2022/04/01 – 2025/03/31)

- Community Data Dashboard: Maintenance and refinement, including standardized definitions for housing, youth, and levels of barrier, collaboration with the University of Victoria Health Informatics for design and implementation of the PowerBI dashboard
- Community Data Dashboard Research: Research Associate mentoring, supervision and wrap-up (Catherine Donnelly Foundation grant)
- University of Victoria: Collaboration Fundamentals for the Homelessness Serving Sector: Micro-credential program developed and delivered, available free of charge from April 2024 – April 2027 (Reaching Home Program Funding)
- University of Victoria: Collaboration Supporting Health & Healing with Information, Navigation & Empowerment: Overall goal using a Feminist Participatory Action research approach is to make a difference in the lives of women and those who identify as/were raised as women through personalized guidance, information and opportunities for social interaction will help to support housing stability and permanent exits from homelessness will receive support from Systems Navigators and through Community Circles (Vancouver Foundation Grant 08/2024 08/2027)
- University of Victoria: Collaboration Supporting Vulnerable and Marginalized Older Adults to be Cared for and to Die at 'Home': Overall goal of this study is to understand how we can facilitate dying-in-place for structurally vulnerable older adults (CIHR Grant)
- University of Victoria Computing Science Inspire Program: Collaboration herluma: Solution development for web application to show live availability of shelters beds across the CRD where shelters are able to maintain and update their availabilities, contact information, and eligibility requirements, targeted to women and gender-diverse individuals fleeing from domestic, pilot application in development
- Community Research Ethics Office (CREO): Board Member, EDI Working Group
- Statistics without Borders (SWB): Management MarCom Working Group
- Canadian Evaluation Society BC Chapter: Coordination Vancouver Island Coordination

Service Agreements

CRD – GVCEH Society 2023/2024 Service Contract		Island Health – GVCEH Society 2024/2025 Service Contract		
Goal	Deliverable	Objective	Deliverable	
GOAL 2: Ongoing Research		2.0 System Enhancements		
	2.1: Regional Overview of Permitted Sheltering Spaces	2.1.1 To increase the coordination of the housing, health and social support system.	2.2.1 Support Coordinated Access.	

2022-25 STRATEGIC PLAN – PLAN OF ACTION 9 | P a g e

CRD – GVCEH Society 2023/2024 Service Contract		Island Health – GVCEH Society 2024/2025 Service Contract		
Goal	Deliverable	Objective	Deliverable	
	2.2: 2024 Point-in-Time Count Provide support to the successful proponent of the CRD's 2024 Point-in-Time Count, which is expected in the fall of 2024. This may include, meeting participation, advertising and information dissemination, and/or engagement of Peoples with Lived Experience, as negotiated with the successful proponent agency. All expenses will reside with the successful organization, including stipends for Persons with Lived and Living Experience.	2.1.2 Encourage housing providers to grant access to housing resources to individuals based on highest need.	2.2.2 Help with the development of an eviction prevention strategy	
	2.3: Supportive Housing Impact Assessment Prepare a report that is based on a literature review that looks at provincially provided community-level supportive housing guidelines, community impacts of supportive housing and supportive housing best practices.	2.1.3 Help ensure the Health and Housing system has a shared service model that informs how Housing and Health services collaborate and how they are accessed.	2.2.3 Actively promote the integration of support and health services with housing services by promoting joint planning activities.	
	2.4: Creating Homes: A Community Guide to Affordable and Supportive Housing Development Review and update, as may be required, the Creating Homes: A Community Guide to Affordable and Supportive Housing Development within the current program, funding, and political landscape.	2.1.4 Work to develop, implement and coordinate Health and Housing sector education and/or training to support recruitment, retention and staff wellness.		
		4.1.1 To identify key causal factors in eviction and support the development of eviction prevention initiatives and/or strategies that will prevent individuals in existing supportive/supported housing from being evicted into homelessness.	4.2.1 Work with Health and Housing leaders to put in place the mechanisms for collaboration on eviction prevention. Desired outcomes could include evictions tracking and eviction prevention mechanisms etc.	
GOAL 3: System Monitoring			4.2.2 Prevention of Youth Homelessness: Continued leadership and convening of cross sectoral meetings with Island Health, Ministry of Children and Families, Victoria Police department and BC Housing and local not for profit youth providers to identify, prioritize and implement key initiatives.	

2022-25 STRATEGIC PLAN – PLAN OF ACTION 10 | P a g e

CRD – GVCEH Society 2023	/2024 Service Contract	Island Health – GVCEH Society 2024/2025 Servi	ice Contract
Goal	Deliverable	Objective	Deliverable
Goal	3.1: Coordinated Access Support the CRD's obligation to have a Coordinated Access system in place by March 31, 2026, as requested and subject to available capacity at the AEHCR. The minimum requirements, as prescribed by the Government of Canada, include expectations related to governance, access points, triage, vacancy matching and referrals, with the CRD providing	Objective	Deliverable
	current information on what these requirements are, as well as training and access to information and tools required to achieve this deliverable. 3.2: Homeless Individuals Families Information System Support the CRD's obligation to have Homeless Individuals Families Information System as requested subject to available capacity at the AEHCR, with the CRD providing current information on what these requirements are, as well as training and access to all relevant information and tools required to achieve this deliverable.		

2022-25 STRATEGIC PLAN – PLAN OF ACTION 11 | P a g e

4. ADVOCACY AND COMMUNICATIONS

We amplify the voices of people with lived and living experiences, and the sector to reach its functional-zero-by-2030 goal through the development and implementation of a sector-wide advocacy and communications plan. The plan encompasses internal and external communications that inform, engage and promote our collective influence to adequately resource the sector, supports quality improvements and drives system transformation.

Continuation of work from our Strategic Plan (2022/04/01 – 2025/03/31)

- Strategic Communications Plan: Continued implementation
- Reaching Home Program (RHP) Community Advisory Board (CAB): Leadership participation as member
- Advocacy Plan: Development following the Community Plan 2025-2030
- School Board Outreach: Presentations to High Schools, collaboration with the Greater Victoria Teachers Association (GVTA) Social Justice Committee, meeting with Superintendent
- Statistics Without Borders (SWB): Sentiment analysis tool that scrapes, assesses relevancy, and provides a 'CRD homelessness' sentiment score
- Provincial Election Townhall (virtual): Development and execution
- Media: Representation and engagement

Service Agreements

CRD – GVCEH Society 2023/2024 Service Contract		Island Health – GVCEH Society 2024/2025 Service Contract		
Goal	Deliverable	Objective	Deliverable	
GOAL 4: Communication & Engagement	4.1: 2024/2025 Regional Resources Guide Prepare a Regional Resources Guide that is based on the services published by BC211, Pathways, other sources as well as the inventory of youth that is currently underway. The Regional Resources Guide will be electronic, housed on the Alliance website and printable. Resources will be sought to expand the scope of the areas covered as well as printed options. The Regional Resources Guide will cover the entirety of the CRD including thirteen (13) municipalities and three (3) electoral areas.	4.0 Homelessness Prevention 4.1.1 To identify key causal factors in eviction and support the development of eviction prevention initiatives and/or strategies that will prevent individuals in existing supportive/supported housing from being evicted into homelessness.	4.2.1 Work with Health and Housing leaders to put in place the mechanisms for collaboration on eviction prevention. Desired outcomes could include evictions tracking and eviction prevention mechanisms etc.	
			4.2.2 Prevention of Youth Homelessness: Continued leadership and convening of cross sectoral meetings with Island Health, Ministry of Children and Families, Victoria Police department and BC Housing and local not for profit youth providers to identify, prioritize and implement key initiatives.	

5. ORGANIZATIONAL STRENGTH

We continue to develop an AEHCR core team that is adequately resourced to augment capacity. We align and focus the team on mission-critical actions in support the evolving needs of the sector

Continuation of work from our Strategic Plan (2022/04/01 – 2025/03/31)

- Lived and Living Experience Council: Revitalization structure, leadership, membership recruitment and strategic work
- Governance: Board, Board Executive and Board Committees: Provide supports, organizational Governance Review supports
- Fund Development Plan: Development and implementation
- Homelessness Response System Transformation (Cookie Jar): Review System's Infrastructure
- Professional Development: Transformative Reconciliation in Community Social Services (Federation of Community Social Services of BC), Power BI,
 Tri-Council Policy Statement: Ethical Conduct for Research Involving Humans (TCPS2), webinars and forums as pertinent to our work
- Developing and leveraging current and new networks to support the ongoing work of the Alliance
- Organizational policies: Revision of all organizational policies (modernization and compliance)
- Ongoing administrative duties and legislative requirements/compliance: BC Societies registry, HR, Risk Management, Financial Management, Fiscal Management, Insurance Management, Health Benefits Management, IT Management
- Grant Management: Application, monitoring, and reporting
- SharePoint Redesign Project: Development and implementation
- Face2Face with Stigma and Speakers Bureau: Explore revitalization structure, leadership, recruitment and roll out

Service Agreements

CRD – GVCEH Society 2023/2024 Service Contract		Island Health – GVCEH Society 2024/2025 Service Contract		
Goal	Deliverable	Objective	Deliverable	
GOAL 5: General Administration	5.1: 2023/2024 Annual Report Prepare and publish the 2023/2024 AEHCR Annual Report in accordance with any and all requirements under the Societies Act and other legislation/regulation as may be applicable.	5.0 Staffing for Services	The Contractor will provide the Services described in this Schedule through the provision of an appropriate complement of its coordinator staff whose combined weekly hours will be 10.50 hours per week as well as 6.0 hours per week each of administrative support and executive director support.	

2022-25 STRATEGIC PLAN – PLAN OF ACTION 13 | P a g e

2022-25 STRATEGIC PLAN – PLAN OF ACTION 15 | P a g e

Service Delivery Background and Highlights

Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region:

In 2008, the Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region (then known as the Greater Victoria Coalition to End Homelessness) formed with a mission to end homelessness in the capital region. The CRD has supported the Alliance's core operations since its formation. Initially contributing \$150,000, the CRD's contribution increased to \$225,000 in 2010 and has remained relatively steady until 2023. In response to the Alliance's notification that without increased funding, viability beyond 2024 is uncertain, the Board approved a one-time increase of \$120,000, raising the CRD contribution to \$345,000 for 2024-2025.

In total, the Alliance has received funding through both Service Agreements (\$3,880,000) and the Reaching Home Program (\$466,627). The CRD has also administered \$800,000 on behalf of the City of Victoria for Alliance Service Agreements, bringing the total Service Agreement funding to \$4,680,000 since 2008.

Aboriginal Coalition to End Homelessness:

In 2016, the Aboriginal Coalition to End Homelessness (ACEH) formed as an Indigenous-led non-profit organization, registered charity, and housing provider operating on Lek wenen territory with a Vancouver Island-wide mandate to provide culturally supportive, affordable housing and services that work to address the disproportionate number of Indigenous Peoples experiencing homelessness.

Since 2019, the ACEH has received \$755,250 in funding through Service Agreements with the CRD and received \$695,859 in funding through the Reaching Home Program. This includes an initial four years of funding at \$150,000 per year. At its October 2023 meeting, the CRD Board committed to three years of additional funding starting at \$155,250, an amount which will increase annually based on the consumer price index for Victoria.

Regional Housing First Program:

The Regional Housing First Program (RHFP) launched in 2016 as a \$120 million (M) housing supply partnership between the CRD, BC Housing and the Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation (CMHC). The program model sets rent for 20% of units at the Government of BC's Income Assistance Rate Table Shelter Maximum (Shelter Rate) for persons experiencing or atrisk of homelessness who can live independently. At full build-out, the RHFP is expected to deliver up to almost 1,500 total units of affordable rental housing by 2028 (20% of which will be set at shelter rate).

As of September 2024, the RHFP has supported the completion of 1055 new affordable rental units across the capital region, of which 238 are set at the shelter rate.

Reaching Home Program:

In 2019, the Government of Canada announced creation of the Reaching Home Program (RHP), which is intended to support the most vulnerable Canadians in maintaining safe, stable, and affordable housing and to reduce chronic homelessness nationally. The RHP provides funding to urban, Indigenous, rural, and remote communities to help them address their local homelessness needs. The RHP aligns with the goals of the National Housing Strategy (NHS) to support the most vulnerable Canadians in maintaining safe, stable, and affordable housing and to reduce chronic

homelessness nationally by 50% by 2028.

The CRD entered into agreements with the Government of Canada in 2019 to fulfill the role of Community Entity in administering the RHP for the Designated Communities and Indigenous Homelessness funding streams. Local priorities are set out in the 'Community Plan to End Homelessness', which is approved by a Community Advisory Board (CAB). The CAB supports the CRD, as the Community Entity, in implementing the RHP by undertaking a range of activities in critical community-based program delivery.

In November 2023, the Government of Canada informed the CRD of its plan to extend the RHP by two years beyond its original end date of March 31, 2024. In total, the CRD is on-track to manage approximately \$18.7M in funding between 2019 to 2026 on behalf of the federal government through the RHP. Key outcomes of the Reaching Home Program since 2019 include:

- 1101 individuals placed into more stable housing. Of those, 81.24% remained stably housed at the 12-month mark.
- 2628 individuals have benefitted from Core Prevention Services. Of those, 95.45% remained housed three months after having received those services.

Beyond these housing outcomes, RHP funding also supports activities relating to community inclusion, job training and skill development.

CRD staff have not received any confirmation of further funding for the RHP beyond 2026.

Rapid Housing Initiative:

In 2020, the CMHC announced the launch of the Rapid Housing Initiative (RHI) which focused on quickly creating new units of permanent affordable housing for people and populations who are vulnerable and targeted under the NHS. The CRD received a direct contribution in the initial round of funding through the Cities Stream, with additional funding received in 2022 and 2023 through subsequent rounds of the RHI program. In total, the CRD has administered over \$34.0M in RHI funding for 202 units across four supportive or below-market housing projects in the capital region.

Through the RHI, the CRD has worked with BC Housing and CMHC to administer approximately \$34.3M in funding in the capital region. This funding has supported the creation of four belowmarket developments, including:

- 52 units of supportive housing on Albina Street in Saanich
- 39 units of supportive housing on Prosser Road in Central Saanich
- 45 units of supportive housing on Catherine Street in Victoria
- 66 units of below-market rental housing on Admirals Road, located on Songhees Nation Land (currently under development)

Strengthening Communities' Services Program:

In August 2021, the Province announced that the CRD had received \$308,520 in funding through the Strengthening Communities' Services (SCS) Program to support the Salt Spring Island Homelessness COVID Response. The SCS program was designed to help local governments support unsheltered homeless populations during the COVID-19 pandemic. The funding to the CRD supported vulnerable populations who were unsheltered on Salt Spring Island, while also providing short-term increases in bylaw services in order to ensure public spaces remained safe and inclusive spaces for all those wishing to use these spaces during high-use months of the year.

CRD-AEHCR: 2024-25 Service Agreement Deliverables

This Schedule details the Deliverables as outlined herein and represents the work of the Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region (AEHCR) for the period April 1, 2024, to March 31, 2025.

The Deliverables are to be supported through \$445,000 that includes:

- \$345,000 from the CRD
- \$100,000 from the City of Victoria that is administered by the CRD

GOAL 1: Regional Planning & Collaboration

1.1: Community Plan (2025-2030)

Finalize and publish the Community Plan 2025-2030.

1.2: <u>Health & Housing Steering Committee Meetings (Leadership)</u>

Coordinate and Co-Chair a minimum of six (6), or as many as are held, Health & Housing Steering Committee Leadership meetings and propose items advanced by the CRD on the meeting agenda for consideration by the Health & Housing Steering Committee Leadership Team as requested.

1.3: Health & Housing Steering Committee Meetings (Community)

Coordinate and Co-Chair a minimum of six (6), or as many as are held, Health & Housing Steering Committee Community meetings.

1.4: Downtown Service Providers Meetings

Attend a minimum of twelve (12), or as many as are held, Downtown Service Provider meetings and support activities consistent with this Agreement or other plans/strategies as may be applicable.

1.5: Sooke Homelessness Coalition Support

Engage the Sooke Homelessness Coalition and extend an offer to attend Sooke Homelessness Coalition meetings and participate as invited. Support activities, such as information sharing, engagement, as requested by the Sooke Coalition and that are within the scope of work of the Alliance and aligned with this Agreement or other plans/strategies as may be applicable. This will be reported back in writing at a mid-year CRD/AEHCR check-in.

1.6: Salt Spring Island Engagement

Engage community organizations and other partners, such as BC Housing, on Salt Spring Island and identify areas of collaboration and suggestions for enhanced local coordination that the Alliance can support. This will be reported back in writing at a mid-year CRD/AEHCR check-in.

1.7: Regional Planning & Collaboration Outcome Summary

Provide an annual summary at the end of the Agreement Term on the specific outcomes and/or outputs of the various community meeting tables referenced within this agreement. This should also include any recommendations as may be appropriate to enhance the effectiveness of regional planning and collaboration work and drive toward solutions.

GOAL 2: Ongoing Research

2.1: Regional Overview of Permitted Sheltering Spaces

Produce a report on the review of municipal areas across the CRD where people who are unhoused or experiencing homelessness can camp, shelter, or park in a vehicle overnight. The scope will include the entirety of the capital region as depicted in the CRD's administrative boundaries consisting of 13 municipalities and three electoral areas. This report will gather all bylaw information depicted in the CRD's administrative boundaries, identify critical areas requiring further investigation and suggest various options for more investigation.

2.2: 2024 Point-in-Time Count

Provide support to the successful proponent of the CRD's 2024 Point-in-Time Count, which is expected in the fall of 2024. This may include, meeting participation, advertising and information dissemination, and/or engagement of Peoples with Lived Experience, as negotiated with the successful proponent agency. All expenses will reside with the successful organization, including stipends for Persons with Lived and Living Experience.

2.3: Supportive Housing Impact Assessment

Prepare a report that is based on a literature review that looks at provincially provided community-level supportive housing guidelines, community impacts of supportive housing and supportive housing best practices.

2.4: Creating Homes: A Community Guide to Affordable and Supportive Housing Development

Review and update, as may be required, the Creating Homes: A Community Guide to Affordable and Supportive Housing Development within the current program, funding, and political landscape.

GOAL 3: System Monitoring

3.1: Coordinated Access

Support the CRD's obligation to have a Coordinated Access system in place by March 31, 2026, as requested and subject to available capacity at the AEHCR. The minimum requirements, as prescribed by the Government of Canada, include expectations related to governance, access points, triage, vacancy matching and referrals, with the CRD providing current information on what these requirements are, as well as training and access to information and tools required to achieve this deliverable.

3.2: Homeless Individuals Families Information System

Support the CRD's obligation to have Homeless Individuals Families Information System as requested subject to available capacity at the AEHCR, with the CRD providing current information on what these requirements are, as well as training and access to all relevant information and tools required to achieve this deliverable.

GOAL 4: Communication & Engagement

4.1: 2024/2025 Regional Resources Guide

Prepare a Regional Resources Guide that is based on the services published by BC211, Pathways, other sources as well as the inventory of youth that is currently underway. The Regional Resources Guide will be electronic, housed on the Alliance website and printable. Resources will be sought to expand the scope of the areas covered as well as printed options. The Regional Resources Guide will cover the entirety of the CRD including 13 municipalities and three electoral areas.

GOAL 5: General Administration

5.1: <u>2023/2024 Annual Report</u>

Prepare and publish the 2023/2024 AEHCR Annual Report in accordance with any and all requirements under the *Societies Act* and other legislation/regulation as may be applicable.

5.2: Presentation to the Hospitals and Housing Committee of the CRD

Prepare and provide a presentation to the CRD's Hospitals and Housing Committee. The presentation is to occur on or before July 3, 2024, and will offer detail of the AEHCR accomplishments under the 2023/2024 Service Agreement as well as an overview of what the AEHCR will be working on under this Agreement.

5.3: <u>2024/2025 Plan of Action</u>

Collaborate with AEHCR members and other partners as appropriate to develop and receive necessary approval of the 2024/25 AEHCR Plan of Action that is to include a timeline of all deliverables as contained within this Agreement. This is to be provided to the CRD following the Alliance's Board of Directors approval, anticipated July 30, 2024.

5.4: 2024 Annual General Meeting

Hold the 2024 Annual General Meeting in accordance with any and all requirements under the *Societies Act* and other legislation/regulation as may be applicable.

5.5: Quarterly Progress Reports

Prepare and provide brief written Quarterly Progress Reports to the CRD which are due on: Q1 July 31, 2024; Q2 October 31, 2024; Q3 January 31, 2025; Year End Report April 30, 2025. Attend follow-up meetings as may be required.

5.6: CRD/AEHCR Mid-Year Check-in

Notwithstanding the obligation under item 5.5 to attend follow-up meetings as may be required, the CRD and AEHCR will meet for a mid-year check-in that is to be scheduled no later than November 15, 2024. In support of this check-in, the AEHCR will prepare and provide a high-level summary of progress on deliverables contained within this Agreement through Q1 and Q2 and a more detailed overview of how progress will be made on any outstanding deliverables as contained within this Agreement and by the end of the Agreement Term.

5.7: Disbursements

All funding disbursed upon signing of this Agreement.



REPORT TO HOSPITALS AND HOUSING COMMITTEE MEETING OF WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 07, 2024

SUBJECT Reaching Home Program Agreement

ISSUE SUMMARY

The Capital Regional District (CRD) and the Government of Canada need to negotiate and execute an amending agreement to allow the CRD to continue to act as Community Entity (CE), responsible for administering the Reaching Home Program (RHP): Canada's Homelessness Strategy.

BACKGROUND

The CRD is administering \$11.6 million (M) in Designated Communities funding between 2019 and 2024 on behalf of the Government of Canada through the Reaching Home Program (RHP).

The RHP is a community-based program aimed at preventing and reducing homelessness across Canada. This program provides funding to urban, Indigenous, rural, and remote communities to help them address their local homelessness needs. The RHP aligns with the goals of the National Housing Strategy (NHS) to support the most vulnerable Canadians in maintaining safe, stable, and affordable housing and to reduce chronic homelessness nationally by 50% by 2028.

Local priorities are set out in the 'Community Plan to End Homelessness', which is approved by a Community Advisory Board (CAB). Appendix A, attached, contains a summary overview of the range of sub-projects currently supported through the RHP. The CAB supports the CRD, as CE, in implementing the RHP by undertaking a range of activities in critical community-based program delivery. Appendix B, attached, is the CAB Terms of Reference.

On November 28, 2023, the Government of Canada notified the CRD of its intention to extend the RHP Contribution Agreement (which was to end on March 31, 2024) for a further two years for an additional amount of \$6.1M. Following consultation with the CAB, the CRD has launched a Request for Proposals (RFP) process to identify projects for funding starting April 1, 2024. The draft agreement amendment is attached as Appendix C.

ALTERNATIVES

Alternative 1

The Hospitals and Housing Committee recommends to the Capital Regional District Board: That Capital Regional District staff be authorized to negotiate, execute agreements with the Government of Canada and receive funds through the Reaching Home Program and do all things incidental to finalize such agreements and deliver the program.

Alternative 2

That this report be referred to staff for additional information based on Hospital and Housing Committee direction.

IMPLICATIONS

Social Implications

The Reaching Home Program directly supports vulnerable Canadians and will directly contribute towards advancing this priority through the work of sub-projects in community. Though the CRD as CE, does not directly provide social and/or clinical services in support of vulnerable populations, the funding is allocated to several community projects that do. Projects are identified through RFPs with priorities guided by the 'Community Plan to End Homelessness' and specific recommendations from the CAB. Upon receipt of applications for funding, CE staff screen applications for eligibility and then distribute to CAB members for evaluation and scoring which results in a list of project recommendations. This process is to help ensure alignment between the needs of individuals experiencing or are at imminent risk of homelessness as outlined in the Community Plan to End Homelessness and the requirements of the RHP.

Legal Implications

In additional to the general terms of the RHP Contribution Agreement related to administrative and program delivery obligations, there are three distinct projects that are also requirements of the agreement amendment:

Coordinated Access and Assessment (CAA)

Section 8.1 of Appendix C outlines, that in partnership with the Indigenous Homelessness Community Entity, the CRD will be required to have a Coordinated Access system in place by March 31, 2026. The minimum requirements, as prescribed by Canada, include expectations related to governance, access points, triage, vacancy matching and referrals.

Homeless Individuals and Families Information System (HIFIS)

To support the successful implementation of CAA, Section 8.2 of Appendix C stipulates that communities must implement HIFIS to achieve the minimum requirements for Coordinated Access.

Point-in-Time Count and Enumeration

To further data collection activities, Canada is requiring a Point-in-Time Count be completed every three years, starting in the fall of 2024. This activity will include both an enumeration and survey of people experiencing homelessness in the community to standards set out by Canada. Additionally, future enumerations shall be conducted annually, in a similar time period beginning in 2025 as outlined in Section 8.5 Appendix C.

Financial Implications

Consistent with projections in the 2024-2028 CRD Provisional Financial Plan, Table 1 shows the total RHP funds expected from the Government of Canada for the next two years. Note that the Government of Canada's fiscal year cycle is April 1 – March 31.

Table 1: Designated Communities (DC) Funding by Year

Designated Communities	2024-2025	2025-2026	Total
Funding for Initiatives	\$2,594,879	\$2,594,879	\$5,189,758
Administration	\$ 457,919	\$ 457,919	\$ 915,838
Sub-Total	\$3,052,798	\$3,052,798	\$6,105,596

The RHP responsibility over program oversight includes financial administration, reporting and record keeping as well as oversight of eligible expenditures. Quarterly, the CE submits claims to the Government of Canada based on actuals spent and annual is subject to financial review conducted by the Government of Canada and is subject to an annual audit of the program's activities conducted by a third party. These conditions remain unchanged in the amending agreement.

Service Delivery Implications

All costs associated with the delivery of the RHP are recovered through the administrative allocation as permitted by the program. Therefore, there is no additional cost associated with program delivery.

Intergovernmental Implications

Entering into these agreements will sustain a partnership that has proven effective in addressing the Government of Canada and CRD's mutual interests in working to better address the challenge of homelessness in Canada. The delivery of the program through a community-based approach also positions the CRD in an influential role in working with the provincial government and its agencies to address homelessness.

CONCLUSION

The CRD can sustain its partnership with the Government of Canada to address issues related to homelessness by continuing to act as the CE for the RHP. To better position itself to execute the agreements in a short time frame, staff recommend the CRD Board delegate the finalization and execution of the agreements to CRD staff. In total, this will represent an additional \$6.1M for RHP funds into the community with all costs for delivering these funds to eligible community-based projects being covered through the administrative allocation as permitted by the program.

RECOMMENDATION

Alternative 1

The Hospitals and Housing Committee recommends to the Capital Regional District Board: That Capital Regional District staff be authorized to negotiate, execute agreements with the Government of Canada and receive funds through the Reaching Home Program and do all things incidental to finalize such agreements and deliver the program.

Submitted by:	Don Elliott, MUP, Senior Manager, Regional Housing					
Concurrence:	Kevin Lorette, P. Eng., MBA, General Manager, Planning & Protective Services					
Concurrence:	Nelson Chan, MBA, FCPA, FCMA, Chief Financial Officer					
Concurrence:	Ted Robbins, B. Sc., C. Tech., Chief Administrative Officer					

ATTACHMENTS

Appendix A: Reaching Home Program Sub-Projects 2023-24

Appendix B: Reaching Home Program Community Advisory Board Terms of Reference

Appendix C: Draft Reaching Home Program Amendment Agreement 2024-2026

	DESIGNATED SUB-PROJECTS 2023-24						
Organization	Sub-Project Title	File Number	Total Funding Allocation 2023-24	Project Description			
Victoria Cool Aid Society	Positive Flow Client Service Worker	D2021-01	\$103,811	The Victoria Cool Aid Society is being funded from April 1, 2021 - March 31, 2024 for a Client Service Worker to support clients who are ready to move from supportive housing into more independent, affordable rental housing in the CRD. The Client Support Worker will provide supports to people as they move into more independent affordable housing. This will free up supportive housing for people experiencing homelessness in the community.			
CRD	Community Planner	D2021-02	\$137,887	Tasked with developing the local Coordinated Assessment and Access system and use of the Homelessness Individuals and Families Information System in Greater Victoria, the CRD Community Planner will be key in the CRD meeting the requirements of the Community Entity in the Reaching Home Program.			
Beacon Community Association	Homelessness Prevention Fund (HPF)	D2022-1	\$210,429	The HPF is a partnership between eight organizations working together to provide emergency assistance to individuals and families who are in financial crisis and at immanent risk of losing their housing through provision of non-repayable subsidies to cover rent or utilities.			
Victoria Cool Aid Society	Indigenous Tenant & Cultural Supports	D2022-02	\$150,880	The Victoria Cool Aid Society is providing housing and cultural supports to Indigenous people who are homeless or at risk of homelessness. The program funds an Indigenous Client Services worker and Elders, along with a rich variety of cultural activities, life skills education and support, contact with Elders, and supports to connect with clinical services.			

Pacifica Housing	Streets to Homes	D2022-03	\$347,801	This project funds housing outreach workers who are working with clients with a history of chronic or episodic homelessness to locate market housing, support them in their housing, and re-house them if necessary. BC Housing provides rent supplements for these clients to make the rent more affordable. The Streets to Homes project is a collaborative community initiative designed to address homelessness in the community. It has a large network of housing resources and landlords, and provides supports by community referrals. This project is connected to other housing providers in the community and coordinates referrals to their program through shelters and drop in centers, along with many other portals.
Peers Victoria Resources	Housing Outreach and Support	D2022-04	\$242,450	Peers provides housing and case management services to people who may be from the sex industry who are chronically or episodically homeless. Housing outreach and support workers are providing assistance in locating rental housing, help with moving and storage, administration of rent supplements, support to secure income assistance and to apply for housing, and services that help with life skills and financial assistance through connections with provincial ministries. The housing support workers liaises with shelter and other housing support providers in the region to co-serve clients looking for housing and to share related costs. Peers provides an innovative complement to the existing housing and shelter supports with a unique target population of current and former sex workers. Their service model is harm reduction oriented and based on peer leadership.
Threshold Housing Society	Youth Homelessness Prevention & Housing Stabilization	D2022-05	\$333,700	Threshold Housing is funded to provide support services for a wrap-around youth transitional housing program. Activities target at-risk youth ages 16-24. As youth become more stable, they graduate to more independent living situations. Threshold helps at risk youth build self-reliance by providing transitional housing, life skills supports, referrals to outside agencies, preparation for tenancy, financial literacy, cooking classes, social activities, pre-employment support, housing loss prevention, and school completion as required.
Burnside Gorge Community Association	Supporting Families Transitioning to Permanency	D2022-06	\$136,871	Housing Outreach Workers will assist 35 families annually that are homeless, chronically homeless, or living in precarious housing transition into stable, long-term housing. Qualified families will be able to access up to \$3,000 to cover costs associated with transitioning to permanent housing, including first months' rent, damage deposit, utility and service connections, furniture and basic needs supplies. Additional support will be offered by Housing Outreach Workers liaising with landlords and acquiring income assistance or other financial resources.
John Howard Society - Victoria	Housing Access Team (HAT)	D2022-07	\$570,380	A collaborative, community-based program that will focus on moving individuals through the housing continuum and into suitable, permanent housing. Staff will work one on one with each client, removing as many barriers to housing as possible. Needs assessments will be completed, and discussions with community partners to support housing placements.

Pacifica Housing	Community Connections	D2022-08	\$106,707	The Community Connections Program is a client-centred homeless prevention program that funds a Tenant Support Worker to proactively identify and provide immediate support services for vulnerable tenants struggling with independent-living within Pacifica's portfolio of 25 subsidized and rent-geared-to-income (RGI) buildings. Tenants supported through Community Connections include those with a history of chronic and episodic homelessness, mental health and substance misuse concerns, and those who had previously participated in other housing programs such as Supportive Housing, shelters, or precarious living situations as they moved through the local Coordinated Assessment and Access (CAA) homelessness response system.
Community Social Planning Council	2023 PiT Count	D2022-09	\$45,774	The Community Social Planning Council of Greater Victoria will be coordinating and reporting results for the 2023 Point-in- Time Count. Activities include working closely with the Aboriginal Coalition to End Homelessness and Volunteer Victoria to ensure success of the survey implementation.
Elizabeth Fry Society	Victoria Collaborative-A Way Home	D2022-10	\$384,142	A Way Home is a collaboration between the Elizabeth Fry Society (EFry) and Lookout. The objective is to place individuals into housing, provide prevention and diversion support services as well as discharge planning from institutions.
Greater Victoria Coalition to End Homelessness	Micro-credentials	D2022-11	\$159,719	Co-developing free, accessible micro-credentialed training modules for workers in the homelessness response housing sector. Facilitating coordinated service delivery and standardized best practices throughout the region. Aligning with community-based requests, recommendations, and Housing First principles.
Tsawout First Nation	Reconciling On- Reserve Housing Injustices	D2022-12	\$384,923	Tsawout First Nation is building a responsive community of care ensuring Indigenous families receive housing security through the support of families experiencing rent arrears with eviction prevention services. This program aims to bridge the jurisdictional gap between Indigenous People experiencing homelessness on and off reserve by providing wraparound housing services to community members who are experiencing homelessness or are precariously housed.
Victoria Women's Transition House Society	Homelessness Prevention Project	D2022-13	\$219,943	The Homelessness Prevention Project assists women who are survivors of intimate partner violence and abuse to secure safe, stable housing for themselves and their children. This will be accomplished through temporary financial support for maintaining housing, including covering expenses such as utility bills, moving and storage fees, rent and security deposits.

Victoria Youth Clinic	Family Reconnect Program	D2022-14	\$276,837	The Family Reconnect Program supports youth aged 14-24 who are experiencing homelessness in stabilizing their housing situations. This will be accomplished through wraparound support services as well as supporting individuals in reestablishing supportive relationships with their families.
Sooke Shelter Society	Outreach Team	D2022-15	\$348,710	Sooke Shelter Society will be providing housing support services, including prevention and housing set-up services to Sooke residents who are experiencing or at risk of homelessness.
Victoria Brain Injury Society	Housing Outreach Program	D2023-01	\$25,000	The Housing Outreach Program (HOP) provides housing supports to brain injury-affected adults to reduce the threat of homelessness. Through financial aid, home resources and training, HOP addresses housing challenges by empowering survivors with increased communication, confidence, and tenancy skills. HOP will aid brain injury survivors in housing navigation, fostering self-reliance and equip individuals with strategies like budgeting, emergency readiness and maintenance.
Songhees Nation	Housing Strategies Plan - Bring Them Home	D2023-02	\$75,000	Songhees Nation is beginning a comprehensive engagement planning process to develop an over-arching Lands and Housing Strategies Plan. The plan will address housing needs in First Nation community, contribute direction for an intentional re-integration of homeless Indigenous Peoples while honouring Indigenous culture and heritage within the community. The plan will also maximize land use and long-term economic potential for lands and long-term housing sustainability. This Housing Strategies Plan will contribute to Songhees Nation's 10 year Strategic Plan, provide information and direction, and answer questions for long-range community planning.
Pacifica Housing	Community Services Truck	D2023-03	\$52,000	The Community Services Truck will be used to keep vulnerable residents housed and on track to regaining/maintaining independence. The vehicle will aid in meeting client service needs, primarily assistance with junk removal, housing set-up, donation pickups that build food security and basic needs resources which contribute greatly to housing stability and retention.
Salvation Army	Finding Home Project	D2023-04	\$45,944	The Finding Home project at the Victoria Additions and Rehabilitation Centre (ARC) is for residents whose 12 month stay in Salvation Army's transitional housing program is coming to an end. Finding Home is a housing navigation and support pilot program intended to support people leaving transitional housing with finding permanent affordable and/or supportive housing. This project is designed to increase the continuum of care at the ARC.

Reaching Home Program Community Advisory Board (CAB) Terms of Reference

PREAMBLE

On April 1, 2019, the Capital Regional District (CRD) entered into a five-year agreement with the Government of Canada to act as the Community Entity (CE) responsible for administering the Designated Communities Funding Stream of the Reaching Home Program, the Government of Canada's homelessness strategy. Reaching Home requires that all CEs facilitate a Community Advisory Board (CAB) that is inclusive and representative of the community and supports community planning and priority identification. The geographic scope of the CAB is the boundaries of the Greater Victoria Census Metropolitan Area (CMA), as defined by Statistics Canada.

1.0 PURPOSE

Reaching Home is a community-based program aimed at preventing and reducing homelessness across Canada. This program provides funding through various streams across Canada including Designated Communities (urban centers), Indigenous Communities, Territorial Communities and Rural and Remote Communities. Reaching Home supports the goals of the National Housing Strategy, in particular to support the most vulnerable Canadians in maintaining safe, stable and affordable housing and to reduce chronic homelessness nationally by 50% by fiscal year 2027 to 2028.

2.0 ESTABLISHMENT AND AUTHORITY

- a) Members of the Reaching Home CAB will be recommended by the General Manager, Planning and Protective Services to the CRD Board for approval.
- b) The convening Chair and Vice Chair of the Reaching Home CAB will be selected on an annual basis.

3.0 ROLES AND RESPONSIBITLITIES

- a) Create and implement a Terms of Reference and other policies and procedures central to the functions of the CAB that address membership terms and conditions, including recruitment processes, length of tenure, attendance requirements, and/or any delegated tasks. Provide advice to the CE (CRD) in its actions directed toward fulfillment of its roles and responsibilities (see Appendix A).
- b) Through the CAB, provide advice to the Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region (AEH) on CE responsibilities delegated to the AEH as outlined in Appendix B.
- c) Collaborate with the Indigenous Homelessness CAB to ensure effective coordination of funding for the purpose of service delivery in the community.
- d) Develop an engagement strategy that includes details on how it will achieve and sustain broad and inclusive representation.
- e) Provide approval of a Community Plan that includes outcomes and indicators to be used to guide action and monitor progress toward effectively addressing issues related to homelessness in the Greater Victoria area.
- f) Assess and recommend projects for funding to the CE.
- g) Be representative of the community by recruiting members that provide broad and inclusive representation of the community.

- h) Support the CE (CRD) and its partners in the planning and implementation of coordinated access.
- i) Approve Community Progress Reports.

4.0 MEMBERSHIP

- a) The Reaching Home CAB will consist of up to 16 voting members. BC Housing, Vancouver Island Health Authority and the Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region are considered standing members and will have the opportunity to appoint members to the CAB.
- b) The Reaching Home CAB will have up to 13 voting members from the following groups:
 - Agencies serving Indigenous people experiencing homelessness
 - First Nations located within the boundaries of the CMA
 - Local non-profit organizations providing housing to people experiencing homelessness
 - Local health or social support service providers
 - People with lived experience of homelessness
 - Police or Correctional service providers
 - Local business community
 - Senior serving agencies
 - Youth serving agencies or Child Welfare authorities
 - Newcomer serving agencies
 - Local neighborhood or community associations
- c) Ex-officio representation from both Service Canada and the CE (CRD staff) who will advise on program eligibility requirements, and guide the CAB if and when significant changes to the program are introduced.
- d) Non-standing members will be identified through a public recruitment and selection process.
- e) The CAB may provide input on membership to CRD Staff who in turn will submit a slate of members for approval by the CRD Board.
- f) Whenever possible, Indigenous representation will be a true reflection of the percentage of Indigenous people experiencing homelessness at any point in time.
- g) The Community Entity will make an effort to provide for the participation of individuals from groups who experience systemic discrimination in the community, particularly those who are identified within various protected grounds of federal and provincial human rights legislation.
- h) In the event of the death, resignation, termination or disqualification of a CAB member, CRD staff can appoint a successor for the remainder of the term.
- i) If a member is absent from two or more consecutive meetings of the CAB without approval of the CAB Chair, the CRD Board may, upon the recommendation of the General Manager of Planning and Protective Services, terminate the appointment of such member thereby creating a vacancy on the CAB.
- j) Members will be appointed to serve an initial two-year term and can serve up-to an additional consecutive two-year term for a maximum of four years at the discretion of the General Manager of Planning and Protective Services and with CRD Board approval.

5.0 MEETINGS

a) The CAB will meet on a quarterly basis throughout the year.

6.0 PROCEDURES

- a) Any directions and decisions requiring a vote will be done by assigning each member one vote.
- b) A quorum of the CAB is a majority of the representatives nominated and/or appointed from time to time. In the case that a conflict of interest is declared, quorum will still be in effect and not be reassessed dependent on the number of voting members leaving.
- c) Representatives of the CAB shall serve without remuneration.
- d) At the request of a CAB member, and with the consent of the CAB Chair, guests or delegations may be invited to attend, present to and/or participate in meetings of the Committee.
- e) The CAB Terms of Reference will be reviewed annually or as required.

7.0 RESOURCES AND SUPPORT

- a) The Senior Manager of the CRD Regional Housing Division, the Manager of CRD Housing Initiatives and Programs, will provide strategic support and act as liaisons for the Committee.
- b) Minutes and agendas are prepared and distributed by the CRD Regional Housing Division.
- c) The CRD Regional Housing Division will employ staff that provide additional administrative and planning support as required.

Appendix A

Reaching Home Program

Designated Communities

1.0 Community Entity Roles and Responsibilities

- a) Enter into agreements with the Government of Canada to administer the Reaching Home Program.
- b) Ex-officio representation on the Community Advisory Board (CAB) to include the Community Entity (CE) who will advise on program eligibility requirements, and guide the CAB where significant changes to the program are introduced.
- c) Implement Reaching Home in accordance with Employment and Social Development Canada (ESDC) approved work plans.
- d) Manage all aspects of program administration.
- e) Implementing selection processes and soliciting and assessing sub-project proposals in an open and fair manner;
 - Facilitating calls for proposals;
 - Determining eligibility of proposals based on Program Directives;
 - Overseeing proposal evaluation and recommendation processes;
 - Completing due diligence and approving project budgets;
 - Executing final agreements with sub-projects and other planning projects;
 - Managing sub-project funding agreements, including financial and activity monitoring of sub-projects to ensure compliance with sub-agreements and achievement of expected results;
 - Implement required data collection processes with sub-project organizations;
 - Reporting quarterly and annually to ESDC on program implementation, sub-project performance, financial claims, and progress toward achievement of outcomes;
 - Conducting and submitting annual audit to ESDC;
 - Overseeing Community Progress Report development, submission to ESDC and publication.
- f) Ensure Designated Communities funding stream is fully invested to address priorities identified in the Community Plan. Ensure Indigenous Homelessness funding stream is fully invested to address priorities identified by the CAB.
- g) Inform the CAB about the status and results of sub-projects and other activities related to the prevention and reduction of homelessness in the community.
- h) Manage all public communications related to the implementation of the Reaching Home Program.
- CABs and CEs are expected to identify Official Language Minority Communities (OLMCs) within their community and ensure that appropriate services and supports are available in both official languages where there is significant demand. See Directives for more details on CE roles related to OLMCs.
- j) Through working with community partners, including, if applicable, in partnership with the Indigenous Homelessness stream Community Entity within the Designated Community where the recipient is located, CEs shall provide annually to Canada, beginning in 2020-2021, using a template provided by Canada, no later than 60 days following the period covered by the report (i.e., the previous fiscal year), a Community Progress Report, satisfactory to Canada in scope and detail. The Community Progress Report will be published publicly in a time and manner prescribed by Canada.

2.0 Coordinated Access

- a) Oversee the development of policies and procedures outlining how the coordinated access process operates in the areas of access, assessment, prioritization and matching & referral.
- b) Have a Coordinated Access system in place by March 31, 2022, that fully meets all Reaching Home minimum requirements for Coordinated Access. The minimum requirements, as prescribed by Canada, outline Canada's expectations for the design of Coordinated Access systems across the following areas: coverage, governance operating model, access, assessment, prioritization, matching and referral, and Homelessness Management Information System (HMIS) platform.
- c) Where one CE is responsible for delivering both streams, the CE will be responsible to engage with the CAB(s) and Indigenous service providers as they are critical partners in a community's efforts to prevent and reduce homelessness, and their participation in coordinated access is essential to its success.

3.0 Homeless Individuals and Families Information System (HIFIS)

- a) Develop a set of local agreements to manage privacy, data sharing, and client consent within a community-wide HMIS in compliance to municipal, provincial and federal laws.
- b) Work with BC Housing and ESDC to sign necessary Data Provision Agreements and an Enduser License Agreements to support the use of HIFIS to support the delivery of Reaching Home.
- c) Setup a governance structure to oversee decisions related to implementing and maintaining HIFIS and the data collected.
- d) Access a server and establish corresponding security and safeguards to secure the data collected.

Appendix B

Reaching Home Program

Designated Communities

1.0 Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region (AEH)

- a) Coordinate government agencies, non-profits, health services and community organizations around the delivery of housing and services directed toward efforts to reduce homelessness in the region, and report to the Community Advisory Board through the AEH Executive Director.
- b) Support engagement in the development of a Community Plan that includes outcomes and indicators to be used to guide action and monitor progress toward effectively addressing issues related to homelessness in the Greater Victoria area.
- c) Engage with community organizations and individuals, including Indigenous, in the community beyond the homeless serving sector and gather all available information related to the community's local homelessness priorities, and develop a coordinated approach to addressing homelessness in the region.
- d) Receive and report on quantitative and qualitative data that outlines progress toward the achievement of the goals of the regional Community Plan to End Homelessness.
- e) Provide advice and feedback on any plans or strategies required by the Government of Canada related to the Community Entity's delivery of the Reaching Home Program.

Appendix C

Reaching Home Program - Designated Communities Conflict of Interest Policy (from BC Community Charter)

Division 6- Conflict of Interest

100 Disclosure of conflict

- a) This section applies to council members in relation to:
 - council meetings
 - · council committee meetings, and
 - meetings of any other body referred to in section 93 [application of open meeting rules to other bodies].
- b) If a council member attending a meeting considers that he or she is not entitled to participate in the discussion of a matter, or to vote on a question in respect of a matter, because the member has:
 - a direct or indirect pecuniary interest in the matter, or
 - another interest in the matter that constitutes a conflict of interest, the member must declare this and state in general terms the reason why the member considers this to be the case.
- c) After making a declaration under subsection (2), the council member must not do anything referred to in section 101 (2) [restrictions on participation].
- d) As an exception to subsection (3), if a council member has made a declaration under subsection (2) and, after receiving legal advice on the issue, determines that he or she was wrong respecting his or her entitlement to participate in respect of the matter, the member may:
 - return to the meeting or attend another meeting of the same body,
 - withdraw the declaration by stating in general terms the basis on which the member has determined that he or she is entitled to participate, and
 - after this, participate and vote in relation to the matter.
- e) For certainty, a council member who makes a statement under subsection (4) remains subject to section 101 [restrictions on participation if in conflict].
- f) When a declaration under subsection (2) or a statement under subsection (4) is made,
 - the person recording the minutes of the meeting must record,
 - the member's declaration or statement,
 - the reasons given for it, and
 - the time of the member's departure from the meeting room and, if applicable, of the member's return, and
- g) unless a statement is made under subsection (4), the person presiding at that meeting or any following meeting in respect of the matter must ensure that the member is not present at any part of the meeting during which the matter is under consideration.

101 Restrictions on participation if in conflict

- a) This section applies if a council member has a direct or indirect pecuniary interest in a matter, whether or not the member has made a declaration under section 100.
- b) The council member must not
 - remain or attend at any part of a meeting referred to in section 100 (1) during which the matter is under consideration,
 - participate in any discussion of the matter at such a meeting,

- vote on a question in respect of the matter at such a meeting, or
- attempt in any way, whether before, during or after such a meeting, to influence the voting on any question in respect of the matter.
- c) A person who contravenes this section is disqualified from holding office as described in section 108.1 *[disqualification for contravening conflict rules]* unless the contravention was done inadvertently or because of an error in judgment made in good faith.

102 Restrictions on inside influence

- a) This section applies if a council member has a direct or indirect pecuniary interest in a matter, whether or not the member has made a declaration under section 100.
- b) The council member must not
 - remain or attend at any part of a meeting referred to in section 100 (1) during which the matter is under consideration,
 - participate in any discussion of the matter at such a meeting,
 - vote on a question in respect of the matter at such a meeting, or
 - attempt in any way, whether before, during or after such a meeting, to influence the voting on any question in respect of the matter.
- c) A person who contravenes this section is disqualified from holding office as described in section 108.1 [disqualification for contravening conflict rules] unless the contravention was done inadvertently or because of an error in judgment made in good faith.

103 Restrictions on outside influence

- a) In addition to the restriction under section 102, a council member must not use his or her office to attempt to influence in any way a decision, recommendation or action to be made or taken by any other person or body, if the member has a direct or indirect pecuniary interest in the matter to which the decision, recommendation or other action relates.
- b) A person who contravenes this section is disqualified from holding office as described in section 108.1 [disqualification for contravening conflict rules] unless the contravention was done inadvertently or because of an error in judgment made in good faith.

104 Exceptions from conflict restrictions

- a) Sections 100 to 103 do not apply if one or more of the following circumstances applies:
 - the pecuniary interest of the council member is a pecuniary interest in common with electors of the municipality generally;
 - in the case of a matter that relates to a local service, the pecuniary interest of the council member is in common with other persons who are or would be liable for the local service tax;
 - the matter relates to remuneration, expenses or benefits payable to one or more council members in relation to their duties as council members;
 - the pecuniary interest is so remote or insignificant that it cannot reasonably be regarded as likely to influence the member in relation to the matter;
 - the pecuniary interest is of a nature prescribed by regulation.
- b) Despite sections 100 to 103, if a council member;
 - has a legal right to be heard in respect of a matter or to make representations to council, and
 - 105 is restricted by one or more of those sections from exercising that right in relation to the matter, the council member may appoint another person as a representative to exercise the member's right on his or her behalf.

105 Restrictions on accepting gifts

- a) A council member must not, directly or indirectly, accept a fee, gift or personal benefit that is connected with the member's performance of the duties of office.
- b) Subsection (1) does not apply to:
 - a gift or personal benefit that is received as an incident of the protocol or social obligations that normally accompany the responsibilities of office,
 - compensation authorized by law, or
 - a lawful contribution made to a member who is a candidate for election to a local government.
- c) A person who contravenes this section is disqualified from holding office as described in section 108.1 [disqualification for contravening conflict rules] unless the contravention was done inadvertently or because of an error in judgment made in good faith.

106 Disclosure of gifts

- a) This section applies if;
 - a council member receives a gift or personal benefit referred to in section 105 (2)
 (a) that exceeds \$250 in value, or
 - the total value of such gifts and benefits, received directly or indirectly from one source in any 12-month period, exceeds \$250.
- b) In the circumstances described in subsection (1), the council member must file with the corporate officer, as soon as reasonably practicable, a disclosure statement indicating;
 - the nature of the gift or benefit,
 - its source, including, if it is from a corporation, the full names and addresses of at least 2 individuals who are directors of the corporation,
 - when it was received, and
 - the circumstances under which it was given and accepted.
- c) A person who contravenes this section is disqualified from holding office as described in section 108.1 [disqualification for contravening conflict rules] unless the contravention was done inadvertently or because of an error in judgment made in good faith.

107 Disclosure of contracts with council members and former council members

- a) If a municipality enters into a contract in which;
 - a council member, or
 - a person who was a council member at any time during the previous 6 months, has a direct or indirect pecuniary interest, this must be reported as soon as reasonably practicable at a council meeting that is open to the public.
- b) In addition to the obligation under section 100 [disclosure of conflict], a council member or former council member must advise the corporate officer, as soon as reasonably practicable, of any contracts that must be reported under subsection (1) in relation to that person.
- c) A person who contravenes subsection (2) is disqualified from holding office as described in section 108.1 [disqualification for contravening conflict rules] unless the contravention was done inadvertently or because of an error in judgment made in good faith.

Project #: Project #
Amendment #:
Amend #

Reaching Home: Canada's Homelessness Strategy

Community Entity

Designated Communities

Contribution

Agreement

Between

His Majesty the King in Right of Canada (hereinafter referred to as "Canada"), as represented by the Minister of Housing, Infrastructure and Communities AND Legal Name of the Recipient

(hereinafter referred to as "the Recipient")

Hereinafter collectively referred to as "the Parties"

Articles of Agreement

Whereas Canada has established Reaching Home: Canada's Homelessness Strategy (hereinafter referred to as "the Program") to support projects aimed at reducing homelessness and includes projects aimed at preventing individuals and families at imminent risk from becoming homeless;

Whereas the Recipient has applied to Canada for funding to carry out the project described in Schedule A;

Whereas Canada has determined that the Recipient is eligible to apply for funding under the Program and that the Project qualifies for support under the Program; and

Whereas Canada has agreed to make a contribution to the Recipient towards the costs of the Project;

Now, therefore, Canada and the Recipient agree as follows:

1.0 AGREEMENT

- 1.1 The following documents, and any amendments thereto, constitute the entire agreement between the Recipient and Canada with respect to its subject matter and supersedes all previous understandings, agreements, negotiations and documents collateral, oral or otherwise between them relating to its subject matter:
 - (a) These Articles of Agreement;
 - (b) Schedule A entitled "Project Description":
 - (c) Schedule B entitled "Financial Provisions"; and
 - (d) Schedule C entitled "Additional Provisions".

2.0 INTERPRETATION

- 2.1 Unless the context requires otherwise, the expressions listed below have the following meanings for the purposes of this Agreement:
 - "Eligible Expenditures" means the expenditures which are listed in the Project Budget in Schedule B, and in compliance with the Conditions Governing the Eligibility of Expenditures set out in Schedule B;
 - "Fiscal Year" means the period commencing on April 1 in one calendar year and ending on March 31 in the next calendar year;
 - "Project" means the project described in Schedule A;
 - "Project Period" means the period beginning on the Project Start Date specified in Schedule A and ending on the Project End Date specified in Schedule A; and
 - "Working Day" means Monday through Friday except statutory holidays.

3.0 EFFECTIVE DATE AND SURVIVAL OF AGREEMENT

- 3.1 This Agreement shall come into effect on the date it is signed by the last of the Parties to do so and, subject to section 3.2, shall expire at the end of the Project Period unless the Agreement is terminated on a prior date in accordance with the terms of this Agreement.
- 3.2 The following provisions which are expressly identified as surviving this Agreement shall survive the expiry of this Agreement and shall continue in full force and effect: article 9, Project Records; article 10, Canada's Right to Audit; article 12, Inquiry by the Auditor General of Canada; article 13, Final Report; article 14, Evaluation; article 17, Indemnification; article 24 Disposition of Capital Assets; and article 29, Enurement.



4.0 PURPOSE OF THE CONTRIBUTION

4.1 The purpose of Canada's funding is to enable the Recipient to carry out the Project. The funding shall be used by the Recipient solely for the purpose of paying the Eligible Expenditures.

5.0 CANADA'S CONTRIBUTION

- 5.1 Subject to the terms and conditions of this Agreement, Canada agrees to make a contribution to the Recipient in respect of the Eligible Expenditures. The amount of Canada's contribution shall not exceed the total maximum amount specified in section 1.1 of Schedule B.
- 5.2 Where the Project Period covers more than one Fiscal Year, the amount payable by Canada on account of its contribution in each Fiscal Year of the Project Period shall not exceed the amount shown in section 1.2 of Schedule B for that Fiscal Year.

6.0 APPROPRIATION

6.1 Any payment under this Agreement is subject to the appropriation of funds by Parliament for the Fiscal Year in which the payment is to be made.

7.0 REDUCTION OR TERMINATION OF FUNDING

7 1 If

- (a) the Program named in this Agreement is cancelled,
- (b) the level of funding for the Program named in this Agreement for any Fiscal Year in which payment is to be made under the Agreement is reduced as a result of a governmental or departmental spending decision. or
- (c) Parliament reduces the overall level of funding for the programs of the Office of Infrastructure Canada for any Fiscal Year in which payment is to be made under this Agreement,

Canada may, upon not less than ninety (90) days notice, reduce its funding under this Agreement or terminate the Agreement.

7.2 Where, pursuant to section 7.1, Canada gives notice of its intention to reduce its funding, and where, as a result of the reduction in funding, the Recipient is of the opinion that it will be unable to complete the Project or will be unable to complete the Project in the manner desired by the Recipient, the Recipient shall notify Canada of same as soon as possible after receiving notice of the funding reduction and may, upon not less than thirty (30) days written notice to Canada, terminate the Agreement.

8.0 RECIPIENT DECLARATIONS

8.1 The Recipient

- (a) declares that it has provided Canada with a true and accurate list of all amounts owing to the Government of Canada under legislation or funding agreements which were past due and in arrears at the time of the Recipient's application for funding under the Program named in this Agreement
- (b) agrees to declare any amounts owing to the Government of Canada under legislation or funding agreements which have become past due and in arrears following the date of its application for funding, and
- (c) recognizes that Canada may recover any amounts referred to in paragraph (a) or (b) that are owing by deducting or setting off such amounts from any sum of money that may be due or payable to the Recipient under this Agreement.
- 8.2 The Recipient declares that any person who has been lobbying on its behalf to obtain the contribution that is the subject of this Agreement was in compliance with the provisions of the Lobbying Act [R.S.C. 1985 c. 44 (4th Supp.)], as amended from time to time, at the time the lobbying occurred and that any such person to whom the aforementioned act applies, has received, or will receive, no payment, directly or indirectly, from the Recipient that is in whole or in part contingent on obtaining this Agreement.

9.0 PROJECT RECORDS

9.1 The Recipient shall

- (a) keep proper books and records, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, of all expenditures and revenues relating to the Project, including cash contributions received from Canada and cash contributions from other sources, as well as records substantiating the receipt and value of any in-kind contributions to the costs of the Project referred to in the Project Budget in Schedule B,
- (b) keep records of all Project-related contracts and agreements and all invoices, receipts and vouchers relating to Eligible Expenditures, and
- (c) keep records of all Project-related activity, progress and evaluation reports and reports of Project reviews or audits carried out by, or on behalf of, the Recipient.
- 9.2 The Recipient shall retain the books and records referred to in section 9.1 for a period of six (6) years following the Project Period.

10.0 CANADA'S RIGHT TO AUDIT

10.1 During the Project Period and for a period of six (6) years thereafter, the Recipient shall, upon request, grant representatives of Canada access to the books and records referred to in section 9.0 for the purpose of conducting an audit to verify compliance with the terms and conditions of this Agreement and verify expenses claimed by the Recipient as Eligible Expenditures. The Recipient shall permit Canada's representative(s) to take copies and extracts from such accounts and records. The Recipient shall also provide Canada with such additional information as Canada may require with reference to such books and records.



11.0 FINANCIAL AND ACTIVITY MONITORING

11.1 During the Project Period, the Recipient shall grant representatives of Canada reasonable access to the Project site and business premises of the Recipient, if different from the Project site, and to all Project-related books and records referred to in section 9.0 at all reasonable times for the purpose of conducting periodic financial and activity monitoring reviews of the Project. The Recipient shall also, upon request, provide representatives of Canada with copies and extracts from such books and records.

12.0 INQUIRY BY THE AUDITOR GENERAL OF CANADA

12.1 If, during the Project Period or within a period of six years thereafter, the Auditor General of Canada, in relation to an inquiry conducted under subsection 7.1(1) of the *Auditor General Act* [R.S.C., 1985, c. A-17], requests that the Recipient provide him, her or them with any records, documents or other information pertaining to the utilization of the funding provided under this Agreement, the Recipient shall provide the records, documents or other information within such period of time as may be reasonably requested in writing by the Auditor General of Canada.

13.0 FINAL REPORT

13.1 Unless the Recipient is required under a schedule to this Agreement to provide another, more specific, final report outlining the results of the Project, the Recipient shall provide Canada with a final report that summarizes the Project scope, describes the results achieved, explains any discrepancies between the results and the planned or expected results and contains such other information as Canada may specify in writing to the Recipient. The Recipient shall provide Canada with the final report within sixty (60) days following the Project Period.

14.0 EVALUATION

- 14.1 The Recipient agrees to cooperate with Canada in the conduct of any evaluation of the Project and/or the Program named in this agreement that Canada may carry out during the Project Period or within a period of three years thereafter. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, if requested by Canada to do so for the purpose of conducting an evaluation, the Recipient agrees to:
 - participate in any survey, interview, case study or other data collection exercise initiated by Canada; and
 - (b) subject to section 14.2, provide Canada with contact information of the Project partner organizations, if any, who participated in the Project, and of the members of the board of directors of the Recipient.
- 14.2 The Recipient shall provide Canada with the contact information of a person (name, address, phone number and e-mail address) referred to in paragraph 14.1(b) only if the person has given their written consent to the release of the information to Canada. The Recipient agrees to make all reasonable efforts to secure such consent during the Project Period. When providing a person's contact information to Canada, the Recipient shall provide Canada with an accompanying written statement certifying that the person has given their consent to the sharing of their contact information with Canada.
- 14.3 The evaluation process shall be informed by the principle of cultural sensitivity. In the event of a dispute, the provisions of Article 27.0 shall be followed.

15.0 CONTRACTING PROCEDURES

15.1 Contracting

- Subject to subsection (2), the Recipient shall use a fair and accountable process, involving soliciting
 a minimum of three bids or proposals, when procuring goods and services from contractors in
 relation to the Project. The Recipient shall select the bid or proposal offering the best value at the
 lowest cost.
- 2) The requirement under subsection (1) shall apply, unless otherwise authorized in writing by Canada to all goods or services contracts valued at \$25,000 or more (before taxes). The Recipient must not unnecessarily divide a requirement for goods or services into a number of smaller contracts to avoid this requirement.
- 15.2 Restrictions Regarding Non-Arms-Length Contracts
 - Unless otherwise authorized in writing by Canada, all goods or services contracts, regardless of their value, entered into in relation to the Project between the Recipient and
 - (a) an officer, director or employee of the Recipient,
 - (b) a member of the immediate family of an officer, director or employee of the Recipient,
 - (c) a business in which an officer, director or employee of the Recipient, or a member of their immediate family, has a financial interest, or
 - (d) a business which is related to, or associated or affiliated with, the Recipient,

require the prior written approval of Canada. In any such contract, the Recipient shall ensure that Canada has a right of access to the relevant records of the supplying entity for the purpose of verifying, if necessary, the amount of the expenditure claimed by the Recipient in relation to a contract referred to in this subsection.

- 2) In this section, "immediate family" means the father, mother, step-father, step-mother, brother, sister, spouse (including common law partner), child, step-child (including child of common law partner), ward, father-in-law, mother-in-law or relative permanently residing in the household of the officer, director or employee.
- 15.3 Restrictions Regarding Sub-contracting of Recipient Duties or Responsibilities
 - The Recipient shall not subcontract the performance of any of its duties or responsibilities in managing the Project, including administrative responsibilities, to another party without the prior written consent of Canada unless the Recipient has already indicated in the approved Project Description attached as Schedule A to this Agreement that it intends to use a subcontractor or subcontractors to perform those duties or responsibilities.



16.0 TERMINATION OF AGREEMENT

Termination for Default

- 16.1 (1) The following constitute Events of Default:
 - the Recipient becomes bankrupt, has a receiving order made against it, makes an
 assignment for the benefit of creditors, takes the benefit of the statute relating to bankrupt or
 insolvent debtors or an order is made or resolution passed for the winding up of the
 Recipient;
 - (b) the Recipient ceases to operate;
 - the Recipient is in breach of the performance of, or compliance with, any provision of this Agreement;
 - (d) the Recipient, in support of its application for Canada's contribution or in connection with this Agreement, has made materially false or misleading representations, statements or declarations, or provided materially false or misleading information to Canada; or
 - (e) in the opinion of Canada, there is a material adverse change in risk in the Recipient's ability to complete the Project or to achieve the expected results of the Project set out in Schedule A.

(2) If

- (a) an Event of Default specified in paragraph (1)(a) or (b) occurs; or
- (b) an Event of Default specified in paragraphs (1)(c), (d) or (e) occurs and has not been remedied within thirty (30) days of receipt by the Recipient of written notice of default, or a plan satisfactory to Canada to remedy such Event of Default has not been put into place within such time period,

Canada may, in addition to any remedies otherwise available, immediately terminate the Agreement by written notice. Upon providing such notice of termination, Canada shall have no obligation to make any further contribution to the Recipient.

- (3) In the event Canada gives the Recipient written notice of default pursuant to paragraph (2)(b), Canada may suspend any further payment under this Agreement until the end of the period given to the Recipient to remedy the Event of Default.
- (4) The fact that Canada refrains from exercising a remedy it is entitled to exercise under this Agreement shall not be considered to be a waiver of such right and, furthermore, partial or limited exercise of a right conferred upon Canada shall not prevent Canada in any way from later exercising any other right or remedy under this Agreement or other applicable law.

Termination for Convenience

- 16.2 Canada may also terminate this Agreement at any time without cause upon not less than ninety (90) days written notice of intention to terminate.
- 16.3 Obligations Relating to Termination under section 7.1 or 16.2 and Minimizing Cancellation Costs
 - (1) In the event of a termination notice being given by Canada under section 7.1 or 16.2,
 - (a) the Recipient shall make no further commitments in relation to the Project and shall cancel or otherwise reduce, to the extent possible, the amount of any outstanding commitments in relation thereto; and
 - (b) all Eligible Expenditures incurred by the Recipient up to the date of termination will be paid by Canada, including the Recipient's costs of, and incidental to, the cancellation of obligations incurred by it as a consequence of the termination of the Agreement; provided always that payment and reimbursement under this paragraph shall only be made to the extent that it is established to the satisfaction of Canada that the costs mentioned herein were actually incurred by the Recipient and the same are reasonable and properly attributable to the termination of the Agreement
- 16.4 The Recipient shall negotiate all contracts related to the Project, including employment contracts with staff, on terms that will enable the Recipient to cancel same upon conditions and terms that will minimize to the extent possible their cancellation costs in the event of a termination of this Agreement. The Recipient shall cooperate with Canada and do everything reasonably within its power at all times to minimize and reduce the amount of Canada's obligations under section 16.3 in the event of a termination of this Agreement.
- 16.5 The Recipient shall collaborate with Canada and community partners to ensure continuity of the Project and the continuation of service to clients in the event that a new Recipient is identified.

17.0 INDEMNIFICATION

17.1 The Recipient shall, both during and following the Project Period, indemnify and save Canada harmless from and against all claims, losses, damages, costs, expenses and other actions made, sustained, brought, threatened to be brought or prosecuted, in any manner based upon, occasioned by or attributable to any injury or death of a person, or loss or damage to property caused or alleged to be caused by any wilful or negligent act, omission or delay on the part of the Recipient or its employees or agents, and participating employers or Project participants, if any, in connection with anything purported to be or required to be provided by or done by the Recipient pursuant to this Agreement or done otherwise in connection with the implementation of the Project.



18.0 INSURANCE

18.1 The Recipient shall arrange, maintain and provide proof to Canada upon request that, during the Project Period, appropriate comprehensive general liability insurance coverage to cover claims for bodily injury or property damage resulting from anything done or omitted by the Recipient or its employees, agents or Project participants, if any, in carrying out the Project.

19.0 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE PARTIES AND NON-LIABILITY OF CANADA

- 19.1 The management and supervision of the Project are the sole and absolute responsibility of the Recipient. The Recipient is not in any way authorized to make a promise, agreement or contract on behalf of Canada. This Agreement is a funding agreement only, not a contract for services or a contract of service or employment. Canada's responsibility is limited to providing financial assistance to the Recipient towards the Eligible Expenditures. The parties hereto declare that nothing in this agreement shall be construed as creating a partnership, an employer-employee, or agency relationship between them. The Recipient shall not represent itself as an agent, employee or partner of Canada.
- 19.2 Nothing in this Agreement creates any undertaking, commitment or obligation by Canada respecting additional or future funding of the Project beyond the Project Period, or that exceeds the maximum contribution specified in Schedule B. Canada shall not be liable for any loan, capital lease or other long-term obligation which the Recipient may enter into in relation to carrying out its responsibilities under this Agreement or for any obligation incurred by the Recipient toward another party in relation to the Project.

20.0 CONFLICT OF INTEREST

- 20.1 No current or former public servant or public office holder to whom the Conflict of Interest Act [S.C. 2006, c. 9, s. 2], the Policy on Conflict of Interest and Post-Employment or the Values and Ethics Code for the Public Sector applies shall derive a direct benefit from the Agreement unless the provision or receipt of such benefit is in compliance with the said legislation or codes.
- 20.2 No member of the Senate or the House of Commons shall be admitted to any share or part of the Agreement or to any benefit arising from it that is not otherwise available to the general public.

21.0 INFORMING CANADIANS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF CANADA'S CONTRIBUTION

- 21.1 The Recipient hereby agrees that a public announcement with respect to this Agreement and subsequent communication opportunities (e.g. funding announcement) may be made by the Minister or delegates in the form of a press release, press conference or otherwise, and that all reasonable and necessary assistance in the organization of the public announcement, as the Canada sees fit, shall be provided.
- 21.2 The Recipient shall notify Canada twenty (20) working days in advance of initial and subsequent official ceremonies or events related to the announcement of the funding of the Project. Canada reserves the right to approve the time, place, and agenda of the ceremony as well as the participation of the Minister or delegate to the ceremony or event.
- 21.3 The Recipient shall notify Canada fifteen (15) working days in advance of publications, advertising, and press releases planned by the Recipient or by a third party with whom it has an agreement relating to the Project. Canada and Recipient joint publication material will be approved by Canada prior to the release.
- 21.4 The Recipient shall ensure that in any and all communication activities, publications, advertising and press releases regarding the Project, recognition, in terms and in a form and manner satisfactory to Canada, are given to Canada's financial assistance to the Project.
- 21.5 The Recipient agrees to display signs, plaques or symbols as Canada may provide in locations on its premises as Canada may designate. The Recipient agrees to recognize federal funding through the use of a digital sign or the Canada wordmark and the following wording, "This project is funded in part by the Government of Canada" or "This project is funded by the Government of Canada", when creating a website or webpage to promote or communicate progress on a funded Project or Projects.
- 21.6 The Recipient shall cooperate with representatives of Canada during any official news release or inperson and virtual media events relating to the announcement of the Project.

22.0 ACCESS TO INFORMATION

22.1 The Recipient acknowledges that Canada is subject to the *Access to Information Act* [RSC 1985, Chapter A-1], and information obtained by Canada pertaining to this Agreement may be disclosed by Canada to the public upon request under the aforementioned act.

23.0 PROACTIVE DISCLOSURE

23.1 The Recipient acknowledges that the name of the Recipient, the amount of the contributions and the general nature of the Project may be made publicly available by Canada in accordance with the Government of Canada's commitment to proactively disclose the awarding of grants and contributions.

24.0 DISPOSITION OF CAPITAL ASSETS

- 24.1 During the Project Period, the Recipient shall preserve any capital asset purchased by the Recipient with funding provided under this Agreement and shall not dispose of it unless Canada authorizes its disposition.
- 24.2 At the end of the Project Period, or upon termination of this Agreement, if earlier, Canada reserves the right to direct the Recipient to dispose of any capital asset purchased by the Recipient with funding provided under this Agreement by:
 - selling it at fair market value and applying the funds realised from such sale to offset Canada's contribution to the Eligible Expenditures;
 - (b) turning it over to another organization or to an individual designated or approved by Canada: or
 - (c) disposing of it in such other manner as may be determined by Canada.
- 24.3 Where Canada elects to exercise its right under section 24.2, the Recipient agrees to comply with the related direction provided by Canada.

Amendment # Amend #

24.4 For the purposes of section 24.0, "capital asset" means any single item, or a collection of items which form one identifiable functional unit, that:

- (a) is not physically incorporated into another product or not fully consumed by the end of the Project, and
- (b) has a purchase or lease value of more than \$1,000 (before taxes).

but does not include land or buildings purchased or leased by the Recipient in connection with the implementation of the Project.

25.0 INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

- 25.1 Where in the course of carrying out the Project, the Recipient produces any work using funds provided by Canada, the copyright in the work shall vest in the Recipient. However, the Recipient hereby grants to Canada a non-exclusive, irrevocable and royalty free license to use, translate, adapt, record by any means or reproduce, except for commercial sale in competition with the Recipient, any such work which is produced by the Recipient.
- 25.2 The license granted under section 25.1 shall be for the duration of the copyright and shall include:
 - the right to sub-license the use of the work to any contractor engaged by Canada solely for the purpose of performing contracts with Canada; and
 - (b) the right to distribute the work outside the Office of Infrastructure Canada as long as the distribution does not undermine any commercial use of the work intended by the Recipient.
- 25.3 The Recipient agrees to execute any acknowledgements, agreements, assurances or other documents deemed necessary by Canada to establish or confirm the license granted under section 25.1.
- 25.4 Additionally, with respect to any work licensed under section 25.1, the Recipient:
 - (a) warrants that the work shall not infringe on the copyrights of others;
 - (b) agrees to indemnify and save harmless Canada from all costs, expenses and damages arising from any breach of any such warranty; and
 - (c) shall include an acknowledgment, in a manner satisfactory to Canada, on any work which is produced by it with funds contributed by Canada under this Agreement, acknowledging that the work was produced with funds contributed by Canada and identifying the Recipient as being solely responsible for the content of such work.
- 25.5 The Recipient shall include in the final report for the Project, which the Recipient is required to submit to Canada under the terms of this Agreement, a copy of any work licensed under section 25.1.

26.0 NOTICES

- 26.1 Any notices to be given and all reports, information, correspondence and other documents to be provided by either party under this Agreement shall be given or provided by personal delivery, mail, courier service, fax or email at the postal address, fax number or email address, as the case may be, of the receiving party as shown in Schedule A. If there is any change to the postal address, fax number or email address or contact person of a party, the party concerned shall notify the other in writing of the change as soon as possible.
- 26.2 Notices, reports, information, correspondence and other documents that are delivered personally or by courier service shall be deemed to have been received upon delivery, or if sent by mail five (5) working days after the date of mailing, or in the case of notices and documents sent by fax or email, one (1) working day after they are sent.

27.0 DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 27.1 In the event of a dispute arising under the terms of this Agreement, the Parties agree to make a good faith attempt to settle the dispute. In the event that the Parties are unable to resolve the dispute through negotiation, they agree to give good faith consideration to resorting to other alternate dispute resolution processes to resolve the dispute. However, the Parties agree that nothing contained in this section shall affect, alter or modify the rights of either Party to terminate the Agreement.
- 27.2 If a dispute arises out of, or in connection with this agreement, the parties shall first seek to resolve the dispute via good faith discussions between the parties' representatives as identified in Schedule A of this Agreement.
- 27.3 The parties have twenty (20) business days from the date on which a party notifies the other party of the dispute to resolve the dispute. The parties may agree to an extension of this twenty (20) business day period. The Agreement shall be in writing and signed by a representative as identified by each of the parties listed in Schedule A in this agreement.
- 27.4 If the parties are not able to resolve the dispute within the time specified in section 27.3 of this agreement, the parties agree to mediate the dispute.
- 27.5 The parties have forty (40) business days starting on the date they agree to proceed to mediation to complete the mediation. The parties may agree to an extension of this forty (40) business day period. The Agreement shall be in writing and signed by each of the parties listed in Schedule A of this agreement.
- 27.6 If the parties are not able to resolve a dispute via mediation in the time specified in section 27.5 of this agreement, the parties agree to arbitrate the dispute in accordance with the Commercial Arbitration Act (R.S.C.,1985, c. 17 (2nd supp.)) As amended from time to time.
- 27.7 The provisions of this article 27.0 survive the termination of this agreement and remain in full force and effect.

28.0 ASSIGNMENT OF THE AGREEMENT

28.1 The Recipient shall not assign this Agreement or any part thereof without the prior written consent of Canada.



29.0 ENUREMENT

29.1 This Agreement is binding upon and enures to the benefit of the parties and their respective successors, successors-in-title and permitted assigns.

30.0 COMPLIANCE WITH LAWS

30.1 The Recipient shall carry out the Project in compliance with all applicable federal, provincial and municipal laws, by-laws and regulations, including any environmental legislation and legislation related to protection of information and privacy. The Recipient shall obtain, prior to the commencement of the Project, all permits, licenses, consents and other authorizations that are necessary to the carrying out of the Project.

31.0 APPLICABLE LAW

31.1 This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the applicable laws of the province or territory where the Project will be performed or, if the Project is to be carried out in more than one province or territory, of the province or territory where the Recipient has its main place of business.

32.0 SEVERABILITY

32.1 If any provision of this Agreement is held void or unenforceable as a result of the dispute resolution process under article 36.0 of this Agreement or by a court or tribunal of competent jurisdiction, the remainder of this Agreement shall be unaffected and each remaining provision of this Agreement shall be valid and be enforceable to the fullest extent permissible by law.

33.0 WAIVER

33.1 Failure by any Party to exercise any of its rights, powers, or remedies under this Agreement or its delay to do so does not constitute a waiver of those rights, powers, or remedies. Any waiver by either Party of any of its rights, powers, or remedies under this Agreement must be in writing; and, such a waiver does not constitute a continuing waiver unless it is so explicitly stated

34.0 AMENDMENT

34.1 This Agreement may be amended by mutual consent of the parties. To be valid, any amendment to this Agreement shall be in writing and signed by the parties.

35.0 UNINCORPORATED ASSOCIATION

35.1 If the Recipient is an unincorporated association, it is understood and agreed by the persons signing this Agreement on behalf of the Recipient that in addition to signing this Agreement in their representative capacities on behalf of the members of the Recipient, they shall be personally, jointly and severally liable for the obligations of the Recipient under this Agreement, including the obligation to pay any debt that may become owing to Canada under this Agreement.

36.0 COUNTERPARTS

36.1 This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original but both of which taken together shall constitute one and the same agreement. The exchange of copies of this Agreement and of signature pages by facsimile or electronic transmission shall constitute effective execution and delivery of this Agreement as to the parties and may be used in lieu of the original Agreement for all purposes. Signatures of the parties transmitted by facsimile or electronic transmission shall be deemed to be their original signatures for all purposes.

37.0 INDEPENDENT LEGAL ADVICE

37.1 The Parties acknowledge and agree that they have been given full opportunity to seek independent legal advice and if they chose to avail themselves of said opportunity, had independent legal advice to the full extent deemed necessary by each of them, and that they have not acted under any duress or undue influence in the negotiating, preparation and execution of this Agreement.



SIGNATURES

(Position)

Signed this	day of	,
For the Recipient, by tl	he following authorized officer	r(s):
(Name, please print)		(Name, please print)
(Signature)		(Signature)
(Position)		(Position)
And signed this	day of	
For Canada, by the foll	lowing authorized officer:	
(Name, please print)		
(Signature)		



SCHEDULE A

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

NAME OF RECIPIENT: Enter text	
PROJECT TITLE: Enter text	
Recipient	Canada
Complete Mailing Address:	Complete Mailing Address:
Enter text	Enter text
Primary Contact Enter text	Primary Contact Enter text
Telephone Number Enter text	Telephone Number Enter text
Fax Number Enter text	Fax Number Enter text
Email Address Enter text	Email Address Enter text
Secondary Contact Enter text	Secondary Contact Enter text
Telephone Number Enter text	Telephone Number Enter text
Fax Number Enter text	Fax Number Enter text
Email Address Enter text	Email Address Enter text

Project Start Date	Project End Date	-/	
Date	Date	Total Number of Participants: (If applicable)	N/A

Project Description

Α	m	eı	nd	m	er	١t	#	

Included in this amendment: additional funding of:

2024-2025 funding of \$XXX,XXX 2025-2026 funding of \$XXX,XXX

[For DC, TH and IH agreements with existing CCI allocations to support implementation of Coordinated Access]

Of this additional funding, the following amounts are intended to provide additional support towards maintaining and improving Coordinated Access systems.

2024-2025 funding of \$XXX,XXX 2025-2026 funding of \$XXX,XXX

Communities are required to match this additional funding for 2024-2025 and 2025-2026, with contributions from the community. This will be reported as part of the Community Plan for funding for 2024-2025 to 2025-2026.

The Articles of Agreement have been updated as follows:

 Revision of Header, 12.0 Inquiry by the Auditor General of Canada, 14.0 Evaluation, 15.0 Contracting Procedures, 16.0 Termination of Agreement, 18.0 Insurance, 21.0 Informing Canadians of the Government of Canada's Contribution; and Signatures

Objectives

From April 1, 2019, to March 31, 2026, the Recipient, as the Community Entity for [name of community], will administer funding under the Designated Communities stream of Reaching Home in accordance with priorities identified through community planning.

The funding allocation under the Designated Communities funding stream for [name of community] is [\$000,000] annually in 2024-2025 and 2025-2026. Reaching Home funds will be used to support projects selected based on Community Planning priorities and recommendations of the Community Advisory Board, as well as program terms and conditions, and related policies and directives of Reaching Home.

The Recipient is allocated funding of [\$00,000] annually in 2024-2025 and 2025-26 to support continued investments towards maintaining and improving the Coordinated Access system.

[For DC and TH Communities only: where IH-CE is in the same community]



Through working with community partners, including in partnership with the Indigenous Homelessness stream Community Entity, the Recipient will be responsible for publicly reporting on the work to maintain and improve a Coordinated Access system and prevent and reduce homelessness using an Outcomes-Based Approach, including reporting on core community-level outcomes.

Activities

The Recipient will administer Reaching Home – Designated Communities funding stream, which includes the following activities that will be monitored against milestones in the Work Plan:

The Recipient is responsible for implementing strategies to address Community Plan priorities set out in conjunction with the Community Advisory Board. This includes maintaining and improving a Coordinated Access system, and continuing to prevent and reduce homelessness using an Outcomes-Based Approach.

The Recipient will engage community stakeholders and funding partners to actively work together to prevent and reduce homelessness.

The Recipient will identify funding, other than Reaching Home, received from partners to meet the community contribution-matching requirement.

The Recipient will promote the participation and representation of Indigenous organizations in the planning and implementation of the Community Plan priorities.

[NOTE: For DC agreements where there is also an IH CE in the same community, the following text would be added:]

The Recipient will facilitate collaboration with the Indigenous Homelessness stream Community Entity in the development and implementation of the Community Planning priorities. This includes continuing to prevent and reduce homelessness using an Outcomes-Based Approach.

The Recipient is responsible for undertaking activities to maintain and improve a Coordinated Access system.

The Recipient will work in partnership with the Indigenous Homelessness funding stream to maintain and improve a Coordinated Access system.

The Recipient will implement selection processes and solicit and assess sub-project proposals in an open, impartial and fair manner.

The Recipient will approve and enter into funding agreements with sub-projects recommended by the Community Advisory Board that meet the Community Plan priorities and terms and conditions of Reaching Home and related policies and directives, including eligible activities.

The Recipient is responsible for the management of sub-project funding agreements, including financial and activity monitoring of sub-projects to ensure compliance with sub-agreements and achievement of expected results. The Recipient will inform the Community Advisory Board about the status and results of sub-projects and other activities related to the prevention and reduction of homelessness in the community. The Recipient will report on its activities, including the management of sub-agreements and work to maintain and improve a Coordinated Access system, to Canada in accordance with the reporting requirements described in the Reaching Home funding agreement, as well as any additional reporting as required by Canada.

Expected Results

Activities are supported in accordance with Community Plan priorities as established by the Community Advisory Board.

Reaching Home Designated Communities stream funding is fully invested to address priorities identified in the Community Plan.

Reaching Home Designated Communities funding is matched on an annual basis with other funding partners.

The Community Homelessness Report is completed annually and a summary is published publicly, to the end of the program in 2027-2028.

All Coordinated Access minimum requirements are implemented by the end of 2025-2026. More specifically, the Recipient must:

- Maintain minimum requirements that were met by 2023-2024;
- Meet requirements that were modified as of 2024-2025; and,
- Meet new requirements introduced in 2024-2025.

All outcomes-based approach minimum requirements are implemented by the end of 2025-2026. More specifically, the Recipient must:

- Maintain minimum requirements that were met by 2023-2024;
- Meet requirements that were modified as of 2024-2025; and,
- Meet new requirements introduced in 2024-2025.



Outcomes

Reduction in and prevention of homelessness in the community is achieved as measured through community-wide outcomes prescribed by Canada and voluntary community-wide outcomes identified at the community level.

Through investments in Reaching Home-funded sub-projects: homeless individuals and families are connected to more stable housing; homeless individuals and those at imminent risk of homelessness experience greater housing stability; and homeless individuals and those at imminent risk of homelessness experience greater economic stability and self-sufficiency.





SCHEDULE B

FINANCIAL PROVISIONS

PROJECT TITLE: Enter text

1.0 MAXIMUM CONTRIBUTION OF CANADA

- 1.1 The total maximum amount of Canada's contribution towards the Eligible Expenditures of the Project is: \$ 000.000.
- 1.2 The maximum amount payable by Canada in each Fiscal Year of the Project Period on account of the contribution is as follows, unless otherwise authorized in writing by Canada:

\$ 000.000 in Fiscal Year 2019/2020

\$ 000,000 in Fiscal Year 2020/2021

\$ 000,000 in Fiscal Year 2021/2022

\$ 000,000 in Fiscal Year 2022/2023

\$ 000,000 in Fiscal Year 2023/2024

\$ 000,000 in Fiscal Year 2024/2025

\$ 000,000 in Fiscal Year 2025/2026

2.0 INTEREST EARNED ON CONTRIBUTION

2.1 The amount of interest earned on advances may be retained by the Recipient provided it is used by the Recipient during the Project Period to pursue activities consistent with the objectives of the Agreement. If there is any unspent interest at the end of the Project Period, the amount of such interest shall be deemed part of Canada's contribution to which the Recipient is not entitled for the purpose of section 3.0 of this Schedule.

3.0 REPAYMENT REQUIREMENTS

- 3.1 In the event payments made to the Recipient exceed the amount to which the Recipient is entitled under this agreement, the amount of the excess is a debt owing to Canada and shall be promptly repaid to Canada upon receipt of notice to do so and within the period specified in the notice. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, amounts to which the Recipient is not entitled include:
 - the amount of any expenditures paid for with the contribution which are disallowed or determined to be ineligible, and
 - (b) any amount paid in error or any amount paid in excess of the amount of the expenditure actually incurred.
- 3.2 Interest shall be charged on overdue repayments owing under section 3.1 in accordance with the Interest and Administrative Charges Regulations (SOR/96-188) (the "Regulations") made pursuant to the Financial Administration Act (R.S.C., 1985, c. F-11). Interest is calculated and compounded monthly at the "average bank rate", within the meaning of such expression as contained in the Regulations, plus three per cent (3%) during the period beginning on the due date specified in the notice to repay and ending on the day before the day on which payment is received by Canada.
- 3.3 The Recipient acknowledges that where an instrument tendered in payment or settlement of an amount due to Canada under section 3.1 is, for any reason, dishonoured, an administrative charge of \$15 is payable by the Recipient to Canada in accordance with the Regulations.

4.0 OTHER SOURCES OF FUNDING

- 4.1 The Recipient declares that it has received or is entitled to receive
 - (a) the following funding (cash) for the Project from the following sources:
 - (i) \$ 000,000
 - (b) goods, services or other non-cash contributions for the Project from the following sources, having the following agreed estimated fair and reasonable monetary value:
 - (i) \$ 000,000
- 4.2 The Recipient agrees to inform Canada promptly in writing of any change to the declaration made under section 4.1.
- 4.3 The Recipient agrees that where there is a change to the declaration made in section 4.1, Canada may, in its discretion, reduce the amount of its maximum contribution to the Project by such amount, not exceeding the amount of the change in assistance received, that it considers appropriate.
- 4.4 If the amount of Canada's contribution already paid to the Recipient exceeds the reduced maximum contribution, as determined under section 4.3, the amount of the excess shall be deemed to be an amount to which the Recipient is not entitled and shall be repaid to Canada in accordance with section 3.0 of this Schedule (Repayment Requirements).
- 4.5 Upon completion of the Project, and if the amount set out in section 1.1 is in excess of \$100,000, the Recipient agrees to provide Canada with a statement identifying the total funding provided from all sources for the Project, including total funding received for the Project from federal, provincial/territorial and municipal governments.

5.0 PROJECT BUDGET

5.1 The following is the Project Budget:

COST CATEGORIES	CANADA	OTHER SOURCES		TOTAL
		CASH	IN-KIND	
1. Administrative Costs	\$ 000			
2. Capital Costs	\$ 000			
a. Facilities				
b. Capital assets	000			
3. Direct Costs	\$ 000			
a. Staff wages *	000			
b. Participant costs				
c. Project costs	000			
d. Child care costs				
e. Sub-projects Project Costs *	000			
TOTAL	\$ 000	\$ 000	\$ 000	\$ 000

Budget notes:

"Administrative Costs" means any expenditure incurred by the Recipient in the course of its regular or ongoing operations that enable the Recipient to manage the Project successfully;

"Facilities" means any expenditure incurred by the Recipient, in direct relation to a Project activity, towards the purchase of land or a building, construction or renovation of a building, or accomplishing any predevelopment activities leading up to any of the latter ends;

"Capital Assets" means any expenditure incurred by the Recipient towards the purchase or leasing-to-own of materials subject to the provisions of section 24.0 of the Articles of Agreement;

"Staff Wages" means any wages, mandatory employment related costs (as required by law) or benefits (as required by a collective agreement or company policy) paid by the Recipient to, or on behalf of, an employee of the Recipient working directly on the Project;

"Participant Costs" means any wages, mandatory employment related costs (as required by law) or benefits (as required by a collective agreement or company policy), and any support payments (for travel, emergencies, disability, living expenses, dependent care, materials, etc.), tuition fees, or program participation or completion bonuses paid by the Recipient to, or on behalf of, Project Participants;

"Project Costs" means any expenditure incurred by the Recipient in direct relation to the Project activities that is not covered by any other cost category in the Project Budget;

"Child Care Costs" means any expenditure incurred by the Recipient in support of child care service offerings to aboriginal persons that are adapted to the particular needs of this clientele; and

"Sub-Project Project Costs" means any expenditure incurred by a Third Party in respect of a Sub-Project that does not meet the definition of expenditures included in the Sub-Project Administrative Costs cost category.

5.2 Canada will provide payment to the Recipient for Administrative Costs up to 15% of the total maximum amount of Canada's contribution referred to in section 1.1. The usage of this payment is exempt from the reporting requirements stipulated in this Agreement.

6.0 BUDGET FLEXIBILITY

- 6.1 The Recipient may, except in cases specified in section 6.2, make adjustments to its allocation of funds between any of the cost categories identified in the Project Budget without having to obtain Canada's approval, provided the adjustments do not result in an increase in Canada's maximum contribution set out in section 1.1. However, where the Recipient makes an adjustment allowed by this section, it shall notify Canada promptly in writing of the adjustment.
- 6.2 The Recipient must obtain Canada's written approval prior to making an adjustment to the Project Budget that increases or decreases the subtotal amount budgeted for:
 - (i) any cost category identified with an asterisk (*) by any amount, or
 - (ii) any other cost category by more than 10%;
- 6.3 Depending upon the extent and significance of the adjustments, written approval by Canada of adjustments made under section 6.2 may be required by Canada to be documented by way of a formal amending agreement signed by both parties.

[**Note for Indigenous Organizations only receiving funding under any RH funding stream]
6.4 Departmental managers responsible for preparing funding agreements are to ensure the following provisions apply for Indigenous recipients when the flexible contribution approach is used:

(a) it is at the recipient's discretion to redirect funding among specified cost categories; and

(b) the recipient may retain, during the term of the funding agreement, any unexpended funding remaining at the end of each fiscal year for use in the next fiscal year to further achieve results toward the program objectives. Any unexpended funding remaining at the expiry of the funding agreement constitutes a debt due to the Crown.

7.0 CONDITIONS GOVERNING THE ELIGIBILITY OF EXPENDITURES

- 7.1 The expenditures set out in the Project Budget above are subject to the following conditions:
 - (a) expenditures must, subject to section 7.2, be incurred during the Project Period;
 - (b) expenditures must, in the opinion of Canada, be reasonable;
 - (c) the portion of the cost of any travel, meals and accommodation costs that exceeds the rates for public servants set out in the National Joint Council of Canada's Travel Directive is not eligible for reimbursement:
 - (d) the portion of hospitality costs that exceed the rates set out in the Directive on Travel, Hospitality, Conference and Event Expenditures, Appendix 2 of Canada's Treasury Board is not eligible for reimbursement;
 - (e) the portion of the cost of any goods and services purchased by the Recipient for which the Recipient may claim a tax credit or reimbursement is not eligible for reimbursement;
 - (f) depreciation of capital assets is not eligible for reimbursement;
 - (g) fines and penalties are not eligible for reimbursement;
 - (h) the cost of alcoholic beverages are not eligible for reimbursement;
 - (i) costs associated with software development for the collection and/or management of homelessness data that results in an inability to participate in the National Homelessness Information System initiative (NHIS) database; and that constitutes a redundant use of funds and duplicates activities already offered through the Homeless Individuals and Families Information System (HIFIS) are not eligible for reimbursement.
- 7.2 If, under the terms of this Agreement, the Recipient is required to provide to Canada an audited annual financial report at the end of the Project Period, and if the cost of the audit is otherwise an Eligible Expenditure, the audit cost is an Eligible Expenditure notwithstanding that it is incurred outside the Project Period.

8.0 TERMS OF PAYMENT

- 8.1 Subject to section 8.2, Canada will make payments of its contribution by way of **Payment Type**. Each payment shall cover a **Payment Period** period (hereinafter referred to as the "Payment Period") during the Project Period.
- 8.2 (1) Subject to subsection (2), Canada may, at any time and in its sole discretion,
 - change the basis of payments of its contribution to the Recipient to Payment Type for any period during the Project Period, or
 - (b) change the Payment Period to a Payment Period period, or
 - (c) change both (a) and (b).
- (2) Where Canada decides to make a payment change pursuant to subsection (1), Canada shall notify the Recipient in writing of the change and of the period during which the change will be applicable.
- (3) For the purposes of this Schedule,
 - "progress payments" means payments to reimburse the Recipient for Eligible Expenditures after they have been incurred,
 - "monthly period" means a calendar month that falls within the Project Period or, if the calendar month falls only partially within the Project Period, such portion thereof, and
 - "quarterly period", in relation to a series of consecutive three-month periods encompassing the Project Period and beginning on the first day of the calendar month determined by Canada for purposes of administering this agreement, means such a quarter that falls within the Project Period or, if the quarter falls only partially within the Project Period, such portion thereof.
- 8.3 (1) Where Canada makes payments of its contribution to the Recipient by way of advances,
 - each advance shall cover the Recipient's estimated financial requirements for each Payment Period. Such estimate shall be based upon a cash flow forecast that, in the opinion of Canada, is reliable and up-to-date; and
 - (b) if the amount of an advance payment for a Payment Period exceeds the actual amount of Eligible Expenditures incurred by the Recipient during the Payment Period, Canada reserves the right to deduct the excess amount from any subsequent advance payment to be made under this Agreement.
- (2) Where Canada makes payments of its contribution to the Recipient by way of progress payments, each progress payment shall cover the Recipient's actual Eligible Expenditures incurred during the Payment Period as approved by Canada following submission by the Recipient of the financial claim referred to in section 8.4 (1).

- 8.4 (1) Following the end of each Payment Period of the Agreement, the Recipient shall provide Canada with a financial claim using a form provided by Canada and signed/certified as true and accurate by an authorized official (or officials) of the Recipient. The financial claim shall contain:
 - a summary breakdown, per cost category in the Project Budget, of Eligible Expenditures incurred during the Payment Period;
 - (b) an updated forecast of Project expenditures;
 - (c) an activity report describing the work completed on the Project during the Payment Period; and
 - (d) any supporting documentation relative to the financial claim that may be requested by Canada (e.g. a copy of the general ledger).
- (2) The Recipient shall submit the financial claim required under subsection (1) no later than,
 - (a) if the Payment Period is monthly, forty-five (45) days following the Payment Period;
 - (b) if the Payment Period is quarterly, sixty (60) days following the Payment Period.
- 8.5 (1) Canada may withhold any advance payment due to the Recipient under this Agreement
 - (a) if the Recipient has failed to submit when due
 - (i) a financial claim under section 8.4 (1); or
 - (ii) any other document required by Canada under this Agreement; or
 - (b) pending the completion of an audit of the Recipient's books and records, should Canada decide to undertake such an audit.
- (2) Canada may also withhold any progress payment due to the Recipient under this Agreement
 - (a) if the Recipient has failed to submit when due any other document required by Canada under this agreement; or
 - (b) pending the completion of an audit of the Recipient's books and records, should Canada decide to undertake such an audit.
- 8.6 Canada may retain a holdback of an amount up to 10% of its maximum contribution at the end of the Project Period pending
 - receipt and verification by Canada of a final financial claim for the last Payment Period where advances have been made,
 - (b) receipt and acceptance by Canada of the final report for the Project that the Recipient is required to submit to Canada under the terms of this Agreement, and
 - (c) receipt of any other Project-related record that may be required by Canada.

9.0 ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORTS

- 9.1 (1) Within one hundred and twenty (120) days following the end of each "Reporting Period" during the Project Period, the Recipient shall provide to Canada a financial report containing,
 - (a) a statement setting out:
 - (i) the total amount received from Canada under this Agreement during the Reporting Period,
 - (ii) the total revenue received from other sources for the Project during the Reporting Period, including cash and the value of in-kind contributions,
 - (iii) the total amount of GST/HST rebates and interest earned by the Recipient during the Reporting Period on advances of Canada's contribution if the amount of interest earned is in excess of one hundred dollars (\$100), and
 - (iv) the amounts realized during the Reporting Period from the disposition of any capital assets that had been originally purchased with funds from Canada's contribution under this Agreement, and
 - (b) an itemized statement setting out, by expenditure category as per the Project Budget, the total amount of the expenditures incurred during the Reporting Period in relation to the Project and to the corresponding approved Investment Plan.
- (2) For greater certainty, failure on the part of the Recipient to submit financial reports within the timeframe specified under subsection (1) may result in Canada withholding payment of an advance or progress payment in accordance with subsections 8.5(1) or (2) of this Schedule or withholding payment of any holdback retained by Canada in accordance with section 8.6 of this Schedule.
- (3) For the purposes of this section, "Reporting Period" means each Fiscal Year that falls within the Project Period or, if the Fiscal Year falls only partially within the Project Period, such portion thereof.
- 9.2 Each financial report submitted to Canada pursuant to section 9.1 shall be accompanied by such supporting documentation as may be requested by Canada.



Audit Requirement

9.3 (1) Unless otherwise notified by Canada in writing, the Recipient shall engage an independent licensed public accountant to audit, in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards, each financial report required under section 9.1. The Recipient's letter of audit engagement shall include the requirements set out under section 9.1.

(2) If requested by Canada to do so, the Recipient shall allow representatives of Canada to discuss any audited financial report referred to in this section with the Recipient's auditors. The Recipient shall execute such directions, consents and other authorizations as may be required in order to permit its auditors to discuss the report with representatives of Canada and provide any requested information to them in relation to the audit



SCHEDULE C

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS

LEGAL NAME OF RECIPIENT: Error! Reference source not found.

PROJECT TITLE: Error! Reference source not found.

1.0 WORK PLAN

- 1.1 For each Fiscal Year that falls within the Project Period or, if the Fiscal Year falls only partially within the Project Period, such portion thereof, the Recipient shall provide to Canada for approval a "Work Plan" outlining the activities to be undertaken by the Recipient in implementing the Project during the Fiscal Year or part thereof. Each Work Plan shall be prepared in accordance with guidelines issued by Canada.
- 1.2 The Recipient's approved Work Plan for the first Fiscal Year or part thereof of the Project Period is attached to and forms an integral part of Schedule A (Project Description) to this Agreement. The Work Plan for each subsequent Fiscal Year or part thereof shall be provided to Canada for approval no later than sixty (60) days prior to the beginning of each Fiscal Year to which it relates.
- 1.3 Canada will notify the Recipient of its approval of each subsequent Work Plan no later than thirty (30) days following receipt of each plan. Upon approval, each subsequent Work Plan shall be attached to and form an integral part of Schedule A.
- 1.4 The Recipient shall implement the Project in accordance with the approved Work Plans. The Recipient shall not make any material change to an approved Work Plan without the written approval of Canada.

2.0 REDISTRIBUTION OF FUNDING TOWARDS SUB-PROJECTS

Interpretation

2.1 For the purposes of this Agreement,

"Sub-Agreement Holder" means an organization other than the Recipient, to whom funding provided to the Recipient under this Agreement is further distributed to enable the organization to carry out a Sub-Project; and

"Sub-Project" means:

- (a) an activity eligible for financial support under the Project which is implemented by a Sub-Agreement Holder, or
- (b) an activity eligible for financial support under the Project implemented directly by the Recipient.

Sub-Project Selection Process

- 2.2 (1) The Recipient shall put into place a process satisfactory to Canada for ensuring that proposals for Sub-Projects to be funded with Canada's contribution, including Sub-Projects implemented directly by the Recipient, are assessed and selected in an open, impartial and fair manner. The Recipient agrees that the Community Entity will assess, approve and enter into funding agreements with Sub-Projects based on recommendations by the Community Advisory Board. The Recipient must ensure that Sub-Project proposals of a capital nature address their sustainability; for Sub-Projects of a capital nature Canada will provide a form to address this aspect that is to be included as part of such proposals.
- (2) The Recipient shall also put into place written operational policies and procedures relating to its financial management of the Project and its administration of Sub-Projects, and shall provide a copy of those policies and procedures to Canada, together with the names and positions of personnel within the Recipient's organization with responsibilities for the financial management and decision making in connection with the carrying out of the responsibilities of the Recipient under this Agreement. The Recipient shall notify Canada promptly of any changes in such personnel that occur from time to time.
- (3) A sub-project shall not be funded under this Agreement unless the organization demonstrates that it applies sound financial management practices and respects the highest level of integrity.
- (4) Without limiting the foregoing and subject to subsection 5, a sub-project shall not be funded under this Agreement if a review, audit or investigation conducted by the federal government, the government of a province or a public body created under the law of a province in the previous 3 years concludes to irregularities in the organization's financial management practices or raises integrity issues.
- (5) The restriction in subsection 4 does not apply if an organization demonstrates that the irregularities and issues have been resolved and that measures have been diligently put in place to prevent reoccurrence.

Agreements with Sub-Agreement Holders

- 2.3 (1) When the Recipient provides funding to a Sub-Agreement Holder to support the costs of a Sub-Project, the Recipient shall ensure that there is a written agreement between it and the Sub-Agreement Holder that sets out the terms and conditions under which the Recipient is providing funding to the Sub-Agreement Holder.
- (2) The written agreement referred to in subsection (1) shall include:
 - (a) an identification of the Sub-Agreement Holder (proper legal name and address);
 - (b) a description of the purpose of the funding;
 - (c) the effective date, the date of signing and the duration of the agreement;

- (d) the financial and/or non-financial conditions attached to the funding and the consequence of failing to adhere to these conditions, including provision for a right of termination of the agreement in the event of a breach of the agreement;
- (e) the costs of the Sub-Project eligible for reimbursement;
- (f) the conditions to be met before payment is made and the schedule and basis of payment;
- (g) the maximum amount payable;
- (h) the provision of such reports by the Sub-Agreement Holder on its Sub-Project, outcomes and results as may be specified by Canada in any reporting guidelines or instructions provided to the Recipient by Canada or as may be specified elsewhere in this Agreement;
- (i) a provision giving both Canada and the Recipient the right to conduct an audit of the books and records of the Sub-Agreement Holder, even though an audit may not always be undertaken, and to have access to the business premises and business site of the Sub-Agreement Holder to monitor and inspect the administration of the Sub-Project;
- (j) a requirement for the Sub-Agreement Holder to repay to the Recipient the amount of any funding provided to which it is not entitled. The agreement should specify that amounts to which it is not entitled include the amount of any payments:
 - (i) made in error;
 - (ii) made for costs in excess of the amount actually incurred for those costs; and
 - (iii) that were used for costs that were not eligible for reimbursement under the agreement;
- (k) if the Sub-Project involves an activity described in section 4.1 or 4.3,
 - (i) a repayment requirement modeled on the provisions of section 4.1 or 4.3, as the case may be, except that every reference to "Recipient" in those provisions shall be replaced by a reference to the term used by the Recipient to identify the Sub-Agreement Holder in its agreement with the Sub-Agreement Holder and every reference to "Canada" shall be replaced by a reference to the term used by the Recipient to identify itself in its agreement with the Sub-Agreement Holder; and
 - (ii) a provision giving both Canada and the Recipient, for the number of years following the enddate of the Sub-Project in respect of which the repayment requirement referred to in subparagraph (i) applies to the Sub-Agreement Holder, the right to inspect the operation of the facility referred to in section 4.1 or 4.3 at any reasonable time to verify the continuing use of the facility for the purposes for which it was funded; and
 - (iii) a provision stipulating that the Sub-Agreement holder shall not mortgage, charge or otherwise encumber the facility property during the period of the Sub-Project, or for the number of years following the end-date of the Sub-Project in respect of which the repayment requirement referred to in subparagraph (i) applies to the Sub-Agreement Holder, without the prior written approval of the Recipient; and
 - (iv) a provision stipulating that the Sub-Agreement Holder shall ensure that all environmental protection measures, standards and rules relating to the Sub-Project established by competent authorities are respected;
- (I) a provision stipulating that payment of any funding under the agreement is subject to the availability of funds and that payment of funding may be cancelled or reduced in the event that Canada cancels or reduces its funding to the Recipient;
- (m) a requirement for the Sub-Agreement Holder to give appropriate recognition of the contribution of Canada to the Sub-Project being carried out in its publicity and signage relating to the Sub-Project, including any information provided to the public on any web site or social media platform maintained by the Sub-Agreement Holder;
- (n) a requirement that the Sub-Agreement Holder notify the Recipient (Community Entity) twenty (20) working days in advance of any and all communications activities, publications, advertising and press releases planned by the Sub-Agreement Holder relating to the Sub-Project; and
- (o) a requirement for the Sub-Agreement Holder to cooperate with representatives of Canada during any official news release or ceremonies relating to the announcement of the Sub-Project.

Internal Memoranda of Understanding (MOU)

2.4 When the Recipient is implementing a Sub-Project directly, the Recipient shall ensure that there is an internal memorandum of understanding (MOU) with the head of the branch or division of its organization responsible for implementing the Sub-Project, as if the head of the branch or division implementing the Sub-Project was a Sub-Agreement Holder, setting out terms and conditions of the funding modelled on the requirements of section 2.3, with such modifications as the circumstances may require.

Provision of Copies of Agreements and MOUs

2.5 Upon request, the Recipient shall provide Canada with a copy of any or all agreements with Sub-Agreement Holders and MOUs referred to in sections 2.3 and 2.4, respectively.



Monitoring and Audit of Sub-Projects

- 2.6 The Recipient shall exercise due diligence in the administration of its agreements with Sub-Agreement Holders and of its MOUs referred to in section 2.4. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, in exercising due diligence, the Recipient shall take appropriate measures for ensuring compliance by Sub-Agreement Holders and, in the case of MOUs referred to in section 2.4, by the responsible branch or division head of the Recipient, with the terms and conditions of the agreement or MOU, as the case may be, including:
 - (a) monitoring the Sub-Project through, as appropriate, periodic visits to the Sub-Project site or other means such as telephone calls and questionnaires,
 - (b) undertaking periodic audits or inspections of financial records to verify that costs claimed under the agreement or MOU, were actually incurred and were in accordance with the agreement or MOU, as the case may be,
 - (c) furnishing the Sub-Agreement Holder or the branch or division head of the Recipient, as the case may be, with necessary advice, support and training to assist it in carrying out the Sub-Project and in realizing the objectives and achieving the results of the Sub-Project,
 - (d) where there are breaches of the agreement or MOU, taking appropriate measures to resolve the situation, including, in the case of an agreement with a Sub-Agreement Holder, termination of the agreement with the Sub-Agreement Holder or legal action to enforce compliance with the agreement, and
 - (e) in the case of an agreement with a Sub-Agreement Holder, making all reasonable efforts to recover any overpayments under the agreement.
- 2.7 The Recipient shall provide to Canada, upon request, a report of any monitoring review or audit of a Sub-Project undertaken by the Recipient under section 2.6.
- 2.8 Where Canada desires to exercise its right to audit the books and records of a Sub-Agreement Holder or to monitor and inspect its Sub-Project, Canada shall notify the Recipient of its desire to do so. The Recipient shall cooperate with Canada in obtaining access to the financial records and, if required by Canada, it shall take all necessary steps to enforce the Recipient's and Canada's right of access to the Sub-Agreement Holder's records, including taking legal proceedings against the Sub-Agreement Holder.

3.0 REPORTING ON SUB-PROJECTS FUNDED THROUGH REACHING HOME

Report of Approved Sub-Projects

- 3.1 Each financial claim submitted to Canada pursuant to section 8 (Terms of Payment) of Schedule B to this Agreement shall be accompanied by a report identifying all agreements with Sub-Agreement Holders and MOUs approved by the Recipient to date containing the following information about each Sub-Project:
 - (a) the Sub-Project file identifier;
 - (b) in the case of agreements with Sub-Agreement Holders, the legal name of the Sub-Agreement Holder and Sub-Agreement Holder contact information;
 - (c) in the case of MOUs, the name of the branch or division within the Recipient's organization responsible for carrying out the Sub-Project and Recipient branch or division contact information;
 - (d) the amount of funding provided under this Agreement to be provided by the Recipient for the Sub-Project as well as the total budget of the Sub-Project;
 - (e) identification of the applicable Reaching Home funding stream;
 - (f) the Sub-Project start and end dates; and
 - (g) the activity areas(s) supported by the Sub-Project, i.e. (i) housing; (ii) prevention and shelter diversion; (iii) client support services; (iv) capital investments; or (v) coordination of resources and data collection.

Results Reporting

- 3.2 The Recipient shall provide a project details report, acceptable to Canada, that sets out the detailed description of any new or amended Sub-Agreements, including those retained for direct delivery by the Recipient.
- 3.3 The report submitted pursuant to section 3.2 is required within thirty (30) days of an online results reporting system being available or in a timeframe and manner as prescribed by Canada Any changes to the funding amount, activities, or end date of a Project approved by the Recipient must be documented and provided to Canada, within thirty (30) days of the change, once the Recipient has received the online results reporting system.
- 3.4 The Recipient shall provide to Canada, an annual results report detailing the outputs and outcomes achieved, using an online results reporting system provided by Canada, no later than sixty (60) days following each Fiscal Year of the Project Period, or in a timeframe and manner as prescribed by Canada, where applicable depending on the activity categories for each Sub-Agreement, including those retained for direct delivery by the Recipient.

Funded activities that include follow-up beyond the duration of the sub-project and/or Recipient agreement remain as obligations of the Recipient and survive termination or expiry of this Agreement. This includes 3 and 12 month follow-up requirements as follows:

<u>Prevention and Shelter Diversion (Core Services)</u> require a 3-month follow-up once a person has received a Core Prevention service. Follow-up for interventions received in the last three months of a fiscal reporting period (January to March) will always occur in the first three months of the next fiscal reporting period.

<u>Housing Placements</u> require a 12-month follow-up once an individual is placed into housing. Follow-up will always occur in the next fiscal reporting period for up to 12 months.

4.0 REQUIREMENTS IN RESPECT OF FACILITY PROPERTY AND REPAYMENT

Project Funding Used to Purchase Land or a Building for a Facility

4.1 If

- (a) funding provided for a Sub-Project is used towards the costs of purchasing land or a building to establish a new facility to provide shelter space, transitional or supportive housing or other services for the homeless, and
- (b) the amount of the funding referred to in paragraph (a) is in excess of \$50,000, the Recipient shall repay as a debt owing to Canada,
- (c) an amount equal to 100% of the funding referred to in paragraph (a) if,
 - (i) five (5) years following the end date of the Sub-Project, a facility that provides shelter space, transitional or supportive housing or other services for the homeless has not been established on the property referred to in paragraph (a), or
 - (ii) at any time during the five-year period following the end date of the Sub-Project, Canada concludes, based on
 - (A) information provided by the Recipient under section 4.7, or
 - (B) the results of a site inspection conducted by Canada under section 4.9

that the facility referred to in paragraph (a) will not be established during said five-year period and notifies the Recipient of such conclusion in writing, and

- (d) an amount determined in accordance with section 4.2 if, within five (5) years following the end date of the Sub-Project, the land or building referred to in paragraph (a) is sold and the proceeds of disposition are not forthwith committed to supporting a facility providing similar services to the homeless that is approved by Canada.
- 4.2 The amount repayable by the Recipient under paragraph 4.1(d), if the event referred to in that paragraph occurs, shall be determined as follows:
 - (a) if the event occurs within one year of the end date of the Sub-Project, a sum equal to 100% of the funding referred to in paragraph 4.1(a);
 - (b) if the event occurs within two years, but after one year of the end date of the Sub-Project, a sum equal to 80% of the funding referred to in paragraph 4.1(a);
 - (c) if the event occurs within three years, but after two years of the end date of the Sub-Project, a sum equal to 60% of the funding referred to in paragraph 4.1(a);
 - (d) if the event occurs within four years, but after three years of the end date of the Sub-Project, a sum equal to 40% of the funding referred to in paragraph 4.1(a); or
 - (e) if the event occurs within five years, but after four years of the end date of the Sub-Project, a sum equal to 20% of the funding referred to in paragraph 4.1(a).

Project Funding Used for Construction or Renovations

4.3 If

- (a) funding provided for a Sub-Project is used towards the costs of constructing or renovating a building to establish a new facility to provide shelter space, transitional or supportive housing or other services for the homeless, or towards the costs of expanding or renovating an existing facility that provides shelter space, transitional or supportive housing or other services for the homeless, and
- (b) the amount of the funding referred to in paragraph (a) is in excess of \$50,000, the Recipient shall repay as a debt owing to Canada,
- (c) an amount equal to 100% of the funding referred to in paragraph (a) if the Sub-Project referred to in that paragraph is not completed by the end date of the Sub-Project, and
- (d) an amount determined in accordance with section 4.4 if the activity referred to in paragraph (a) is completed by the end date of the Sub-Project but within five (5) years following the end date of the Sub-Project either of the following events occurs:
 - (i) the facility ceases to operate for its intended purpose and is not used for some other service approved by Canada in support of the homeless but is converted to some other use, or
 - (ii) the facility is sold and the proceeds of disposition are not forthwith committed to supporting a facility providing similar services to the homeless that is approved by Canada.
- 4.4 The amount repayable by the Recipient under paragraph 4.3(d) if either event referred to in subparagraph 4.3(d)(i) or (ii) occurs shall be determined as follows:
 - (a) for renovations representing 30% or less of the market value of the facility established as part of the project assessment process, if the event occurs within:
 - (i) one year of the end date of the Sub-Project a sum equal to 100% of the funding referred to in paragraph 4.3(a); or
 - (ii) two years, but after one year of the end date of the Sub-Project, a sum equal to 80% of the funding referred to in paragraph 4.3(a); and



- (b) for construction and for renovations representing more than 30% of the market value of the facility established as part of the project assessment process, if the event occurs within:
 - (i) one year of the end date of the Sub-Project, a sum equal to 100% of the funding referred to in paragraph 4.3(a);
 - (ii) two years, but after one year of the end date of the Sub-Project, a sum equal to 80% of the funding referred to in paragraph 4.3(a);
 - (iii) three years, but after two years of the end date of the Sub-Project, a sum equal to 60% of the funding referred to in paragraph 4.3(a);
 - (iv) four years, but after three years of the end date of the Sub-Project, a sum equal to 40% of the funding referred to in paragraph 4.3(a); or
 - (v) five years, but after four years of the end date of the Sub-Project, a sum equal to 20% of the funding referred to in paragraph 4.3(a).
- 4.5 For greater certainty, the Recipient acknowledges that the repayment requirements in sections 4.1 and 4.3 apply to it not only where the Sub-Project is implemented by it directly but also where it is being implemented by a Sub-Agreement Holder. Consequently, where the Recipient provides funding to a Sub-Agreement Holder for a Sub-Project that involves an activity referred to in section 4.1 or 4.3, the Recipient must ensure pursuant to paragraph 2.3(k) that its agreement with the Sub-Agreement Holder includes repayment obligations on the part of the Sub-Agreement Holder that are modeled on the provisions of section 4.1 or 4.3, as the case may be, except that every reference to "Recipient" in those provisions shall be replaced by a reference to the term used by the Recipient to identify the Sub-Agreement Holder in its agreement with the Sub-Agreement Holder and every reference to "Canada" shall be replaced by a reference to the term used by the Recipient to identify itself in its agreement with the Sub-Agreement Holder.

Repayment to Canada of Amounts Recovered from Sub-Agreement Holders

4.6 Where a Sub-Agreement Holder is required, under the terms of its agreement with the Recipient, to repay an amount to a Recipient pursuant to a repayment obligation referred to in section 4.5, the Recipient shall repay to Canada any such amount recovered by the Recipient from the Sub-Agreement Holder.

Annual Monitoring of, and Declaration on, Facility Establishment and/or Utilization Following Completion

- 4.7 If a Sub-Project involves an activity described in section 4.1 or 4.3, the Recipient shall, for the number of years following the end-date of the Sub-Project in respect of which the repayment requirements in section 4.2 or 4.4, as the case may be, are applicable (hereinafter "the Monitoring Period")
 - (a) annually monitor, as the case may be,
 - (i) progress made towards the establishment of the facility, or
 - (ii) the use of the facility to verify its continuing use for the purposes for which the Recipient had provided its funding, and

immediately notify Canada if the activities leading to the establishment of a facility have ceased, the facility property has been sold or the facility has ceased to be used for its intended purposes, and

- (b) provide annually to Canada, using a form provided by Canada, a declaration regarding, as the case may be,
 - (i) the progress made towards the establishment of the facility during the year covered by the declaration, or
 - (ii) utilization of the facility during the year covered by the declaration.
- 4.8 Each annual declaration referred to in section 4.7 shall be provided to Canada no later than ninety (90) days following the end of the year covered by the declaration.
- 4.9 During the Monitoring Period, the Recipient shall ensure that representatives of Canada are allowed to inspect the operation of the facility at any reasonable time to verify its continuing use for the purposes for which it was funded.

No Mortgaging or Charging of Facility Property

- 4.10. If the Recipient itself carries out a Sub-Project involving an activity described in section 4.1 or 4.3, the Recipient shall not mortgage, charge or otherwise encumber the facility property during the period of the Sub-Project or during the Monitoring Period, without the prior written approval of Canada. Canada undertakes that its approval shall not be unreasonably withheld.
- 4.11 If a Sub-Agreement Holder is carrying out a Sub-Project involving an activity described in section 4.1 or 4.3, the Recipient shall ensure that the Sub-Agreement Holder does not mortgage, charge or otherwise encumber the facility property during the period of the Sub-Project or during the Monitoring Period, without the prior written approval of the Recipient.

5.0 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

- 5.1 The Recipient shall:
 - (a) maintain and implement any and all environmental protection measures prescribed by Canada for ensuring that the harm to the environment resulting from the Project, if any, will remain minimal; and
 - (b) ensure that all environmental protection measures, standards and rules relating to the Project established by competent authorities are respected.



6.0 INDIGENOUS CONSULTATION

6.1 Based on the program design, Canada's funding is to enable the Recipient to carry out the Project. Canada does not assess, approve and enter into funding agreements with Sub-Projects. The Community Entity will assess, approve and enter into funding agreements with Sub-Projects based on recommendations by the Community Advisory Board. Canada does not have sufficient details to understand the nature and scope of the potential impacts on s.35 rights at the time funding is provided to the Recipient because Canada has no knowledge of the specific activities of the sub-project. As such, Canada has determined that a Duty to Consult would not be triggered.

7.0 OFFICIAL LANGUAGES

- 7.1 Where the Project is to be delivered to members of either language community, the Recipient shall:
 - (a) make Project-related documentation and announcements (for the public and prospective Project participants, if any) in both official languages where applicable;
 - (b) actively offer and provide in both official languages any Project-related services to be provided or made available to members of the public, where applicable;
 - (c) encourage members of both official language communities, including official language minority communities, to participate in the Project and its activities; and
 - (d) organize activities and provide its services, where appropriate, in such a manner as to address the needs of both official language communities.

8.0 ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS

Coordinated Access

8.1 Through working with community partners, including, if applicable, in partnership with the Indigenous Homelessness stream Community Entity within the Designated Community where the Recipient is located, the Recipient shall be required to have a Coordinated Access system in place by March 31, 2026 that fully meets all Reaching Home minimum requirements for Coordinated Access. More specifically, the recipient shall: maintain all minimum requirements that were met between April 1 2019 and March 31, 2024; meet all minimum requirements that were modified as of April 1, 2024; and meet new minimum requirements introduced on April 1, 2024. The minimum requirements, as prescribed by Canada, outline Canada's expectations for the design of Coordinated Access systems across the following areas: governance and partnerships, access points to service, triage and assessment, the Coordinated Access Resource Inventory, vacancy matching and referral, and use of the Homeless Individuals and Families Information System (HIFIS) or an existing, equivalent Homelessness Management Information System (HMIS).

The Homeless Individuals and Families Information System (HIFIS)

8.2 Through working with community partners, including, if applicable, in partnership with the Indigenous Homelessness stream Community Entity within the Designated Community where the Recipient is located, the Recipient shall be required to implement HIFIS, or utilize an equivalent Homelessness Management Information System (HMIS) if one is already in place, to achieve Coordinated Access minimum requirements.

Outcomes-Based Approach

8.3 Through working with community partners, including, if applicable, in partnership with the Indigenous Homelessness stream Community Entity within the Designated Community where the Recipient is located, the Recipient shall be required to continue to prevent and reduce homelessness using an Outcomes-Based Approach by fully meeting all Reaching Home minimum requirements for an Outcomes-Based Approach. More specifically, the recipient shall maintain all minimum requirements that were met between April 1, 2019 and March 31, 2024, it shall meet all minimum requirements that were modified as of April 1, 2024, and it shall meet new minimum requirements introduced on April 1, 2024. The minimum requirements, as prescribed by Canada, outline Canada's expectations to use person-specific data that is real-time and comprehensive to meet homelessness reduction targets for core community-level outcomes.

Community Homelessness Report

8.4 Through working with community partners, including, if applicable, in partnership with the Indigenous Homelessness stream Community Entity within the Designated Community where the Recipient is located, the Recipient shall provide annually to Canada to the end of the program in 2027-2028, using a template provided by Canada, no later than sixty (60) days following the period covered by the report (i.e. the previous fiscal year), a Community Homelessness Report, satisfactory to Canada in scope and detail. A summary of the Community Homelessness Report will be published publicly by the Recipient in a time and manner prescribed by Canada.

Point-in-Time Count

- 8.5 The Recipient shall conduct a Point-in-Time Count Enumeration and a Survey on Homelessness, between October 1, 2024 and November 30, 2024. Surveys on Homelessness can be administered over the course of one month and must be completed on or before December 30. Future enumerations shall be conducted annually, in the same time period (October-November), beginning in 2025, with surveys every three years (i.e., 2024, 2027) in alignment with the Reaching Home nationally coordinated Point-in-Time Count timelines. The methodology for the PiT Count must adhere to the national Point-in-Time Count methodology as prescribed by Canada, including common national survey questions.
- 8.6 The Recipient may conduct the Point-in-Time Count in coordination with a Registry Week or another enumeration methodology provided that the methodological standards prescribed by Canada are followed.
- 8.7 In a manner prescribed by Canada, for 2024 and onward, the Recipient shall provide the results of the PiT Count to Canada within two months of completing the enumeration and two months of completing the survey, including, but not limited to:
 - (a) an enumeration off people who were experiencing homelessness in shelters (e.g. emergency shelters, Domestic Violence shelters, extreme weather shelters), transitional housing, and the number who were in unsheltered locations on a single night; and
 - individual-level survey data from the common national survey questions asked of people experiencing homelessness.
- 8.8 These results will be used by Canada to report at aggregate levels (e.g. nationally, by region) without identifying results for particular survey respondents or for particular communities.



REPORT TO HOSPITALS AND HOUSING COMMITTEE MEETING OF WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 04, 2023

SUBJECT Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region – Funding Increase

ISSUE SUMMARY

To detail implications of the Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region's (the "Alliance") request for increased annual core funding from the Capital Regional District (CRD) from \$225,000 to \$345,000, with an annual inflation increase.

BACKGROUND

The Alliance started in 2008 as the Greater Victoria Coalition to End Homelessness with a mission to end homelessness in the capital region and consists of local housing, health and social service providers, non-profit organizations, all levels of government, businesses, post-secondary institutions, the faith community, people with lived and living experiences of homelessness, and members of the public. The Alliance is a non-profit society and registered charity. Past Alliance efforts included the publication of the Creating Homes, Enhancing Communities report, which supported the early development of the Regional Housing First Program, work related to process mapping, eviction prevention, a Speakers' Bureau, youth homelessness prevention, engagement of people with lived experience and efforts through the COVID-19 pandemic to directly support individuals experiencing homelessness.

On August 21, 2023, the Alliance sent a letter to the CRD Board requesting an increase of \$120,000 in annual core funding (Appendix A). The Alliance attached their 2022 draft audited financial statement to support the letter (see Appendix B) and presented their funding request to the Hospitals and Housing Committee on September 6, 2023 (see Appendix C). Staff were then directed to return with a report detailing the implications associated with the requested funding increase.

Since 2008, the CRD has supported the core operations of the Alliance. This contribution was increased from \$150,000 to \$225,000 in 2010 and has remained consistent through to the 2023 final CRD budget. The CRD's initial commitment to the Alliance was for 10 years, but this was revised in 2018 to be ongoing.

In September 2023, the Alliance informed the Hospitals and Housing Committee that without an increase in funding, the organization would not be viable in 2025. A review of the accompanying 2022 draft audited financial statements indicate solvency and going concern for the long-term operations and viability of the Alliance.

Each year, the CRD negotiates and monitors an annual Service Agreement with the Alliance that sets out the scope of work, deliverables, and relevant roles and responsibilities expected of the Alliance. The Alliance submits an annual report to the CRD on their specific deliverables (see Appendix D).

ALTERNATIVES

Alternative 1

The Hospitals and Housing Committee recommends to the Capital Regional District Board:

- 1. That staff be directed to negotiate a one-time increase of \$120,000 from \$225,000 to \$345,000 in 2024:
- 2. That staff be directed to amend the 2024 Financial Plan to reflect the increase in funding; and
- 3. That staff work through the 2025 service planning cycle to revisit the allocation of resources between the Alliance and those dedicated directly to advancing the CRD Board and Corporate priorities.

Alternative 2

The Hospitals and Housing Committee recommends to the Capital Regional District Board:

- 1. That staff be directed to maintain the core service level of \$225,000 in the 2024 Financial Plan; and
- 2. That staff work through the 2025 service planning cycle to revisit the allocation of resources between the Alliance and those dedicated directly to advancing the CRD Board and Corporate priorities.

Alternative 3

The Hospitals and Housing Committee recommends to the Capital Regional District Board:

- 1. That staff be directed to negotiate an ongoing increase of \$120,000 from \$225,000 to \$345,000 starting in 2024;
- 2. That staff be directed to amend the 2024 Financial Plan to reflect the increase in funding; and
- 3. That staff index funding to the Alliance to CRD guidelines and include this adjusted amount as a core service level going forward.

Alternative 4

That the Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region – Funding Increase report be referred to staff for additional information based on the Hospitals and Housing Committee direction.

IMPLICATIONS

Alignment with CRD Plans and Strategies

The Alliance activities support several objectives in the 2023 – 2026 Corporate Plan and the Regional Housing Affordability Strategy, 2018. The alignment with various CRD plans and strategies is provided in Appendix E. Much of the focus in Alliance work is related to engagement and facilitation in support of CRD Corporate Plan activities related to a regional approach or data collection and guidance/recommendations on the use of Reaching Home Program (RHP) funds through the development of a Community Plan and the Alliance's participation on the RHP Community Advisory Board (CAB). The Alliance is also active in prevention through facilitation of a youth homelessness task force.

Service Delivery Implications

Currently, funding for the Alliance is requisitioned through Community Health with the day-to-day aspects of managing the Service Agreement supported through Regional Housing. This is in alignment with the authority as established under Land Banking and Housing and, more specifically, the Terms and Conditions of the RHP.

In March 2019, the CRD entered into a Contribution Agreement with the Government of Canada to fill the role of Community Entity (CE) under the RHP. Though there are numerous aspects of the RHP implementation that include community engagement and plan development, grant administration, contract monitoring, etc., there are two key components that the CRD is obligated to advance under the terms of the Contribution Agreement, including a Coordinated Assessment and Access (CAA) process and a Homelessness Management Information System (HMIS). An overview of both initiatives is provided in greater detail in Appendix F, Part 1.

The current RHP Contribution Agreement runs until March 31, 2024, though staff are expecting to receive a Service Agreement amendment or a new Service Agreement that extends the RHP for up to five years. As related to the CRD's role in implementing the RHP, the Alliance is tasked with a range of activities that are outlined in Appendix F, Part 2.

Within the context of the 2023-2026 CRD Board and Corporate priorities, the obligations under the 2019-2024 RHP and the role of the Alliance, there is an increasing degree of overlap between the strategic and policy direction as provided by the CRD Board and the historic role of the Alliance in supporting a range of homelessness engagement, research, data collection and planning work.

Alternative 1

Proposes a one-year increase in funding and will support the considerable community engagement and planning work required as the CRD prepares to transition into a renewed RHP. Staff will then revisit the funding level starting in 2025.

Alternative 2

Retains the existing level of funding to the Alliance, which will result in the CRD taking on an increased role in advancing the work required to support engagement efforts related to CAA and HMIS and the transition toward a renewed RHP and will further direct the work through a 2024 Service Agreement with the Alliance. Staff will then revisit the funding level starting in 2025.

Alternative 3

Proposes that the CRD provide the Alliance with an ongoing increase in core operational funding. This alternative would provide the organization with the highest level of operational support and an ongoing commitment from the CRD. In terms of alignment between the Alliance activities and the obligations of the CRD under the RHP, staff increasingly direct the content of the Alliance Service Agreement as the CRD would continue to rely on a third-party to play a vital role in ensuring the CRD's RHP obligations are met.

Financial Implications

The CRD has provided the Alliance with a total of \$3,535,000 in funding through its Community Health service from 2008 to 2023. In addition, the CRD has administered a total of \$700,000 on behalf of the City of Victoria bringing the total funds provided through Alliance Service Agreements to \$4,235,000 over 15 years. In addition, the Alliance is eligible to receive funds through the RHP and has received a total of \$466,627 in support of Sector Capacity Building through Creation of Free Micro Credentials (\$186,067) and Construction and Furnishing of Seven Tiny Home Village Units (\$280,560). An overview of the three Alternatives is attached as Appendix G.

Alternative 1

Proposes a one-year increase in funding to the Alliance from \$225,000 to \$345,000. This alternative also directs staff to review the balance of requisition resources between the activities of a third party operating under agreement and those the CRD uses to directly fund its operational activities in 2025. Potentially reducing funding to the Alliance will be increasingly important as

staff begin to scope out and seek the resources required to advance a coordinated, regional, and collaborative response to homelessness and data collection and research analysis capacity dedicated to housing data while working to mitigate potential requisition increases.

Alternative 2

Proposes that the CRD maintains the existing level of funding to the Alliance of \$225,000 per year. It is important to note, however, that this alternative also directs staff to review the funding level in 2025 in conjunction with the CRD's service planning process to ensure resources continue to be utilized in direct support of advancing CRD Board and Corporate priorities. The Alliance informed the Hospitals and Housing Committee in September 2023 that without an increase in funding, the organization would not be viable in 2025. This alternative would likely result in the Alliance either significantly scaling back activities and/or winding down operations.

Alternative 3

Proposes the Alliance be provided with an ongoing increase in core operational funding to \$345,000 per year and that this increase be ongoing and indexed to the CRD guidelines related to inflation. This inflationary increase would see the core funding go from \$345,000 in 2024 to \$358,938 in 2026 and would continue increasing at 2% annually in-line with CRD guidelines.

Both Alternative 1 and Alternative 2 provide the direction to staff to review CRD activities identified through the CRD service planning process and to consider those activities through assessing the value of either direct delivery through the CRD or through a third-party starting in 2025. To constrain potential requisition impact as the CRD may look to increase service levels in support of CRD Board and Corporate priorities starting in 2025, staff have recommended this step to provide greater flexibility to the CRD Board in considering how it can both deliver an enhanced level of service while also mitigating the risk of significant requisition increases. Alternative 3, however, is intended to provide the CRD Board with a clear option that includes a lift to the core funding level to the Alliance that is intended to be ongoing.

CONCLUSION

Over the last 15 years, the CRD has supported the operations of the Alliance through Service Agreements that total \$4,235,000. In addition, the Alliance has received \$466,627 through the RHP, administered by the CRD. However, as the role of the CRD has shifted over time, due in part to the obligations under the RHP as well as the strategic and policy direction provided by the CRD Board in 2023, it is increasingly important to revisit how the CRD continues to drive action on achieving outcomes through either engaging third parties or an increase in service levels.

RECOMMENDATION

The Hospitals and Housing Committee recommends to the Capital Regional District Board:

- 1. That staff be directed to negotiate a one-time increase of \$120,000 from \$225,000 to \$345,000 in 2024;
- 2. That staff be directed to amend the 2024 Financial Plan to reflect the increase in funding; and
- That staff work through the 2025 service planning cycle to revisit the allocation of resources between the Alliance and those dedicated directly to advancing the CRD Board and Corporate priorities.

Submitted by: Don Elliott, MUP, BA, Senior Manager, Regional Housing

Concurrence:	Kevin Lorette, P. Eng., MBA, General Manager, Planning & Protective Services
Concurrence:	Nelson Chan, MBA, FCPA, FCMA, Chief Financial Officer
Concurrence:	Ted Robbins, B. Sc., C. Tech., Chief Administrative Officer

ATTACHMENTS

Appendix A: Alliance Funding Request Letter, Submitted August 21, 2023

Appendix B: Alliance Draft Financial Statement

Appendix C: Alliance Funding Request Presentation – September 6, 2023

Appendix D: Alliance 2022-23 Service Agreement – Annual Report

Appendix E: Alignment with CRD Plans & Strategies

Appendix F: Reaching Home Projects & Alliance Activities

Appendix G: Funding Alternatives



Mr. Colin Plant Chair - Capital Regional District Board 625 Fisgard Street, Victoria, BC V8W 1R7

SENT VIA EMAIL

Re: AEHCR Core Funding Request

Dear Chair Plant,

On behalf of the Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region (AEHCR) (legally known as the Greater Victoria Coalition to End Homelessness), we wish to sincerely thank the Capital Regional District (CRD) for sustaining our collective work to end homelessness in the region.

We are writing to you today to request an increase in the funding provided by the CRD to the Alliance. The AEHCR wishes to formally request an annual increase of \$120,000 (from \$225,000 to \$345,000). The AEHCR also requests an annual cost of living indexation. Attachment A presents the audited financial statements for the last fiscal year.

The CRD has been and continues to be a crucial partner supporting the activities of the AEHCR and its member societies since 2008. As of December 2022, the AEHCR is honoured to have 28 local and regional organization members who primarily provide services in the homelessness sector as well as 26 individual members. In addition to the CRD, the AEHCR's partners include Island Health and BC Housing. Attachment B shows current member organizations and Attachment C shows our current Board of Directors and AEHCR Staff Positions.

The CRD was integral in launching the Alliance with core funding of \$150,000 per year for 3 years from 2008 to 2010. The CRD core funding was increased to \$225,000 in 2011 and has continued at that amount for 13 years. AEHCR has had two other core funders since its inception. The City of Victoria and Island Health have each provided \$100,000 annually for many years.

Each year the AEHCR enters into separate Service Agreements with the CRD and Island Health which include specific AEHCR deliverables. The AEHCR submits a report on the deliverables achieved to CRD staff each year prior to the next Service Agreement.

The AEHCR Board has approved making requests for increased funding to each of our 3 core funding partners and we are seeking a total increase of \$170,000 in annual core funding for 2024. Our recent request has been declined by Island Health and we have a request to the City of Victoria concurrent with this request to the CRD.

The rationale for an increase in core funding is that while the challenges in addressing Homelessness have increased over the past 13 years and the deliverables in our service agreements with the CRD and Island Health have increased, core funding to the AEHCR has not increased over the past 13 years, non-staff operating costs have risen, and AECHR staff salaries have not seen an increase since 2012. The requested increase is necessary to retain and recruit excellent staff, achieve the deliverables in our Service Agreements, and meet the expectations of our member organizations and community at large.

It should be noted that while the AEHCR has annual core funding, it also applies and receives grants for varying time periods to conduct programs or projects. An example in 2023, is the AEHCR received \$157,000 of funds from the Federal Reaching Home Program, which is administered by the Community Entity held by the CRD in our region as well as other project granting sources such as UBCM, the Victoria Foundation, the Donnelly Foundation and many individual donors.

The work of the AEHCR is made possible thanks to this ongoing investment into the community by the CRD. The positive impact of initiatives we have launched together focused on ending and preventing homelessness cannot be understated. Our collaborative work plays a critical role in transforming the homelessness-serving system in the region and continues to reinforce the importance of the partnership between the AEHCR and the CRD. Now, more than ever, the CRD's support is needed to achieve our shared vision.

The 2018 Regional Housing Affordability Strategy approved by the CRD Board of Directors as well as the 2019-2024 Community Plan to End Homelessness in the Capital Region, inform this AEHCR, ongoing work and as such, the AEHCR takes a whole-government approach to advocating for increasing appropriate housing supply, establishes housing targets and eviction interventions specific to chronic homelessness while also contributing to the development of broader targets around housing affordability. The AEHCR also plays an important role in bringing a wide range of partners together to explore and create synergies and opportunities for partnerships and system-wide transformation.

The AEHCR serves its members through its role as a 'backbone' organization responsible for guiding vision and facilitating strategic planning, developing sector-wide advocacy initiatives, supporting aligned activities, establishing shared best practices, building public awareness, advancing policy changes, working to mobilize and distribute funding and more. The AEHCR staff complement has incrementally increased over the past number of years to support the work of the Sector, while the work that is carried out is exponential in nature and scope.

Efforts continue to focus on addressing the needs of those experiencing chronic homelessness and in preventing homelessness experience for those who are at-imminent-risk, with particular emphasis on preventing youth homelessness. Central to this work, the AEHCR continues to support the development of two crucial regional initiatives: a Coordinated Access and Assessment system for housing, and a broader Homelessness Management Information System for coordinating housing and supports for people experiencing, at risk of, or with a recent experience of homelessness. When complete, these two systems will ensure enhanced service efficacy, better resource efficiencies, and that those in need are being rapidly connected to the housing and services that best suit their needs.

In collaboration with the CRD and community partners, the AEHCR authors and publishes the Community Plan, which is focused on improvements to the housing and homelessness response system and identifies housing supply needs specific to addressing chronic homelessness while projecting future

need. The AEHCR also contributes to the development of plans that are indirectly connected to homelessness such as the Regional Housing Affordably Strategy as well as aligning our work with the BC government's Homelessness Strategy and other relevant initiatives.

The AEHCR collects data related to its mission and partners with others to conduct complex research initiatives related to housing, homelessness, prevention and system transformation. The AEHCR Board of Directors passed a Motion at their February 22nd meeting as follows: The GVCEH Board indicate to the CRD and CAA Working Group that it wishes to be the lead organization for the holder of data and the creation of the By Name List. This Motion, and development of a Regional Data Dashboard are key to supporting the development of a baseline to measure both the progress toward Functional Zero homelessness in the Region, and also support evidence-based decisions regarding resource allocation and re-allocation.

The AEHCR convenes and facilitates working groups and supports and participates with community groups on collaborative advocacy and solutions to homelessness. The AEHCR partners and staff are embedded in an increasing number of community tables, and the AEHCR continues to work with partners to develop and distribute information tools and strategies to enhance the effectiveness of collective efforts.

Homelessness is a complex issue with all orders of government supporting individual-level solutions while also exerting control over different and sometime overlapping aspects of the response. This means that much of the work related to significant coordination and integration of systems happens at the community level and in alignment with the CRD's Regional Housing Affordability Strategy. With the recent announcements by Minister Eby and the BC's government investments to prevent and continue the work to end homelessness, the AEHCR, is now, more than ever, in a position to build on the collaborative work in the Region and continue to provide backbone services to our members to achieve these goals.

AEHCR staff bring together leaders and decision-makers at the regional, provincial and national scale through a unique partnership that continues to make significant inroads in housing, health care, prevention and research around homelessness and its root causes. This request for an increase in core funding will help support the operations of the AEHCR and will also help offset increasing costs.

Any opportunity to increase annual funding will support operations related to:

- Continue Critical Work to Prevent Youth Homelessness The AEHCR's Youth Task Force (YTF), started in 2017, is significantly shifting the youth homelessness landscape in the region. As we know 55.8% of those experiencing homelessness had their first experience of homelessness before their 25th birthday. The YTF is both working to address the estimated 259 individuals under the age of 25 currently experiencing some form of homelessness and is collaborating to prevent youth homelessness with the BC Coalition to End Youth Homelessness and other social services agencies for further strengthen our collective reach.
- Offset Increasing Costs In early 2019 the GVCEH moved into market rental office space for the first time in its ten-year history. While this move provided considerably better opportunities to support community in ending homelessness, it also came with the corresponding increase in operational costs.

In 2023, we are required to move, once again, and the costs associated with relocation have also increased due to a very strong commercial rental market.

Although we continue to make significant advances, homelessness persists as a challenge for this community, across BC and across the country. As the community continues to emerge from the heights of the COVID crisis, the economic crisis, labour shortages and increased inflation, continue to be felt in the region and we are looking to CRD to support the AEHCR's sector-wide advocacy and communication, collaboration, research, and engagement, to achieve collective impact on our common goals.

It is important to also note that, in addition to the AEHCR requesting an increase in core funding from the CRD, it has requested a similar increase from Island Health and will be presenting to the City of Victoria in September as well and will be seeking other sources of funding through Trust Based Philanthropy and potentially fundraising, as we believe in the importance of funders and the community collaborating on this important regional work.

Thank you so much for your continued support of the AEHCR and the organizations dedicated to a vision of a region, a province and a nation without homelessness and we look forward to your response.

Respectfully,

Jeff Bray

Community Co-Chair

Sylvia Ceacero Executive Director



Financial Statements

Greater Victoria Coalition to End Homelessness Society (dba Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region)

March 31, 2023

Greater Victoria Coalition to End Homelessness Society (dba Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region)

Contents

		Page
Independent Auditors' Report		1-2
Statement of Financial Position	<u>^</u>	3
Statement of Changes in Net Assets		4
Statement of Operations		5
Statement of Cash Flows		6
Notes to the Financial Statements		7-11



Independent Auditors' Report

Grant Thornton LLP Suite 650 1675 Douglas Street Victoria, BC V8W 2G5

T +1 250 383 4191 F +1 250 381 4623

To the members of Greater Victoria Coalition to End Homelessness Society

Opinion

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of Greater Victoria Coalition to End Homelessness Society ("the Society"), which comprise the statement of financial position as at March 31, 2023, and the statements of operations, changes in net assets and cash flows for the year then ended, and notes to the financial statements, including a summary of significant accounting policies.

In our opinion, the accompanying financial statements present fairly in all material respects, the financial position of Greater Victoria Coalition to End Homelessness Society as at March 31, 2023, and its results of operations and its cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with Canadian accounting standards for not-for-profit organizations.

Basis for Opinion


We conducted our audit in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the *Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements* section of our report. We are independent of the Society in accordance with the ethical requirements that are relevant to our audit of the financial statements in Canada, and we have fulfilled our other ethical responsibilities in accordance with these requirements. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.

Responsibilities of Management and Those Charged with Governance for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with Canadian accounting standards for not-for-profit organizations, and for such internal control as management determines is necessary to enable the preparation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the financial statements, management is responsible for assessing the Society's ability to continue as a going concern, disclosing, as applicable, matters related to going concern and using the going concern basis of accounting unless management either intends to liquidate the Society or to cease operations, or has no realistic alternative but to do so.

Those charged with governance are responsible for overseeing the Society's financial reporting process.



Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of these financial statements.

As part of an audit in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards, we exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit. We also:

- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks, and obtain audit evidence that is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Society's internal control.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates and related disclosures made by management.
- Conclude on the appropriateness of management's use of the going concern basis of accounting and, based on the audit evidence obtained, whether a material uncertainty exists related to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the Society's ability to continue as a going concern. If we conclude that a material uncertainty exists, we are required to draw attention in our auditor's report to the related disclosures in the financial statements or, if such disclosures are inadequate, to modify our opinion. Our conclusions are based on the audit evidence obtained up to the date of our auditor's report. However, future events or conditions may cause the Society to cease to continue as a going concern.
- Evaluate the overall presentation, structure and content of the financial statements, including the disclosures, and whether the financial statements represent the underlying transactions and events in a manner that achieves fair presentation.

We communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and significant audit findings, including any significant deficiencies in internal control that we identify during our audit.

Report on other legal and regulatory requirements

As required by the Societies Act of British Columbia, we report that, in our opinion, the accounting principles in the Canadian accounting standards for not-for-profit organizations have been applied on a consistent basis.

Victoria, Canada July XX, 2023

Chartered Professional Accountants

Greater Victoria Coalition to End Homelessness Society (dba Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region) Statement of Financial Position

March 31		2023		2022
Assets Current				
Cash and cash equivalents (Note 5)	\$	171,903	\$	347,638
Accounts receivable		4,125		3,544
Government remittances receivable		3,120		3,120
Prepaid expenses and deposits		10,078		12,996
Short term investments	-	26,000	-	267 209
		215,226		367,298
Tangible capital assets (Note 3)	_	194,186	_	578,680
	\$_	409,412	\$_	945,978
Liabilities Current				
Payables and accruals	\$	39,367	\$	39,430
Deferred contributions (Note 4)		126,696		223,554
Deferred capital contributions (Note 5)	_	188,516	_	565,548
	_	354,579	_	828,532
Net Assets				
Invested in capital assets		5,670		13,132
Unrestricted		49,163		104,314
	_	54,833	_	117,446
	\$_	409,412	\$_	945,978

Commitments (Note 10)

On behalf of the Board

 Director	 Directo

Greater Victoria Coalition to End Homelessness Society (dba Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region) Statement of Changes in Net Assets

Year ended March 31						2023	2022
	-	nvested in capital assets	<u> </u>	Jnrestricted	_	Total	Total
Balance, beginning of year	\$	13,132	\$	104,314	\$	117,446 \$	169,308
Deficiency of revenue over expenses		(7,462)		(55,151)		(62,613)	(51,862)
Additions to tangible capital assets less capital contributions received	_		. <u>-</u>		_	<u> </u>	<u>-</u>
Balance, end of year	\$_	5,670	\$	49,163	\$	54,833 \$	117,446

See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

Greater Victoria Coalition to End Homelessness Society (dba Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region) Statement of Operations

Year ended March 31	2023		2022
Revenue			
Government grants (Note 6) \$	1,114,104	\$	2,035,285
Individual donations	199,728	Ψ	270,097
Corporate donations	146,939		219,124
Contributions from other registered charities (Note 6)	101,155		70,401
Miscellaneous revenue	2,522		1,286
Membership fees	1,000		725
Interest	978		165
-		_	1.00
<u>-</u>	1,566,426	_	2,597,083
Expenses			
Amortization	384,494		573,266
Communications and consultation	9,520		13,692
Council and committee meetings	10,104		7,296
General administration	58,461		64,983
Professional fees	25,313		20,724
Program expenses (Note 7)	615,932		1,427,166
Rent	72,495		65,364
Wages and benefits	452,720	_	476,454
	1,629,039	_	2,648,945
Deficiency of revenue over expenses \$	(62,613)	\$_	(51,862)

See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

Greater Victoria Coalition to End Homelessness Society (dba Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region) Statement of Cash Flows

Year ended March 31		2023		2022
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents				
Operating				
Deficiency of revenue over expenses Amortization of deferred capital contributions	\$	(62,613) (377,032)	\$	(51,862) (565,548)
Amortization	-	384,494	_	573,266
	_	(55,151)	_	(44,144)
Change in non-cash operating working capital				
Accounts receivable		(581)		(2,109)
Government remittances receivable Prepaid expenses and deposits		- 2,918		2,383 (4,477)
Payables and accruals		(63)		(389,138)
Deferred contributions	<u> </u>	(96,858)	_	121,359
	_	(94,584)	_	(271,982)
	_	(149,735)	_	(316,126)
Investing				
Purchase of short term investments		(26,000)		-
Purchase of tangible capital assets	-	-	-	(748,027)
	-	(26,000)	_	(748,027)
Financing				
Deferred capital contributions	_		_	580,918
	_		_	580,918
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		(175,735)		(483,235)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	_	347,638	_	830,873
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$_	171,903	\$_	347,638

See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

March 31, 2023

1. Purpose of the Society

The Greater Victoria Coalition to End Homelessness Society (the "Society") was incorporated on July 25, 2008 under the Societies Act of British Columbia. In February 2023 the Society changed its operating name to the Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region and continues to do business under this name. The Society's purpose is to engage community organizations, governments and non-governmental agencies to work in partnership with each other and the broader community to lead and drive the commitment to end homelessness in the Capital Regional District of British Columbia. The Society received status as a registered charity effective April 1, 2009.

2. Summary of significant accounting policies

Basis of presentation

The Society has prepared these financial statements in accordance with Canadian accounting standards for not-for-profit organizations.

Revenue recognition

The Society follows the deferral method of accounting for contributions.

Operating grant revenue is recognized in the year for which the grant is awarded. Accordingly, operating grant revenue awarded for periods subsequent to the current year is deferred to the next fiscal year.

Restricted contributions related to general operations are recognized as revenue in the year in which the related expenses are incurred.

Unrestricted contributions are recognized as revenue in the year received or receivable if the amount to be received can be reasonably estimated and collection is reasonably assured.

Cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand and balances with banks and highly liquid temporary investments with maturities of three months or less.

Amortization

Rates and bases of amortization applied to write off the cost less estimated salvage value of capital assets over their estimated lives are as follows:

Furniture and equipment Computer equipment Leasehold improvements Temporary shelter 20%, straight-line 33-1/3%, straight-line Term of lease Term of lease

March 31, 2023

2. Summary of significant accounting policies (continued)

Use of estimates

In preparing the Society's financial statements, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the year. The more subjective of such estimates are the collection of accounts receivable, the useful life of tangible capital assets and the accrual of accounts payable and liabilities. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Financial instruments

The Society's financial instruments consist of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, and payables and accruals.

Financial instruments are recorded at fair value on initial recognition and are subsequently recorded at cost or amortized cost, unless management has elected to carry the instruments at fair value. The Society has not elected to carry any such financial instruments at fair value.

Volunteers

Volunteers contribute an indeterminable number of hours to the Society across its operations. Since no objective basis exists for recording and assigning fair values to donated time, the value of this time has not been reflected in the accompanying financial statements.

March 31, 2023

3. Tangible capital as	sets			-	2023	_	2022
		Cost	Accumulated amortization		Net book value		Net book value
Furniture and equipment Computer equipment Leasehold improvements Temporary shelter	\$	12,428 66,969 18,386 1,124,065	\$ 12,428 61,216 17,297 936,721	\$	- 5,753 1,089 187,344	\$	135 11,065 5,448 562,032
	\$_	1,221,848	\$ 1,027,662	\$	194,186	\$	578,680

4. Deferred contributions

Deferred contributions relate to restricted operating funding received that relate to future years.

	_	BC Housing	City of Victoria	Island Health Authority	Canadian Mortgage and Housing	Non- Government	Total 2023
Balance, beginning of year	\$	27,288	132,290 \$	8,333 \$	31,718	23,925	\$ 223,554
Received during the year	_	10,071 37,359	376,553 508,843	91,667 100,000	- 31,718	196,614 220,539	674,905 898,459
Less: amounts recognized as revenue	_	37,359	501,532	100,000	31,718	101,155	771,764
Balance, end of year	\$_	\$	5 7,312 \$	\$	- 9	119,384	\$ 126,696

5. Deferred capital contributions

Hey Neighbour project: In the previous fiscal years the Society crowd-sourced funding from numerous individuals and local businesses and raised \$1,131,096 toward construction costs. These funds raised are being amortized into revenue over the life of the project. The amount recognized as revenue during the year is \$377,032 (2022: \$565,548).

March 31, 2023

6. Grants

The Society's major funding sources are from contributions received from other registered charities and grants received from government sources as follows:

	_	2023		2022
Government grants City of Victoria Capital Regional District Island Health CMHC BC Housing Ministry of SDPR	\$	605,816 321,311 100,000 49,418 37,359 200	\$	322,896 402,167 100,000 60,902 1,126,445 22,875
	\$_	1,114,104	\$	2,035,285
Contributions from other registered charities				
Burnside Gorge Community Association Victoria Foundation Second Harvest Food Bank Social Planning and Research Council Other	\$	36,962 29,333 18,200 - 16,660	\$ -	38,526 - 18,750 13,125
	\$	101,155	\$	70,401

March 31, 2023

7. Program expenses	 2023	· <u>-</u>	2022
Program expenses consist of the following:			
Peer Housing Support	\$ 338,356	\$	263,212
Face to Face with Stigma	151,634		80,076
National Housing Solutions	37,359		60,902
Burnside Gorge Neighbourhood Engagement	36,962		4,375
Sector Capacity Building	25,716		-
Inclusion & Collaboration	8,607		41,903
Extreme Weather Response	6,941		42,704
Documentary: 940 Caledonia	6,250		16,111
Youth Hostel Pilot	4,107		886,984
Community Laundry Pilot	· -		22,414
Participatory Action Research	-		6,133
Youth Homelessness	 -	_	2,351
	\$ 615,932	\$	1,427,166

March 31, 2023

8. Risk management

In the normal course of business, the Society is exposed to liquidity risk. The Society's primary risk management objective is to protect cash flow in order to support its operations. Risks are managed within limits ultimately established by the Society's Board of Directors and implemented by management.

The carrying value of cash and cash equivalents, term deposits, accounts receivable, and payables and accruals approximates fair value due to the relatively short-term maturity of these financial instruments. Unless otherwise noted, it is management's opinion that the Society is not exposed to significant interest, currency or credit risk arising from these financial instruments.

9. Remuneration

Under the new Societies Act, which came into effect November 28, 2016, societies must disclose remuneration paid to directors, and to employees and contractors whose remuneration was at least \$75,000 for the fiscal year. During the year, the Society paid no remuneration to directors.

During the year, one employee met this criterion who was paid total remuneration of \$107,827 (2022: \$88,038).

10. Commitments

The Society has operating leases with future minimum aggregate lease payments as follows:

2024		33,522
2025		18,405
2026		18,405
	\$	70,332



Greater Victoria Coalition to End Homelessness

CRD – Request for funding Increase

Presented by:

Jeff Bray, CEO – Downtown Victoria Business Association Community Co-Chair

Sylvia Ceacero, MBA, MA, MCRM Executive Director





Vision

A region, a province, and a country where everyone has a safe place to call home.



Mission

To ensure experiences of homelessness in the Capital Region by 2030 are rare, brief, and non-recurring, and that housing and supports are culturally adaptive, creative, caring, and person-centered.

Guiding Principles



Person-Centered

People come first.
We will provide housing and supports in ways that best meet the needs of people experiencing homelessness rather than organizing based on our efficiencies or expertise.



Adaptability & Innovation

People will be supported along their continuum of care, as needed; care and support will be individualized to people's needs, with an emphasis on integration into the community.

We embrace innovation and seek to learn from our actions and share that learning with the community.



Community Engagement

We build a broad range of support for our work and engage all community members in finding and implementing appropriate solutions.



Prevention

Identifying, mitigating, and advocating for systemic change that addresses the root causes of homelessness will be key components of our prevention work.



Our request

The Alliance thanks the CRD for its continued support and partnership over the years.

We are asking for an increase of a \$120,000.00 annually, from \$225,000.00 to \$345,000.00 per year and a yearly built-in negotiated cost of living adjustment.

This increase will provide the leveling required to support our core operations as the backbone organization in the homelessness serving sector.



Collective Impact

We align and focus the opportunities that arise from the intersection of our work in community through the development and strengthening of partnerships that result in system transformation, upstream and downstream.

Organizational Strength

We continue to develop a GVCEH team that is adequately resourced to augment capacity that aligns and focuses on mission-critical actions that support the evolving needs of the sector.



Sector Resiliency

We support our sector's human beings to thrive in the service of our community by developing best-practices approaches that are human capital centric.

Research & Data

We uphold the highest standards of research and data to support evidence-based decision-making at all levels.

Advocacy & Communications


We amplify the voice of the sector to reach its functional-zero-by-2030 goal through the development and implementation of a sector-wide advocacy and communications plan.


Collective Impact – Regional planning and coordination

We align and focus the opportunities that arise from the intersection of our work in community through the development and strengthening of partnerships that result in system transformation, upstream and downstream.

Our objective is to ensure plans and initiatives developed and implemented by the Alliance have a region-wide focus and that service providers and partners come to coordinate and integrate their collective resources to reach functional-zero.

Collective engagement is central to the work of the organization. It is critical for the Alliance to continue to engage all partners and to facilitate conversations that will spark better outcomes.






Sector Resilience: Supporting the People

We support our sector's human beings to thrive in the service of our community by developing best-practices approaches that are human capital centric.

The Alliance continues to ensure that the sector is supported with relevant training and with best-practice groups that provide its partners with qualified employees who can make their careers in this sector.



Research and Data

We uphold the highest standards of research and data to support evidence-based decision-making at all levels.

The Alliance develops a Community Data Dashboard and we support the CAA / HIFIS project to ensure we have a community driven information system that is effective and efficient.


We also incubate and support the development of IT based innovative solutions for specific populations.

Advocacy and Communications – Unifying our Voices

We amplify the voice of the sector to reach its functional-zero-by-2030 goal through the development and implementation of a sector-wide advocacy and communications plan.

The Alliance supports the work of unifying our partner's message, develop a common vision and advocate for the changes that are required to achieve our collective goals.

We also ensure that the community is informed and educated by providing the tools required to reduce stigma and create inclusion for all.




Organizational Strength: Keeping our Core Strong

We continue to develop an Alliance team that is adequately resourced to augment capacity that aligns and focuses on mission-critical actions that support the evolving needs of the sector.

Relational practices with all partners require continuity and are the cornerstone of successfully achieving our collective vision.

Our human resources are at the center of the work we do, and the Alliance must remain competitive and sustainable now and in the future.





Your Support will Afford

Alignment with the vision of functional-zero by 2030.

Continued critical work in prevention – upstream and downstream.

Regional engagement to align the vision for our community.

Ensure funding stability for the core needs of a backbone organization that convenes all partners to create efficient and effective solutions.







Greater Victoria Coalition to End Homelessness CRD Service Agreement Report 2022/23

2022 – 2023 REPORT SUBMITTED BY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: SYLVIA CEACERO

Table of Contents

022 –	2023 REPORT SUBMITTED BY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: SYLVIA CEACERO	1
Core	Functions & Objectives	3
1.	Regional Planning and Coordination	3
2.	Ongoing Research	3
3.	System Monitoring	3
4.	Communications and Engagement	3
5.	General Administration	3
KPI I	mplementation Activities	4
1.	Regional Planning and Coordination (Collective Impact)	4
2.	Ongoing Research and System Monitoring (Research and Data)	6
3. Im	Communications and Engagement (Advocacy and Communications) (Collectine pact)	
4.	General Administration (Organizational Strength)	10
Appe	endices	13
Ap	pendix A: 2022-2024 GVCEH Strategic Plan - Plan of Action	13
Ap	ppendix B: AEHCR - Strategic Direction 2022-2024	20
Ap	ppendix C: AEHCR - 2022 Successes and 2023-2024 Plan of Action Draft.pdf	28
Ap	ppendix D: Burnside Gorge Community Map	43
Ap	ppendix E: Tree Poster	44
Αp	ppendix E: BGCA Demographics Poster	45
Ap	ppendix G: Fundamentals for the Homelessness Sector: A Micro-credential	46
Ap	ppendix H: Community Data Dashboard	51
Αp	ppendix I: Community Data Dashboard Update	54
Ap	pendix J: Surfacing Our Strengths	65
Ap	pendix K: 2021-2022 Annual Report	114
Ap	ppendix L: 2021-2022 Annual General Meeting Minutes	151

Core Functions & Objectives

1. Regional Planning and Coordination

Objective: Ensure plans and initiatives developed and implemented by the Greater Victoria Coalition to End Homelessness have a region-wide focus and that housing and services are well coordinated and integrated.

2. Ongoing Research

Objective: Conduct research in support of evidence-based strategies and policies to end homelessness, including effective practices used in other jurisdictions.

3. System Monitoring

Objective: Develop and implement a monitoring framework to ensure efforts to address homelessness are effective and are providing necessary outcomes for the region.

4. Communications and Engagement

Objective: Implement community engagement and awareness strategies to ensure the underlying contributing factors of homelessness, the extent of homelessness in the region and solutions to ending homelessness are better understood by interested and affected parties (partners) and the general public.

5. General Administration

Objective: Perform administrative functions required to support the Board and Committee activities, including overall day-to-day operations, annual business planning, and reviews of the long-term strategic plan as needed.

KPI Implementation Activities

 Regional Planning and Coordination (Collective Impact)

Key Performance Indicators

- i. Deliver Plan of Action.
 - 1. Strategic Plan developed.
 - 2. Plan of Action developed.
 - 3. Strategic Plan/Plan of Action presented to Board November 29, 2022 Appendix A: 2022-2024 GVCEH Strategic Plan - Plan of Action
- ii. Report semi-annually on delivery of Plan of Action.
 - 1. Semi-annual delivery of Plan of Action
 - Progress presentation January 30, 2023
 Appendix B: AEHCR Strategic Direction 2022-2024.pptx
 - Progress Report submitted January 30, 2023
 Appendix C: AEHCR 2022 Successes and 2023-2024 Plan of Action Draft.pdf
- iii. Report on the ongoing work of the Health and Housing Steering Committee. Coordinate and co-chair 6 meetings/year Coordinate and co-chair the HHSC leadership meetings 6 meetings/year (ongoing)
 - 1. Health and Housing Steering Committee (Full Committee meetings)
 - 6 meetings
 - April 12, 2022; June 14, 2022; August 09, 2022; October 11, 2022; December 13, 2022; February 14, 2023
- iv. Continue supports for the implementation of the Sooke Homelessness Strategic Plan by participating in the Sooke Homelessness Coalition (SHC). Attend meetings as scheduled by the SHC (ongoing)
 - 1. Attended SHC meetings and offered updates as support for the implementation of the strategic plan.
 - 2. Reviewed Sooke Shelter Society service agreement and provided guidance for content and presentation to Sooke Council
- v. Engage with Salt Spring Island, Saanich, and Sidney homelessness-serving sector organizations.

3 meetings/year (ongoing)

1. Continued engagement with SSI and meetings with Saanich and Sidney (ongoing)

vi. Participate in the various BC Housing-led Community Advisory Committees (CACs).

12 meetings/year (ongoing)

1. Attended and supported all BC Housing-led CACs as scheduled/convened. Also convened and facilitated the Tiny Town CAC (monthly).

vii. Support and facilitate the coordination and integration of housing and support services (ongoing).

Downtown core: Victoria

- 1. Downtown Service Provider Meetings (DSP) 12 meetings/year.
 - Support the DSP Strategic Plan (2019), recommendations which are included in the Homelessness Response System Document Recommendations Review
 - Downtown Service Provider (DSP) meetings
 April 26, 2022; June 28, 2022; July 26, 2022; August 30, 2022; September 27, 2022; October 25, 2022; November 29, 2022; December 06, 2022 (Holiday Gathering); January, 24, 2023; February 28, 2023; March 28, 2023 (11 meetings, no May 2022 meeting)
- 2. Engage with relevant community and neighbourhood associations.
 - Attend meetings as scheduled by the community and neighbourhood associations.
 - BGCA Engagements
 - Engagement 1: April 4, 2022
 - Engagement 2: April 30, 2022
 - Engagement 3: June 13, 2022
 - Engagement 4: September 24, 2022
 - BGCA meetings: July 14, 2022; July 28, 2022,
 September 29, 2022; October 27, 2022 (4 meetings)

Appendices

- * Appendix D: Burnside Gorge Community Map
- * Appendix E: Tree Poster
- * Appendix F: BGCA Demographics Poster
- o Refugee Readiness Team Vancouver Island

- RRT-VI meetings: August 23, 2022; September 01, 2022; October 03, 2022; January 12, 2023 (4 meetings)
- Collaboration on Questionnaire and Interview Guide development (surveying Landlords and Ukraine Refugees on housing on Vancouver Island)
- Gender, Sheltering & Safety
 - Meetings: September 08, 2022; January 12, 2023; March 09, 2023 (attended 3 GSS meetings)
 - * collaborating on Peer Support Worker prototype (NHS Solutions), Gender and Sexuality training aligned with UVic/AEHCR micro-credentialling project)

Supporting Works:

- Salt Spring Island support with best practice knowledge regarding integration with CAA model: A number of outreach and conversations have taken place between April 01, 2022 through March 31, 2023 and have led to a May 24, 2023 meeting.
 - * Key partners: Island Health, BC Housing, IWAV, and Salt Spring Island Community Services
- Branding changeNew branding launched following approval by members at the 2022 AGM. Legal name remains the GVCEH and the new brand is the Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region (AEHCR); inclusion of 3 regional representatives to ensure regional service accountability.
- Develop Relational Practice standards through micro-credential training.
 Appendix G: Fundamentals for the Homelessness Sector: A Micro-credential
- 2. Ongoing Research and System Monitoring (Research and Data)

Key Performance Indicators

- i. Phase 1: Development of system-wide Data Dashboard housing inventory and monitoring of progress toward quantitative housing unit Functional Zero.
 - Version 1 completed November 30, 2022
 Appendix H: Community Data Dashboard

- ii. Community Data Dashboard Working Group convene and chair 7 meetings or more, as needed (ongoing).
 - 1. Community Data Dashboard Working Group (CDD-WG) meetings
 - 7 meetings
 - July 26, 2022; September 21, 2022; October 05, 2022;
 November 02, 2022; December 07, 2022; January 04, 2023;
 March 01, 2023
- iii. Meet individually with all members of the Community Data Dashboard Working Group to discuss data collection (2022-23).
 - 1. Meetings with Saint Vincent de Paul (October 03, 2022); Anawim House (October 13, 2022); Threshold (October 25, 2022)
 - 2. Will continue with meetings during 2023-2024
- iv. Present Community Data Dashboard Version 1 to the HHSC December 2022 (2022-23).
 - Presentation to H&H Steering Committee (Full Committee) December 13, 2022
 - **Appendix I:** Community Data Dashboard Update
- v. Support Point in Time Count 2023, as agreed with the partnering agency (2022-23).
 - 1. Member of Point in Time Advisory Group: Meetings August 04, 2022; October 19, 2022; October 26, 2022; November 01, 2022; November 18, 2022
 - 2. Survey Review (outside of PiT meetings): December 05, 2022; December 06, 2022
 - 3. PiT Count Voluteer Training: March 02, 2023
 - 4. PiT Count surveying: March 08, 2023
- vi. Engage the community around CAA and HIFIS processes as required.
 - 1. Attending CAA, HIFIS, Built for Zero Webinars: July 28, 2022
 - 2. Attending all CAA WG, HIFIS WG, and CAA/HIFIS/CDD meetings, as convened.

- vii. Support CAA Working Group attend meetings as scheduled by the Community Planner (ongoing/until project completion)
 - 1. Attended CAA WG meetings: April 20, 2022; June 15, 2022; January 09, 2023
- viii. Support HIFIS Working Group attend meetings as scheduled by the Community Planner (ongoing/until project completion)
 - 1. Attended HIFIS WG meetings: October 14, 2022; February 07, 2023
 - 2. Review of BC Housing Project Charter for HIFIS implementation
- ix. Quarterly meetings between the director of research and data analysis (GVCEH) and the community planner (ongoing) (HIFIS project)
 - 1. CAA/HIFIS/CDD includes CRD Community Planner and BC Housing representative.
 - Attending CAA/HIFIS/CDD meetings: July 13, 2022; September 23, 2022; October 05, 2022; October 26, 2022; December 01, 2022; January 12, 2023; February 09, 2023; March 09, 2023
 - 3. Attending CAA Engagement meetings: June 29, 2022; February 10, 2023 (included Jody Yurkowsky Pace)
- x. Support BC Housing Project HIFIS Lead attend meetings as scheduled by the Community Planner (ongoing/until project completion)
 - 1. BC Housing Project Lead not identified
 - 2. Currently, working with Community Planner, CAA WG, and HIFIS WG on BC Housing Project Charter for HIFIS Implementation

Supporting Works:

- Establishment of 6 CACs
 - Tiny Homes CAC established.
 - o Travel Lodge CAC established.
- Hiring of Inclusion Coordinator completed through Strengthening Communities Grant to support establishing CACs for new Supportive Housing locations.

- Surfacing Our Strengths Final report
 Appendix J: Surfacing Our Strengths
- Communications and Engagement (Advocacy and Communications) (Collective Impact)

Key Performance Indicators

- i. Convene and support the work of the Lived Experience Council 6 meetings/year or as scheduled by the LEC (ongoing)
 - 1. Lived and Living Experience Council
 - (7 meetings)
 - September 16, 2022; October 14, 2022; November 23, 2022, December 12, 2022; January. 17, 2023; February. 13, 2023; Mar. 22, 2023
 - Community Plan 2025-2030 consultation conducted at the March 22 2023 meeting.
- ii. Municipal engagement of the Communications, Education, and Awareness initiative, with an effort to engage all municipalities.
 - SHC volunteer members completed the Sooke Homelessness Awareness & Strategic Plan Presentation to the District of Sooke Council at Committee of the Whole on January 16, 2023.
 - 2. Executive Director attend all the SCEH meetings and is also a member of the SCEH Working group.
- iii. Meet with CRD to determine priority municipalities.
 - 1. Identified priority municipalities.
 - 2. Developed community-specific presentations (ongoing)
- iv. Present to the Mayor and Council of at least 5 identified priority municipalities. (ongoing)
 - 1. District of Sooke Council: Presented Sooke Homelessness Awareness & Strategic Plan on January 16, 2023
 - 2. Presentation to other municipalities (currently being developed)
 - 3. Meetings with the Mayor of Saanich and regular meetings with the CoV Manager.
 - 4. Meeting in January with the Mayor of Victoria to discuss many ongoing matters, including EWR.

v. Leadership provided to support coordination and implementation of Community Planning Engagement activities informed, in part, by the CRD CAB (ongoing)

Community Planning roadmap presented to the Board of Directors and to the Health and Housing Steering Committee.

Consultations: BoD, PWLEC, HHSC, in the reporting time period. Others upcoming.

- 1. Changes in the role of Director of Engagement and Impact.
 - Recruitment and arrival of new director in March 2023.
 - Andrew Holeton onboarding, orientation and community introductions/meetings underway.

Supporting Works:

- Developed Strategic Communications Plan
- Rebranded AEHCR Communications collaterals and platforms (website and social media accounts)
- Sentiment Analysis Report on social media/news posts on homelessness by tone (positive, neutral, and negative) using relevance and sentiment model.
 - Phase 1 & 2 completed. (Includes Twitter posts)
 - Phase 3 underway will include Reddit posts
- Conduct a series of Face 2 Face with Stigma (F2F) workshops to educate, inspire empathy, and reduce fear toward people experiencing homelessness and/or substance use disorder. (ongoing)
 - o In 2022, F2F delivered 21 workshops with a total of 353 attendees.
 - Since 2018, F2F delivered 65 workshops to more than 1000 attendees from various groups.
- Proposal for consultation and community engagement process for the development of the 2025-2030 Community Plan (ongoing)
- Facilitation of talking circles, resident advisory committees, and other meetings amongst peers and community members who live in supportive housing and people with lived experience of homelessness. (ongoing)
- 4. General Administration (Organizational Strength)

i. Annual Report (ongoing)

1. 2021-2022 Annual Report

Appendix K: 2021-2022 Annual Report

- 2. 2022-2023 Annual Report
 - Production and development of 2022-23 Annual Report is ongoing. Expected date to be finalized: July 30, 2023.

ii. Annual General Meeting (ongoing)

2022 AGM Agenda
 Appendix L: 2022 Annual General Meeting Minutes

- 2. 2023 AGM (preparation ongoing)
 - The agenda for the AGM needs to be approved by the Board at the July meeting. The date for the 2023 AGM is September 28, 12:00 2:00, and will be held at Norway House, 1110 Hillside Avenue
- iii. Convening of quarterly funder meetings with BC Housing, Island Health, CRD & the City of Victoria to update on progress towards shared deliverables. (ongoing)
 - One meeting with the above-mentioned entities in the reporting period.
 - Contract was signed in December 2022 and there was no other opportunity to set up another meeting.
- iv. Convening meetings of the Executive to discuss emerging issues— as required (ongoing)
 - 1. The Executive of the AEHCR meets regularly to discuss emerging issues.
 - 6 meetings in the 2022-2023 reporting period
- v. Facilitate, chair, or otherwise support Working Groups/ monthly meetings and provide notes or minutes, as appropriate, for the following committees.
 - 1. Health and Housing Steering Committee & Health and Housing Steering Committee Leadership 12 meetings/year (ongoing)
 - Health and Housing Steering Committee (Leadership meetings)
 - May 12, 2022; July 12, 2022; September 13, 2022; January 10, 2023; March 2023 (5 meetings, November 2022 meeting canceled - met members at CAEH 2022 conference)

- Health and Housing Steering Committee (Full Committee meetings)
- April 12, 2022; June 14, 2022; August 09, 2022; October 11, 2022;
 December 13, 2022; February 14, 2023 (6 meetings)
- 2. System Transformation Working Group: Review all partner tables with efficiency recommendations.
 - Adapt terms of reference as required (ongoing)
 - Facilitate 12 meetings/year (ongoing)
 - (9 meetings) April 28, 2022; May 26, 2022; July 28, 2022; August.
 25, 2022; September 22, 2022: October 27, 2022; November 24, 2022; January 20, 2023; March 23, 2023.
- 3. Community Engagement & Communications Working Group; facilitate quarterly meetings and establish working groups to address specific initiatives.
 - Community Engagement & Communications Working Group 4 meetings/year (ongoing), specific initiatives as required (ongoing) July 18, 2022, September 28, 2022, November 23, 2022, February 08, 2023
- 4. Youth Task Force (Prevention of Homelessness) 6 to 12 meetings/year (ongoing)
 - 5 meetings: April 13, 2022; May 11, 2022; June 08, 2022; August 18, 2022; April 12, 2023
- 5. BC Coalition to End Youth Homelessness attend meetings as scheduled by the BCCEYH (ongoing)
 - The executive director has attended all but one meeting of the BCCYEH and all the meetings of the advocacy and governance working group.
 Minutes are available through the BCCYEH.

Appendix E: Alignment with CRD Plans & Strategies

CRD E	Board Priorities 2023-2026	COMMENTS
2a	Increase supply of affordable, inclusive,	N/A
	adequate housing in the region.	

CRD 2	023-2026 Corporate Plan	COMMENTS
5a-1	Increase supply of affordable, inclusive, adequate housing in the region.	N/A
5a-2	Pursue funding opportunities and matching funds to deliver more housing.	N/A
5b-1	Support continued investment into existing housing stock to preserve and enhance the quality of the buildings and units.	N/A
5b-2	Protect existing market rental housing to preserve affordability.	N/A
5c-1	Support a coordinated, regional, and collaborative response to homelessness.	Alliance is active in this area, though the CRD is increasing service levels and impact through Reaching Home, and through enhanced strategic and policy direction from the CRD Board.
5d-1	Data collection and research analysis capacity dedicated to housing data.	Alliance was working to develop a "Data Dashboard", but this has been removed from the Service Agreement due to a lack of a coordinated data system. This will become increasingly important for the CRD to deliver as it is related to obligations under Reaching Home while also likely including a much broader scope that may touch on housing supply and construction data elements. This is expected to be scoped out in 2024 with implementation anticipated to begin in 2025/26.

Regio	nal Housing Affordability Strategy (2018)	COMMENTS		
4.1	Implement a seamless regional housing and homelessness response system.	Alliance is active in this area through hosting/facilitating a range of working groups. Alliance also extensively engages People with Lived Experience.		
4.2	Reduce pathways into homelessness.	Alliance is active in this area through hosting/facilitating a Youth Task Force.		
4.3	Reduce the number of people experiencing homelessness.	Supports the CRD's efforts through advising on plans/strategies and supporting Reaching Home.		
4.4	Reduce the proportion of Indigenous people experiencing homelessness.	N/A		
4.5	Meet rental housing targets for households living in low income.	N/A		

APPENDIX F: Reaching Home Projects & Alliance Activities

PART 1


- 1. Coordinated Assessment and Access (CAA) The Capital Regional District (CRD) is required to implement a CAA process supported by a by-name list in the community for those agencies receiving Reaching Home Program (RHP) funds. A Community Planner has been funded through the RHP to develop an enhanced process collaboratively with community, and more specifically, with BC Housing and Island Health. Due to the complexity of the service delivery landscape, the development of an effective and outcomes-based CAA process cannot be accomplished through looking only at RHP funded programs and therefore, significant CRD efforts are required to bring the funding and service organizations together to deliver on this work.
- 2. Homelessness Management Information System (HMIS) Homeless Individuals and Families Information System (HIFIS) is a specific software product developed by the Government of Canada as a HMIS. HIFIS is critical in the design and implementation of a fully functioning CAA process as the data infrastructure built through HIFIS is needed to enable prioritization, matching and referral activities. BC Housing holds the HIFIS license for the entire province and has deployed HIFIS to support its funded shelter and outreach programs. However, the underlying data architecture was not intended to include non-BC Housing-funded programs, such as those supported through RHP. The current application of HIFIS in BC does not support a community-level by-name list or a collaborative outcomes-based approach across multiple agencies. Therefore, the CRD will require capacity to undertake a process to meet the requirements of the RHP.

PART 2

- Coordinating government agencies, non-profits, health services and community organizations around the delivery of housing and services directed toward efforts to reduce homelessness in the region, and report to the Community Advisory Board (CAB) through the Alliance Executive Director;
- Supporting engagement in the development of a Community Plan that includes outcomes and indicators to be used to guide action and monitor progress toward effectively addressing issues related to homelessness in the capital region;
- Engaging with key community organizations and individuals, including Indigenous, in the community beyond the homeless serving sector and gather all available information related to the community's local homelessness priorities, and develop a coordinated approach to addressing homelessness in the region;
- Receiving and reporting on quantitative and qualitative data that outlines progress toward the achievement of the goals of the regional Community Plan; and
- Providing advice and feedback on any plans or strategies required by the Government of Canada related to the CRD's delivery of the RHP.


APPENDIX G: Funding Alternatives

Chart 1: Alliance Funding 2024-2026 – Alternative 1




Provides for a one-time increase in operational support for 2024 that is to be revisited for 2025. This is to enable the CRD to balance those activities it wishes to advance directly and those it wishes to retain the support of a third-party while also seeking to manage requisition impact.

Chart 2: Alliance Funding 2024-2026 - Alternative 2



Does not provide for any increase in operational support in 2024. This alternative still allows for the funding commitment to be revisited in 2025.

Chart 3: Alliance Funding 2024-2026 – Alternative 3



Provides for an ongoing increase of operational funding starting in 2024 that is indexed to corporate guidelines assumptions. This funding is intended to be ongoing.



REPORT TO THE JUAN DE FUCA LAND USE COMMITTEE MEETING OF TUESDAY, AUGUST 20, 2024

SUBJECT

Zoning Bylaw Amendment Application for Two Properties Located at 11237 West Coast Road – described as:

- Lot A Section 74 Renfrew District Plan VIP71883 11237 West Coast Road; PID: 024-937-207; and
- That Part of Section 74, Renfrew District Lying to the North of the Northerly Boundary of Plan 109RW; PID: 009-590-412

ISSUE SUMMARY

To rezone the subject property from the Forestry (AF) zone to an amended Rural Commercial Recreation (Campground) (CR-2) zone with amendments.

BACKGROUND

The 78.11 ha subject property is comprised of two parcels including a 7.7 ha waterfront parcel and a 70.4 ha upland Private Managed Forest Land (PMFL) parcel. The subject properties are located on the north and south sides of West Coast Road, adjacent to the eastern boundary of Jordan River Regional Park – Sandcut Beach (Appendix A). Both parcels are zoned Forestry (AF) under the Juan de Fuca Land Use Bylaw, 1992, Bylaw No. 2040, and adjoin AF zoned lands to the east and west, and Resource Land (RL) zoned land to the north. The subject property is designated Coastal Upland (CU) in the Shirley-Jordan River Official Community Plan (OCP), Bylaw No. 4001. Portions of the property are located in Steep Slopes, Sensitive Ecosystem, and Riparian development permit areas.

At its meeting of January 17, 2023, the Juan de Fuca Land Use Committee (LUC) recommended referral of proposed Bylaw No. 4518 to rezone the subject property from AF to an amended CR-2 zone. The Bylaw was referred to the Shirley-Jordan River Advisory Planning Commission (APC); Pacheedaht First Nation; T'Sou-ke First Nation; CRD departments; BC Hydro; District of Sooke; Archaeology Branch, Ministry of Environment and Climate Change Strategy – Water Protection Section; Island Health; Ministry of Transportation & Infrastructure; RCMP; and Sooke School District #62.

The applicant responded to the referral comments by revising the proposed CR-2 zone amendments to reduce the overall tourist accommodation density by approximately 50%, remove the assembly use, add an outdoor recreational facility use, and offer a public trail amenity contribution (Appendix B). The proposal includes an updated concept plan (Appendix C), an Environmental Assessment Report, Geotechnical Report, Wildfire Plan, Emergency Plan, historical information, and a Water Supply Assessment (Appendix D). Staff have revised Bylaw No. 4518 in accordance with the applicant's proposal for consideration (Appendix E).

ALTERNATIVES

Alternative 1

The Land Use Committee recommends to the Capital Regional District Board:

 That the referral of proposed Bylaw No. 4518, "Juan de Fuca Land Use Bylaw, 1992, Amendment Bylaw No. 158, 2022", to the Shirley-Jordan River Advisory Planning Commission, Pacheedaht First Nation; T'Sou-ke First Nation; CRD departments, BC Hydro; District of Sooke; the Archaeology Branch and Water Protection; the Ministry of Land, Water, and Resource Stewardship; the Ministry of Transportation & Infrastructure; RCMP;

- and Sooke School District #62 be approved and comments be received;
- 2. That proposed Bylaw No. 4518 be introduced and read a first time and read a second time;
- 3. That in accordance with the provisions of Section 469 of the *Local Government Act*, the Director of the Juan de Fuca Electoral Area, or Alternate Director, be delegated authority to hold a Public Hearing with respect to Bylaw No. 4518; and
- 4. That prior to adoption of Bylaw No. 4518:
 - a. That a commercial access permit be issued by the Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure; and
 - b. That the landowner provide an amenity contribution by registering a statutory right-ofway adjacent to West Coast Road in favour of the Capital Regional District for the purpose of establishing a public trail, and that staff be directed to ensure that all conditions are satisfied towards completion and registration.

Alternative 2

That proposed Bylaw No. 4518 not proceed.

<u>IMPLICATIONS</u>

Legislative Implications

The Advisory Planning Commissions (APCs) were established to make recommendations to the Land Use Committee on land use planning matters referred to them related to Part 14 of the *Local Government Act (LGA)*. The Shirley-Jordan River APC considered the application at its meeting on February 7, 2023.

Should the proposal proceed, a public hearing pursuant to Part 14, Division 3 of the *LGA* will be required subsequent to the amendment passing second reading by the CRD Board. Property owners within 500 m of the subject properties will be sent notice of the proposed bylaw amendment and a public hearing will be advertised in the local paper and on the CRD website.

A water licence is required for non-domestic ground or surface water use pursuant to the *Water Sustainability Act*. This approval is issued by the Province and is not a precondition for rezoning.

Regional Growth Strategy Implications

Section 445 of the *LGA* requires that all bylaws adopted by a regional district board after the board has adopted a regional growth strategy (RGS) be consistent with the RGS. In accordance with CRD policy, where a zoning bylaw amendment that applies to land within the Shirley-Jordan River OCP area is consistent with the OCP, it does not proceed to the full CRD Board for a determination of consistency with the RGS. Staff are of the opinion that the proposed amendment is consistent with the policies of the Shirley-Jordan River OCP.

First Nations Implications

The CRD pursues enhancement of reciprocal engagement and procedures with First Nation communities towards advancing reconciliation, including but not limited, to the application referral process. The subject property is located within the asserted traditional territory of the Pacheedaht and T'Sou-ke First Nations and each nation will be invited to participate in the land use review process to better inform consideration of the proposal.

Referral Comments

Referrals were sent to 11 agencies, Pacheedaht and T'Sou-ke First Nations, appropriate CRD departments, and to the Shirley-Jordan River APC. Comments received are summarized below and included in Appendix F.

<u>BC Hydro</u>, <u>District of Sooke</u> and <u>CRD Bylaw Enforcement</u> stated that they have no comments or concerns with the proposal.

<u>Ministry of Forests – Archaeological Branch</u> stated that while a permit is not required as records indicate that there are no known archaeological sites, shoreline areas of the subject property have a high potential for previously unidentified sites. Because of this high potential, if archaeological materials are exposed during future development activities, then a permit will be required. The Archaeology Branch strongly recommends engaging in an eligible consulting archaeologist prior to any land-altering activities.

<u>Ministry of Forests – Island District</u> stated that proposed stream crossings will require applications under Section 11 of the *Water Sustainability Act*, before such work may start.

Ministry of Land, Water and Resource Stewardship advised that a *Riparian Areas Protection Regulation* (RAPR) assessment had been received and is awaiting review. While awaiting provincial consideration, the Ministry prescribed recommendations to mitigate loss of wildlife habitat, as well as potential human-wildlife conflicts by proposing adherence to the environmental protection measures described in the Environmental Assessment. Additionally, development of the property cannot be considered by the local government prior to provincial approval of the *RAPR* assessment, which may stipulate additional measures.

<u>Island Health</u> cited provincial regulation and stated that the drinking water and sewage systems require approval and filing with Island Health. The proposed development will require a drinking water system permit issued by Island Health prior to operation and use by members of the public. Issuance of a permit is also required before any food may be sold and or produced for public consumption.

<u>Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure (MOTI)</u> advised that the proposal does not fall within Section 52 of the *Transportation Act* and will not require formal Ministry approval. However, a commercial access permit will be required prior to operation.

<u>Pacheedaht First Nation</u> advised that it holds rights and title in this area, that members carryout traditional uses in relation to the watercourses and land, emphasizing the importance of mutual engagement regarding the proposed land uses. While recognizing the demand for camping opportunities in the Jordan River area, the Nation explained that commercial assembly uses can often be problematic and that this use needs to be further specified within the proposed bylaw. The Nation also commented that the application does not appear to contemplate the critical community need for long-term residences.

<u>T'Sou-ke First Nation</u> stated that it does not have confidence in this proposal and recommended that any development (following the rezoning application) should be required to develop a management strategy to address waste management; the preservation and protection of watercourses; on-going wildlife monitoring; and systems to protect and preserve species-at-risk. It was also recommended that access to areas of potential cultural and archaeological significance, such as shoreline areas that may contain a shell midden, should be restricted and investigated by an archaeologist.

<u>CRD – First Nations Relations</u> commented that while there are no registered archaeological sites within the subject properties, the physical characteristics and location of the development are commonly associated with such sites. The department recommended that an archaeologist be retained to conduct an archaeological assessment and apply for a permit prior to development.

<u>CRD – Building Inspection</u> noted that building permits will be required for each of the proposed buildings.

<u>CRD – Protective Services, Fire and Emergency Programs</u> confirmed that this property is within the Shirley Fire Protection Area. However, the parcels are considered "unprotected" as they are 9 km away from the nearest fire hall. The department will require a report from a qualified professional to ensure that it meets the emergency access and National Fire Protection Association Standards during the development or building permit process. The division

recommended that a report from a registered professional to address potential wildfire hazards at the property be obtained and that best practices regarding tsunami safety be followed since lower elevations of the property are within the hazard area. The division also recommended that the landowner consider severe wind and rainstorms, earthquakes, flooding, extreme heat and land subsidence for the design and management of the proposed commercial campground.

<u>CRD – Regional Parks</u> stated that there is support for the provision of outdoor recreation opportunities where the Sandcut Creek waterfalls, surrounded by the subject property, attracts visitors. The division was concerned about the visual impact of cabins from the beach areas and recommended that a 50 m buffer be provided on the subject property for lands abutting the regional park and parallel to West Coast Road. The division expressed interest in pursuing a coordinated approach to the management of any impacts that result from increased traffic and supported the connection of a pedestrian and bike friendly trail between the regional park and lands beyond. The department also expressed interested in acquiring the small, segmented areas of the subject property that are adjacent to the regional park.

<u>CRD – Regional Planning</u> advised that the proposed land uses are consistent with the OCP and RGS, and that a review by the full CRD Board is not required at this time. The division noted that communities have the ability to define low-impact uses through OCPs and zoning bylaws, as well as during the public input stages of a bylaw amendment application.

The Juan de Fuca Electoral Area Parks and Recreation Advisory Commission reviewed the application at its meeting on January 24, 2023, and provided the following recommendation by resolution:

MOVED by Commissioner McKay, **SECONDED** by Commissioner Sloan that the Juan de Fuca Electoral Area Parks and Recreation Advisory Commission recommends to the Juan de Fuca Land Use Committee:

- 1. That the Commission supports zoning amendment application RZ000280 as the proposal would provide economic benefit to tourism and recreation in the Shirley –Jordan River area;
- 2. That the Commission recognizes that additional visitors require additional trails and accesses by residents and visitors alike;
- 3. That, with this application, the Commission recommends expansion of the trail network to existing trails and future trails for connectivity; and
- 4. That the Commission supports the expansion of Jordan River Park to its natural boundary on the south-east boundary of Sandcut Creek.

<u>The Shirley-Jordan River APC</u> met on February 7, 2023, to consider the application with 59 members of the public in attendance and made the following motion:

MOVED by Melody Kimmel, **SECONDED** by Vivi Curutchet that the Shirley-Jordan River Advisory Planning Commission recommends to the Juan de Fuca Land Use Committee that that the Advisory Planning Commission does not support the proposal in its current form and that the proposal return to the Advisory Planning Commission at a smaller scale and with the assembly uses more clearly specified and that the applicant address the concerns expressed by the community.

Land Use Implications

The Shirley-Jordan River OCP designates the subject properties as Coastal Upland. The intent of the Coastal Upland land use designation is to support the continued use of such lands for forestry. Lands in this designation consist primarily of parcels enrolled in the PMFL program or zoned for forestry uses. If lands are removed from the PMFL program, then uses such as low-impact recreation and low-impact tourism are supported. Community parks, single-family residential, and agriculture are also supported in this designation.

Development proposals should demonstrate that community values can be protected and PPSS-35010459-3226

implemented subject to the criteria outlined by the OCP, including geotechnical constraints, protection of environmental and culturally significant features, emergency planning, appropriate scale of development, provision of adequate setbacks and vegetated buffers, and protection of lands that are considered regionally or locally significant. Where the OCP provides flexibility on terminology such as low-impact tourism, metrics can be established in proposed zones through the referral process and public consultation and comment.

Comments received through the referral process conveyed that the scale, assembly use, and tourism accommodation density presented in the initial proposal was inconsistent with low-impact tourism. It was also recommended that additional information be provided to better inform the proposed zone and stipulate regulations to address the noted concerns. In particular, the APC did not support the initial application, directing the proponent to reduce the scale of potential development, provide contextually appropriate conditions for the assembly use, and address the environmental; emergency management; traffic; and burdening of local amenity concerns expressed by the community.

The revised proposal maintains permissions for a *campground* that includes camping spaces, tourist cabins; *staff accommodation*; a *convenience store*; one *caretaker dwelling*; and one *one-family dwelling*. However, in response to the referral process, the permitted tourist accommodation density has been reduced from 2 camping spaces per hectare and a maximum of 100 camping spaces, to 0.9 camping spaces per hectare (55% decrease) and a maximum of 64 camping spaces per parcel (36% decrease); and from 2 tourist cabins per hectare and a maximum of 20 cabins per parcel, to 0.3 tourist cabins per hectare (85% decrease) and a maximum of 23 tourist cabins per parcel (23% decrease). This represents an overall average decrease of approximately 50%.

The applicant has removed assembly use from the proposal and submitted a separate temporary use permit (TUP) application (TP000013) with conditions to establish a gathering space at a scale intended to address the concerns expressed by the APC. The initial application intended for the assembly use to also encompass spaces for play and leisure related outdoor recreation activities commonly associated with a campground. With the removal of assembly use, the application intends to accommodate those outdoor recreation activities by permitting an outdoor recreation facility accessory use to establish permit parks, trails, open spaces, playing fields, and playgrounds for a commercial campground.

In response to comments from the JdF EA Parks and Recreation Advisory Commission, the proposal offers a public trail amenity contribution in the form of a statutory right-of-way through the property along West Coast Road, connecting Jordan River Regional Park to lands to the east

The proposal also includes an Environmental Report, Geotechnical Report, Wildfire Report, Emergency Plan, historical information, and a Water Supply Assessment.

The Environmental Report responds to comments from the Ministry of Land, Water, and Resources Stewardship and public concerns. This report assesses the vegetation, riparian areas, climate, wildlife and species-at-risk features on the subject property, which informs future development and anticipated permitting requirements. Should the proposed bylaw be approved, additional reports will be required through the development permit processes along with the *Water Sustainability Act* and *Riparian Areas Regulation* requirements for works in a stream and impacts within 30 m of the watercourse. The application also provides a Water Supply Assessment anticipating that the entire proposed development has an estimated peak demand of 62 cubic meters per day. The anticipated quantity exceeds the current water license withdrawal rate of 13.6 cubic meters per day from Rockbottom Creek. The assessment identifies that an investigation of Rockbottom Creek flow rates will be required to support a new water license application. Furthermore, Island Health identified that any water system serving members of the public would require permitting by that agency.

CRD Protective Services, Fire and Emergency Programs and Building Inspection identified that future emergency management of the site is regulated and overseen by the building inspection service, the Shirley Fire Department and CRD Bylaw Enforcement. The applicant has responded to the recommendation for additional professional reports by providing a Geotechnical Report, Wildfire Report and Emergency Plan to guide hazard and risk management for the commercial campground's revised proposal.

Some members of the public expressed concern that a commercial campground would generate additional traffic impacting West Coast Road, which is the only route connecting the local area to other communities. The MoTI advised that future development would require a commercial access permit. Staff recommend that the commercial access permit be granted prior to adoption of Bylaw No. 4518.

The Archaeology Branch, CRD Archaeology, and T'Sou-ke First Nation emphasized that while there are no recorded archaeological sites, it is anticipated that the shoreline areas are of high potential; therefore, investigation by an Archaeologist is recommended. The geotechnical report identified that some of those shoreline areas are unsuitable for development, and that further geotechnical study would be necessary prior to development. In response to these comments and the information provided by the reports, the proponent has reduced the scale of development near shoreline areas. Buildings and structures adjacent to the sea will also be required to meet a 9 m setback from the shoreline property boundary and all future development will be required to comply with the requirements of the *Heritage Conservation Act*, including the potential requirement for a permit, as outlined by the Archaeological Branch.

Comments from the JdF EA Parks and Recreation Advisory Commission address public safety, recreation opportunities and connectivity along West Coast Road by recommending the establishment of a roadside trail. The applicant has committed to providing a statutory right-of-way in favour of the CRD for a roadside trail connecting the adjacent Jordan River Regional Park with land to the east as an amenity contribution should Bylaw No. 4518 proceed. Such a trail would separate pedestrians, cyclists and other users from highway traffic, and potentially reduce vehicle trips generated by campground patrons. Staff note that there is opportunity to extend the trail corridor along the frontage of the adjoining property to the east through the park dedication requirements related to an active subdivision application.

Staff are of the opinion that the proposed development is aligned with the Coastal Uplands land use designation and broader OCP policies. The OCP does not support the development of recreational vehicle parks; however, staff would not consider the proposed campground development to be of a scale and density typical of such a facility. For comparison, the Otter Point Coop is zoned Intermittent Rural Residential (RR-4), which expressly permits "campsites used for intermittent accommodation in Recreation Vehicles" with a density of 20 sites per hectare. Furthermore, the proposed zone permits a caretaker dwelling and staff accommodation, to address housing goals identified in the OCP and concerns expressed by some community members during the referral process.

Should proposed Bylaw No. 4518 proceed, future development involving building construction will require the issuance of building permits, as well as development permit applications where land alteration or building construction occurs within designated development permit areas. Geotechnical, Environmental, and Archaeological concerns would be addressed at that time. Based on revisions to the application in response to the comments received, policies of the Shirley-Jordan River OCP, and approval requirements for future development, staff recommend that that proposed Bylaw No. 4518 be introduced, read a first and second time, and that a public hearing with respect to the Bylaw be held.

CONCLUSION

The purpose of Bylaw No. 4518 is to amend Bylaw No. 2040 by removing the subject properties from the Forestry (AF) zone and adding them to an amended Rural Commercial Recreation (Campground) (CR-2) zone. Staff have prepared proposed Bylaw No. 4518 and recommend receipt of the referral comments, first and second reading, and advancement to a public hearing.

RECOMMENDATION

The Land Use Committee recommends to the Capital Regional District Board:

- 1. That the referral of proposed Bylaw No. 4518, "Juan de Fuca Land Use Bylaw, 1992, Amendment Bylaw No. 158, 2022", to the Shirley-Jordan River Advisory Planning Commission, Pacheedaht First Nation; T'Sou-ke First Nation; CRD departments, BC Hydro; District of Sooke; the Archaeology Branch and Water Protection; the Ministry of Land, Water, and Resource Stewardship; the Ministry of Transportation & Infrastructure; RCMP; and Sooke School District #62 be approved and comments be received:
- 2. That proposed Bylaw No. 4518 be introduced and read a first time and read a second time;
- 3. That in accordance with the provisions of Section 469 of the *Local Government Act*, the Director of the Juan de Fuca Electoral Area, or Alternate Director, be delegated authority to hold a Public Hearing with respect to Bylaw No. 4518; and
- 4. That prior to adoption of Bylaw No. 4518:
 - a. That a commercial access permit be issued by the Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure; and
 - b. That the landowner provide an amenity contribution by registering a statutory right-of-way adjacent to West Coast Road in favour of the Capital Regional District for the purpose of establishing a public trail, and that staff be directed to ensure that all conditions are satisfied towards completion and registration.

Submitted by:	lain Lawrence, RPP, MCIP, Senior Manager, Juan de Fuca Local Area Services
Concurrence:	Shawn Carby, CD, MAL, Acting General Manager, Planning & Protective
Concurrence:	Shawn Carby, CD, MAL, Chief Administrative Officer

ATTACHMENTS

Appendix A: Subject Property and Zoning Map

Appendix B: Proposed Amendments to the CR-2 Zone

Appendix C: Updated Concept Plan


Appendix D: Supplemental Reports and Supporting Information:

- 1. Environmental Assessment Report, May 2022
- 2. Geotechnical Report, July 24, 2022
- 3. Wildfire Assessment Report, June 2, 2021
- 4. Campground Emergency Plan, June 28, 2023
- 5. Property History, December 2023 (Fall 1984)
- 6. Water Supply Assessment, April 2023

Appendix E: Proposed Bylaw No. 4518

Appendix F: Referral Comments

Appendix A: Subject Property and Zoning Map



Appendix B: Proposed Amendments to the CR-2 Zone

Blue text indicates proposed additions to the CR-2 zone; Red text indicates regulations that are proposed to be removed.

Schedule "A" of Capital Regional District Bylaw No. 2040 Juan de Fuca Land Use Bylaw

23.0 RURAL COMMERCIAL RECREATION (CAMPGROUND) ZONE - CR-2

23.01 Permitted Uses

In addition to the uses permitted by Section 4.15 of Part 1 of this Bylaw, the following uses and no others shall be permitted in the Rural Commercial Recreation (Campground) CR-2 Zone:

- (a) Camp Ground
- (b) Tourist Cabine;
- (c) Dermiteries
- (d) Restaurant;
- (e) Private Clubs;
- (f) Amusement Facilities, Indoor;
- (g) Amusement Facilities, Outdoor;1
- (c) One dwelling unit. One-family dwelling;

23.02 Permitted Accessory Uses

In addition to the uses permitted by Section 23.01 of Part 2 of this Bylaw, the following Accessory Uses in conjunction with a permitted Principal Use and no others shall be permitted in the Rural Commercial Recreation (Campground) CR-2 Zone:

- (d) Convenience Store accessory to a campground use:
- (e) Outdoor recreation facilities pursuant to Part 2, Subsection 23.09;
- (f) Staff accommodation pursuant to Part 2, Subsection 23.09;
- (g) Caretaker dwelling unit.

23.023 Minimum Lot Size for Subdivision Purposes	Minimum lot size for subdivision purposes is no less than be 42ha.			
23.03 Number of Residential Units	One dwelling unit per lot is permitted.			
23.04 Density	 (a) One one-family dwelling per parcel; (b) 23 tourist cabins per parcel or 0.3 tourist cabins per hectare; whess; (c) 64 camping spaces per parcel or 0.9 camping spaces per whichever is less; (d) One convenience store per parcel; (a) One Caretaker dwelling unit per parcel. 			
23.045 <u>Height</u>	Maximum height shall be 11m ^{7.5m} for the dwelling unit and 6m ^{4m} for all other principal buildings, structures and uses.			
23.056 Lot Coverage	Maximum lot coverage shall be 1020 percent.			
23,067 Density of Development	 (a) A maximum of 20 camping spaces per hectare are permitted; or (b) A maximum of 12 tourist cabins per hectare are permitted; or (c) A maximum of 48 guests per hectare in dermitory accommodation are permitted; or (d) When the above accommodation types are mixed, densities equivalent to (a) to (c) above will be permitted based on the proportion of let area devoted to each type. 			
CDD Bulevu No. 2040	15 Canadidated for Canadana Dasambar 2022			

Schedule "A" of Capital Regional District Bylaw No. 2040 Juan de Fuca Land Use Bylaw

23.08 Yard Requirements	 (a) Front yards shall be a minimum of 7.5m; (b) Side yards shall be a minimum of 3m, except where the lot abuts a lot in a Residential, Rural Residential or Multiple Family Residential Zene, the side yard shall be a minimum of 6m; 		
	(c) Flanking yards shall be a minimum of 6m CTS;(d) Rear yards shall be a minimum of 6m.		
23.08 Setback Requirements	(a) All camping spaces, buildings, and structures, must		

23.09 Separation Space

- (a) All camping spaces, buildings, and structures, must be setback a minimum of 9m from every parcel line; and 15m from the boundary of land designated as park.
- (a) Tourist cabins and dormitories shall be separated from other structures and from camping spaces by a minimum of 3m;
- (b) Camping spaces shall be separated from each other and from structures by a minimum of 9m.
- (a) All camping spaces and tourist cabins must be individual or freestanding; separated by a minimum of 9m measured form the outside surface of the nearest exterior wall of a building or structure; and the outermost edge of an area cleared of trees and other vegetation for a camping space or other outdoor uses.


23.10 Definitions

(a) For the purpose of the RURAL COMMERCIAL RECREATION (CAMPGROUND) ZONE - CR-2, the following definitions apply:

Staff Accommodation means the accessory use of tourist cabins, for the accommodation of employees.

Outdoor Recreation Facility means a recreation infrastructure activity undertaken outdoors as a portion of, or related to, a private commercial enterprise and includes parks, trails, open space, playing fields, and playgrounds;

Appendix C: Updated Concept Plan



Proposed Scope of WorkConsolidate and re-zone both properties from AF-Forestry to Rural Commercial Recreation (Campground) CR-2 Zone; Construct Resort with campgrounds, RV lots, cabins, outdoor amusement facilities, convenience store and caretaker's unit


Site Data


11237 West Coast Road Lot A, Section 74, Plan VIP71883 Renfrew District Zone = AF-Forestry


No Address - West Coast Road That part of Section 74, Renfrew District lying to the north of the northerly boundary of Plan 109RW Plan VIP109RW Renfrew District Zone = AF-Forestry


Lot Information	Required	Existing	Proposed	c	overage/Unit
Lot Area	4 ha	7.68 & 70.43 ha	78.11 ha		
Density					
Dwelling unit	1	1	1		346.4 m ²
Tourist cabin	30 (2/ha)	1	23 (0.29/ha)		66.9 m ²
Camping spaces	100 (2/ha)	0	64 (0.82/ha)		110 m ²
Convenience store	-				83.8 m ²
Caretaker's residence	-				85.8 m ²
Lower shop/storage	-				116.6 m ²
Upper shop	-				148.6 m ²
Showers/Pumphouse	-				53.5 m ²
			7	TOTAL	9,413.4 m ²
Lot Coverage	10%	0.1%	1.2%		














Appendix D: Supplemental Reports and Supporting Information

1. Environmental Assessment Report, May 2022 - RZ000280







ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FOR THE PROPOSED SANDCUT ECO-RESORT AT 11237 WEST COAST ROAD

PREPARED FOR:

Redacted: Pursuant to Section 22 of British Columbia's Freedom of Information and Privacy Protection Act.

11237 WEST COAST RD SHIRLEY, BC V9Z 1G8

AND

IAIN LAWRENCE CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT 3 – 7450 BUTLER RD SOOKE, BC V9Z 1N1

CORVIDAE PROJECT #2021-030 MAY 2022



SOLUTION ORIENTED, PROTECTION OF THE ENVIRONMENT, ABSOLUTE INTEGRITY, OPEN COMMUNICATION, RESPECT.

Environmental	Assessment	for 11237	West	Coast	Rd
---------------	------------	-----------	------	-------	----

May 2022

	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
1 IN	NTRODUCTION	1
1.1	CURRENT CONDITIONS	1
1.2	PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT	1
1.3	REGULATORY FRAMEWORK	2
2 S	COPE OF WORK	5
3 M	METHODS	5
3.1	DESKTOP REVIEW	5
3.2	FIELD ASSESSMENT	5
4 EI	NVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT	
4.1		
4.2		
4.3		
4.4		
4.5		
	OTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS	
6 RI	ECOMMENDED ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION MEASURES	14
7 C	ONCLUSION	18
8 R	EFERENCES	19
APPE	NDIX A – SITE PHOTOGRAPHS	20
	LIST OF TABLES	
Table	Plant species observed on site during field visit in 2021	
Table :	2. Wildlife Species observed on site during field visits in 2021	8
Table :	3. Recommended native vegetation to plant in disturbed area	15
Table	Removal and disposal methods for invasive species	15
	LIST OF FIGURES	
Figure	e 1. Site plan including watercourses and Riparian DPA (30 m)	4
Figure	e 2. Species at risk and critical habitat within 2km of the property	10
Figure	3. Watercourses on the property with SPEAs and riparian buffers	12



Environmental Assessment for 11237 West Coast Rd	May 2022	
LIST OF PHOTOS		
Photo 1. Sandcut Creek south of West Coast Road (Hwy 14). June 2021.	20	
Photo 2. Rockbottom Creek near the northern edge of the property. September 2021	20	
Photo 3. Typical mature riparian forest adjacent to Sandcut and Rockbottom Creeks. Septe		
Photo 4. Cleared area adjacent to riparian DPA for Rockbottom Creek. September 2021		
Photo 5. Cleared area and new/improved roads on the property. September 2021	22	
Photo 6. Stream 1 (tributary to Rockbottom Creek) in old clearcut near the northern edge of t September 2021.		
Photo 7. Stream 3 in the centre of the property to the northeast of Hwy 14. September 202	123	
Photo 8. Stream 2 near top of property. June 2021	23	
Photo 9. Stream 3 adjacent to old road. June 2021.	24	
Photo 10. Representative photo of the middle section of stream 1. June 2021	24	
Photo 11. Stream 6 adjacent to old road. August 2021.	25	
Photo 12. View looking south at the existing road from the north end of the property. Augus	t 2021 25	

CAVEAT

This Environmental Assessment (EA) has been prepared with the best information available at the time of writing, including the CRD Official Community Plan, communications with the client and regulators, site visits, review of site plans and design drawings and other documentation relevant to the project. This EA has been developed to assist the project in remaining in compliance with relevant environmental regulations, acts and laws pertaining to the project and to identify and mitigate the expected impacts of the project and reclamation activities directly related to the project.



May 2022

1 INTRODUCTION

Corvidae Environmental Consulting Inc. (Corvidae) is pleased to provide this Environmental Assessment (EA) for the proposed changes to 11237/11269/11275 West Coast Rd (the property; PID 024-937-207 and 009-590-412).

This document addresses the requirements in Section 5 of Bylaw No. 4001 and provides an assessment on the environmental conditions on the property, potential impacts of the proposed development, and recommendations on the protection of environmentally sensitive features and methods to minimize impacts of the proposed development. The property is intersected at the western end by Sandcut Creek (Figure 1). Three mapped Development Permit Areas (DPAs; Bylaw 4001, see Section 1.3 for details) are present on the property: Shoreline DPA, Riparian DPA, Sensitive Ecosystem DPA (fringe forest). A Riparian Areas Protection Regulation report (Appendix A) will be completed in parallel to this assessment.

1.1 CURRENT CONDITIONS

The current property owner acquired the property in 2017. An existing house and a small cabin near Sandcut Creek were present on the property when it was purchased. A Riparian Area Regulation assessment was completed on the creek in 2011 and a 15 m SPEA was recommended.

The property is accessed by three driveways: access to the main house (marked as 11237 West Coast Road); access to 11275, the westernmost portion of the property (narrow and gated); access to the cabin and cleared areas on the east bank of Sandcut Creek (marked as 11269 West Coast Road). The latter driveway has been surfaced with crushed rock. A small permanent utility shed has been constructed next to the driveway, and a plastic water cistern placed behind it where a water line is accessed.

In 2018 and 2019, the current property owner undertook brushing and clearing of parts of the property for future development of an ecofriendly camping accommodation. A previously cleared area in the centre of the western part of the property was re-cleared (Photo 5). In addition, an area along the eastern bank of Sandcut Creek has been recently cleared and grubbed, with some ground leveling. The existing cabin, the driveway, the utilities shed and the recently cleared area on the eastern bank of Sandcut Creek were located within the Riparian DPA as mapped by CRD (CRD 2019). A Conditions and Impacts report was completed for the development by Corvidae (Corvidae 2019).

A new road network was constructed in 2019 to access the eastern part of the property. Several small clearings and structures have been built on the property to the east of the highway, all outside the riparian DPAs for the streams on the property.

1.2 PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

The property owner is seeking to rezone the Site from AF-Forestry to Intensive Commercial Recreation Zone CR-3 and construct a campground and resort on the property. The proposed development will include 26 RV sites, 69 tent campsites, 27 A-frame cottages, and 11 yurt/dome tents adjacent to existing roads as well as new roads that will also be constructed. A reception/general store and workshop will also be included as part of the development.



May 2022

1.3 REGULATORY FRAMEWORK

This environmental assessment is designed to comply with the provisions set out in the Shirley-Jordan River Official Community Plan (OCP) for development permit areas and for compliance with the provisions for environmental protection contained in the following relevant legislation:

Municipal

Shirley-Jordan River OCP, Bylaw No. 4001

520 Shoreline Development Permit Area (DPA)

That part of the Shirley – Jordan River Plan area indicated as Shoreline Protection DPA on Schedule D is designated as a development permit area pursuant to Sections 488(1)(a) and 488(1)(b) of the Local Government Act (LGA). The Shoreline Protection DPA established under this section includes all land lying 15 metres upland of the natural boundary of the ocean.

530 Riparian DPA

That part of the Shirley – Jordan River Plan area indicated as Riparian DPA on Schedule D is designated as a development permit area pursuant to Sections 488(1)(a) and 488(1)(i) of the Local Government Act (LGA). The Riparian DPA established under this section also includes all lands entirely or partially within a riparian assessment area as defined by the Riparian Areas Regulations (RAR), which includes: (a) for a stream, the 30 metre strip on both sides of the stream, measured from the high water mark, (b) for a ravine less than 60 metres wide, a strip on both sides of the stream measured from the high water mark to a point that is 30 metres beyond the top of the ravine bank, and (c) for a ravine 60 metres wide or greater, a strip on both sides of the stream measured from the high water mark to a point that is 10 metres beyond the top of the ravine bank.

540 Sensitive Ecosystem DPA

That part of the Shirley – Jordan River area indicated as Sensitive Ecosystem DPA on Schedule E is designated as a development permit area pursuant to Sections 488(1)(a) and 488(1)(i) of the Local Government Act (LGA). The Sensitive Ecosystem DPA established under this section includes those sensitive ecosystems and other important ecosystems identified as Intertidal, Estuarine, Freshwater, Older Forest, Mature Forest, Fringe Forest, Woodland, Herbaceous, Sparsely Vegetated, Wetlands, and Riparian in the Sensitive Ecosystem Inventory (SEI) prepared by Madrone Environmental in 2014. The Sensitive Ecosystem DPA includes the strip of land 30 metres from the natural boundary on either side of all watercourses.

The guiding principle for the use of Development Permits is found within the Local Government Act. Development Permit Areas can be designated for purposes such as, but not limited to the following:

- Protects, enhances and restores the biodiversity and ecological values and functions of environmentally sensitive areas.
- Fosters compatibility between development, existing land uses and environmentally sensitive areas.
- Maintains connectivity between sensitive ecosystems; and



May 2022

Protects water quality and quantity.


Provincial

- Wildlife Act (1996)
- Invasive Species Council of BC
- Weed Control Act (1996, current as of October 2016)
- Water Sustainability Act (Updated December 2021)
 - Activities in and about a stream, including culverted road crossings and bridge installation, require a Section 11 notification under the WSA
- Riparian Areas Protection Regulation (2019)
 - The objective of the Riparian Area Protection Regulation (RAPR) is to preserve and enhance sensitive riparian ecosystems, including vegetation and coarse woody debris, shade and hydrogeological conditions that are vital for maintaining stream health and productivity.
 - In the RAPR, a Streamside Protection and Enhancement Area (SPEA) is defined as "an area (a) adjacent to a stream that links aquatic to terrestrial ecosystems and includes both existing and potential riparian vegetation and existing and potential adjacent upland vegetation that exerts an influence on the stream, and (b) the size of which is determined according to this regulation on the basis of an assessment report provided by a qualified environmental professional in respect of a development proposal."

Federal

- Migratory Birds Convention Act (1994)
- Species at Risk Act (SARA) (2002)
- Fisheries Act (2018)





May 2022

2 SCOPE OF WORK

Corvidae completed an environmental assessment for the property. The environmental assessment documented the ecological features on the property including riparian areas and any sensitive species or ecosystems. Background information was reviewed, including applicable databases. During the assessment, the following features were documented in this report:

- Areas of sensitivity, habitat and biodiversity values;
- Plant communities and plant species on site;
- Potential wildlife presence and wildlife habitat;
- · Soil properties and terrain; and
- Surface water flow patterns.

Following the field assessment, the biophysical features and cleared areas were mapped and buffer areas have been identified. Mitigations to minimize the impacts of the proposed residential development on the environment have been provided in Section 6.

3 METHODS

3.1 DESKTOP REVIEW

Baseline biophysical conditions were compiled by reviewing the best available data and information including existing reports for the area and conducting searches of online provincial and federal databases:

- BC Conservation Data Centre (BC CDC 2021a and 2021b);
- BC HabitatWizard (Province of BC 2021);
- · Aerial photographs of the property (Google Earth 2021);
- CRD regional mapping system and database (CRD 2021), and
- Shirley-Jordan River Official Community Plan Bylaw No. 4001 (CRD 2018).

3.2 FIELD ASSESSMENT

A field assessment of the property was completed by a Qualified Environmental Professional (QEP) from Corvidae. The assessment included characterization of vegetation and habitat types, wildlife sign and species observations, wildlife habitat, and assessed the current conditions of the property.

The SPEAs for the streams on the property were calculated using the detailed assessment methodology in the RAPR Technical Manual (BC Ministry of Forests, Lands, Natural Resource Operations and Rural Development 2019).



May 2022

4 ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT

Corvidae completed site visits on June 2, 16, August 4 and Sept 5, 2021. The property was assessed for any environmental concerns that may be present, including the riparian area of Sandcut Creek, Rockbottom Creek and the small seasonal streams on the property. Appendix B shows photos of the property and riparian areas.

4.1 CLIMATE AND BIOGEOCLIMATIC ZONE

The project is located within the Coastal Western Hemlock (CWH) biogeoclimatic zone, and specifically in the western variant of the Very Dry Maritime subzone (classified as CWHxm2; BC CDC 2019b). The CWHxm2 occurs from sea-level to 450m of elevation on southern Vancouver Island. The CWHxm2 has warm, dry summers and moist, mild winters with relatively little snowfall. Growing seasons are long and can experience water deficits.

It is a unique habitat that occurs on the central and southeastern section of Vancouver Island. The average rainfall is 1497.1 mm/annually (Sooke Lake North Station, Environmental Canada 2018).

4.2 TERRAIN AND SOILS

Soils in this biogeoclimatic zone are typically moderately deep Orthic Humo-Ferric Podzols with Hemimor humus forms (Pojar et al. 1991).

The soils on the site were a clay-loam and sandy-silty loam.

The topography of the property is varied. The property generally slopes from the highway (north side) towards the beach (south boundary of the property). Localized low areas occur where Sandcut Creek intersects the property, and where a drainage runs parallel to the highway and driveway, towards the beach. The existing house and cabin are both located on high areas, where rocky bluffs overhang the beach below. Areas north of West Coast Road generally slope in a south/southwest direction toward the roadway.

4.3 VEGETATION

The CWHxm2 is typically dominated by components of western hemlock (*Tsuga heterophylla*), Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*) and western red cedar (*Thuja plicata*) (Pojar et al. 1991). Salal (*Gaultheria shallon*), dull Oregon-grape (*Mahonia nervosa*), and red huckleberry (*Vaccinium parvifolium*) typify the poorly to moderately developed shrub layer. Oregon beaked moss (*Kindbergia oregana*), step moss (*Hylocomium splenden*), lanky moss (*Rhytidiadelphus loreus*), and flat moss (*Plagiothecium undulatum*) dominate the well-developed moss layer (Pojar et al. 1991).

There are two types of ecosystems on the property: dry mature forest and riparian forest.

- The dry mature forests are dominated by Douglas-fir, with a shrubby understory that includes salal and oceanspray.
- Adjacent to the streams, and in localized areas with concentrated moisture in the soil, forests contain more bigleaf maple, red alder western hemlock, and western redcedar. The understory in riparian areas is dominated by salmonberry and sword fern.



May 2022

During the site assessment the species in Table 1 were found on the site. Six invasive species were observed on the site: bull thistle, Canada thistle, English holly, Himalayan blackberry, scotch broom and spurge laurel. Measures to remove and prevent invasive species are discussed in Section 6 of this report.

During the site assessment the species in Table 1 were found on the site.

Table 1. Plant species observed on site during field visit in 2021

Common Name	Scientific Name	BC Provincial	SARA Schedule 1 Status ²
Black twinberry	Lonicera involucrata var. involucrata	Yellow	
Bracken fern	Pteridium aquilinum	Yellow	-
Bull thistle	Cirsium vulgare	Invasive; Exotic	
Canada goldenrod	Solidago canadensis	Exotic	-
Canada thistle	Cirsium arvense	Invasive; Exotic	- 34
Coastal leafy moss	Plagiomnium insigne	Yellow	- W
Coastal strawberry	Fragaria chiloensis	Yellow	*
Common horsetail	Equisetum arvense	Yellow	242
Common rush	Juncus effusus	Yellow	0
Douglas-fir	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Yellow	9
English holly	llex aquifolium	Invasive; Exotic	9
Foxglove	Digitalis purpurea	Exotic	ω.
Goatsbeard	Aruncus dioicus var. acuminatus	Yellow	ω.
Hairy cat's-ear	Hypochaeris radicata	Exotic	-
Himalayan blackberry	Rubus armeniacus	Invasive; Exotic	ω.
Maidenhair fern	Adiantum aleuticum	Yellow	9
Oregon-beaked moss	Kinbergia oregana	Yellow	192
Pacific sanicle	Sanicula crassicaulis	Yellow	
Palm tree moss	Leucolepis acanthoneuron	Yellow	-
Pearly everlasting	Anaphalis margaritacea	Yellow	-
Red alder	Alnus rubra	Yellow	-
Red elderberry	Sambucus racemosa	Yellow	
Red huckleberry	Vaccinium parvifolium	Yellow	-
Salal	Gaultheria shallon	Yellow	_
Salmonberry	Rubus spectabilis	Yellow	-
Scotch broom	Cytisus scoparius	Invasive; Exotic	
Slough sedge	Carex obnupta	Yellow	-
Snowberry	Symphoricarpos albus	Yellow	
Spurge laurel	Daphrie laureola	Invasive; Exotic	-
Step moss	Hylocomium splendens	Yellow	
Sword fern	Polystichum munitum	Yellow	8
Thimbleberry	Rubus parviflorus	Yellow	-
Trailing blackberry	Rubus ursinus	Yellow	- 2
Trembling aspen	Populus tremuloides	Yellow	-
Wall lettuce	Mycelis muralis	Exotic	-

0

May 2022

Common Name	Scientific Name	BC Provincial Status ¹	SARA Schedule 1 Status ²
Western hemlock	Tsuga heterophylla	Yellow	100
Western redcedar	Thuja plicata	Yellow	100
Willowherb	Epilobium sp.	4-0	11

[†]BC CDC 2021a

4.4 WILDLIFE

The habitat is found in the Coastal Western Hemlock biogeoclimatic zone is home to many wildlife species. Black-tailed deer, black bear, marten, and gray wolf are the most common large mammals in this zone on Vancouver Island. For bird species in this zone, the following typically occur: great horned owl, barred owl, ruffed grouse, band-tailed pigeon, northern flicker, hairy woodpecker, common raven, Steller's jay, chestnut-backed chickadee, red-breasted nuthatch, varied thrush, red-tailed hawk, Townsend's warbler. The following amphibians may occur in this biogeoclimatic zone: western toad, Pacific treefrog, western redbacked salamander (Pojar et al. 1991).

The forest on the property is home to a variety of birds, mammals, reptiles and amphibians. Mature trees provide nesting and roosting habitat for songbirds, owls and woodpeckers. Large and small coarse woody debris provide cover habitat for small mammals, snakes and amphibians. No suitable amphibian breeding habitat was observed on the site; however, amphibians were observed using terrestrial habitat adjacent to the streams. During the site assessment the species in Table 2 were found on the site.

Table 2. Wildlife Species observed on site during field visits in 2021

Common Name	Scientific Name	BC Provincial Status	SARA Schedule 1 Status ²
American black bear (scat)	Ursus americanus	Yellow	-
American robin	Turdus migratorius	Yellow	- 2
Bald eagle	Haliaeetus leucocephalus	Yellow	-
Black-tailed deer (scat)	Odocoileus hemionus	Yellow	- 2
Chestnut-backed chickadee	Poecile rufescens	Yellow	
Common raven	Corvus corax	Yellow	
Dark-eyed junco	Junco hyemalis	Yellow	
Pacific wren	Troglodytes pacificus	Yellow	- 4
Red squirrel	Tamiasciurus hudsonicus	Yellow	-
Red-breasted nuthatch	Sitta canadensis	Yellow	-
Varied thrush	Ixoreus naevius	Yellow	-

BC CDC 2021a

4.5 SPECIES AT RISK

A query of the BC CDC iMap tool yielded no occurrences of species at risk within a two-kilometer radius of the property (Figure 2; BC CDC 2019). One record exists in the Jordan River area: red-legged frog

0

² Government of Canada 2021

² Government of Canada 2021

May 2022

(Rana aurora; approximately 2,4 km from the property). This species was observed on the property during the site visits for this assessment.

CRITICAL HABITAT

Marbled Murrelet

Critical habitat for marbled murrelet has been mapped adjacent to nearby Desolation Creek in Jordan River Regional Park (approximately 1.3 km northwest the property). The mapping for the critical habitat for this species is based on a 2002 model using the biophysical attributes of nesting habitat. Suitable nesting habitat may be found in complex old growth stands (140+ years old) at 0-600m of elevation and within 50km of saltwater. Nest trees within suitable habitat are generally >30m tall with large mossy nest platforms on large upper limbs that are still protected by the canopy.

As the property has been historically logged, suitable stands are unlikely to occur. Veteran trees on the property may have the attributes of a suitable nest tree, but their exposure and isolation would likely reduce the probability of use by nesting murrelets.


Bats

A 50 km grid square of critical habitat for *Myotis lucifugus* and *Myotis septentrionalis* (Little Brown Myotis and Northern Myotis) overlaps the property.

Note, critical habitat mapping is done at a high level to indicate areas in which the biophysical attributes of critical habitat are known to or may occur. For example, the 50 km² polygon for bats contains a known occurrence of the species and therefore, it is assumed that additional populations may occur in suitable habitat. Painted turtles are known to travel within aquatic ecosystems connected to known locations and may occur up to 150m from the water.

During the field visit, no suitable roosting habitat was observed for bats (old growth snags or rock crevasses).





May 2022

4.6 RIPARIAN AREAS AND FISHERIES

The property is intersected at the west end by Sandcut Creek (Figure 1). Sandcut Creek, joined by Rockbottom Creek, passes under a highway bridge and flows south into the Juan de Fuca Strait. North of the bridge, and at the bridge location, the creek is within a steep-sided gully. South of the bridge, the creek widens. The western streambank remains very steep to the shoreline. The eastern streambank is relatively flat, varying from approximately 1 to 5 degrees of slope.

South of the bridge, Sandcut Creek has a rock bed, with pools and channels cut into the bedrock (Photo 9 and 11). Several small steps and waterfalls occur in this stretch of the creek (Photo 10). The creek ends with a waterfall over the rocks to the cobble beach. The waterfall, approximately 2-3 m tall, serves as a complete barrier to fish passage.

A search of the BC HabitatWizard (Province of British Columbia 2018) confirmed a lack of fish records in Sandcut Creek and Rockbottom Creek (Figure 4). The search revealed a number of records in neighbouring streams, including Desolation Creek and Jordan River to the west: cutthroat trout (anadromous; Onchorynchus clarki clarkii), Coho salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch), steelhead salmon (Oncorhynchus mykiss) and rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss).


Several tributaries to Rockbottom Creek occur on the property. These are relatively narrow streams (0.5m to 2m width) with rock and cobble bottoms and a cascade-pool structure. Two additional small streams originate on the property and are culverted under the highway, emptying into the ocean.

An additional drainage is present in the middle section of the property to the west of the highway. The drainage appears to begin from the side of the driveway and continues through a low area between the highway and the central (cleared) part of the property, ending in a marshy depression near the shoreline, but not connected due to the beach structure. The watercourse is channelized intermittently, with saturated wet areas in between (Photo 12). This watercourse is isolated from any other watercourses and the ocean, and therefore is not eligible under the RAPR.

The calculated SPEAs for Sandcut and Rockbottom Creeks vary from 15-30m, depending on the shade zone of sensitivity and the stream direction. The SPEAs on the tributaries are all 10m either side of the stream.

In addition to the SPEA, a 3-5m windthrow/tree protection zone buffer is added outside the SPEA in order to protect the integrity of the SPEA (Figure 3). This additional protection is required by the RAPR.





May 2022

5 POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS

The potential impacts of the proposed development of the property on the environment are:

- Impacts on sensitive ecosystem areas such as riparian habitat,
- Loss of existing vegetation and spread of invasive plant species,
- Change in wildlife habitat availability and wildlife mortality risk, and
- Erosion and sediment transport within and around the project area.

The residual environmental impacts of the activities on the property will be reduced by the implementation of the mitigation and restoration measures recommended in Section 6 of this report.

VEGETATION

The effects of tree removal may include loss of biodiversity of plant species and increased susceptibility to invasive plants not only in the cleared area but also in adjacent plant communities. Vegetation immediately adjacent to cleared areas may experience in changes to the canopy structure and understory plant species due windthrow and increased light and moisture penetration.

INVASIVE SPECIES

Invasive plants are particularly adept at colonizing degraded plant communities and disturbed soils in high traffic areas, such as the margins of roads and parking areas. Invasive plants establish readily in disturbed areas as they have a wide ecological tolerance and grow and propagate quickly. The effects of invasive plant establishment may be the reduction or displacement native species by capturing resources and occupying habitats.

WILDLIFE AND WILDLIFE HABITAT

Habitat loss and alteration from vegetation clearing can cause displacement of wildlife, use of less suitable habitat, reduced foraging ability, increased energy expenditure and lower reproductive success. Reduced habitat effectiveness can occur as a result from the creation of habitat edges and the introduction of buildings with many windows into previously unused spaces can increase mortality risk for birds.

AQUATIC ENVIRONMENT

Vegetation in the foreshore area controls surface water run-off from the upland areas, preventing excessive silt and surface run-off pollution from entering the aquatic environment. Increased levels of sediment and turbidity can impact the productivity of aquatic ecosystems. Sediment in the water may change the amount of light reaching aquatic plants, thereby negatively impacting growth. Sediment has both lethal and sublethal impacts on fish. Aside from direct mortality from suffocation, sediment in the water may limit visual feeding, change fish behaviour, and reduce egg and embryo survival.



May 2022

RIPARIAN ENVIRONMENT

The removal of vegetation in the riparian area may result in the loss of features, functions and conditions that are vital for maintaining bank stability and fish habitat conditions. Vegetation in the riparian area controls surface water run-off from the upland areas, preventing excessive silt and surface run-off pollution from entering the aquatic environment. Vegetation removal for the project will only occur outside of the SPEA and tree protection zones.

EROSION AND SEDIMENT

Removal of vegetation and ground disturbance may expose soils to erosion and can result in the movement of sediment on the property. Damage or degradation of soil surfaces during construction can include loss of soil structure, increased erosion, and soil compaction which can negatively affect post-construction reclamation efforts.

6 RECOMMENDED ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION MEASURES

The mitigation measures provided in this report are designed to protect sensitive ecosystems and were developed in accordance with:

- Procedures for Mitigating Impacts on Environmental Values (Environmental Mitigation Procedures) (BC Ministry of Environment [MOE] 2014a),
- Develop with Care 2014: Environmental Guidelines for Urban and Rural Land Development in British Columbia (Government of BC 2014),
- Environmental Best Management Practices for Urban and Rural Land
 Development in British Columbia (BC Ministry of Water, Land and Air Protection 2004), and
- RAPR Technical Manual (BC Ministry of Forests, Lands, Natural Resource Operations and Rural Development 2019).

RIPARIAN ENVIRONMENT

No clearing of vegetation or trees, or disturbance of any kind shall occur within the SPEAs; and recommended no tree clearing in the windthrow and TPZ buffer. Danger trees within the buffers must be assessed by a certified arborist and removed under supervision of a QEP to ensure no damage is done to the SPEA.

VEGETATION

Areas disturbed by development that are not part of the permanent road or facilities footprint should be replanted with native trees and shrubs and/or seeded with native seed mix (Table 3). Overall plant density should be approximately one plant per 1 to 2 m².



May 2022

Table 3. Recommended native vegetation to plant in disturbed area

Common Name	Species
Salal	Gaultheria shallon
Salmonberry	Rubus spectabilis
Nootka rose	Rosa nutkana
Oceanspray	Holodiscus discolor
Sword fern	Polystichum munitum
Western redcedar	Thuja plicata
Bigleaf maple	Acer macrophyllum
Coastal Revegetation	Mix by Pacific Premier or equivalent

^{*}shrubs and ferns should be at least 1 gallon size; trees should be 3 gallon size at minimum pending availability

INVASIVE SPECIES

Invasive weed control is difficult for established populations. Immediate eradication of new and existing infestations should be a high priority during any maintenance and re-development of the lake shoreline area. Species should be removed using the most appropriate methods, at the correct time of year, and plant material must be disposed of correctly (burned or bagged and disposed of properly in a landfill) to avoid re-establishment or spread. Following removal, re-seed bare soil with desirable, competing vegetation. Chemical control is not recommended due to the sensitive aquatic ecosystems on the property.

Table 4. Removal and disposal methods for invasive species

Species	Removal Method	Removal Timing	Plant Disposal
Bull thistle	Regular cutting or pulling can help wear down plant reserves, reduce plant growth, and reduce populations, but is not likely to eradicate the species.	Cutting and pulling are best done before flowering to eliminate seed production.	If plants are cut prior to flowering, the plant material can be left on the site to decompose. If plants are cut post flowering, all plant parts, including flower heads, should be bagged and disposed of properly in a landfill.
Canada thistle	Regular cutting or pulling can help wear down plant reserves, reduce plant growth, and reduce populations, but is not likely to eradicate the species.	Cutting and pulling are best done before flowering to eliminate seed production.	If plants are cut prior to flowering, the plant material can be left on the site to decompose. If plants are cut post flowering, all plant parts, including flower heads, should be bagged and disposed of properly in a landfill.
English holly	English holly can be removed by hand pulling small seedlings or cutting mature trees at ground level removing all plant material.	Removal is best done before flowering to eliminate seed production.	Holly does not root again once removed, so it can also be piled to desiccate on site. Can be bagged and disposed of properly in a landfill. Do not compost.
English ivy	Can be removed by hand pulling and cutting of vines. Roots should be pulled so no rooted portions re-grow.	Removal should occur fall, when plants are easier to remove due to moist soil conditions.	Bagged and disposed of properly in a landfill. Do not compost.



May 2022

Species	Removal Method	Removal Timing	Plant Disposal
Himalayan blackberry	Can be removed by pulling or cutting the canes from the ground. If possible, dig out the roots, paying careful attention not to damage nearby vegetation.	Removal should occur in the spring and early summer before they produce berries as canes that are cut as the plant is producing flowers are least likely to re-sprout.	Burned or bagged and disposed of properly in a landfill. Do not compost.
Scotch broom	Avoid disturbing the soil which can stimulate dormant broom seeds to sprout. Small broom plants can be pulled easily from the ground by hand without disturbing the soil. Larger plants should be cut below the root crown using loppers or a pruning saw.	Scotch broom removal should occur mid-April through early June before its seed pods begin to open.	Bagged and disposed of properly in a landfill. Do not 'recycle' garden debris or compost.
Spurge laurel	Spurge laurel can be removed by pulling small plants or cutting larger plants just below the soil. Spurge laurel stems may re-sprout after cutting and numerous seedlings may germinate so repeated site visits are necessary. Always wear gloves when handling spurge laurel because it produces a noxious substance which can cause severe eye and skin irritation. Avoid spreading berries during removal.	Can be removed year-round.	Removed plants should be bagged and disposed of properly in a landfill. Do no transport inside an enclosed vehicle as the plants can cause respiratory irritation.

WILDLIFE AND WILDLIFE HABITAT

The following measures should be taken to minimize impacts on wildlife and wildlife habitat:

- Clearing of existing vegetation, including tree cutting, brushing, or clearing and grubbing, should occur outside of the sensitive time period for breeding and nesting birds (mid-March to end of August; Government of Canada 2021b). If disturbance of vegetation is to occur within this time period, then a QEP must perform a nesting bird survey to identify any nesting birds that may be potentially impacted by the project. The survey must be completed within 5 days of the planned clearing/disturbance, and the results are valid for 5 days. If nesting bird activity is detected, then the QEP will recommend the appropriate mitigation, such as protective buffers or delay of clearing until nesting activity is complete.
- Where suitable and safe, retain habitat that provides shelter for wildlife, such as standing dead trees and rocky outcrops.
- In the event that an amphibian or reptile is encountered during clearing or construction, the QEP will recommend the appropriate mitigation, such as avoidance or relocation. All salvage must be done by the QEP and with the appropriate wildlife permit.



May 2022

A raptor nest survey should be completed prior to development activities. A QEP must perform
a multi-visit nest survey to identify any nests that may be potentially impacted by the project. If
nesting raptor activity is detected, then the QEP will recommend the appropriate mitigation,
such as protective buffers.

EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL

The primary focus of erosion and sediment control planning is erosion control; if there is no erosion then there is no sediment. Erosion control is far more cost effective to implement and manage than sediment control.

Erosion controls, including the recommendations listed below, are recommended to be maintained for the duration of the project.

- Install a silt fence barrier outside of the SPEA edge and tree protection zones between the building site and the construction area to prevent sediment laden runoff from entering the wetland.
- Store materials and soils in dry, flat areas at least 15m outside the edge of the SPEA
- Revegetation of disturbed areas adjacent to the SPEA should be undertaken
 upon the completion of construction or disturbance. Prevent erosion and
 invasive plant colonization by planting native species of shrubs and trees (see
 Table 3), which naturally have deep roots to aid in soil stabilization, compete
 against weeds and do not require irrigation.
- Heed weather advisories and scheduling work to avoid wet and rainy periods that may result in high surface water flow volumes and/ or increase erosion and sedimentation.
- Regularly monitor the aquatic environment for signs of sedimentation during all phases of the work, undertaking or activity and taking corrective action if required.



May 2022

7 CONCLUSION

The observations from the site visits to the property have been detailed in this report. No permanent habitat features (e.g., bat roosts in rocky outcrops, raptor stick nests) were observed.

Subsequent field visits should be completed to update the biophysical observations and RAPR report as needed for each phase of the development planning (i.e., following the rezoning, future development permits).

During the development at 11237 West Coast Road, implementation of the mitigation measures recommended in this report, including the protection of the riparian area and revegetation of cleared areas to prevent invasive species, will minimize the impacts of the proposed development on the environment.

Report Prepared By:



Jessica Harvey, R.P. Biol., M.Sc Senior Environmental Biologist Corvidae Environmental Consulting Inc.



Julie Budgen, R.P.Biol., B.Sc., Environmental Planner Corvidae Environmental Consulting Inc.



May 2022

8 REFERENCES

- British Columbia Conservation Data Centre (CDC). 2021a. BC Species and Ecosystems Explorer. B.C. Ministry of Environment. Victoria, B.C. Available: http://a100.gov.bc.ca/pub/eswp/. Accessed: September 2021.
- Government of BC. 2021. BC iMap [web application]. Available at: https://maps.gov.bc.ca/ess/hm/imap4m/. Accessed: September 2021.
- British Columbia Ministry of Environment (MOE). 2014a. Procedures for Mitigating Impacts on Environmental Values (Environmental Mitigation Procedures) Version 1.0.
- British Columbia Ministry of Environment (MOE). 2014b. Develop with Care 2014: Environmental Guidelines for Urban and Rural Land Development in British Columbia. Available at: https://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content/environment/natural-resourcestewardship/naturalresource-standards-and-guidance/best-managementpractices/develop-with-care.
- British Columbia Ministry of Environment. 2004. Environmental Best Management Practices for Urban and Rural Land Development
- British Columbia Ministry of Environment. 2006. Guidelines for Reduced Risk Instream Work Windows. Available at: https://www2.gov.bc.ca/assets/gov/environment/air-land-water/working-around-water/work windows low main.pdf. Accessed February 2021.
- British Columbia Ministry of Environment. 2009. A User's Guide to Working In and Around Water.
- British Columbia Ministry of Environment. 2014a. Guidelines for Amphibian and Reptile Conservation During Urban and Rural Development in British Columbia 2014. Available at: https://www2.gov.bc.ca/assets/gov/environment/natural-resource-stewardship/standards-guidelines/best-management-practices/herptilebmp_complete.pdf
- British Columbia Ministry of Environment. 2014b. Develop with Care 2014: Environmental Guidelines for Urban and Rural Land Development in British Columbia. Available at: https://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content/environment/natural-resource-stewardship/natural-resource-standards-and-guidance/best-management-practices/develop-with-care.
- Government of Canada. 2021a. Species at Risk Public Registry. Available at: https://www.canada.ca/en/environment-climate-change/services/species-risk-publicregistry.html. Accessed: September 2021
- Government of Canada. 2021b. General nesting periods of migratory birds. Available at: https://www.canada.ca/en/environment-climate-change/services/avoiding-harm-migratory-birds/general-nesting-periods/nesting-periods.html. Accessed September 2021.
- Province of British Columbia. 2021. HabitatWizard. Available at: http://maps.gov.bc.ca/ess/hm/habwiz/. Accessed: September 2021.
- https://sararegistry.gc.ca/virtual sara/files/plans/rs guillemot marbre marbled murrelet 0614 e.pdf. Accessed: September 2021.
- JR OCP. Accessed: September 2021.
- https://wildlife-species.canada.ca/species-risk-registry/virtual_sara/files/plans/Rs-TroisChauveSourisThreeBats-v01-2019Nov-Eng.pdf. Accessed: September 2021.



May 2022

APPENDIX A – SITE PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo 1. Sandcut Creek south of West Coast Road (Hwy 14). June 2021.



Photo 2. Rockbottom Creek near the northern edge of the property. September 2021.



0

May 2022

Photo 3. Typical mature riparian forest adjacent to Sandcut and Rockbottom Creeks. September 2021.



Photo 4. Cleared area adjacent to riparian DPA for Rockbottom Creek. September 2021.





May 2022

Photo 5. Cleared area and new/improved roads on the property. September 2021.



Photo 6. Stream 1 (tributary to Rockbottom Creek) in old clearcut near the northern edge of the property. September 2021.





May 2022

Photo 7. Stream 3 in the centre of the property to the northeast of Hwy 14. September 2021.



Photo 8. Stream 2 near top of property. June 2021.



O

May 2022

Photo 9. Stream 3 adjacent to old road. June 2021.



Photo 10. Representative photo of the middle section of stream 1. June 2021.



3

May 2022

Photo 11. Stream 6 adjacent to old road. August 2021.



Photo 12. View looking south at the existing road from the north end of the property. August 2021.



0

2. Geotechnical Report, July 24, 2023 - RZ000280



RYZUK GEOTECHNICAL

Engineering & Materials Testing

5-40 Cadillac Ave, Victoria, BC, V8Z 1F2 Tel: 250-475-3131 E-mail: mail@ryzuk.com www.ryzuk.com

July 24, 2023 File No: 9892-2

Sandcut Properties and Developments Ltd.

Redacted: Pursuant to Section 22 of British Columbia's Freedom of Information and Privacy Protection Act.

Attn:

Re:

Proposed Campground/Resort

11237 West Coast Rd - Port Renfrew, BC

As requested, we attended the above referenced site on June 1, 2023, to carry out a visual geotechnical assessment. We understand it is proposed to construct a campground and resort within the two properties to the north and south of West Coast Road. The site is located within the Capital Regional District, who have requested a geohazard assessment. This assessment has been prepared as per the safe building construction criterion as set out in Section 56 of the Community Charter and in consideration of a seismic event with a 2% return probability of exceedance in 50 years (1 in 2,475 years) for permanent structures. Contained herein are our associated observations, comments, recommendations related to the potential for geotechnical hazards. Our work has been carried out in accordance with, and is subject to, the attached Terms of Engagement.

1.0 PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

We understand it is proposed to consolidate two properties located to the north and south of West Coast Road and rezone to Rural Commercial Recreation (Campground) CR-2 Zone. The campground will consist of sixty-one (61) tent campsites, twenty-three (23) RV campsites, twenty-seven (27) A-Frame cottages, elven (11) cabins, a general store/caretaker residence, a workshop, a shop, a pumphouse/showers, a septic field, potable and non-potable water lines, a bridge, and associated road access.

Ryzuk Geotechnical

Sandcut Properties and Developments Ltd. Proposed Campground/Resort

July 24, 2023

2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION

The proposed development is roughly rectangular in shape with a total area of approximately 78 hectares. The development is bounded to the north, east, and west by cutblocks, and to the south by Salish Sea. The southern property contains a single family residence, a cabin, a power shed/water tank, and associated road access. The northern property is a old cutblock which contains several logging roads and various temporary structures.

The proposed development terrain generally slopes down from the northeast to southwest, with an approximate total relief of 116 m. Generally, the slopes ranged from 5 to 20 degrees below horizontal with the exception of the creek banks and foreshore area. A total of four (4) creeks are present within the development, that being Sandcut Creek, Rockbottom Creek, and two (2) unnamed creeks. Sandcut and Rockbottom Creeks intersect the development at the north and west property lines, respectively, and extend to the southwest corner of the property where they meet near West Coast Road and outfall approximately 100 m south into the Salish Sea, west of several proposed A-Frame cottages. Sandcut and Rockbottom bank slopes range from 25 degrees below horizontal to vertical where bedrock is exposed and are up to 14 m in height. Two minor seasonal unnamed creeks originate within the center of campground development and extend to the southwest where they outfall into the Salish Sea, to the east of the existing single family residence. The catchment for the seasonal creeks is generally contained within the are of the proposed campground. The bank slopes of the unnamed creeks are between 20 and 35 degrees below horizontal with heights of up to 6 m. The foreshore slopes ranged from 27 degrees below horizontal to vertical where bedrock was exposed. The foreshore ranges from 3 m to 16 m in relief. Finally, a draw is present within the foreshore area south of West Coast Road between the western group of proposed A-Frame cottages and the existing family residence. The banks of the draw slope 35 degrees below horizontal and have a height of approximately 8 m in height. It appears a road was previously constructed at the southern portion of the draw down to the beach.

Vegetation throughout the proposed development ranged from a logged cutblock to mature trees. The areas adjacent to West Coast Road, Sandcut Creek, and Rockbottom Creek contained mature trees with very thick brush/undergrowth. The area between Sandcut Creek and Rockbottom Creek appears to have been logged within the last 8 years. Vegetation within this area consists of thick brush, sporadic mature trees within the central portion, and recently planted trees. The areas to the west and east of the creeks were previously logged and appear to have been replanted within the last 20 years. The area consists of thick immature trees with varying undergrowth.

We note that we did not traverse the entire proposed development, just the areas where campground/resort is proposed. Further, due to this thick undergrowth in the foreshore area to the east of the existing family residence, we were unable to properly visually assess the slopes where the five (5) A-Frame cottages are proposed.

Ryzuk Geotechnical Page 2

Sandcut Properties and Developments Ltd. Proposed Campground/Resort

July 24, 2023

We have attached a Location Plan for reference of terrain, creek locations, vegetation, and proposed campground/resort structures.

3.0 GEOLOGY

During our site attendance we observed bedrock and soil were exposed throughout the proposed development. Based on our observations, soil generally observed consisted of varying amounts of topsoil/organics atop, native brown stiff silty clay to dense sandy gravel with varying cobble content atop bedrock. Bedrock was exposed throughout the foreshore area and consisted of massive sandstone of the Sooke Formation at the southwest corner of the development. The sandstone was observed within the creek banks in the northwest corner of the development where the bridge is proposed. The remainder of the exposed bedrock within the foreshore area is from the Metchosin Volcanics consisting of massive basalt with a brecciated layer atop. Further, basalt was also observed within various outcrops in the northeastern portion of the development.

4.0 GEOTECHINCAL ASSESSMENT

Our visual assessment indicates no hazards are present to the proposed structures located within the northern property. We consider all the permeant and temporary structures proposed within the northern property to be sufficiently offset from Rockbottom Creek for no flood or debris flow hazard to be present, with the possible exception of the proposed bridge. From review of Google Earth, the average creek channel gradient above the proposed bridge location is approximately 8 degrees below horizontal, which is considered to be a depositional environment, however further assessment of the bridge abutments is required. Once the bridge abutment locations and deck height are finalized, we can traverse Rockbottom Creek to assess if a flood or debris flow hazard is present and review the banks for slope stability. Depending on our visual assessment, a subsurface investigation, slope stability analysis, and/or flood analysis may be required.

At this time, the A-Frame cottages proposed in the southwest corner of the development are generally considered to have no hazards present, with the exception of the three (3) east most and two (2) west most cottages. Based on the supplied drawings, our review of aerial imagery, and contours from LiDAR mapping, the east most proposed cottages are adjacent to the crest of the previously mentioned draw in the foreshore area. Once the Cottage locations have been finalized and survey/staked in the field, we will be able to visually assess offsets from the crest of the slopes to determine if potential landslide hazard is present, or if a subsurface investigation and slope stability analysis is required.

The two west most A-Frame cottages are adjacent to the crest of the east Sandcut Creek bank. From review of LiDAR contours the proposed cottages are approximately 4 to 6 m above the channel base. Review of Google Earth indicates the average channel gradient upstream to be less than 10 degrees below horizontal. However, once location have been finalized a review of the creeks upstream to determine if a debris flow hazard is present will need to be carried out. At this

Ryzuk Geotechnical Page 3

Sandcut Properties and Developments Ltd. Proposed Campground/Resort

July 24, 2023

time a flood analysis has not been conducted. This may need to be carried out once cottage locations have been established.

As noted above, due to the thick vegetation at the proposed A- Frame cottages east of the existing single family residence, we were unable to properly visually assess the area. From review of the supplied Site Plan, aerial imagery, and LiDAR contour mapping, we consider the east four (4) cottages may be at risk of landslide and depending on the finalized location of the western most cottage, it may also be at risk. If these cottages are desired to be constructed further assessment will be required. Assessment will require survey/layout of structure locations to determine proximity to slope crest, a subsurface investigation to confirm soils, soil competency, and depth to bedrock. Based on the investigation results a slope stability analysis may be required.

5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

We consider the proposed building sites in the northern property and the three (3) middle A-Frame cottages in the southern property to be feasible for the proposed structures. We consider the native brown stiff silty clay to dense sandy gravel with varying cobble content, bedrock, or approved select engineered backfill atop such, will be capable of providing stable long term support to the foundation elements of the A-Frame cottages, cabins, a general store/caretaker residence, a workshop, a shop, a pumphouse/showers considering an allowable design bearing resistance of 150 kPa (SLS). All foundation preparations should be inspected by a qualified professional and construction should be conformant with the BC Building Code.

We consider the placement of engineered fill to raise the existing grade for the proposed structures to be feasible. Engineered fill should consist of approved select granular material. Engineered fill for foundation support must be placed and compacted atop approved subgrade. Fill is to be compacted to a minimum of 95% Standard Proctor Maximum Dry Density (SPMDD). Engineered fill must extend beyond the footing edges be an amount equal to at least the depth of fill placed, to provide adequate splay. Final slope orientation will be dependent on material selection, such can be reviewed when material is known.

For road access construction, we consider that the placement of fill material may be undertaken without any adverse effect on slope stability. We do not anticipate significant production of sediment, however, simple remediation such as silt fencing may be installed down slope to intercept any sediment produced during construction. In addition, we recommend to revegetate the areas disturbed during construction and that all finished slopes should be shaped as flat as possible given the sites sloping conditions.

As stated above, further assessment of the proposed bridge and noted A-Frame cottages located in the north and south properties, respectively, will be required to determine if a landslide, flood, or debris flow hazards are present.

Ryzuk Geotechnical

Page 4

Sandcut Properties and Developments Ltd. Proposed Campground/Resort July 24, 2023

6.0 CLOSURE

Given the above and our understanding of the proposed development, and provided our recommendations are followed, we consider the proposed structures in the northern property to be feasible from a geotechnical perspective, and that the land may be used safely for the use intended, namely for proposed single family residential construction which is completed in accordance with the BC Building Code, pursuant to Section 56 of the Community Charter. Our assessment considers a design seismic occurrence with a 2% probability of exceedance in 50 years, in accordance with the current BC Building Code.

We trust the above is suitable for your current requirements. Please contact us if you have any questions or concerns.


Senior Engineer

Yours very truly, Ryzuk Geotechnica

Simon Jones, P.Eng. Pur 100 2 446 Intermediate Engineer

Attachments: Terms of Engagement Location Plan

Ryzuk Geotechnical



11237 West Coast Road 3. Wildfire Hazard Assessment Report, June 2, 2021 - RZ000280



Strathcona Forestry Consulting





Sandcut Resort Proposal Wildfire Hazard Assessment

Redacted: Pursuant to Section 22 of British Columbia's Freedom of Information and Privacy Protection Act.

Prepared for

Prepared by: Strathcona Forestry Consulting PO Box 387 Stn Mn Duncan BC V9L 3X5

> strathcona.fc@shaw.ca 2 June 2021

1

11237 West Coast Road

Strathcona Forestry Consulting

Executive Summary

In accordance with the Capital Regional District's Development Permit guidelines for Wildfire, Sandcut Properties and Developments Ltd. retained Strathcona Forestry Consulting to conduct a wildfire hazard assessment of the "Sandcut" property at 11237 West Coast Road.

Results of the assessments determined that the wildfire threat at the site is <u>currently High. Current</u> contributing factors include: continuity and extent of fuel (forest vegetation) loading at the site and in the general area, intermix (> 1 structure/ha), current HeadFire Intensity > 2000 kw/M, lack of currently developed access and fireflow, and potential for delayed response. Additionally, the Highway 14 corridor is designated a high risk wildfire interface zone by the British Columbia Wildfire Service. Under the provincial Wildfire Threat Rating system, ratings must be moderate or less to be considered acceptable.

Living in a fire-prone ecosystem involves taking the necessary steps to protect homes, property, and community from wildfire. Development standards play a significant role in reducing the potential impact a wildfire will have on an area (FireSmartCanada.ca; FireSmartBC.ca). This report outlines FireSmart recommendations for vegetation management, access improvements, provision of water sources, and construction options. In addition, there are recommendations to reduce wildfire risk through suppression and evacuation preparedness.

In my professional opinion, if the wildfire risk reduction recommendations contained in this report are followed through all stages of the subject proposal, the risk of wildfire can be reduced to ensure the safety of the intended project.

Fire prevention and protection in the interface zone are ongoing processes. Long-term implementation of FireSmart mitigation is essential to increase resiliency of life, property, and ecological processes in the southwest coast's wildland interface.

2


Strathcona Forestry Consulting

Introduction

In accordance with the Capital Regional District's Development Permit guidelines for wildfire, Sandcut Properties and Developments Ltd. retained Strathcona Forestry Consulting to conduct a wildfire hazard assessment of two adjoining properties proposed for development of a small resort at 11237 West Coast Road (Highway 14).

The <u>interface</u> (wildland urban interface) describes any area where combustible wildland fuels are found adjacent to homes or other buildings and/or infrastructure. Under Section 919.1(1) (a) of the Local Government Act, development permits may be designated where protection of Natural Hazard Lands is justified to warrant special protection or development control. Natural hazards, including wildfires, may put life and property, and local biodiversity, at risk if development is inappropriately situated and not well planned. Areas assigned at high or extreme risk from wildfires are designated in a Development Permit Area (DPA). The objective of the DPA is to properly manage the risks associated with the hazard (interface wildfires).

The Highway 14 corridor is designated a <u>high risk wildfire interface</u> zone by the British Columbia Wildfire Service. Industrial and commercial operators in high risk areas must comply with obligations and responsibilities with respect to wildfire prevention.



Subject proposal is located in a high risk interface area (red = high risk). BCWS risk map.

Strathcona Forestry Consulting

Hazard Assessment

This report describes the vegetation, terrain, and infrastructure on and around the subject property, and provides recommendations to reduce the risk of wildfire. Assessment criteria are based on Rating Interface Wildfire Threats in British Columbia (https://www2.gov.bc.ca/), FireSmart (FireSmart, Protecting Your Community From Wildfire (Second Edition. Partners in Protection Partners in Protection, 2003 (https://www.firesmartcanada.ca/), and the Home Owners FireSmart Manual (BC Edition (https://www2.gov.bc.ca/assets/gov/public-safety-and.../homeownerfiresmart.pdf). Fire behavior modeling is standardized after the Canadian Forest Fire Danger Rating System (CFFDRS). Fuel Types listed in this assessment are customized from the CFFDRS Fuel Type list for applicability in south coastal BC. Wildfire threat assessment was conducted through an analysis of fuel threats in and adjacent to the proposed development, as described in the 2017 Wildfire Threat Assessment Guide and Worksheets (MFLNRO, 2017). This process, as used by qualified environmental professionals, employs physical and biophysical factors, combined with fuel hazards, to determine the wildfire threat (low, moderate, high, or extreme). Fire risk is based on four classes: low, moderate, high, and extreme. Recommendations in this report conform to BC Building Code standards and fire hazard planning authorized by Section 3(2) of the BC Fire Services Act.

Field Inspection: Strathcona Forestry Consulting conducted fieldwork in May 2021. Field investigation entailed an analysis of the interface fire hazard that the lands are exposed to, from the perspective of the general area, local sites, and proposed and existing structures in the general vicinity, up to 100+ m from property boundaries, where feasible.

Location and Description of Proposal: The subject proposal encompasses two properties totaling approximately 193 acres at 11237 West Coast Road (Highway 14) at Sandcut Creek/Beach roughly midway between Shirley and Jordan River, on the southwest coast of Vancouver Island. The lower property fronts the waterfront. The upper property extends upslope from the West Coast Road. The phased wilderness resort will initially feature camping, then cabins, followed by a small hall to be used for weddings and reunions. A "welcome centre" is planned where there would also be some serviced and non-serviced campsites.

4


Strathcona Forestry Consulting

The lower property straddling the outflow of Sandcut Creek has an existing water license, which the owner is hoping to use for development. The upslope property currently does not have water access.

The owner has asked to designer to employ fire-resistive materials such as hardiplank and corrugated steel as much as possible, in addition to steel roofs on all buildings and cabins.

A collection of small accessory structures, including some derelict buildings, found on the lower property, will be removed in conjunction with phased development. A couple of "off-the-grid" temporary structures occupied by the property caretakers are located on the upper property.






Top photos: Views of proposal from West Coast Road. Left: view to NE; right: view to W. Bottom: Sandcut Creek flows into the Strait of Juan de Fuca along western portion of property.

Next page: Proposed Site Plan.

5

Strathcona Forestry Consulting



11237 West Coast Road Strathcona Forestry Consulting



The subject proposal is situated on the West Coast Road between Shirley and Jordan River.

Strathcona Forestry Consulting

Wildfire Hazard and Risk

<u>Wildfire hazard</u> is a process, a phenomenon or a human activity that may cause loss of life, injury, or other health impacts, property damage, social and economic disruption or environmental degradation. Wildfire hazard can be described qualitatively as a fire environment—fuel, weather, topography, and ignitions.

<u>Risk assessment</u> for wildfire and its impacts to communities considers both the likelihood of a wildfire and the potential consequence associated with that likelihood. For example, if the fuel (i.e. the hazard) ignites and the fire spreads towards the community (probability), the wildfire can become a threat to life and property (consequence) with an associated risk of loss.

Determination of the wildfire <u>hazard</u> and <u>risk</u> involves a detailed assessment of potential fire behaviour, field reviewed fuel characteristics, proximity of fuel to the community, local fire spread patterns, topographical considerations and local factors.


Fire Behaviour. Fire behaviour has three components: weather, topography, and fuel. Fire behavior predicts how forest and wildland vegetation (fuel) will burn under different conditions. Weather and topography cannot be changed; alteration of fuels across the landscape is the only way to lower fire intensity and change fire behaviour (See Recommendations).

Biogeoclimatic Classification. The Biogeoclimatic Ecosystem Classification is a system used to classify BC ecosystems based on plant communities and their associated topography, soil, and climate (Green & Klinka, 1994). The subject proposal is located in the Coastal Western Hemlock (CWH) zone transitional between the very dry maritime subzone (CWHxm) and submontane very wet maritime variant (CWHvm1). The CWH Zone occurs at low to middle elevations mostly west of the coastal mountains along the entire British Columbia coast. Southern portions of the zone (i.e., southwest coast of Vancouver Island) in the CWHxm subzone are warmer and drier. Here, Fire Danger Ratings (i.e., the risk of a fire starting) can reach High or Extreme during consecutive days in summer. Forest fires (usually human-caused) between April and October are not uncommon in the general area. Prevailing winds in summer are generally onshore westerlies. Sea fog is common on the west coast of Vancouver Island; it mediates temperature and moisture content.

Page | 8

Strathcona Forestry Consulting

Topography. Physical site characteristics impact fire behavior by affecting ignition



potential and the rate of fire spread. The subject proposal occupies a lower/midslope with gently to moderately sloping terrain. Fires typically spread faster uphill. Hilly terrain tends to increase potential for wildfire behaviour. Warm south- and west-facing aspects are conducive to hotter fires; the subject proposal occupies a southwest aspect. During warmer, drier months in the fire season, there would be an increased fire threat.





Lower portion of proposal occupies a gentle toe slope with an open, mature coniferous forest.





Upper portion of proposal occupies moderate slope with dense coniferous and deciduous forest cover.

Strathcona Forestry Consulting

Vegetation. Vegetative cover at the site reflects previous human disturbance activities (historical logging, road building for the West Coast Highway, and land clearing).

The subject proposal encompasses a mix of site associations. The lower portion of the area surrounding the outflow of Sandcut Creek occupies a water-receiving, nutrient-medium to rich site where forest cover is dominated by mature second-growth Sitka spruce, western redcedar and western hemlock (with scattered Douglas-fir). Gaultheria shallon (salal), Vaccinium parvifolium (red huckleberry), and Rubus spectabilis (salmonberry) comprise the moderately well-developed shrub layer. There is a moderately well-developed moss layer.

Upslope of the West Coast Road, water shedding sites on fairly well drained soils support dense stands of immature second- and third- growth Douglas-fir and western hemlock (Western hemlock – Douglas-fir – salal association). There is a minimal understory layer in the young coniferous forest; the shrub layer is very well developed in gaps and deciduous pockets, with *Gaultheria shallon* and *Vaccinium parvifolium* dominant, and *Mahonia nervosa* (Oregon-grape) and scattered ferns.

Soils disturbed within the last decade (by roadbuilding, clearing, etc.) support thick growths of deciduous brush and tree species (cherry and red alder).

Fuel Types. Fire behavior predicts how forest and wildland fuels (vegetation) will burn under different conditions. Fuel hazard means the potential fire behaviour, without regard to the state of weather or topography, based on the physical fuel characteristics, including fuel arrangement, fuel load, condition of herbaceous vegetation and the presence of ladder fuels.

The Canadian Forest Fire Danger Rating System – Fire Behaviour Prediction (FBP) classifies fuels into five major groups and 16 benchmark fuel types to forecast how a wildfire will react ((cwfis.cfs.nrcan.gc.ca)) (Appendix 2). Fuel Types are classified according to stand structure, species composition, surface and ladder fuels and the organic (duff) layer. Each fuel type represents a different fire behaviour pattern.

Ongoing work is being done to apply fuel type categories to the diverse forests of British Columbia based on observed fire behaviour (Perrakis & Eade, 2015). Fuel types at the subject site and perimeter area were determined using field reconnaissance:

Strathcona Forestry Consulting

Major Fuel Types at subject proposal at 11237 W Coast Rd:

Fuel Types	Description/ Location	Forest Floor & Surface Fuels	Ladder Fuels	Wildfire Behaviour (why and how a fire spreads)	
C-2 Brush	Patchy to continuous brush In canopy gaps and at disturbed areas: roadsides	Scattered trees. Surface fuel loading variable: generally low, consists mostly of native shrubs, forbs; low woody accumulations (some new, mostly older decaying woody debris)	Lower area: Discontinuous vertical continuity; light fuel loading; Upper area: dense ladder fuels at immature coniferous forest. Brushy pockets have high ladder fuels	Ignition start during warm dry windy weather will increase Rate of Spread- Moderately heavy Fuel loading would contribute to Head Fire Intensity that could > 2000 kW/m - Sloping terrain upslope and brisk winds could facilitate spread of fire	
(modified) coniferous stands 2nd-growth trees (along lower reaches Sandcut Creek); Uppe denser immature coniferous forests upslope 2nd-growth trees (down and work in the sand		Lower area: Patchy downed woody fuels and woody shrubs; Upper area: Continuous needle litter; accumulations of dead and downed woody material. debris piles common	Moderately heavy Fuel Loading. Moderately heavy deadfall; ladder fuels moderate	Similar to above, fire start during warm, dry windy weather could increase potential for Crown Fire Initiation.	
M-2 (modified) Mixed (coniferous and deciduous) brushy pockets dominated by deciduous (red alder, wild cherry)		Low to moderate dead and downed woody fuels; continuous brushy understorey with relatively high MC	Scattered conifer crowns extend to ground; scattered to moderate conifer understorey	Rate of spread weighted according to % of softwood/hardwood/broadleaf components; in summer, under canopy, fire spread reduced. Surface torching and crowning.	

Risk of Ignition. Risk of ignition represents the potential for fire starts. Risk of ignition could arise from current owners and land users in the area, land development activities, and vehicle activity along the West Coast Road. Observations of squatter activity (i.e., old cabin previously occupied by transients) increases ignition risk. Risk of ignition is currently rated Moderate-high.



Old shack near Sandcut Creek appears to have been recently occupied by transients.

Strathcona Forestry Consulting

Fire Spread and Intensity. Head fire intensity is a numerical ranking of difficulty of control for specific fuel types. Flame length is a main visual manifestation. Head fire intensity is a major determinant of certain fire effects and difficulty of control. Numerically, it is equal to the product of the net heat of combustion, quantity of fuel consumed in the flaming front, and the linear rate of spread. Under warm, dry conditions, there is currently sufficient continuity of surface and ladder fuels at the subject property to enable a fire to spread relatively quickly. Dry, windy conditions would increase the rate of spread. A goal of Wildfire Risk Reduction (WRR) is to reduce HeadFire Intensity from current estimates of 4 or 5 – at the upper portion of the area - (under High to Extreme Fire Danger Ratings) to less than 2000 kW/m (< 3, HFI column Moderate).

Fire Weather Indices

Hazard Rating	FFMC Fine Fuel Moisture Code	DMC Duff Moisture Code	DC Drought Code	(SI Initial Spread Index	BUI Build Up Index	FWI Fire Weather Index	HFI Head Fire Intensity
Low	0-76	0-21	0-79	0-1.5	0-24	0-4.5	1-2
Moderate	77-84	22-27	80-189	2-4	25-40	4,5-10,5	3
High	85-88	28-40	190-299	5-8	41-60	10.5-18.5	4
Very High	89-91	41-60	300-424	9-15	61-89	18.5-29.5	5
Extreme	92+	61*	425+	16+	90+	29,5+	6

Spotting Potential. Spotting is a fire behavior characteristic in which sparks or embers are carried up by the wind and/or convective column and fall into other downwind fuels to ignite additional fires beyond the zone of direct ignition by the main fire (Firewise.org). During the process of combustion in a wildland fire, vegetative fuels are reduced to flammable vapors, soot, and ash. During the decomposition of the fuel, and before complete consumption, particles of varying size (firebrands) break away from the main fuel source and are lofted upwards by the fire's convection column.

The danger of spotting in wildland fires is that when conditions exist to loft firebrands into the air, the probability exists for multiple subsequent ignitions over a wide area depending on the intensity of the convection and the wind speed and direction. Multiple ignitions can overwhelm any firefighting force.

Strathcona Forestry Consulting

Fire spotting is one of the major ways that fires spread and homes are ignited and destroyed in wildland/urban interface fires. Firebrands can come down on and ignite combustible roofs, combustible items stored adjacent to homes, and other nearby combustible fuels. The resulting spot fires may go unnoticed and thus unsuppressed when an area has been evacuated of residents, when firefighters are spread too thin, or when spot fires are too numerous.

Fire spotting is related to fire danger ratings. The maximum spotting distance in a particular fire varies according to several factors, including overall fire intensity, wind speed, fuel type, initial size of the ember when lofted up, and how rapidly it is burning (Firewise.org). Many embers burn up completely before landing, but larger embers of slow-burning fuels can keep burning for up to six minutes and travel for several kilometres.

If a fire start occurred during warm dry, windy weather (High/Extreme Fire Danger Ratings), the probability of spotting at the subject proposal is currently very High.

Fire Protection. The CRD provides fire protection services in the Electoral Areas in accordance with service establishment bylaws. The subject proposal is located within the Fire Protection Service Area of the Shirley Volunteer Fire Department. This local community based volunteer department is funded by property taxes from within the fire protection area it serves collected under a CRD establishment bylaw.

The subject proposal is estimated outside a 10 minute response from the volunteer department. This area is also not serviced by hydrants, so a tender shuttle would be required for any suppression activity.

The British Columbia Building Code addresses situations where the firefighter response time 'exceeds 10 minutes in 10% or more of all calls' by requiring higher levels of non-combustible construction and reductions on allowable areas of unprotected openings. The Building Code should address Fire Department concerns.

Fire department response time is the elapsed time, in minutes, from when the first firefighting unit is dispatched to when the first fire fighting unit arrives at the emergency scene. Fire department intervention time is crucial in determining the consequences of a fire in terms of deaths, injuries, and loss of property and damage to the environment.

Strathcona Forestry Consulting

An early aggressive and offensive primary interior attack on a working fire is usually the most effective strategy to reduce the loss of lives and property damage.

In addition to the potential for delayed response, suppression challenges associated with this proposal include lack of developed fireflow (water storage), lack of developed access, and significant fuel loading (vegetation cover).

Mutual Aid Fire Departments within the CRD operate under a mutual aid agreement with other fire departments within (and outside) the region. In the case of a serious fire, mutual aid from adjoining fire departments can benefit fire suppression by pooling manpower and resources (water supply, water tenders, etc.,). Mutual aid, however, may not always available.

Wildfires The CRD automatically responds to structure fires and small, easily accessible bush fires inside the fire service protection area (FPA). The Wildfire Management Branch generally responds to forested areas outside a FPA.

Water Supply An adequate and reliable water supply for firefighting is an essential part of a community's fire protection system. The Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) Guidelines outline water specifications for fire protection. The BC Building Code governs the minimum water requirements for buildings.

In fire protection areas served by a community water system, water supply for fire protection generally consists of a piped system in common with domestic potable water. The subject proposal will not be serviced by community water and hydrants.

One of the two properties has a water license which the owner is hoping to use for development. At the upper portion of the proposal, where water will not be as easily accessible in case of fire, the developer has plans to locate 1000L IBC totes of water every 300' throughout the tent camping area for emergency use.

Fire flow would be dependent on the access and staging for tenders along the location of the fire. Strategically located, emergency water storage (minimum 7500 L) is recommended at the tent camping area, cabin area and welcome centre.

Access FireSmart infrastructure and access increase the resident and firefighter safety, and facilitate quick response by firefighters. Developments should have sufficient access

14

Strathcona Forestry Consulting

for emergency vehicles, including 2-way road access in and out of any site, and safe driveway accesses. FireSmart infrastructure and access increase the resident and firefighter safety, and facilitate quick response by firefighters. Local government standards for public roads generally follow the BC Building Code and Geometric Design Guide for Canadian Roads (www.tac-atc).

A forking drive will access the cabins below West Coast Road. The upslope area will be accessed via a looping drive. A small footpath at the northwest corner of the upper develoment will provide pedestrian access to the lower portion of the development.

Wildfire Threat Assessment Results

Site level scoring of field data resulted in a CURRENT Fuel Assessment rating of <u>High</u> (see chart next page), with an overall <u>High Wildfire Threat Rating</u>. Factors contributing to the current scores include: existing fuel loading; lack of currently developed access; no developed fireflow; and HeadFire Intensity > 2000 kW/m. Mitigative measures are essential to ensure the threat level is reduced and maintained at safe levels (see Recommendations pg. xx). Threat ratings must be low or moderate to ensure an area and/or structure(s) are safe.

System:	Subcomponents	CURRENT ratings	Projected Ratings post-development*
MFLNRO Wildfire Threat Assessment	Fire Behaviour: Fuel, Weather, Topography	Fuel Assessment Class: High (see chart next pg.)	Moderate
	Structural (incl vicinity)	High	Moderate
Overall Rating:		High	
HIRV Model	Hazard Impact Risk Vulnerability	High High High High	Moderate Moderate Moderate Moderate
Wildfire Risk	Likelihood Intensity Susceptibility	High	Moderate

^{*}Projected ratings conditional upon compliance with recommendations contained in this report.

Strathcona Forestry Consulting

Wildfire Risk: Wildfire risk is the product of the likelihood of a fire occurring (likelihood), the associated fire behavior when a fire occurs (intensity), and the effects of the fire (susceptibility) on highly valued resources and assets (HVRA) (Scott, J.,



Thompson M. & Calkin D, USDA, USFS. 2013). Wildfire risk is currently rated <u>HIGH</u> (Refer to Appendix 3). Wildfire risk mitigation is achieved when any of the three aspects are reduced.

Wildfire risk triangle. (Scott et al. 2013).

Generalized Descriptions of the "Fuel Assessment Rating" classes:

Low	Fires may start and spread slowly. There will be minimal involvement of deeper fuel layers or larger fuels.
Moderate	Forest fuels are drier and there is an increased risk of surface fires starting. There will be involvement of the organic layer but larger dead material will not readily combust.
High	Forest fuels are very dry, new fires may start easily, burn vigorously; aerial fuel will be engaged in the flaming front. Most fuel in the organic layer will be consumed and larger dead fuel will be consumed in the smoldering combustion.
Extreme	Extremely dry forest fuel, new fires will start easily, burn vigorously; all aerial fuel will be engaged in the flaming front. Most fuel in the organic layer will be consumed and larger dead fuel will be consumed in the smoldering combustion.

FMC (Fuel Moisture Content) 95% value based on 90th percentile drought conditions.

Fuel reduction targets should be sufficient to be effective to meet treatment objectives of reduced fire behaviour under 90th Percentile Fire Weather Index (FWI) Conditions (FFMC, ISI, BUI) from the BCWS weather network.

At project completion, the desired rating should be Moderate or less.

Strathcona Forestry Consulting

Recommendations

Wildfires are a natural process on southern Vancouver Islands' forest ecosystems. Development in a fire-prone ecosystem involves taking the necessary steps to protect life and land from wildfire. The BC FireSmart program supports wildfire preparedness, prevention and mitigation to increase resilience to wildfire. FireSmart principles connect to planning at many levels (i.e., infrastructure and planning, construction, vegetation management). FireSmart strategies are essential to the subject proposal to ensure it is resilient to wildfire and safe for the intended purposes.

General Precautions During Land Clearing and Construction

- Ensure any land clearing activities are conducted in compliance with BC's Wildfire Act local bylaws.
- · Avoid tree felling during the bird nesting season (mid March to mid July).
- As per the BC Wildfire Act, if a high risk activity (i.e., land clearing) is taking place between 1 April and 31 October, the operator must keep at the activity site fire fighting hand tools, in a combination and type to properly equip each person who works at the site with a minimum of one fire fighting hand tool, and an adequate fire suppression system (onsite portable water tanker and fire fighting tools shovels, pulaskis, portable water backpacks). In addition, efforts must be made to maintain an adequate fire break between any high risk activity and areas of continuous forest to ensure a fire originating at the site does not escape the site.
- During landclearing, develop an Emergency Plan of Action, listing key contact information in case of fire and/or other emergency at the site.
- Hazard abatement (removal of slash/disposal of debris piles) must take place in compliance with local bylaws.
- Ensure construction workers are made aware of the risk of fire in the interface zone, especially during dry summer weather.

Safety for Workers - Hazardous Tree Assessment/Treatment

- Prior to commencement of construction, a survey should take place to identify hazardous trees. Appropriate treatment must be implemented prior to clearing/construction in order to ensure the site is safe for the uses intended.
- Should any trees be designated as Danger Trees that require falling, a qualified tree service equipped must be employed for tree felling and removal.
- Any hazardous trees identified within the work zone of the BC Hydro powerlines (1.5 tree lengths) will require treatment from a Certified Utility Arborist (CUA).

If hazard trees need to be removed, follow the guidelines of the Best Management Practices for Hazard Tree and Non-Hazard Tree Limbing, Topping or Removal. Note that a hazard tree assessor is the only qualified professional for assessing danger trees. Consider topping any identified danger tree (at 5 m to 10 m or more) as an alternative to removal, and retaining this as

Strathcona Forestry Consulting

a wildlife tree. Where hazard removal is unavoidable, the work should be as non-intrusive as possible.

Land Use Planning

 As is planned, phase the proposed project to effectively reduce the amount of interface area.

Vegetation Management

FireSmart Zones - (see Appendix 1)

Priority Zone 1a: 0-1.5 m

Zone 1a - The structure itself is sometimes considered as the Home Ignition Zone (1A).

A noncombustible surface should extend for 1.5 m around structures and any attachments, such as decks at the proposed welcome centre, cabins, and campsites. Avoid storing flammable outdoor items, such as wicker or wooden patio furniture, cushions, doormats, window boxes and planters, garbage cans without lids and BBQ propane tanks, which are all places where embers can land and start a fire, in this critical area adjacent to homes/structures.

- Landscape with noncombustible landscaping materials, such as gravel, brick, or concrete.
- Avoid woody shrubs, trees, or tree branches in this zone.
- Create a noncombustible zone underneath and for 1.5 m around any trailers/vehicles.
- Mitigate any auxiliary structures to same standards as those of main structures.

FireSmart Priority Zone 1: 0-10 m

Zone 1 –In this area directly surrounding structure: (all buildings and campsites) out to 10m, people and structures are at risk from radiant heat associated with a wildfire. Analysis of recent large-scale wildfire events (i.e., 2016 Fort McMurray fire) indicate that critical factors protecting structures are exterior construction materials and landscaping immediately surrounding the structures (Westhaver, 2016).

Establish and maintain an environment around all structures (and campsites) that will not support fire. Focus on fuel removal, conversion, and reduction.

- Plan on landscaping with a low density of fire resistant plants and shrubs.
 Avoid the use of cedar hedging.
 Incorporate any existing, native, fire resistant vegetation (i.e., salal, sword fern, red huckleberry, salmonberry).
- Maintain landscapes with regular irrigation, mowing, pruning, raking, weeding and dead plant removal.
- Create non-flammable hardscapes, such as rock, gravel, and water features, which, function as firebreaks by breaking up areas of fuel. Rock can provide a natural looking, low-maintenance and water-efficient mulch and as well as a fire-resistant buffer zone.
- Group fire resistant plant materials in islands, Group plants in islands surrounded by nonflammable materials, such as rock; employ landscape elements together to create breaks between fuels.

Strathcona Forestry Consulting

- Limb (prune) trees at least 3m from the ground. Create space between trees and shrubs

 a general rule is twice the height of what the plant will be at maturity. Remove tree
 limbs closer than 5m from power lines and any structures.
- Avoid using woody debris, including bark mulch, as it provides potential places for fires to start. Instead, use fire-resistant landscaping materials (i.e., rock).
- FireSmart Priority Zone 2: 10-30 m (where applicable)

Zone 2 includes the area from 10 m to 30 m from a structure or facility. There is a still a risk from radiant heat but there is also risk associated with flying embers. In order to prevent a crown fire from establishing in this area, fuels need to be treated aggressively. Conduct FireSmart thinning, lift pruning, and removal of ground fuels in this zone to reduce fuel loading.

- Thin and prune (up to 3 m height from ground) evergreen trees to reduce hazard in this
 area.
- Within 30 m of structures, selectively remove evergreen trees to create at least 3 m of horizontal space between the single or grouped tree crowns, and remove all branches to a height of at least 2.5 m from the ground on the remaining evergreen trees.
- Regularly clean up accumulations of fallen branches, dry grass, dried arbutus leaves, and conifer needles from the ground to eliminate potential surface fires.
- As discussed at the January 22, 2021 site visit, scattered, low-height Class 3 and 4 cedar snags are permissible to retain (for wildlife) purposes, but it will be important to monitor these trees for any future safety concerns.
- FireSmart Priority Zone 3: 30-100 m (where applicable)

Zone 3 - is the area from 30m out to around 100m. People and structures are at risk from ember transport associated with a wildfire in this area. Where fuel modification in Zone 1 and Zone 2 is insufficient to protect structures and/or property, and where property boundaries permit, thinning to reduce stand densities is advised in order to create an environment that will not support high-intensity crown fires.

- Look for opportunities to create a fire break by creating spaces between trees and other potentially flammable vegetation.
- If possible, prune the trees located up to 100 m from the structures.
- Thin and prune overgrown trees to reduce hazard.
- Regularly clean up accumulations of fallen branches, dry grass, and needles from the ground to eliminate potential surface fires.

Site Restoration

 Rehabilitate any disturbed site by planting with a mix of "wildlife friendly," "fireresistant," native shrubs and forbs: salmonberry, evergreen huckleberry, salal, sword fern, and ferns.

Strathcona Forestry Consulting

FireSmart Landscaping

 Incorporate FireSmart landscaping by using fire-resistive, widely spaced trees, native shrubs and groundcover in combination with stone and/or water features and/or maintained lawn areas. See FireSmart Guide to Landscaping. https://www.firesmartcanada.ca/resources-library/firesmart-quide-to-landscaping

Invasive Weed Plants

 Promptly re-vegetate any areas of soil disturbed during clearing and construction with approved landscaping materials and/or native plant species to prevent encroachment from invasive plant species (i.e., broom).

Construction

For all structures:

- Use fire-retardant roof covering assemblies rated Class A, B, or C (i.e., metal, tile, ULC-rated asphalt) and feature non-combustible siding materials (i.e., stucco, metal siding, brick, cement shingles or cementitious materials, poured concrete, or ULC-rated wood siding) on all new structures. Metal, clay tile, and rated asphalt shingles are the most fire resistant roofing materials. Siding materials such as stucco, metal, brick and concrete offer superior fire resistance to wildfire. Logs and heavy timbers are less effective, while wood and vinyl siding offer very little protection.
- Follow FireSmart guidelines for design, construction, and maintenance of window and door glazing, eaves and vents, and decking https://www.firesmartcanada.ca/
- Ensure structures are equipped with working smoke alarm(s).
- Sheath in the base of decks, balconies and structures with fire-resistant material to reduce the risk of sparks and embers igniting structures.

Water Supply / Fire Protection

- · Fireflow is dependent on access and staging for tenders.
- Install onsite fireflow (minimum volume 7500L) at strategic locations every 100 m through the tent camping area. Alternatively, install large volume gravity-fed tank in a central location to service all building and facilities. Ensure fittings are FD-compatible.
- · Explore potential for setting up a pump station.
- Maintain hoses, sprinklers, and firetools (shovels, buckets) to be used in an emergency.
- · Ensure water storage is mapped on fire department "pre-org" (fire planning) maps.

Access

- Ensure access/egress meets BC Building Code and CRD Engineering requirements.
- As is planned, develop a pedestrian access route between the upper development area and the lower portion of the site. This pedestrian access could also serve as an emergency egress route.
- Ensure strategically located staging areas are established and maintained.
- Ensure access routes are developed with adequate turnouts.
- Ensure address signage (on West Coast Road) is clearly evident during the development phase and at project completion. Letters, numbers, and symbols should be at least 10

20

Strathcona Forestry Consulting

- cm high, with a 12 mm stroke, contrast with the background colour of the sign, and be reflective.
- As the project proceeds, ensure directional signage is installed to ensure emergency responders can locate specific structures and campsites.
- Ensure any new structures are mapped on fire department "pre-org" (fire planning) maps.

FireSmart Community Resilience

- Conduct regular staff training in emergency response and basic fire suppression and safety training (\$100). Ensure training includes regular drills.
- As noted under water supply, ensure there is sufficient onsite water storage strategically located throughout the site for staff to address small wildfires. It should be understood it is beyond the capacity of staff to intercept larger wildfires, which fall under the responsibility of BCWS.
- Establish suppression equipment caches at the camping site, welcome building, and cabins. Equip each cache with: 1 Wajax Pump; 1 Pump Kit; 1 Intake Hose;
 1 5-gallon fuel tank with fuel line; 1200 feet of 1.5" forestry hose; 2 Pulaskis; 2 Shovels; 2 Wajax bags; Hardhats.
 Ensure equipment is maintained in good working order
- Develop an emergency response plan for fire and other hazards (i.e., earthquake, flooding, major MVI). Ensure all staff is familiar with the plan. Review and update the plan annually. Establish muster areas. Post awareness signs detailing emergency response procedures. Ensure staff and public are familiar with emergency procedures, including reporting a wildfire.
- Enforce a strict "no-smoking" policy.
- Ensure staff is aware of potential ignition sources from specific types of maintenance work (i.e., power saws, welding, etc.) Avoid "hot work" when the Fire Danger Rating is Extreme.

Regulatory Provisions

 Conduct follow-up assessment to ensure appropriate mitigation measures have been implemented.

Strathcona Forestry Consulting

Appendix 1. FireSmart Interface Priority Zones

In interface areas, FireSmart advocates the establishment and maintenance of Fuel Management Zones* extending outward from structures and along access routes:

<u>Zone 1a (0-1.5 m)</u>: This is the noncombustible zone, where it is very important not to have any combustibles next to buildings.

Zone 1 (0-10 m): The main objective of vegetation management is to create an environment that will not support fire. Vegetation management focuses on fuel removal, conversion, and reduction.

Zone 2 (10-30 m): Where treatment in PZ 1 is not sufficient to significantly reduce the fire hazard due to fuel loading, extend the fuel modified area with a variety of thinning and pruning actions.

Zone 3 (30-100 m): Where fuel modification in PZ1 and PZ2 is insufficient to protect structures and/or property, FireSmart advocates treatment in Priority Zone 3 with a variety of thinning and pruning actions in order to create an environment that will not support high-intensity crown fires.

*Setback Zone distances may be extended depending on aspect, slope, fuel loading, etc.



11237 West Coast Road Strathcona Forestry Consulting



Work with your neighbours in any overlapping priority zones!

Non-combustible Zone (0-1.5 metres)	Reduce the chance of wind-blown embers igniting materials near your home. A non-combustible surface should extend around the entire home and any attachments, such as decks. Creating a non-combustible surface can be as easy clearing vegetation and combustible material down to mineral soil. To add to your landscape design, use non-combustible materials such as gravel, brick, or concrete in this critical area adjacent to your home. Woody shrubs, trees or tree branches should be avoided in this zone, any that are present should be properly mitigated.
Zone 1 (1.5-10 metres)	Create a landscape that will not easily transmit fire to the home. A FireSmart yard includes making smart choices for your plants, shrubs, grass and muich. Selecting fire-resistant plants and materials can increase the likelihood of your home surviving a wildline. Plant a low density of fire-resistant plants and shrubs. Apoid having any woody debris, including mulch, as it provides potential places for fires to start. Storing items such as firewood piles, construction materials, patio furniture, tools and decorative pieces against or near a house is a major fire hazard. Move firewood piles, trailers/ recreational vehicles, storage sheds and other combustible structures out of this zone and into Zone 2. If unable to move, store firewood inside your mitigated garage, shed or other ember resistant structures, create a non-combustible zone undermeath and for 1.5 metres around trailers/ vehicles and mitigate sheds and other structures to the same standards as those of your home.
Zone 2 (10-30 metres)	If your property extends out to this zone, thin and prune evergreen trees to reduce hazard in this area. Within 30 metres of your home, selectively remove evergreen trees to create as least 3 metres of hoticontal space between the single or grouped tree crowns and remove all branches to a height of 2 metres from the ground on the remaining evergreen trees. If possible, pruning trees up to 100 metres from your home (Zone 3) is recommended. Regularly clean up accumulations of fallen branches, dry grass and needles from on the ground to eliminate potential surface fuels. Consider seeking the guidance of a forest professional with wildford fire knowledge on appropriate management options for this zone.
Zone 3 (30-100 metres)	Taking FireSmart actions in Zone 3 on your property will influence how a wildfire approaches your home. You can change the dynamics of wildfire behaviour by managing vegetation within this zone. Look for opportunities to create a fire break by creating space between trees and other potentially flammable vegetation. Thinning and pruning is effective here as well. These actions will help reduce the intensity of a wildfire. Consider seeking the guidance of a forest professional with wildfand fire knowledge on appropriate management options for this zone.


11237 West Coast Road Strathcona Forestry Consulting

Appendix 2. Generic Fuel Types (adopted from CFFDRS).

Fuel	Description	Wildfire Behaviour Under High	
Type		Wildfire Danger	
Coniferous:			
C1	Terrestrial herbaceous ecosystem: mossy rock outcroppings	High potential for surface fire, especially if high moss/lichen	
C2	Dense regeneration to pole-sapling (immature) forest with crowns almost to ground	High potential for crown fires; low to very high fire intensity and rate of spread	
СЗ	Fully stocked, mature forest, crowns separated from ground; sparse understorey	Surface and crown fire, low to very high fire intensity and rate of spread	
		intensity and rate of spread	
C4	Dense, pole-sapling (immature) forest, heavy standing dead and down, dead woody fuel; continuous needle litter; continuous vertical crown fuel continuity	High potential for crown fires, high to very high fire intensity and rate of spread	
C5	Moderately well-stocked, mature forest, moderate dense understorey crowns well separated from ground; continuous needle litter	Low to moderately fast-spreading, low to moderate intensity surface fire	
C6	Fully stocked conifer plantation; absent understorey; tree crowns separated from ground; continuous needle litter	Surface fire may spread rapidly to become high intensity fire with high rate of spread	
	Open, mature coniferous stand; uneven-aged; discontinuous		
	understorey; tree crowns mostly separated from ground	Surface, torching, rarely crowning (except on steeper slopes), moderate to high intensity and rate of spread	
	Moderately well-stocked deciduous stands; moderate medium to tall shrubs and herb layers	rate of spread	
D	D-1 Leafless	Typically a surface fire; low to moderate rate of	
(Deciduous)	D-2 In leaf	spread and fire intensity	
M	Moderately well-stocked mixed stand of conifers and deciduous tree species; moderate shrub understorey; conifer crowns extend nearly to ground M-1 Leafless	Surface, torching and crowning; moderate to very high intensity and spread rate (varies with slope and % vegetation cover)	
(Mixed Forest)	M-2 in Leaf Slash from logging and land clearing	Fine fuel % and cedar foliage retention will result in faster ignition and spread	
	Sied in the second		
S		Rapid spreading, moderate to high intensity	
(Slash)	Continuous standing grass – fuel loading is 0.3 kg/m2; scattered trees	surface fire	
01-Long	01-a Matted 01-b Tall	The taller, and more cured the grass, the more rapid spread; low to moderate intensity surface fire	
	Continuous human modified short grass	ine	
01-Short		Typically low rate and spread and low fire intensity.	

Strathcona Forestry Consulting

Appendix 3. Fire Risk Classes.



Fire Risk Classes

Low (Green): The combination of the local fuel hazard, weather influences, topography, proximity to the community, fuel position in relation to fire spread patterns, and known local wildfire threat factors make it a lower potential for threatening a community. These stands will support surface fires, single tree or small groups of conifer trees could torch/candle in extreme fire weather conditions. Fuel type spot potential is very low, low risk to any values at risk.

Moderate (Yellow): The combination of the local fuel hazard, weather influences, topography, proximity to the community, fuel position in relation to fire spread patterns and known local wildfire threat factors make it possible that a wildfire in this area would threaten the community. Areas of matted grass, slash, conifer plantations, mature conifer stands with very high crown base height, and deciduous stands with 26 to 49% conifers. These stands will support surface fires, single tree or small groups of conifer trees could torch/ candle. Rates of spread would average between 2-5 meters/ minute. Forest stands would have potential to impact values in extreme weather conditions. Fuel type spot potential is unlikely to impact values at a long distance (<400m).

High (Orange): The combination of the local fuel hazard, weather influences, topography, proximity to the community, fuel position in relation to fire spread patterns, and known local wildfire threat factors make it likely that a wildfire in this area would threaten the community. This includes stands with continuous surface/ crown fuel that will support regular torching/ candling, intermittent crown and/or continuous crown fires. Rates of spread would average 6 -10 meters/ minute. Fuel type spot potential is likely to impact values at a long distance (400 -1 000m).

Extreme (Red): The combination of the local fuel hazard, weather influences, topography, proximity to the community, fuel position in relation to fire spread patterns, and known local wildfire threat factors make it very likely that a wildfire in this area would threaten the community. Stands with continuous surface/ crown fuel and fuel characteristics that tend to support the development of intermittent or continuous crown fires, Rates of spread would average >10 meters/ minute. Fuel type spot potential is probable to impact values at a long distance (400 -1 000m or greater). These forest stands have the greater potential to produce extreme fire behaviour (long range spotting, fire whirls and other fire behaviour phenomena.

4. Campground Emergency Plan June 28, 2023 - RZ000280

Sandcut wilderness Campground emergency plan.

First edition June 28, 2023

The main objective of this plan is to prevent fatalities or injuries, protect the environment and the community. This plan is to help prepare us in case of an emergency so that all employees onsite know what to do.

This plan analyzes our vulnerabilities and this first draft considers what we see as our top ten most likely emergency situations.

This plan is to include:

- Top ten possible emergencies, required actions, written procedures and resources available.
- Detailed lists of emergency response personnel, their duties and responsibilities.
- · Site plans, signage and equipment lists.
- Large scale maps showing evacuation routes and service conduits.

As well as addressing these topics we will also have sections of this plan on

Signage and Sitemap

General site security and communications.

First aid training

Development of the plan begins with a vulnerability assessment. The results of the study will show:

- How likely a situation is to occur.
- What means are available to stop or prevent the situation.
- What is necessary for a given situation.
- · What training or tools could we make available to help in such a situation

From this analysis, appropriate emergency procedures can be established.

In all situations, communication, training and periodic drills will help make sure the plan is executed well.

Note: In some cases, other authorities may have jurisdiction, such as if a serious injury or fatality occurred. Your organization should establish, implement, and maintain a procedure to coordinate managing incidents with the authority having jurisdiction (e.g., police, OH&S inspectors, etc.). This coordination may include the authority taking control of the incident scene.

Although emergencies by definition are sudden events, their occurrence can be predicted with some degree of certainty.

In order to try and anticipate what types of situations we may encounter in our day to day business we have conducted a vulnerability assessment

We have compiled a list of the top ten potential hazards we may encounter below:

- Wildfire
- Earthquakes and Tsunamis
- · Snow or severe wind storms.
- Disruptive or violent guest
- Dangerous interactions with wildlife
- Medical emergency.
- Downed power lines
- MVI on Hwy 14
- Missing person
- Loss of electrical power
- Dangerous tree situations

With each possible situation we must consider the series of events or decisions that will need to be made.

What is the situation and how best do we deal with it?

Based on these events, the required actions are determined. For example:

- Declare emergency.
- · Sound the alert.
- Evacuate danger zone.
- Close main gates to incoming traffic if applicable.
- · Call for external aid.
- Initiate rescue operations.
- Attend to casualties.
- Fight fire local small fires before they explode into major events

Also consider what resources are required and their location, such as:

- · Medical supplies.
- communication equipment.
- Power generators.
- PPE
- Emergency protective clothing.
- Fire fighting equipment.
- Ambulance.
- Rescue equipment.
- · Trained personnel.

Our vast and remote location will attract visitors from around the world and this plan is an integral part of our commitment to keep them safe while onsite. It identifies several possible emergency situations we may encounter and outlines clear written systems to best deal with them.

Once operational our campground will be staffed twenty four hours a day and our staff will conduct regular patrols. Our plan to include staff housing will enable us to build a trained team able to help out in case of emergency on short notice.

Management team will have the authority to implement each aspect of this plan and one member must be on the site at all times when the premises are occupied as critical initial decisions usually must be made immediately.

Testing and Revision

Completing a comprehensive plan for handling emergencies is a major step toward preventing disasters. However, it is difficult to predict all of the problems that may happen unless the plan is tested.

Exercises and drills may be conducted to practice all or critical portions (such as evacuation) of the plan. A thorough and immediate review after each exercise, drill, or after an actual emergency will point out areas that require improvement. Knowledge of individual responsibilities can be evaluated through paper tests or interviews.

The plan should be revised when shortcomings have become known, and should be reviewed at least annually. Changes in plant infrastructure, processes, materials used, and key personnel are occasions for updating the plan.

It should be stressed that provision must be made for the training of both individuals and teams, if they are expected to perform adequately in an emergency. An annual full-scale exercise will help in maintaining a high level of proficiency.

Our organization

Our current fire employees are

John Beaumier

Hollie Pethany

Lorne Martin

Eric Albert

Alex Scuffil

John Beaumier is to act as Emergency Coordinator and Hollie Pethany as our "back-up" co-ordinator.

They are both members of and train with the Shirley Volunteer fire department.

Having staff living on site will help ensure that either John or Hollie are onsite incase of emergency and we are also planning on training other staff to be prepared to assume emergency duties in case of their absence. John or Hollie both have the authority to declare an emergency at which point our emergency plan will be activated.

- Reporting the emergency to the highest ranking trained staff onsite who will assume command of the situation.
- Activating the emergency plan and contacting the relevant authorities if necessary be it fire, police or ambulance.
- Establishing communication. determine what type of emergency and communicate the situation to staff and guests if necessary.
- Providing medical or first aid. The most well trained staff will take charge of this task.
- Ordering response, including evacuation of beach or campground if deemed necessary.
- · Restricting access to the site if deemed necessary.
- · Alerting external agencies, as necessary.
- Get staff to assist in evacuation.
- Coordinating activities of various groups.

Features of our revised site plan that were not listed on the initial site plan.

Clearly marked footpaths and egress routes from tsunami danger zones and emergency exits from the upper campsite incase of wildfire or other emergency.

Muster area

In the event of an emergency, the muster area on our site map is located by the main emergency egress route. The safety and well-being of our customers and staff is our top priority, and having a well-defined muster area is crucial for effective emergency management.

The muster area is clearly marked with signage and is easily accessible from all areas of the facility. It provides a safe and secure location where individuals can gather and be accounted for in case of an emergency. Our trained staff members are responsible for guiding customers to the muster area, ensuring everyone is aware of the evacuation procedures and assisting those who may need additional support.

Agency Contacts.

All emergency services can be dispatched by dialing 911.

External organizations that may be available to assist (with varying response times) include:

- Shirley Volunteer fire department
- Juan de Fuca Search and Rescue 1397 Copper Mine Rd, Sooke, BC V9Z 1B2
- BC Ambulance Service (Sooke) 250-642-5241 6742 W Coast Rd, Sooke, BC V9Z 0E4
- Sooke RCMP 250-642-5241 2076 Church Rd, Sooke, BC V9Z 0W7
- BC Hydro Emergency 1 800 224 -9376
- Conservation Officer 1-877-952-7277 (To report a conflict with wildlife that threatens public safety)
- BC Archeology Branch 250-953-3334 2975 Jutland Rd, Victoria, BC V8W 2K7

Once we have called for assistance to either Fire, Ambulance or SAR, once onsite we let that outside agency assume command of the situation and try to be of assistance.

Once fully operational the site's reception building will have a backup power generator making it an ideal emergency control center in case of an extreme event.

Many factors determine what procedures are needed in an emergency, such as:

- · Nature of emergency.
- · Degree of emergency.
- Size of organization.
- · Capabilities of the organization in an emergency situation.
- · Immediacy of outside aid.
- · Physical layout of the premises.

Common elements to be considered in all emergencies include pre-emergency preparation and provisions for alerting and evacuating staff, handling casualties, and for containing the hazards.

The evacuation order is of greatest importance in alerting staff and staff would be allerted over radio communications. .

Earthquake and Tsunami

Post signage detailing emergency response procedures at all entrances to the beaches and throughout the property.

Establish muster areas on the property. Install audible alarms to alert guests on the beach to get to higher ground.

Clearly marked egress pathways for people to higher ground incase of tsunami.

Wild Fire plan

The main aspects of our fire plan involve spacing and limbing trees as well as removing any excess fuel from the forest. This will consist of a massive initial effort before we open for business followed by regularly clean up accumulations of fallen branches, dry grass, and needles from the ground to eliminate potential surface fires.

Much of the following is directly from the risk reduction recommendations contained in the wildfire hazard assessment report by Strathcona Forestry Consulting we had commissioned.

General Precautions During Land Clearing and Construction

Avoid tree felling during the bird nesting season (mid March to mid July). Ensure any land clearing activities are conducted in compliance with BC's Wildfire Act local bylaws.

As per the BC Wildfire Act, if a high risk activity (i.e., land clearing) is taking place between 1 April and 31 October, the operator must keep at the activity site fire fighting hand tools, in a combination and type to properly equip each person who works at the site with a minimum of one fire fighting hand tool, and an adequate fire suppression system (onsite portable water tanker and fire fighting tools – shovels, pulaskis, portable water backpacks). In addition, efforts must be made to maintain an adequate fire break between any high risk activity and areas of continuous forest to ensure a fire originating dat the site does not escape the site.

Hazard abatement (removal of slash/disposal of debris piles) must take place in compliance with local bylaws.

Hazardous Tree Assessment/Treatment

Prior to commencement of business, a survey will take place to identify hazardous trees.

Appropriate treatment will be implemented prior to opening in order to ensure the site is safe for the uses intended.

Should any trees be designated as Danger Trees that require falling, a qualified tree

service equipped must be employed for tree felling and removal.

Any hazardous trees identified within the work zone of the BC Hydro power lines (1.5 tree lengths) will require treatment from a Certified Utility Arborist (CUA).

If hazard trees need to be removed, follow the guidelines of the Best Management Practices for Hazard Tree and Non-Hazard Tree Limbing, Topping or Removal. Note that a hazard tree assessor is the only qualified professional for assessing danger trees. Consider topping any identified danger tree (at 5 m to 10 m or more) as an alternative to removal, and retaining this as a wildlife tree. Where hazard removal is unavoidable, the work should be as non-intrusive as possible.

A preliminary assessment was done at in the spring of 2023, several hazardous trees were identified and sanitized by Roddy Leask of top it all tree service.

Vegetation Management

A noncombustible surface should extend for 1.5 m around structures and any attachments, such as decks at the proposed welcome centre, cabins, and campsites. Avoid storing flammable outdoor items, such as wicker or wooden patio furniture, cushions, doormats, window boxes and planters, garbage cans without lids and BBQ propane tanks, which are all places where embers can land and start a fire, in this critical area adjacent to homes/structures.

When possible landscape with noncombustible landscaping materials, such as gravel, brick, or concrete. Minimize and thin woody shrubs, trees, or tree branches in this zone.

Create a noncombustible zone underneath and for 1.5 m around any trailers/vehicles.

Mitigate any auxiliary structures to same standards as those of main structures.

Establish and maintain an environment around all structures (and campsites) that will not support fire. Focus on fuel removal, conversion, and reduction.

Incorporate any existing, native, fire resistant vegetation (i.e., salal, sword fern, red huckleberry, salmonberry).

Maintain landscapes with regular irrigation, mowing, pruning, raking, weeding and dead plant removal.

Create non-flammable hardscapes, such as rock, gravel, and water features, which, function as firebreaks by breaking up areas of fuel. Rock can provide a natural looking, low-maintenance and water-efficient mulch and as well as a fire-resistant buffer zone.

Group fire resistant plant materials in islands. Group plants in islands surrounded by nonflammable materials, such as rock; employ landscape elements together to create breaks between fuels.

Limb (prune) trees at least 3m from the ground. Create space between trees and shrubs A general rule is twice the height of what the plant will be at maturity. Remove tree

limbs closer than 5m from power lines and any structures.

Avoid using woody debris, including bark mulch, as it provides potential places for fires to start. Instead, use fire-resistant landscaping materials (i.e., rock).

Thin and prune (up to 3 m height from ground) trees to reduce hazard in this area.

Maintain all roads and cut back vegetation to reinforce the fire break the roads we have built create.

Space trees and other potentially flammable vegetation along the road.

Prune all trees located up to 15 m from all campsites and

During construction

For all structures:

Use fire-retardant roof covering assemblies rated Class A, B, or C (i.e., metal, tile, ULC-rated asphalt) and feature non-combustible siding materials (i.e., stucco, metal siding, brick, cement shingles or cementitious materials, poured concrete, or ULC-rated wood siding) on all new structures. Metal, clay tile, and rated asphalt shingles are the most fire resistant roofing materials. Siding materials such as stucco, metal, brick and concrete offer superior fire resistance to wildfire. Logs and heavy timbers are less effective, while wood and vinyl siding offer very little protection.

Follow FireSmart guidelines for design, construction, and maintenance of window and door glazing, eaves and vents, and decking https://www.firesmartcanada.ca/

Ensure structures are equipped with working smoke alarm(s).

Sheath in the base of decks, balconies and structures with fire-resistant material to reduce the risk of sparks and embers igniting structures.

Wildfire Fire fighting

Our fire fighting plan consists of the following actions.

Ensure there is sufficient onsite water storage strategically located throughout the site to knock down small wildfires. It should be understood it is beyond the capacity of staff to intercept larger wildfires, which fall under the responsibility of BCWS.

Build a fire suppression skid equipped with a 2000 liter tank, a high pressure pump, 1000 feet of forestry hose and other fire fighting tools such as Pulaskis, shovels and other implements.

Ensure equipment is maintained in good working and regularly inspected.

Ensure staff is aware of potential ignition sources from specific types of maintenance work (i.e., power saws, welding, etc.) Avoid "hot work" when the Fire Danger Rating is Extreme.

Fire prevention and how to mitigate fire risk.

General site and maintenance.

Site Restoration

Rehabilitate any disturbed site by planting with a mix of "wildlife friendly," "fire-resistant," native shrubs and forbs: salmonberry, evergreen huckleberry, salal, sword fern, and ferns.

Incorporate FireSmart landscaping by using fire-resistive, widely spaced trees, native shrubs and groundcover in combination with stone and/or water features and/or maintained lawn areas. See FireSmart Guide to Landscaping.

Invasive Weed Plants

Promptly re-vegetate any areas of soil disturbed during clearing and construction with approved landscaping materials and/or native plant species to prevent encroachment from invasive plant species (i.e., broom).

https://www.firesmartcanada.ca/resources-library/firesmart-guide-to-landscaping

Actions to mitigate the risk of fires spreading from campsites.

Limb all trees within 10 meters of all campsites to 3 meters from the ground and lay an aggregate base for all campsites.

Post signage at each camp site detailing our clean camp, smoking and campfire policies.

Each campsite will have a steel fire ring to contain campfires and a 18L bucket to fetch utility water which will be made available to guests at several refilling stations throughout the property.

Enforce all local fire regulations and a no smoking policy. Guests will only be allowed to smoke if at their campsites and within six feet of their fire ring.

Nightly patrols will help enforce our policies and monitor campfires.

Local fire regulations will be enforced can be found on the coastal fire centers website at the following link

https://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content/safety/wildfire-status/prevention/fire-bans-and-restrictions/coastal-fire-centre-bans

Fire fighting equipment, training and resources.

By far the best resource we have to help us prepare for a wildfire emergency is the Shirley Volunteer fire department where several of our staff are members.

Our plan is to Install large volume gravity-fed tank with FD-compatible fittings in a central location to service all buildings and facilities and we will also explore the potential of setting up a hydrant on West Coast road to service SFD tenders.

- We will maintain equipment such as trucks, pumps, hoses, sprinklers, and firetools (shovels, buckets) to be used in an emergency.
- Install directional signage to ensure emergency responders can locate specific structures and camosites.
- Ensure SFD always has the most up to date sitemap showing all the facilities, buildings, campsites, cabins and water storage. Ensure any new structures are mapped on fire department planning maps.
- . Ensure access/egress meets BC Building Code and CRD Engineering requirements.
- Develop a pedestrian access route between the upper development area and the lower portion of the site. This pedestrian access could also serve as an emergency egress route.

- Ensure strategically located muster areas are established and maintained.
- Ensure access routes are developed with adequate turnouts.
- · Post signage marking directions to muster areas and emergency exit paths and roads.
- Maintain emergency egress roads from C loop onto neighboring lands to connect to the new logging main.

Fuel storage

Areas where flammables, explosives, or chemicals are used or stored should be considered as the most likely place for a technological hazard emergency to occur.

All fuel stored onsite will be done in accordance with the BC Government regulations found at the following link.

 $https://www2.gov.bc.ca/assets/gov/environment/waste-management/industrial-waste/industrial-waste/oilandgas/fuel_handle_guide.pdf\\$

Our plan to mitigate any dangerous wildlife encounters.

- Create fenced off and bear proof enclosures around all garbage dumpsters, compost and recycling facilities.
- Create and post signage and brochures containing information of bears and cougars at several locations around the property.
- Equip the campsite with several "bear saver" garbage bins to prevent feeding of wildlife.
- Food storage lockers will be provided for campers arriving by shuttle, bike, or foot (those without a vehicle).
- Our on-site service vehicles will be outfitted with radios, sirens, air horns, and bear bangers and spray in case of any close encounters with potentially dangerous wildlife around the campsites.
- While visitors are welcome to bring dogs, they will be required to keep them on a leash at all times for the safety of other quests and to avoid provoking any wildlife.

Although encounters with dangerous wildlife are extremely rare (only two black bear-related attacks have been recorded in the last 50 years on the island, both non-fatal), we will still vigilantly plan for them to keep our guests and animals safe.



Hollie and John on their first day at the SFD. They have since both been on several fire and rescue calls and other than a few weeks vacation have yet to miss practise.



An image of some of our current fire fighting equipment.

General site security

Our security plan involves the three main elements below.

1. Training and tasks

Hollie and John both plan on completing basic BST training before we open for business. Our employees tasked with patrolling the grounds will be trained

2 - Equipment

Currently we have a CCTV system installed at the house but will install a second in and around the reception center and at the front gate as infrastructure is built out. We are also going to be looking into business management software to track all of our guests and effectively ban any trouble makers.

Our equipment our staff will have are patrol vehicles and radios.

3 - Communications

We have purchased high quality two way radios that cover the entire site and plan on applying for a private radio band on which to operate and will train staff in proper radio communications

We plan on writing a manual to help our staff deal with any unruly guests which will include de escalation tactics and have other helpful resources.



Climax locomotive working for Cooke and Moore of Sooke before its move to Point No Point. (Sooke Region Museum photo No. 444)

by Patrick O. Hind

To Islanders and visitors alike, one of the most scenic and certainly interesting drives is to take the Westcoast Highway past Sooke to Jordan River and Port Renfrew. Spectacular views of mountain and sea greet those who venture along this route. At the Point No Point resort and tea house many enjoy traditional English afternoon tea, looking out over the Ocean and the distant mountains of the Olympic Peninsula. Few would recall, or know that in earlier years, the late 1920s, the Point was the scene of an active logging operation, its logging railway harvesting the green gold that grew from the Ocean shore into the distant hills. Several steam locomotives worked over a dozen miles of rail hauling logs to tidewater, where they were boomed and towed in rafts to the mills in Victoria, 35 miles away.

During the 1920s the Canadian Puget Sound Lumber and Timber Co. operated a large mill in Rock Bay at Victoria, employing 350 men to produce up to 250,000 feet of lumber per day. It was one of the largest Island concerns at the time. To supply their mill with logs C.P.S., as they were widely known, were operating a logging railway that ran from Jordan River inland to stands of timber while a subsidiary, the Island Logging Company, was operating at Charter Siding, west of Duncan. By 1927, logging was

being completed at the latter location and plans were being made to open two new camps. Camp 3 was to be at the head of Cowichan Lake, at Kissinger on the C.N.R., while Camp 2 would open at Point No Point where C.P.S. had extensive timber limits. The *B.C. Lumberman* in early 1928 reported on the activity.

"The Jordan River country is now coming into prominence and within a few months will probably be one of the most active scenes of logging, as a result of the plans for big-scale operation by the Canadian Puget Sound Lumber & Timber Company. The company has at the present time about four miles of railroad in there and this will be extended as logging progresses. An unloading dock has been constructed; also a breakwater at Point No Point, where logs will be dumped. Bunkhouses and camps have been built on sleds so that they can be moved from place to place without reconstruction. New equipment throughout is being put in. In the past twelve months new equipment purchased comprises a 90-ton Shay locomotive, 13x14 Washington unit, 12x14 Washington yarder, two 9x101/2 Washington duplex loaders, 30 logging cars, 1 moving car and 2 gas shovels. A fuel oil tank capable of storing 2200 barrels of oil is now being installed. According to John D. Kissinger, resident manager, it is

proposed to put between four and five million feet of logs into the water every month at the outset. This will besteadily increased. About a billion feet of timber is to be logged by the company in the Jordan River district."

Despite the elaborate plans of the Island Logging Company and the fact that the timber available was of the finest grade of Douglas-fir and Spruce on the southern coast, they were soon to experience many difficulties in operation. Not the least was the booming of logs in open water on the turbulent Pacific.

The company planned to dump their logs at a wharf which was to be constructed in a bay on the east side of the Point. This necessitated a breakwater to be built to protect the proposed wharf, and a quarry was located about one quarter of a mile inland where suitable rock could be obtained. The remains can still be seen of this quarry as can the remains of the breakwater and trestle work at very low water. The site of the latter, through the courtesy of Western Forest Products, is a favorite picnic ground for the general public.

The first piece of equipment to be landed at Point No Point was a small steam donkey which was then used to haul the first of the railway equipment off a barge. This was a Climax locomotive that the company brought over from the Jordan River operation. Prior to that the locomotive had been used by Cooke and Moore of Sooke on their line from Demamiel Stream to Sooke Harbour. It was put to work hauling rock from the quarry to build the breakwater, then not being considered heavy enough for the intended logging operation was taken back to Jordan River where it remained for some years afterward.

In order to facilitate the unloading of larger and heavier equipment at the Point, the company built an elaborate underwater ramp on the inside of the breakwater. This was formed by a crib of logs filled with large rocks and enabled a barge to be positioned at high tide and then unloaded after it had settled on the receding tide. Sections of rail were then connected to the rails on the barge and the equipment could be moved on or off the barge with relative safety. The remains of this underwater crib can also be seen at extremely low tide today.

Once this crib was built, the company landed a large 3truck 107 ton Class 90 Lima Shay locomotive which was immediately placed in service to aid in construction of the railway. It was to become Island Logging Company's No. 3, procured new from the Lima Locomotive Works (builders number 3313). Track was laid from a point west of the breakwater in a northwesterly direction towards Jacobs Creek, which empties into the sea just west of the Point. Track crossed the Westcoast Road, or as it was then, a trail, on a 2% grade then ascended the gently rolling southern slope of Mount Campbell, so named for the pioneer grandfather of Miss Christine Clark of Shirley. West of Jacobs Creek the camp was located, built of moveable bunkhouses, and would house from 100 to 150 men, and some families. The repair shop was located on the northwest side of the camp.

From the camp, the line traversed a series of switch-

backs that wound their way up the side of Mount Campbell. Because of the undulating nature of the ground and small streams, many small to medium-sized trestles were required in building the line which eventually was close to 12 miles in length.



Site of former wharf which extended 400 feet from waters edge.




Huge rocks that formed part of the breakwater, seen at very low tide. Bay where logs were boomed is visible at left.




Site of underwater crib where equipment was unloaded, located just to the left of the breakwater. (Author's photos)


A second Shay locomotive, a 2-truck 50 ton Shay (builders number 3228) that had been used at Charter Siding, and in 1929 a third and final Shay were added. The last was a 3-truck 'Pacific Coast' Shay purchased new from Lima (builders number 3340). A fourth locomotive was also brought from Charter Siding, an 0-4-0 saddle tank locomotive with an interesting and eventful career to that time. It was built by Vulcan in July, 1912 (builders number 1960) and was standard gauge with 15x20 inch cylinders and 37 inch drivers. The locomotive was first sold to Foley, Welch and Stewart who were then constructing the Grand Trunk Pacific Railway west towards Fort George and eventually the west coast at Prince Rupert. It was their No. 51 and appears to have worked as far west as Prince Rupert on this construction. In 1912 the same company took over the construction of the proposed railway from Squamish to Fort George and it is believed the locomotive worked on the northern section until construction there ceased. In 1917 the locomotive, then numbered P.G.E. No. 1, was used by the Capilano Timber Company in building their line into the Capilano Valley. Afterwards it was sold to Falls Logging who were then working at Charter Siding and here it was featured in a minor wreck. On November 9th, 1920 with Engineer Dean at the controls Locomotive No. 1 with "No Air, No Sand and slippery rails, with 2 cars of logs," as the subsequent report stated "went down a grade and ran into a coal car injuring three Japanese workers." Taken over by C.P.S. it found its way to Point No Point where it was probably only used for transportation of men and equipment on the railway and not for the hauling of logs.



Typical logging scene of the period with steam skidder and loader adjacent to tracks and skeleton log cars. This photo taken at Port Renfrew.(Sooke Museum photo)



0.4-0 Vulcan locomotive No. 1 shown at Point No Point with Mr. Kissinger in the cab. This locomotive had a long and colourful history before coming to Point No Point. (photo Sooke Region Museum No. 3262)




Two sides were operated at the Point under foreman Charles Kaske and his replacement L. Kingery. The equipment consisted of two high lead units and a Diesel cold deck yarder which was one of two the company had purchased. "They," the B.C. Lumberman noted, "are the latest in logging equipment and have an advantage in being safe as far as fire is concerned. These machines, of which the cost is understood to be \$27,000 each, without lines, have proved of considerable interest to logging operators, many of whom have travelled to see them in operation. They are the only machines of this kind on the island."

At the wharf a Jill Poke or 'Stiff Leg' was located and as each car moved past this apparatus the logs were dumped into the water. The length of the wharf was long enough to accommodate nine fully loaded skeleton log cars at a time. Once the logs were dumped they were boomed in the bay before being towed to the C.P.S. mill at Victoria. Between

not be unloaded, let alone boomed, and production in the woods would have to be curtailed until weather improved.

Another uniforeseen factor that was to plague the operation, although from a distant source, was that by late 1930 the export market, Japan and China in particular on which the C.P.S. mill depended, had virtually disappeared. An oversupply of lumber in the yard and limited sales, sometimes at "prices excessively low", forced an indefinite closure of the mill. Sporadic logging continued but it was not until after the depression that the company was able to resume a steady logging operation. By this time truck logging was gaining in popularity, most of the accessed timber had been logged, and the railway at Point No Point was no longer required.

However, before this ultimate demise the company had proceeded with a plan to rectify their dump problems by



Island Logging's Shay No. 3 on the south slope of Mount Campbell in 1929. (photo D. Muralt collection)

Camps 2 and 3 log production, at least in 1929, was 11 million feet per month, of which 6 million was used in the mill and the remainder sold in the open market.

The difficulties encountered by Island Logging were extreme. As mentioned, tidal action was heavy and the dumping of logs and forming of booms was sometimes disrupted. Ivan French, a longtime resident of the area and former logger, tells of many occasions when the log booms would be broken apart by the waves. One time he remembers when the boom shack was sunk by errant logs. In severe storms large waves would break completely over the breakwater and wharf trestlework and one time the oil storage tank located at the Point was left sitting to one side, its foundation completely washed out by the waves. The first winter found many days when logs could

finding a safer location. Accordingly, in early 1930, a plan was unveiled to build a line of railway west from the Point to Jordan River where there was relative safety. The plan was not new to the company. At one time in the early part of the century, a scheme had been unfolded for a logging railway to run from the mouth of the San Juan River at Port Renfrew south along the coast to a connection with the Canadian Northern Railway, then under construction, at Milnes Landing. From there logs could be shipped over C.N. tracks to the company's mill in Victoria. This elaborate scheme was not to be realized.

The latter attempt by Island Logging saw the entire route from the Point to Jordan River surveyed and graded. It left the existing grade west of Point No Point, at a location about 200 yards east of the present tea house. It





COLQUITZ ENGINEERING LTD. 4115 Elwood Avenue Victoria BC V8Z 5J9 (778) 749-1114

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

April 10, 2023

Sandcut Properties and Developments Ltd. 11237 West Coast Road Shirley, BC V9Z 1G9

RE: 11237 WEST COAST ROAD Water System Supply Project Number: 307.001

Introduction

The purpose of this technical memorandum is to outline the surface water supply conditions for the proposed development of 11237 West Coast Road. This memorandum is based on the proposed development as outlined on the drawings 11237 West Coast Road, Sandcut Campground & Resort, Rezoning Application, Knotinabox Design Inc., November 1, 2022. These drawings outline the proposed land-use as follows:

- Dwelling units (existing house) 1
- Reception / general store / caretaker residence 1
- Tourist cabins 38
- Standard campsites (no hook-ups) 61
- RV campsites (full hook-ups) 23

Water Supply and Demand

Sandcut Properties and Developments Ltd. hold a current water licence for withdrawal of 13.6 m3/day from Rockbottom Creek. This water licence is attached to this memorandum for reference.

The estimated water demands (daily design value) for the proposed land-uses are summarized in Table 1 below. These values, with the exception of the standard campsite, are calculated in accordance with the Sewerage System Standard Practice Manual Version 3, September 2014, Health Protection Branch, Ministry of Health. The standard campsites are to be serviced by a central shower facility, tap stands and composting toilets, with estimated demands as described below based on information provided by the Ministry.

Table 1: Water System Demands

Proposed Land-use	# Units	Design Demand (L/unit/day)	Design Demand (m³/day) ⁽⁶⁾
Dwelling units (existing house)	111-	1,600(1)	1.6
Reception / general store / caretaker residence	1	490(2)	0.5
Tourist cabins	38	900(3)	34.2
Standard campsites (no hook-ups)	61	300(4)	18.3
RV campsites (full hook-ups)	23	340(5)	7.8

Notes:

- Based on 3 bedrooms Table II-8

- Based on 2 resident workers (170 L/c/day + 75 L/c/day) x 2 (P.F.) Table III-11

 Based on 2 person occupancy (225 L/c/day) x 2 (P.F.) Table III-11

 Based on 3 person per site occupancy and a peak demand of 130 L/c/day minus 30 L/c/day (for composting toilets)
- Based on average of demand (170 L/site/day) x 2 (P.F.) Table III-11 Demands exclude irrigation



11237 WEST COAST ROAD Water System Supply April 10, 2023

The total daily design demand for the proposed land-use is estimated to be 62 m³/day, which exceeds the water licence quantity of 13.6 m³/day. Working within the existing water licence quantity, we estimate the proposed land-use could include:

- Dwelling unit, Reception / general store / caretaker residence, and 12 tourist cabins, or;
- Dwelling unit, Reception / general store / caretaker residence, and 38 standard campsites, or;
- Dwelling unit, Reception / general store / caretaker residence, 23 RV campsites, and 12 standard campsites, or;.
- Dwelling unit, Reception / general store / caretaker residence, 4 tourist cabins, and 26 standard campsites.

Water Licence Changes

We understand that the following changes to the water licence are proposed to support the development:

- Revise the purpose of the current water licence from "domestic" to "commercial enterprise".
- Move the water intake location upstream by approximately 650 m upstream.
- Increase the water licence withdrawal quantity to approximately 62 m³/day.

In discussions with the Ministry of Forests (MoF), we understand that a change in purpose and change in the water intake location can be handled as a water licence amendment. In reviewing the contours and watercourse layers on the CRD Regional Map (https://maps.crd.bc.ca) we estimate that the reduction in catchment area by moving the intake upstream is approximately 5%. To apply for a water licence amendment, an application will have to be submitted through FrountCounterBC (https://portal.nrs.gov.bc.ca/web/client/home). The acceptance of the amendment application is decided upon by a Water Manager from the Province of British Columbia. We do not anticipate there will be issues with the proposed amendments.

The water licence only limits the daily withdrawal. If on-site storage is provided, 13.6 m³/day could be withdrawn even when it is not needed. For example, if only 4 cabins and 15 standard campsites are used during weekdays (total demand of 10.2 m³/day - includes dwelling unit, reception / general store / caretaker residence), approximately 3.6 m³/day could be stored daily (total of 18 m² over five days) for use on the weekend. This would allow for approximately 8 cabins and 44 standard campsites to be occupied during two-day weekends.

To increase the water licence withdrawal quantity, a new water licence application will be required. A key consideration is the availability of flow for proper functioning of the aquatic ecosystem, as described in the provincial environmental flow needs (EFN) policy (https://www2.gov.bc.ca/assets/gov/environment/air-land-water/water-rights/efn_policy_jan-2022_signed.pdf). This policy defines the sensitivity of the stream based on a number of factors including the proposed withdrawal as a percentage of the long-term mean annual discharge (MAD) and mean monthly discharge (MMD). A search the Water Survey of Canada (WSC) database did not show any flow data (active of historical) for Rockbottom Creek or Sandcut Creek. Therefore, it is likely that a minimum one-year flow monitoring program will be required to determine the MAD and MMD values for Rockbottom Creek to use in the EFN calculations.

Additionally, the physical works of constructing the new intake would be considered "changes in and about a stream" as defined by the Water Sustainability Act (WSA). Therefore, a WSA Section 11 application will be required. This application is to be made once the intake works, have been designed, and typically take a minimum of 140 days for approval. Applications may be referred to other provincial and federal regulatory agencies for comment.



11237 WEST COAST ROAD Water System Supply April 10, 2023

Summary

In summary, the proposed campground and resort development will have an estimated peak water demand of 62 m³/day. This exceeds the current water licence withdrawal rate of 13.6 m³/day. Working within the existing water licence quantity, we estimate that the site could be partially developed, as described above. We anticipate that a change to the current water licence purpose and intake location can be done as a water licence amendment.

Working within the existing water licence withdrawal rate, on-site water storage could be beneficial to take advantage of partial occupancy during weekdays, allowing for greater occupancy during weekends.

The full development exceeds the current water licence withdrawal rate and therefore a new water licence will be required. We anticipate that one year of flow monitoring data of Rockbottom Creek will be required to support the new water licence application.

The acceptance of the water licence amendment or new water licence will be decided upon by the Provincial Water Manager. We therefore recommend that the MoF be consulted with prior to going ahead with either option (water licence amendment or new application), including providing them with copies of this memorandum and the environmental assessment report.

Additionally, a Water Sustainability Act Section 11 application will be required for the construction of the new intake.

Prepared By

Regards,

COLQUITZ ENGINEERING LTD. (Permit to Practice 1002144)

. Transmittiffyraut organizatfyragana Difffyd Saglefyfaet dd. - gannaut Fransia Wystyn II FAMfyr Macandeuf Water Polini

Jeff Howard, P.Eng. Water Resources Engineer

JH/jh



11237 WEST COAST ROAD Water System Supply April 10, 2023

Statement of Limitations

This document has been prepared by Colquitz Engineering Ltd. for the exclusive use and benefit of the client. No other party is entitled to rely on any of the conclusions, data, opinions, or any other information contained in this document.

This document represents Colquitz Engineering's best professional judgement based on the information available at the time of its completion and as appropriate for the project scope of work. Services performed in developing the content of this document have been conducted in a manner consistent with that level and skill ordinarily exercised by members of the engineering profession currently practising under similar conditions. No warranty, express or implied, is made.

Copyright Notice

These materials (text, tables, figures and drawings included herein) are copyright of Colquitz Engineering Ltd. The client is permitted to reproduce the materials for archiving and for distribution to third parties only as required to conduct business specifically relating to this project. Any other use of these materials without the written permission of Colquitz Engineering is prohibited.

Revision History

Revision #	Date	Status	Revisions	Author
0	Mar 8, 2023	DRAFT	In progress, submitted for client information	J. Howard
1	Mar 20, 2023	DRAFT	Submitted for client review	J. Howard
2	Apr 10, 2023	FINAL	Submitted for client acceptance	J. Howard



Province of British Columbia Water Sustainability Act

CONDITIONAL WATER LICENCE


The owner of the land to which this licence is appurtenant is hereby authorized to divert and use water as follows:

- a) The stream on which the rights are granted is Rockbottom Creek.
- b) The point of diversion is located as shown on the attached plan.
- c) The date from which this licence shall have precedence is September 11, 1956.
- d) The purpose for which this licence is issued is domestic.
- The maximum quantity of water which may be diverted for domestic purpose is 13.6 cubic metres per day.
- f) The period of the year during which the water may be used is the whole year.
- g) The land upon which the water is to be used and to which this licence is appurtenant is Lot A, Section 74, Renfrew District, Plan VIP71883.
- h) The authorized works are pipe, tank, pump and intake which shall be located approximately as shown on the attached plan.
- The construction of the said works has been completed and the water is being beneficially used. The licensee shall continue to make regular beneficial use of the water in a manner authorized herein.
- j) This licence authorizes the use of water for domestic purpose in a maximum of 6 dwellings, 3 of which are located approximately as shown on the attached plan.
- k) This licence is issued in substitution of Conditional Water Licence C023427.

David Robinson Assistant Water Manager

File No. 0213380 Date Issued: January 07, 2021 Licence No.: 502921





W	ATER DISTRICT:	Victoria		
PF	RECINCT:	Victoria	Signature:	
LA	AND DISTRICT:	Renfrew	Date:	
LE	GEND:		C.L. 502921	
Sc	ale:	1: 4,000	File 0213380	
Po	int of Diversion:	•		
Ma	ap Number:	92C.050.2.2	This licence supersedes Conditional Water Licence C023427	
Pip	oe:		This licence supersedes Conditional Water Licence Cozs	

The boundaries of the land to which this license is appurtenant are shown thus:

Appendix E: Proposed Bylaw No. 4518

CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT BYLAW NO. 4518

A BYLAW TO AMEND BYLAW NO. 2040, THE "JUAN DE FUCA LAND USE BYLAW, 1992"

The Capital Regional District Board, in open meeting assembled, enacts as follows:

1. Bylaw No. 2040 being the "Juan de Fuca Land Use Bylaw, 1992" is hereby amended as follows:

A. SCHEDULE A, PART 2 - ZONING DISTRICTS

(a) By deleting the section 23.0 Rural Commercial Recreation (Campgound) Zone – CR-2 and replacing it with the new 23.0 Rural Commercial Recreation (Campgound) Zone – CR-2 as follows:

23.0 RURAL COMMERCIAL RECREATION (CAMPGOUND) ZONE - CR-2

23.01 Permitted Uses

In addition to the uses permitted by Section 4.15 of Part 1 of this Bylaw, the following uses and no others shall be permitted in the Rural Commercial Recreation (Campground) CR-2 Zone:

- (a) Camp ground;
- (b) Tourist Cabin;
- (c) One-family dwelling;

23.02 Permitted Accessory Uses

In addition to the uses permitted by Section 23.01 of Part 2 of this Bylaw, the following Accessory Uses in conjunction with a permitted Principal Use and no others shall be permitted in the Rural Commercial Recreation (Campground) CR-2 Zone:

- (d) Convenience store accessory to a campground use;
- (e) Outdoor recreation facilities pursuant to Part 2, Subsection 23.09
- (f) Staff accommodation pursuant to Part 2, Subsection 23.09.
- (g) Caretaker dwelling unit.

23.03 <u>Minimum Lot Size for Subdivision</u> <u>Purposes</u>

(a) The minimum lot size for subdivision purposes is no less than 4 ha.

23.04 Density

- (a) One one-family dwelling per parcel;
- (b) 23 tourist cabins per parcel or 0.3 tourist cabins per hectare; whichever is less;
- (c) 64 camping spaces per parcel or 0.9 camping spaces per hectare; whichever is less;
- (d) One convenience store per parcel;
- (a) One Caretaker dwelling unit per parcel.

CRD Bylaw No. 4518 2

23.05 Height Maximum height shall be 11m

for the dwelling unit and 6m for all other principal buildings,

structures and uses.

23.06 Lot Coverage shall be

10 percent.

23.07 <u>Setback Requirements</u> (a) All camping spaces,

buildings, and structures must be setback a minimum of 9m from every parcel line; and 15m from the boundary of land designated as park.

23.08 Separation Space (a) All camping spaces and

tourist cabins must be individual or freestanding; separated by a minimum of 9m measured from the outside surface of the nearest exterior wall of a building or structure; and the outermost edge of an area cleared of trees and other vegetation for a camping space or other

outdoor uses.

23.09 Definitions

(a) For the purpose of the RURAL COMMERCIAL RECREATION (CAMPGROUND) ZONE - CR-2, the following definitions apply:

Staff Accommodation means the accessory use of tourist cabins, for the accommodation of employees.

Outdoor Recreation Facility means a recreation infrastructure activity undertaken outdoors as a portion of, or related to, a private commercial enterprise and includes parks, trails, open space, playing fields, and playgrounds;


D. SCHEDULE B, ZONING MAPS

- a. By deleting LOT A SECTION 74 RENFREW DISTRICT PLAN VIP71883 from the Forestry zone - AF, and adding to the Rural Commercial Recreation (Campground Zone - CR-2, as shown in Plan No. 1.
- b. By deleting THAT PART OF SECTION 74, RENFREW DISTRICT LYING TO THE NORTH OF THE NORTHERLY BOUNDARY OF PLAN 109RW from the Forestry zone - AF, and adding to the Rural Commercial Recreation (Campground Zone – CR-2, as shown in Plan No. 1.

CRD Bylaw No. 4518

3

Plan No. 1 of Bylaw No. 4518, an amendment to Bylaw No. 2040



2. This Bylaw may be cited as "Juan de Fuca Land Use Bylaw, 1992, Amendment Bylaw No. 158, 2022"

READ A FIRST TIME THIS	day of	2024
READ A SECOND TIME THIS	day of	2024
READ A THIRD TIME THIS	day of	2024
ADOPTED THIS	day of	2024

CHAIR CORPORATE OFFICER

Appendix F: Referral Comments

From: Design, SVI

Subject: FW: Zoning Amendment Application RZ000280 - CRD Referral (Campground - 11237 West Coast Road/Shirley)

Tuesday, February 14, 2023 1:53:02 PM Date:

Attachments: image001.png

CRD IT SECURITY WARNING: This Email is from an EXTERNAL source. Ensure you trust this sender before clicking on any links or attachments.

Hello Wendy,

I can see no issues with this referral. A SRW will be required for servicing the site.

Thanks.

Mike Hoekstra Design Technician Work Leader

BC Hydro 4400 West Saanich Rd Victoria, BC V8Z 3E9

250.727.5172 250.888.8486

michael.hoekstra@bchydro.com design.svi@bchydro.com

Initiate & Manage Connection Requests Online with MyHydro

From: Mann, Elaine < Elaine. Mann@bchydro.com >

Sent: 2023, January 20 3:27 PM

To: Design, SVI < design.svi@bchydro.com> Cc: Reidy, Drew < Drew.Reidy@bchydro.com>


Subject: FW: Zoning Amendment Application RZ000280 - CRD Referral (Campground - 11237 West

Coast Road/Shirley)

Hello

Please see attach referral for rezoning of 2 properties located at 11237 West Coast Road from Forestry to Rural Commercial Recreation (Campground). The landowner proposes to develop 39 cabins; 84 campsites; staff accommodation; office and reception space combined with a convenience store and a caretaker dwelling unit; assembly uses; and accessory and utility buildings.

Please contact Wendy Miller, wmiller@crd.bc.ca if you have any concerns.



Elaine Mann | Property Coordinator, Property Rights Services

BC Hydro

Vancouver Island 400 Madsen Road | Nanaimo, BC V9R 5M3

P 250-755-7169

E elaine.mann@bchydro.com

bchydro.com

Smart about power in all we do.

From: Wendy Miller < wmiller@crd.bc.ca>

Sent: 2023, January 20 11:35 AM

To: Mann, Elaine < Elaine. Mann@bchydro.com>

Subject: [External] Zoning Amendment Application RZ000280 - CRD Referral (Campground - 11237

West Coast Road/Shirley)

Security Risk Assessment: HIGH

The email is from <wmiller@crd.bc.ca> with a friendly name of Wendy Miller <wmiller@crd.bc.ca>

DO NOT click on links or open attachments unless you trust the sender and are expecting the link or attachment.

 From:
 Lauren Mattiussi

 To:
 Wendy Miller

 Cc:
 Planning; Matthew Pawlow

Subject: RE: Zoning Amendment Application RZ000280 - CRD Referral (Campground - 11237 West Coast Road/Shirley)

Date: Friday, January 20, 2023 2:20:39 PM

CRD IT SECURITY WARNING: This Email is from an EXTERNAL source. Ensure you trust this sender before clicking on any links or attachments.

Good Afternoon Wendy,

District of Sooke has no concerns with this zoning amendment.

Best,

Lauren

From: Partridge, Erin FOR:E)

o: Wendy Miller

Subject: RE: Zoning Amendment Application RZ000280 - CRD Referral (Campground - 11237 West Coast Road/Shirley)

Date: Tuesday, March 21, 2023 10:10:18 AM Attachments: image001.png

CRD IT SECURITY WARNING: This Email is from an EXTERNAL source. Ensure you trust this sender before clicking on any links or attachments.

Good morning Wendy,

Thank you for your archaeological information request regarding 11237 West Coast Road, Shirley BC, PID 024937207, LOT A SECTION 74 RENFREW DISTRICT PLAN VIP71883. Please review the screenshot of the property below (outlined in yellow) and notify me immediately if it does not represent the property listed in your information request.

Results of Provincial Archaeological Inventory Search

According to Provincial records, there are no known archaeological sites recorded on the subject property.

However, given the property's waterfront location, there is high potential for previously unidentified archaeological sites to exist on the property.

Archaeology Branch Advice

If land-altering activities (e.g., home renovations, property redevelopment, landscaping, service installation) are planned on the subject property, a Provincial heritage permit is not required prior to commencement of those activities.

However, a Provincial heritage permit will be required if archaeological materials are exposed and/or impacted during land-altering activities. Unpermitted damage or alteration of a protected archaeological site is a contravention of the *Heritage Conservation Act* and requires that land-altering activities be halted until the contravention has been investigated and permit requirements have been established. This can result in significant project delays.

Therefore, the Archaeology Branch strongly recommends engaging an eligible consulting archaeologist prior to any land-altering activities. The archaeologist will review the proposed activities, verify archaeological records, and possibly conduct a walk-over and/or an archaeological impact assessment (AIA) of the project area to determine whether the proposed activities are likely to damage or alter any previously unidentified archaeological sites.

Please notify all individuals involved in land-altering activities (e.g., owners, developers, equipment operators) that if archaeological material is encountered during development, they **must stop all activities immediately** and contact the Archaeology Branch for direction at 250-953-3334.

If there are no plans for land-altering activities on the property, no action needs to be taken at this time.

Rationale and Supplemental Information

- There is high potential for previously unidentified archaeological deposits to exist on the property.
- Archaeological sites are protected under the Heritage Conservation Act and must not be damaged or altered without a Provincial heritage permit issued by the Archaeology Branch. This protection applies even when archaeological sites are previously unidentified or disturbed.

- If a permit is required, be advised that the permit application and issuance process takes approximately 15 to 35 weeks; the permit application process includes referral to First Nations and subsequent engagement.
- The Archaeology Branch must consider numerous factors (e.g., proposed activities and potential impacts
 to the archaeological site[s]) when determining whether to issue a permit and under what terms and
 conditions.
- The Archaeology Branch has the authority to require a person to obtain an archaeological impact assessment, at the person's expense, in certain circumstances, as set out in the Heritage Conservation Act
- Occupying an existing dwelling or building without any land alteration does not require a Provincial heritage permit.

How to Find an Eligible Consulting Archaeologist

An eligible consulting archaeologist is one who can hold a Provincial heritage permit to conduct archaeological studies. To verify an archaeologist's eligibility, ask an archaeologist if he or she can hold a permit in your area, or contact the Archaeology Branch (250-953-3334) to verify an archaeologist's eligibility. Consulting archaeologists are listed on the BC Association of Professional Archaeologists website (www.bcapa.ca) and in local directories. Please note, the Archaeology Branch cannot provide specific recommendations for consultants or cost estimates for archaeological assessments. Please contact an eligible consulting archaeologist to obtain a quote.

Questions?

For questions about the archaeological permitting and assessment process, please contact the Archaeology Branch at 250-953-3334 or archaeology@gov.bc.ca.

For more general information, visit the Archaeology Branch website at www.gov.bc.ca/archaeology.

Best wishes,

Erin



Please note that subject lot boundaries (yellow) indicated on the enclosed screenshot are based on information obtained by the Archaeology Branch on the date of this communication and may be subject to error or change. Archaeological site boundaries may not be identical to actual site extent.

Erin Partridge (They/Them/She/Her) Archaeological Information Administrator Archaeology Branch | Ministry of Forests Email: <u>Erin.Partridge@ov.bc.ca</u> 441 Columbia Street, Kamloops BC, VZC 6K4

RESPONSE SUMMARY – REZONING APPLICATION RZ000280

X Interest Affected by Proposal for Re	easons Outlined Below
Interest Unaffected by Proposal	
Comments:	
Proposed stream crossings in this plan wi	Il require application(s) to be submitted through
Front Counter BC for Water Sustainability	y Act Section 11 "changes in and about a stream
For review and conditional authorization,	if granted, by a South Island Natural Resource
District water officer.	
	,
	Resource Manager
Signed	Title
	Ministry of Forests, South Island District
Date	Agency

Response from the Ministry of Water, Land and Resource Stewardship, Land Use Policy, Planning and Ecosystem Division, West Coast Region – February 17, 2023

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this referral for rezoning application RZ000280 encompassing:

- Lot A Section 74 Renfrew District Plan VIP71883 11237 West Coast Road; PID: 024-937-207;
- That Part of Section 74, Renfrew District Lying to the North of the Northerly Boundary of Plan 109RW; PID: 009-590-412

The following legislation, policy and guidance documents were considered in this review:

- BC Riparian Areas Protection Regulation (RAPR) and the associated <u>Technical Assessment</u> Manual
- BC Water Sustainability Act and associated User Guide for Changes In and About a Stream
- BC Wildlife Act
- Develop with Care 2014: Environmental Guidelines for Urban and Rural Land Development in British Columbia
- Guidelines for Amphibian and Reptile Conservation during Urban and Rural Land Development in British Columbia (2014)
- Canada's Species at Risk Act
- Canada's Migratory Bird Convention Act
- Canada's Fisheries Act
- Guidelines to avoid harm to migratory birds Canada.ca

A RAPR assessment report for the project was submitted to the provincial Riparian Areas Regulation Notification System dated May 20, 2022 and is currently in que for review by the Provincial RAPR team. The information provided in this referral document does not constitute a review of the RAPR assessment. Instead, a notification is sent to the local government when the RAPR assessment has been reviewed. As per RAPR, local governments cannot issue a development permit until notification has been received that the assessment was approved.

We recommend adhering to the environmental protection measures described in the environmental assessment as well as any additional measures from the approved RAPR assessment. An Environmental Monitor should be retained for construction and should be a Registered Professional Biologist (RPBio) in good standing with the College of Applied Biology. The environmental monitor can advise implementation of the environmental protection measures to prevent contravening applicable legislation and policy described above.

To mitigate the loss of wildlife habitat associated with construction of the project we recommend creating habitats for wildlife by replanting native shrubs and trees as soon as possible following construction and installing nest boxes for birds and bats in appropriate locations as advised by the environmental monitor.

To mitigate potential human-wildlife conflict during operation of the project we recommend employing best practices to avoid habituating wildlife. Under the BC *Wildlife Act* it is an offence to improperly manage attractants, such as garbage, leaving them accessible to dangerous wildlife (coyotes, wolves,

cougars, and bears). Further information on how to avoid human-wildlife conflicts can be found here: https://www2.gov.bc.ca/assets/gov/environment/natural-resource-stewardship/best-management-practices/develop-with-care/dwc-section-3.pdf

If you require further information, please do not hesitate to contact us.

RESPONSE SUMMARY – REZONING APPLICATION RZ000280

✓ Interest Affected by Proposal for	Reasons Outlined Below	
Interest Unaffected by Proposal		
Comments: Thank you for reaching out for comment.		
Any construction of a sewage system m	nust be done in accordance with the BC Sewerage	
System Regulation. This includes subm	itting filings to Island Health by an Authorized Person.	
to a single family dwelling, is considered can be done on a drinking water system,	tipal water source then any connections, other than to be a drinking water system. Before any construction a construction permit or construction permit waiver ealth is required to operate a drinking water system.	
If there is any food production or food sal	les taking place then approval and/or a permit will overned under the Food Premises Regulation.	
Signed	Environmental Health Officer	
Gigned	Tide	
February 17, 2023 Date	Island Health	
Date	Agency	

RESPONSE SUMMARY – REZONING APPLICATION RZ000280

Interest Affected by I	Proposal for Reasons Outlined Below				
X Interest Unaffected by Proposal					
Comments:					
The Ministry of Transportation	and Infrastructure (MoTI) has received and reviewed your				
referral to rezone the subject	properties from the Forestry (AF) zone to the Rural				
Commercial Recreation (Cam	pground) (CR-2) zone. The proposal does not fall within				
Section 52 of the Transportati	on Act and will not require formal Ministry approval and				
signature. The Ministry has no	o objections to the proposed rezoning, however the applicant				
will be required to apply for co	ommercial access to West Coast Road. Applications can be				
submitted online here: https:// engagement-permits/permits	www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content/transportation/funding-				
JmD	Senior Development Officer				
Signed	Title				
February 2, 2023	Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructur				
Date	Agency				





From: Kristine Pearson < referrals@pacheedaht.ca>

Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2023 8:54 PM

To: Darren Lucas < DLucas@crd.bc.ca >; lain Lawrence < ilawrence@crd.bc.ca >

Subject: PFN Response on RZ000280

CRD IT SECURITY WARNING: This Email is from an EXTERNAL source. Ensure you trust this sender before clicking on any links or attachments.

Hello Darren and Iain,

PFN for taking the time to meet on this referral and to set up the meeting with the applicant. We would like to offer the following considerations moving forward:

- Pacheedaht holds exclusive rights and title in this area, and it would be critical to be fully
 engaged in this type of land use being proposed.
- The applicant has included the commercial aspect of assembly, and given our experience in Port Renfrew, this has been problematic. There is indication that the applicant would be working with Pacheedaht, but assembly as a use would need to be better understood.
- The demand for camping opportunities in Jordan River area is significant and fully recognized by PFN. The Nation is currently seeking to advance these opportunities, and play a significant role in ecotourism and commercial development in the area.
- There is also a critical need for long term residences as preferred options to build the
 community in Jordan River and build the base population to allocate the services that have
 been lost due to the BC Hydro buy out. This application does not contemplate supporting this
 need
- The water course that runs through the property was utilized and managed by PFN through
 out time, and was relied on for several species of fish. Therefore there are concerns about
 impacts to the creek, and also concerns about fire safety with limited resources.
- It is important to understand the vision as related to the CRD park lands located in proximity and how this influx of visitation would be managed.

Again, thank you for yoru patience and happy to work through any of these points as the application moves forward.

Kristine

This message is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above, and may contain information that is privileged, confidential or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient or their employee or agent responsible for receiving the message on their behalf your receipt of this message is in error and not meant to waive privilege in this message. Please notify us immediately, and delete the message and any attachments without reading the



2154 Lazzar Road, Sooke B.C., V9Z 1G1 Ph.: 250-642-3957 Fax: 250-642-7808

8 May 2023

Re: 11237 West Coast Road Zoning Amendment Application

File: RZ000280

Attention: Darren Lucas

Dear: Darren

Thank you for submitting information about the zoning amendment application to T'Sou-ke Nation. We have completed a desktop review of the materials provided and conducted two field visits, the first between forestry and environment, lands, and guardian staff and CRD, and a second field visit with T'Sou-ke Nation leadership, lands, and the property owner. The letter provides information from each stage of our review with several conclusions.

T'Sou-ke Nation does not have confidence in this proposal. There are several requirements the owner should be required to undertake.

Desktop Review

T'Sou-ke Nation is also concerned about garbage accumulations and how garbage will be minimized and controlled at the campsite and beach. Further information is required to determine how garbage will be controlled.

The streams are not fish-bearing according to Habitat Wizard. However, this does mean they do not contain fish. Streams should be assessed, stream slopes, the presence/absence of barriers to fish recorded, and then mitigations applied if streams are determined to be fish bearing. The RAPR report was not available during the review; riparian areas should be preserved in accordance with the *Riparian Areas Regulation* and the Sensitive Ecosystem DPA.

Whether or not streams contain fish, they lead to the ocean or to fish-bearing creeks. Therefore, stream crossings should be built to provincial standards to mitigate the transportation of sediment into the streams within the campsite. There is little evidence about during peak flows with high water volumes and how impacts will be mitigated. The owner needs to consult a forest hydrologist to determine how their plans may impact streams and the organisms within them.

The campground and other areas should be monitored for species at risk and wildlife. In particular bat boxes could be located throughout the campground to provide additional roosting spots. Also, if bear dens are found on site they should be protected.

The maps provided look like the owners intend to apply for or already have a water use permit in place. This needs to be acquired prior to drawing water from the streams in the property.

Field Visit #1:

During the field visit we were told that the owner will preserve as much of the forest as possible and will space out the trees rather than remove all the trees. T'Sou-ke Nation is not in favour of land area clearing as it will likely have an impact on overland waterflow and would transport sediment into streams. It would also leave the ground open to encroachment of invasive plants.

T'Sou-ke Nation is concerned about access to a portion of the beach that may contain shell midden. These areas should be fenced or otherwise protected to prevent impacts to the midden occurring from residents of the campsite.

If not already done, a preliminary field reconnaissance for archaeology should be completed. Not all archaeological resources have been determined nor recorded in provincial datasets. The preliminary field reconnaissance can be done to determine the presence/absence of archaeological values on site.

Field Visit #2

Our biggest concern is the overall strategy – T'Sou-ke Nation needs to see long term plans. The owner should have a management plan for the site and a business plan to forecast the number of required users.

T'Sou-ke Nation representatives questioned whether the owners would consider installing pit toilets; the owner had stated he wants to have steel drums inserted into the ground for the facilities and black water management. The owner said people can urinate on rocks on the ground. These proposed effluent management measures are illegal. The owner should be required to construct facilities in accordance with the provincial *Environmental Management Act*. Furthermore, the facilities are required to be designed and installed by a Registered Onsite Wastewater Practitioner.

T'Sou-ke Nation identified further environmental concerns during the field visit. The trees need more spacing, there are known elk herds near the property.

Conclusion

T'Sou-ke Nation remains concerned about this application and does not approve the transfer of the land from the forestry zone to rural commercial recreation. There remain too many issues:

- Garbage control: a plan needs to be written to demonstrate how garbage will be controlled.
- The owner needs to provide proof of water permit to extract water from the streams. T'Sou-ke Nation needs separate consultation on water withdrawals

- A preliminary field reconnaissance needs to be conducted to determine the presence of unrecorded archaeological values on the site: https://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content/industry/natural-resource-use/archaeology/assessments-studies/preliminary-reconnaissance
- If the preliminary field reconnaissance recommends an archaeological impact assessment, this must be undertaken. T'Sou-ke Nation can recommend archaeologists if needed.
- Fisheries and water management: a hydrologist needs to be consulted on the plan.
- Professionally designed toilet facilities need to be installed and the consequences of not doing so made clear to the owner.
- The trees need to be thinned to allow elk to use the site, and a plan created to mitigate impacts from human-wildlife interactions.
- A long-term plan for the site is required. T'Sou-ke Nation needs to know future plans for expansion, how the site will be managed, and the number of people expected (in terms of severity of impacts).

Please contact our office if you have any questions or concerns: landsmanager@tsoukenation.com or 250-634-4050.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sam Coggins A/Lands Manager, T'Sou-ke Nation

Cc:

Michelle Thut; Administrator, T'Sou-ke Nation

Larry Underwood, Forestry and Environment, T'Sou-ke Nation

From: Mike Taylor
To: Wendy Miller

Subject: RE: Zoning Amendment Application RZ000280 - Referral (Campground - 11237 West Coast Road/Shirley)

Date: Wednesday, February 22, 2023 9:01:27 PM

Hello, Wendy. I am sorry this is late. I spoke with lain today and he advised that a response could still be provided. Comments on behalf of the Building Inspection Dept. are as follows.

-Building Permits will be required for each of the proposed buildings, demonstrating complete compliance with the BC Building Code.

-Building Permit information must include a complete review of spatial separation to demonstrate that each building is placed, as required by the Code, to prevent fire spread from one building to the next

Please contact me if additional information is required.

Mike

Michael Taylor

Manager and Chief Building Inspector Capital Regional District T: 250.360.3291

Email: mtaylor@crd.bc.ca

From: Mark Groulx

Subject: RE: Zoning Amendment Application RZ000280 - Referral (Campground - 11237 West Coast Road/Shirley)

Date: Tuesday, February 14, 2023 1:30:57 PM

Hi Wendy,

Negative, I did some checking on GIS, no comments.

thanx

Mark Groulx | Chief Bylaw Officer Bylaw and Animal Care Services | Capital Regional District 212-2780 Veterans Memorial Parkway, Victoria BC V9B 3S6 T: 250.474.3351 | F: 250.391.9727

mgroulx@crd.bc.ca

 From:
 Wendy Miller

 To:
 Wendy Miller

Subject: FW: Zoning Amendment Application RZ000280 - Referral (Campground - 11237 West Coast Road/Shirley)

Date: Thursday, February 16, 2023 2:19:23 PM

From: Shauna Huculak <SHuculak@crd.bc.ca>
Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2023 8:48 AM
To: Wendy Miller <wmiller@crd.bc.ca>

Cc: Sandra Allen <SAllen@crd.bc.ca>; Caitlyn Vernon <CVernon@crd.bc.ca>

Subject: RE: Zoning Amendment Application RZ000280 - Referral (Campground - 11237 West Coast

Road/Shirley)

Hi Wendy,

A review of the Provincial Heritage Register (16-Feb-2023) indicates that there are no registered Heritage Conservation Act (HCA) protected archaeological site within the Zoning Amendment Application RZ000280 - Referral (Campground - 11237 West Coast Road/Shirley) footprint, the application area is characterized by numerous hydrological and topographic features commonly associated with archaeological sites in the general area (e.g., locations near streams, shorelines). It is recommended that an archaeological assessment be undertaken to address potential impacts to as yet identified archaeological sites that may be present in the development area prior to ground disturbance. The applicant is reminded that that all archaeological sites, whether on Provincial Crown or private land (including land under water) that are known or suspected to predate AD 1846, are automatically protected under the HCA (S.13). Certain sites, including human burials and rock art sites with heritage value, are automatically protected regardless of their age. The HCA does not distinguish between those archaeological sites which are "intact," (i.e., those sites which are in a pristine, or undisturbed state) and those which are "disturbed" (i.e., those sites which have been subject to alteration, permitted or otherwise). All archaeological sites, regardless of condition, are protected by the HCA, as described above. HCA-protected archaeological sites or objects cannot be disturbed or altered without a permit issued by the Archaeology Branch (Ministry of Forests).

If you have any questions pls let me know.

shauna



TO: Darren Lucas, Planner, Juan de Fuca Local Area Services

FROM: Jonathan Reimer, Manager, Electoral Area Fire and Emergency Programs

DATE: February 10, 2023

SUBJECT: Zoning Amendment Application RZ000280 - Referral (Campground - 11237 West

Coast Road/Shirley)

Thank you for your referral requesting Protective Services' comment on hazards information for the above proposal. Recommendations were drafted in collaboration with the Juan de Fuca Emergency Program and Shirley Volunteer Fire Department. These are intended to assist the Land Use Planning Committee while considering the rezoning proposal.

. Is this property within a Fire Protection Area?

Yes, this property is located within the Shirley Fire Protection Area. Note that the property is 9kms from the Fire Hall, which is considered 'unprotected' by Fire Underwriters Survey standards and may impact the developer's ability to procure fire insurance.

Are structural fire protection safety measures recommended?

Yes, protective measures should be addressed during the development or building permit process. CRD Protective Services recommends that the applicant, when applying for a development or building permit, be required to provide a report by a Qualified Professional that confirms that any proposed development provides (1) satisfactory access to emergency vehicles, and (2) meets National Fire Protections Association Standards 1142: Water Supplies for Suburban and Rural Fire Fighting, or an equivalent protection level.

. What is the wildfire hazard?

The proponent has proactively provided a professional assessment listing wildfire hazard as High.

Are wildfire safety measures recommended?

Yes. Development applications should include a report from a registered professional addressing the management of ignition sources, the storage of flammables and combustibles, road access, on-site wildfire mitigation capabilities (equipment and trained staff) intended to provide early intervention for accidental fires, including but not limited to, runaway campfires.

. What is the tsunami hazard?

Some lower elevation areas of the property **are within** the Tsunami Hazard zone. The tsunami risk is from local source tsunamis, which will be preceded by strong shaking. There is little to no risk from distant tsunamis. A map of the tsunami hazard zone can be found at http://maps.prepareyourself.ca

PS Development Hazard Memo

Page 2

Are tsunami safety measures recommended?

Yes, this development should follow best practices on tsunami safety, including distributing information on tsunami risk and identifying safe assembly areas outside of the hazard zone.

The Land Use Planning committee may consider restricting the types of improvements that can be built within the tsunami hazard zone. In considering development approvals in areas subject to tsunamis, the Province of BC recommends: "building conditions should protect improvements from damage from a tsunami of [...] a possible Cascadia Subduction Zone earthquake" (Flood Hazard Area Land Use Management Guidelines 3.5.6)

. What other hazards should be considered?

Local hazards identified by the Juan de Fuca Emergency Program include severe wind and rainstorms, earthquakes and liquification, flooding, extreme heat, and land subsidence.

What other hazard mitigation measures are recommended?

The Emergency Program recommends that the developer prepare an emergency plan appropriate to the hazard exposure and land use and make that plan available for review upon request.

Respectfully,

Jonathan Reimer

Manager, Electoral Area Emergency Programs Protective Services Capital Regional District CRD Regional Parks - Referral Response

Started March 3, 2023/Finalized May 10, 2023

SUBJECT: Zoning Bylaw Amendment Application for Two Properties Located at 11237 West Coast Road – described as:

- Lot A Section 74 Renfrew District Plan VIP71883 11237 West Coast Road; PID: 024-937-207; and
- That Part of Section 74, Renfrew District Lying to the North of the Northerly Boundary of Plan 109RW; PID: 009-590-412

ISSUE SUMMARY

The landowner has applied to rezone the subject properties from the Forestry (AF) zone to the Rural Commercial Recreation (Campground) (CR-2) zone with amendments.


Comments:

- The CRD Regional Parks and Trails Strategic Plan 2022-2032 supports the provision of outdoor recreation opportunities that connects us to each other and immerses us in nature, as well leadership in conservation and stewardship that restore[s] and enhance[s] the region's cultural heritage, biodiversity, and rare and unique ecosystems.
- The proposed development is adjacent to Sandcut Beach, which is at the southeast end of Jordan River Regional Park and a popular destination within the area (avg. 33,400 visitors over the past five years).
- No management plan has been prepared for Jordan River Regional Park as it is a relatively new
 park (acquired in 2010), subsequently, existing site conditions and future management direction
 has yet to be fully understood/established.
- Sandcut Creek is outside of the Regional Park and within the proposed development lands, but
 the creek forms a waterfall which cascades over eroded sandstone cliffs and onto Sandcut
 Beach, which is a main attraction for visitors to the park.
- Regional Parks is interested in maintaining an adequate buffer between Jordan River Regional Park and the proposed development to ensure park values are preserved.
- The development of multiple cabins above a portion of Sandcut Creek and Sandcut Beach that
 are visible from the Sandcut Beach may negatively impact the experience of visitors to the park
 by degrading the natural esthetic value of the area. We are interested in knowing more about
 the site layout and how the developer intends to mitigate any visual impacts from the cabins on
 the experience of viewing the waterfall at Sandcut Beach.
- No information has been provided on proposed tree retention/removal adjacent to the park.
 We would like to see an expanded 50-meter vegetated buffer along the applicant's property and CRD lands north and south of West Coast Road.
- We recommend ensuring there are adequate setbacks from the proposed development, and that natural trees and vegetation are preserved around the park boundary, Sandcut Creek, and Sandcut Beach to preserve the natural character of the area.
- Regional Parks supports the implementation of the Recommended Environmental Protection Measures within the Environmental Assessment report prepared by Corvidae consulting, dated May 2022.

CRD Regional Parks - Referral Response

Started March 3, 2023/Finalized May 10, 2023

- Regional Parks is interested in pursuing a coordinated approach to managing any emerging traffic, parking, cultural, environmental and/or visitor use impacts that could result from this development. However, in principle, Regional Parks supports the zoning amendment application for its economic benefit to tourism and recreation in the Shirley-Jordan River area.
- In principle, Regional Parks supports the development of pedestrian and bike friendly trails to
 improve connectivity between Jordan River Regional Park and lands beyond the park boundary.
 Such trails should support the Regional Park's strategic priorities around active transportation,
 accessibility and climate change. Regional Parks would primarily seek to coordinate trail
 connectivity projects with the JdFEA, and through a park management planning process.
- Regional Parks is interested in a possible acquisition of the small sections of PID 009-590-412
 and PID 024-937-207 that are situated west of Sandcut Creek, east of Jordan River Regional
 Park, and south of West Coast Road, to add to Jordan River Regional Park (see map below),
 should such a possibility arise during or after the rezoning process.



15 February 2023

Re: ZONING AMENDMENT APPLICATION RZ000280 - 11237 WEST COAST ROAD

Page 1



TO: Darren Lucas, Planner, Juan de Fuca Local Area Services

FROM: Emily Sinclair, Senior Manager, Regional and Strategic Planning

DATE: February 15, 2023

SUBJECT: ZONING AMENDMENT APPLICATION RZ000280 - 11237 WEST COAST ROAD

Thank you for the opportunity to respond with respect to Bylaw No. 4518, "Juan de Fuca Land Use Bylaw, 1992, Amendment Bylaw No. 158, 2022".

Per the Juan de Fuca Development Application Consistency Policy, the Capital Region District (CRD) Board reviews Official Community Plan (OCP) amendments for Regional Growth Strategy (RGS) consistency. As this is an amendment of the zoning bylaw, a consistency review by the full CRD Board is not required at this time.

Upon staff-level review of the proposed zoning amendment and the supporting documentation, Regional and Strategic Planning (RSP) staff of the CRD finds that:

- The parcel is within the Renewable Resource Lands Policy Area (RRLPA) of the RGS.
- Both the Coastal Uplands zone and the Rural Commercial Recreation (Campground) Zone (CR-2 zone) in the Shirley-Jordan River zoning bylaw are aligned with the RGS designation of RRLPA.
- Policy 5.4 of the RGS provides for low-impact tourism and recreation land uses within parts of the RRLPA that are Private Managed Forests, Crown lands, and other forest lands.
- Communities have the ability to define low-impact uses through tools such as OCPs and zoning bylaws.

RSP staff concur with the findings of the staff report to the Juan de Fuca Land Use Committee (January 17, 2023), that the proposed zoning bylaw amendment is consistent with the Shirley-Jordan River OCP. As noted above, this application does not require RGS consistency review by the CRD Board.

Respectfully,

Emily Sinclair, MCIP, RPP

Senior Manager, Regional and Strategic Planning

Capital Region District

Juan de Fuca Electoral Area Parks and Recreation Advisory Commission January 24, 2023

2

- mountain biking continues to grow in popularity with people travelling to Malahat, Duncan, North Cowichan, Nanaimo and Cumberland to enjoy trail riding, bringing revenue into the communities
- SIMBS is working with Sooke Bike Club to advocate for more trail riding in the Juan de Fuca
- CRD Regional Parks' acquisition focus is conservation
- the Juan de Fuca has opportunity to support greater trail riding
- SIMBS has the expertise to assist with trail building/design
- SIMBS wishes to see mountain bike trail targets and related resources incorporated into the Juan de Fuca Community Parks and Recreation Strategic Plan

b) Sooke Bike Club

Ethan Wigley, President, stated:

- Sooke Bike Club (SBC) maintains trails at Harbour View, Sea to Sea Regional Park, through an agreement with the CRD
- SBC has returned two trails to working condition at Harbour View
- SBC wishes to add its voice to the efforts to increase mountain biking opportunities in the Juan de Fuca

c) Sooke to Port Renfrew Tourism Association

Daniel Baker, Incoming President, stated:

- wishes to build relationships to support tourism
- mountain bike tourism continues to grow
- there is opportunity to invest in and develop mountain biking tourism

d) Juan de Fuca Community Planning - Zoning Amendment Application RZ000280 – Lot A Section 74 Renfrew District Plan VIP71883 (11237 West Coast Road) and That Part of Section 74, Renfrew District Lying to the North of the Northerly Boundary of Plan 109RW

Darren Lucas spoke to the staff memo to the Commission regarding the application to rezone the subject properties from the Forestry (AF) zone to an amended Rural Commercial Recreation (Campground) (CR-2) zone. It was advised that the provision of park land or payment for parks purposes is not a requirement for bylaw amendment (rezoning) applications; however, consistency with a community's Official Community Plan (OCP) is required in the evaluation of these applications.

Darren Lucas directed attention to the location and context map and policy statements for parks and trails as provided by the Shirley-Jordan River OCP, Bylaw No. 4001.

Commission comments included:

- subject properties are adjacent to Sandcut Beach, Jordan River Regional Park
- Sandcut Beach is a high usage park
- the parking lot at Sandcut Beach is regularly at capacity resulting in visitors parking on the road right-of-way
- parking lot and road right-of-way is an area of traffic congestion which is a safety concern
- community is in need of tourist facilities
- rezoning proposal would provide tourist facilities while supporting opportunity for onsite trails, expanding opportunity for trail connectivity along West Coast Road and or into adjacent properties including the Sandcut Beach trail network
- other communities have provided safe walking routes by providing trails adjacent to major roads that demonstrates options for further consideration

PREC-227576723-725

Juan de Fuca Electoral Area Parks and Recreation Advisory Commission January 24, 2023

3

- properties were the subject of a previous subdivision (S-01-11), which was subsequently cancelled
- at the time that the previous subdivision was under review, a water access at the boundary Jordan River Park and Sandcut Creek was considered

MOVED by Commissioner McKay, **SECONDED** by Commissioner Sloan that the Juan de Fuca Electoral Area Parks and Recreation Advisory Commission recommends to the Juan de Fuca Land Use Committee:

- That the Commission supports zoning amendment application RZ000280 as the proposal would provide economic benefit to tourism and recreation in the Shirley – Jordan River area;
- That the Commission recognizes that additional visitors require additional trails and accesses by residents and visitors alike;
- 3. That, with this application, the Commission recommends expansion of the trail network to existing trails and future trails for connectivity; and
- 4. That the Commission supports the expansion of Jordan River Park to its natural boundary on the south-east boundary of Sandcut Creek.

CARRIED

7. Commissioner Reports

East Sooke - Seagirt Ponds

A Commissioner reported that the Seagirt Ponds Preservation Society held its annual general meeting on December 2 and that the Society is endeavouring to resurrect its regular guest speaker program.

Don Closson requested that the Society limit its holly management to pruning.

Willis Point/Malahat

The Chair received comment regarding the quarry project in Bamberton and requested that comments be directed to Director Wickheim.

8. Staff Reports

a) Staff News

Don Closson provided an overview of the report. Discussion ensued regarding the following items:

Priest Cabin Access

- invitation to submit a quote for mini-excavator services for trail construction has been issued
- reports of downed trees on the cabin have been received
- the cabin was not overly damaged by the downed trees

Kemp Lake Fishing Dock - Chubb Road Location

- approval has been granted to proceed with direct award of the contract for the dock purchase and install
- the Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure (MoTI) has approved the parking plan

PREC-227576723-725



Minutes of a Meeting of the Shirley-Jordan River Advisory Planning Commission Held February 7, 2023, at the Shirley Community Hall, 2795 Sheringham Point Road, Shirley, BC

PRESENT: Fiona McDannold (Chair), Emily Anderson, Vivi Curutchet, Melody Kimmel

Staff: Iain Lawrence, Senior Manager, JdF Local Area Services;

Darren Lucas, Planner; Wendy Miller, Recorder

PUBLIC: Approximately 59

The meeting was called to order at 7:00 pm.

lain Lawrence provided a Territorial Acknowledgement.

1. Elections

lain Lawrence called for nominations for the position of Chair of the Shirley-Jordan River Advisory Planning Commission (APC) for 2023 and Fiona McDannold's name was put forward. Iain Lawrence called two further times for nominations and, as there were none, Fiona McDannold was acclaimed Chair.

The Chair called for nominations for the position of Vice Chair of the Shirley-Jordan River APC for 2023 and Melody Kimmel's name was put forward. The Chair called two further times for nominations and, as there were none, Melody Kimmel was acclaimed Vice Chair.

2. Approval of the Agenda

MOVED by Melody Kimmel, SECONDED by Emily Anderson that the agenda be approved.

CARRIED

3. Approval of the Supplementary Agenda

MOVED by Vivi Curutchet, **SECONDED** by Emily Anderson that the supplementary agenda be approved.

CARRIED

4. Adoption of the Minutes of November 15, 2022

MOVED by Melody Kimmel, SECONDED by Vivi Curutchet that the minutes of November 15, 2023, be adopted.

CARRIED

5. Planner's Report

No report.

6. Zoning Amendment Application

 a) RZ000280 – Lot A Section 74 Renfrew District Plan VIP71883 (11237 West Coast Road) and That Part of Section 74, Renfrew District Lying to the North of the Northerly Boundary of Plan 109RW

Darren Lucas spoke to the staff report for the application to rezone the subject properties from the Forestry (AF) zone to the Rural Commercial Recreation (Campground) (CR-2) zone with amendments for the purpose of permitting 39 cabins; 84 campsites; staff

2

accommodation; office and reception space combined with a convenience store and a caretaker dwelling unit; assembly uses; and accessory and utility buildings.

Staff confirmed that the applicant was present.

lain Lawrence reported that agency comments and comments from this evening's meeting will be returned to the LUC. When the proposal returns to the LUC, the LUC will consider recommending to the CRD Board whether Bylaw No. 4518 should receive first and second reading and direction to proceed to public hearing. Should the proposal proceed to public hearing, the CRD Board will consider giving Bylaw No. 4518 third reading and adopting Bylaw No. 4518, which would rezone the subject properties.

Jen Ferris, Shirley

- questioned the long-term vision for the property

lain Lawrence stated that:

- the applicant's concept plan currently reflects 39 cabins and 84 campsites
- proposed Bylaw No. 4518 would permit 30 cabins per parcel or one tourist cabin per 0.5 ha; whichever is less
- proposed Bylaw No. 4518 would permit 100 camping spaces per parcel or one campsite permit 0.5 ha; which ever is less
- the Environmental Assessment distributed with the staff report reflects a zone (Intensive Commercial Recreation Zone) initially considered by applicant which has since been amended

Claire Denesovych, Shirley

- more details regarding the proposal are required as adequate information was not provided in advance of the meeting
- concerned about impact on traffic, riparian areas and wildlife and about the long-term density permitted by the proposed bylaw as well as the assembly use/event scale proposed by the applicant
- proposal is not in keeping with the policies of the Official Community Plan (OCP) and would change the character of the community

James Powell, Shirley

- concerned that RV trailers will become permanent homes
- concerned about impact on water resources

Malcolm Taylor, Shirley

- cited OCP sections related to the scale of low-impact tourism supported by the OCP
- the OCP does not anticipate or support the applicant's proposal as the OCP does not support recreational vehicle parks and destination resorts
- should rezoning be supported, the CR-2 zoning would apply to the land and zoning would not change if there was a change in ownership

3

Jeff Head, Shirley

- stated concern regarding the proposal's impact on the aquifer, adjacent wells and Sandcut and Rockbottom Creeks as no information has been provided regarding anticipated water use or if rainwater capture is planned
- Shirley Volunteer Fire Department has limited resources and no information has been provided regarding evacuation plans in the event of an earthquake or wildfire
- concerned regarding the scale of proposed assembly use

Trevor Churcher, Shirley

- questioned if the proposal will impact beach accesses

Dale Williams, Shirley

- supports the proposal as it will provide benefit to the community
- campground use will not have a large draw on water resources
- there is demand for campgrounds and a shortage of camping accommodations

John Russell, Shirley

- too much has been proposed too quickly
- the current housing crisis will put pressure on the subject properties to be used for permanent housing

Member of the public, Jordan River

- China Beach campground is being expanded
- Jordan River is not within a fire protection service area

Brent Cann, Shirley

questioned what makes the development an eco-resort

Member of the public

- proposal will allow people who are not fortunate enough to live in the community to visit the community, exposing families with children to nature
- proposed bylaw reflects the long-term development scale

Jay Evans, Shirley

- not opposed to a campsite development
- proposal is not specific enough and needs to be refined and returned to the community for consideration

Member of the public, Shirley

- proposal should be downsized and considered over a five-year pilot period
- proposal will have a huge impact on the community
- everyone is concerned about water

Member of the public, Shirley

- French Beach campground has staff on site
- stated concern regarding how clients of the proposed campground will be monitored
- Shirley Volunteer Fire Department has limited resources

4

Jill Foweraker, Shirley

- questioned length of stay
- stated concern regarding the scale of events proposed by the applicant, noting that the Shirley Community Hall has had issue with the scale of previous events

Matt Fox, Shirley

- supports the proposal as the proposal will provide benefit to the community
- looks forward to welcoming visitors to the community
- water is a community concern but such concerns can be addressed through the rezoning process

Robert Gardener, Shirley

- in addition to camping and tourist cabins, zoning proposes a convenience store, staff accommodation, and caretaker units
- proposal is not small scale
- rezoning would be precedent setting

Member of the public, Shirley

 questioned the level of staffing and if staff will be controlling entry and providing security/monitoring

Adam Pratt, Jordan River

- not opposed to camping tourism but has concerns regarding the scope/scale of the proposal
- questioned how the community will benefit from the proposal
- questioned if the applicant will be hiring locals

Brian Einarson, Shirley (Chair of the Shirley Fire Protection and Emergency Service Commission)

- questioned the onsite person capacity and fire suppression measures
- directed attention to the questions raised in Gerard LeBlanc's letter, as circulated in the supplementary agenda

Eric Albert, Shirley

- lives locally and is employed by the applicant
- a percentage of sales was taken as a donation for the Shirley Volunteer Fire Department at a recent music event

Patrick Saul, Shirley

 increased tourism has resulted in increased visitations and parking demand at Fishboat Bay and Sandcut Beach

Member of the public

 not in support due to impact on the tranquility of the community and volunteer-based services.

Don Landberg, Shirley

- stated support for subsequent meetings being held in the community

5

Member of the public

- commented that China Beach campground is being expanded

Member of the public

 concerned that the proposal will result in more people walking along Sandcut Creek, negatively impacting the watercourse

The applicant stated that:

- proposed zoning reflects long-term plan to operate a year-round campground with short-term cabins
- the proposal is in keeping with an eco-resort in its design, space separation, retention of nature, low water usage, and limited paving and foundations
- properties will be fully staffed/patrolled and events would be organized and insured

Staff responded to questions from the public advising that:

- application has been referred to numerous agencies including CRD Protective Services/Juan de Fuca Emergency Program, Ministry of Forests – Water Protection, BC Wildfire and Pacheedaht First Nation
- agency and First Nation comments would be included in the staff report returned to the LUC
- at this time, there are no other active rezoning applications for lands in Shirley

APC discussion ensued regarding OCP policies related to low-impact tourism, public feedback and overall impact of the proposal on the community, should the subject properties be rezoned.

MOVED by Melody Kimmel, **SECONDED** by Vivi Curutchet that the Shirley-Jordan River Advisory Planning Commission recommends to the Juan de Fuca Land Use Committee that that the Advisory Planning Commission does not support the proposal in its current form and that the proposal return to the Advisory Planning Commission at a smaller scale and with the assembly uses more clearly specified and that the applicant address the concerns expressed by the community.

CARRIED

7. Adjournment

	he meeting adjourned at 9:33 pm.
Ch	Ď.

CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT BYLAW NO. 4518

A BYLAW TO AMEND BYLAW NO. 2040, THE "JUAN DE FUCA LAND USE BYLAW, 1992"

The Capital Regional District Board, in open meeting assembled, enacts as follows:

1. Bylaw No. 2040 being the "Juan de Fuca Land Use Bylaw, 1992" is hereby amended as follows:

A. SCHEDULE A, PART 2 - ZONING DISTRICTS

(a) By deleting the section 23.0 Rural Commercial Recreation (Campgound) Zone – CR-2 and replacing it with the new 23.0 Rural Commercial Recreation (Campgound) Zone – CR-2 as follows:

23.0 RURAL COMMERCIAL RECREATION (CAMPGOUND) ZONE - CR-2

23.01 Permitted Uses

In addition to the uses permitted by Section 4.15 of Part 1 of this Bylaw, the following uses and no others shall be permitted in the Rural Commercial Recreation (Campground) CR-2 Zone:

- (a) Campground;
- (b) Tourist Cabin;
- (c) One-family dwelling.

23.02 Permitted Accessory Uses

In addition to the uses permitted by Section 23.01 of Part 2 of this Bylaw, the following Accessory Uses in conjunction with a permitted Principal Use and no others shall be permitted in the Rural Commercial Recreation (Campground) CR-2 Zone:

- (a) Convenience store accessory to a campground use;
- (b) Outdoor recreation facilities pursuant to Part 2, Subsection 23.10;
- (c) Staff accommodation pursuant to Part 2, Subsection 23.10;
- (d) Caretaker dwelling unit.

23.03 <u>Minimum Lot Size for</u> Subdivision Purposes

(a) The minimum lot size for subdivision purposes is no less than 4.0 ha.

23.04 Density

- (a) One one-family dwelling per parcel;
- (b) 23 tourist cabins per parcel or 0.3 tourist cabins per hectare, whichever is less:
- (c) 64 camping spaces per parcel or 0.9 camping spaces per hectare; whichever is less;
- (d) One convenience store per parcel;
- (e) One Caretaker dwelling unit per parcel.

23.05 Height

(a) Maximum height shall be 11.0 m for the dwelling unit and 6.0 m for all other principle buildings, structures and uses.

CRD Bylaw No. 4518 2

23.06 Lot Coverage

(a) Maximum lot coverage shall be 10 percent.

23.07 <u>Setback Requirements</u>

(a) All camping spaces, buildings, and structures must be setback a minimum of 9.0 m from every parcel line; and 50.0 m from the boundary of land designated as park.

23.08 Separation Space

(a) All camping spaces and tourist cabins must be individual or freestanding; separated by a minimum of 9.0 m measured from the outside surface of the nearest exterior wall of a building or structure; and the outermost edge of an area cleared of trees and other vegetation for a camping space or other outdoor uses.

23.09 Screening

(a) A vegetative screen of not less than 50.0 m is required from the lot lines of parcels designated as park.

23.10 Definitions

(a) For the purpose of the *RURAL COMMERCIAL RECREATION (CAMPGROUND) ZONE - CR-2*, the following definitions apply:

Staff Accommodation means the accessory use of tourist cabins, for the accommodation of employees.

Outdoor Recreation Facility means a recreation infrastructure activity undertaken outdoors as a portion of, or related to, a private commercial enterprise and includes parks, trails, open space, playing fields, and playgrounds.


CRD Bylaw No. 4518 3

B. SCHEDULE B, ZONING MAPS

(a) By deleting LOT A SECTION 74 RENFREW DISTRICT PLAN VIP71883 from the Forestry zone - AF, and adding to the Rural Commercial Recreation (Campground Zone – CR-2, as shown in Plan No. 1.

(b) By deleting THAT PART OF SECTION 74, RENFREW DISTRICT LYING TO THE NORTH OF THE NORTHERLY BOUNDARY OF PLAN 109RW from the Forestry zone - AF, and adding to the Rural Commercial Recreation (Campground Zone – CR-2, as shown in Plan No. 1.

Plan No. 1 of Bylaw No. 4518, an amendment to Bylaw No. 2040



CRD Bylaw No. 4518

CHAIR CORPORATE OFFICER		
ADOPTED THIS	day of	2024
READ A THIRD TIME THIS	day of	2024
READ A SECOND TIME THIS	day of	2024
READ A FIRST TIME THIS	day of	2024
This Bylaw may be cited as "Juan de	Fuca Land Use Bylaw, 1992, Amer	ndment Bylaw No. 158, 2022".



REPORT TO PLANNING AND PROTECTIVE SERVICES COMMITTEE MEETING OF WEDNESDAY, JULY 17, 2024

SUBJECT Bylaw No. 4602: Foodlands Access Service

ISSUE SUMMARY

To approve the establishment of the Foodlands Access Service for the purpose of facilitating access to productive farmland in the Capital Regional District (CRD).

BACKGROUND

On November 8, 2023, the CRD Board directed that staff bring forward a Foodlands Access Service Bylaw with options for bylaw approval.

The CRD needs new service authorities and funding to deliver this work. Specifically, the new service must be able to acquire, hold, and manage land through lease agreements, enter into contracts with service providers, administer grants and raise and spend funds to pay for operating and capital expenses. The decision-point for new service establishment is to identify these general authorities and set a requisition limit that caps how much money the service can raise. Staff would develop detailed program direction at the operational level and seek Board approval through the annual service and financial planning process.

Staff have drafted a new establishment bylaw (Appendix A) and a proposed program budget (Appendix B) for consideration.

History

The proposed Foodlands Access Service is the culmination of more than a decade of work by CRD staff and community agriculture advocates working towards the establishment of an agricultural land trust to support farmers and protect farmland in the CRD. The creation of the Foodlands Access Service will be the CRD's first service focused on agriculture and is a significant step toward that long-term goal.

From the development of the Regional Food and Agriculture Strategy (2016) to the integration of agriculture goals into the Regional Growth Strategy (RGS) (2018), the CRD's focus was to support local agriculture through new regional policy direction. With well-established policy, the next step explored new service delivery with completion of the Regional Foodlands Access Program Feasibility Study (2019) and the Foodlands Trust Business Case (2022).

Proposed Service and Program Delivery

In July 2023, the CRD Board received an update on the proposed service. CRD staff indicated that operational requirements would be delivered through two programs of work: surplus land conversion to agricultural use and land matching grants. At this meeting, the CRD Board also gave conditional approval for the use of the eastern portion of Bear Hill Regional Park as a trial site for the surplus land conversion program. The goal will be to test activating the currently underutilized site for agriculture and to explore how this and other surplus lands can be converted to productive use. See Appendix C for a location map. If a new service is approved, the proposed program on the Bear Hill site would be facilitated through a license agreement with CRD Regional Parks, similar in nature to the current agreement with the City of Victoria that lasts until 2035. The program would be evaluated at the end of the agreement term and consideration given to the ongoing use of the lands for this program.

The second proposed program to operate under the Foodlands Access Service is a grant program to encourage participation and provide support to farmers in the BC Land Matching Program. This provincial program brings together landowners and prospective farmers to enter into land leases for farming on privately held lands. The program has proven successful in parts of the province but has not seen a high degree of uptake within the CRD. By promoting the program and providing startup grant funding support, the goal is to encourage more land matching agreements that will bring additional farmland into production while supporting new and expanding farmers who participate.

In the future, the Foodlands Access Service will have the capacity to expand to additional agriculture related programing with approval by the CRD Board. A long-term goal of the service is the eventual establishment of a farmland trust that will hold, protect, and facilitate access to farmland in the region for generations to come. The proposed service and program offerings are a first step to prove viability of the concept that can take on an increasing role in farmland protection and farmer support in the region.

Based on these policy directions and program analyses, as well as ongoing engagement with the agricultural community in 2022-2024, CRD staff have identified operational requirements, service participants and a funding strategy needed to establish a service.

ALTERNATIVES

Alternative 1

The Planning and Protective Services Committee recommends to the Capital Regional District Board:

- 1) That Bylaw No. 4602, "Foodlands Access Service Establishing Bylaw No. 1, 2024", be introduced and read a first, second and third time;
- 2) That participating area approval be obtained by regional alternative approval process; and
- 3) That Bylaw No. 4602, be forwarded to the Inspector of Municipalities for approval.

Alternative 2

That this report be referred back to staff for additional information based on Planning and Protective Services Committee direction.

IMPLICATIONS

Alignment with Board & Corporate Priorities

CRD Corporate Plan initiative 8b-1 is to consider establishment of a new Foodlands Access Service.

Alignment with Existing Plans & Strategies

Development of a new Foodlands Access Service responds to direction from the *CRD Food and Agriculture Strategy* (2016) and the RGS. Initial service design has been informed by the *CRD Regional Foodlands Access Program Feasibility Study* (2019) and the *Kwantlen Polytechnic University's Foodlands Trust Business Case* (2022).

Financial Implications

Staff have proposed potential costs for this regional service be cost-shared based on population (50%) and converted assessment (50%) for the participating partners. The proposed program budget for the participating partners for 2025 is presented in Appendix B.

The proposed requisition limit for the service is \$1,000,000, which will allow for program expansion to bring additional farm sites into the service over the next five to ten years.

Program costs will be identified and approved as part of the service and financial planning processes. Costs associated with scoping and planning for implementation are available in 2025. If approved, the first year of program spending will be 2026.

Intergovernmental Implications

Throughout scoping and development of the proposed service, ongoing updates and feedback have been provided to local governments through the Development Planning Advisory Committee (DPAC) and to agricultural groups through the Peninsula and Area Agricultural Commission (PAAC). The input provided by these groups has been invaluable in refining the service concept.

Regional Growth Strategy Implications

The proposed service supports implementation of RGS objective 6.1, which is focused on fostering a resilient food and agriculture system. It strives to protect the region's food and farmlands, enhances local food security, expands food system economic opportunities, and provides opportunities for expanding farmers to gain access to productive agricultural lands.

Service Delivery Implications

The new service seeks to activate surplus CRD owned lands as a pilot project to build organizational capacity. The focus is on developing experience in managing farmland leasing and agriculture support services. This pilot is intended to prove viability of the approach and, in future years, expand to additional regional and municipal properties that can be converted into productive farming spaces. If the program proves to be viable, the CRD would canvas municipalities to explore potential sites that could be used in this service. As well, a grant program is proposed that will support successful land matches made through the BC Land Matching Program.

The proposed Foodlands Access Service would introduce a new service level to the Regional Planning Division. A key service delivery assumption is that farm site management is provided by a qualified farm site operator. A qualified farm site operator can only be retained once a service is established. The farm site operator will be responsible for providing a detailed budget for site preparation, equipment and contract service costs.

Legal Implications

A regional district may operate any service it deems desirable, provided that on establishment of the service by way of service establishing bylaw, it received participating area approval. There are three options to obtain participating area approval for Bylaw No. 4602: regional alternative approval process; municipal consent on behalf with alternative approval process (AAP) in the electoral areas; and referendum/elector assent.

As a regional service focused on agriculture is most effective if all municipalities and electoral areas participate, a regional AAP is advised. This would put the responsibility of objecting to the service on the electors, who, if 10% were not in favour, could determine not to proceed. Should an AAP approach be selected, a detailed communications plan will be developed to inform the public about the proposed new service prior to the AAP process. Given an AAP is already required in the Electoral Areas, it is recommended a regional AAP be pursued.

An alternative is the municipal consent process, which would permit any municipality or electoral area to effectively veto the creation of the service if they were not in favour of its establishment. Finally, the referendum approach would give voters a direct say on the service, but it would be significantly more costly and have a longer timeline than the other options.

CONCLUSION

Local farming and overall food security has long been a concern in the capital region, as the cost of farmland and an aging farmer population have continued to rise. To address this, CRD staff from Regional Planning have prepared Bylaw No. 4602, "Foodlands Access Service", to establish a service focused on facilitating affordable access to productive farmland for new and expanding farmers. The service will start with a test site to establish viability on a portion of Bear Hill Regional Park, with the goal of expanding to additional sites in the future. This work will be done in partnership with an experienced qualified farm site operator who will manage daily operations at the site. The service authorities being proposed are required to undertake this pilot project but have also been drafted broadly to allow for other agriculture supporting programs to be proposed in the future.

RECOMMENDATION

The Planning and Protective Services Committee recommends to the Capital Regional District Board:

- 1) That Bylaw No. 4602, "Foodlands Access Service Establishing Bylaw No. 1, 2024", be introduced and read a first, second and third time;
- 2) That participating area approval be obtained by regional alternative approval process; and
- 3) That Bylaw No. 4602, be forwarded to the Inspector of Municipalities for approval.

Submitted by:	Kevin Lorette, P. Eng., MBA, General Manager, Planning & Protective Services
Concurrence:	Kristen Morley, J.D., General Manager, Corporate Services & Corporate Officer
Concurrence:	Ted Robbins, B. Sc., C. Tech., Chief Administrative Officer

ATTACHMENTS

Appendix A: Draft Bylaw No. 4602, "Foodlands Access Service Establishing Bylaw No. 1, 2024"

Appendix B: Proposed Program Budget Appendix C: Bear Hill Farm Site Map

CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT BYLAW NO. 4602

A BYLAW TO ESTABLISH A SERVICE FOR THE PURPOSE OF FOODLANDS ACCESS

WHEREAS:

- A. The Board of the Capital Regional District wishes to establish a regional Foodlands Access Service (the "Service") to address the decline of productive farmland in the Capital Regional District; and
- B. Participating area approval is required and elector approval has been obtained for the entire service area by alternative approval process, pursuant to s. 342(4) of the *Local Government Act*; and,
- C. The approval of the Inspector of Municipalities has been obtained under s. 342(1)(a) of the *Local Government Act*.

NOW THEREFORE the Board of the Capital Regional District, in open meeting assembled, enacts as follows:

Service

- 1. The service being established and to be operated is a service to preserve and coordinate preservation and access to farmland for agricultural use, and to promote regional food security, including, without limiting the foregoing:
 - a) purchasing, leasing or otherwise acquiring land to be used for agriculture and agricultural-related activities;
 - b) providing capital funding for improvements to agricultural land, and operational funding for delivery of service programs on agricultural land;
 - c) entering into agreements with third parties for service delivery and operation of programs in support of the service;
 - d) providing grants or financial assistance to support agricultural initiatives that promote beneficial and sustainable agricultural practices and regional food security; and
 - e) delivering the service and achieving the service goals through education, outreach, and other promotional activities.

Boundaries

2. The boundaries of the service area are coterminous with the boundaries of the Capital Regional District.

Participating Areas

3. All municipalities and electoral areas within the Capital Regional District are the participating areas for this service.

CRD Bylaw No. 4602 Page 2

Cost Recovery

4. As provided in Section 378 of the *Local Government Act*, the annual cost of providing the Service shall be recovered by one or more of the following:

- a) property value taxes imposed in accordance with Division 3 of Part 11 of the *Local Government Act*:
- b) fees and charges imposed under Section 397 of the Local Government Act;
- c) revenues raised by other means authorized by the *Local Government Act* or another Act;
- d) revenues received by way of agreement, enterprise, gift, grant or otherwise.

Cost Apportionment

- 5. a) The annual costs for the service, net of grants and other revenues, shall be apportioned among the participating areas, as follows:
 - i. Fifty (50) percent of the costs shall be recovered on the basis of the population of the participating areas; and
 - ii. Fifty (50) percent on the converted value of land and improvements in the participating areas.
 - b) Population, for the purpose of this section, is the population estimate as determined annually by the Regional Planning department of the Capital Regional District.

Maximum Requisition

- 6. In accordance with Section 339(1)(e) of the *Local Government Act*, the maximum amount that may be requisitioned annually for the cost of the Service is the greater of:
 - a) One million (\$1,000,000); or
 - b) An amount equal to the amount that could be raised by a property value tax rate of \$0.00543 per one thousand dollars (\$1,000) that, when applied to the net taxable value of the land and improvements within the Service Area, will yield the maximum amount that may be requisitioned for the Service.

CRD Bylaw No. 4602 Page 3

Citation

7.	This Bylaw may be cited as the "Foodlands 2024".	s Access Service Establishment Byla	w N	lo. 1,
REA	AD A FIRST TIME THIS	day of	,	2024
REA	AD A SECOND TIME THIS	day of	,	2024
	AD A THIRD TIME THIS PROVED BY THE INSPECTOR OF	day of	,	2024
	NICIPALITIES THIS	day of	,	2024
APF	CEIVED PARTICIPATING AREA PROVAL UNDER SECTION 342(4) OF THE CAL GOVERNMENT ACT THIS	day of	,	2024
ADO	OPTED THIS	day of	,	2024
СНА	JIR	CORPORATE OFFICER		
FILE	D WITH THE INSPECTOR OF MUNICIPALI	TIES THIS day of		

CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT BYLAW NO. 4602

A BYLAW TO ESTABLISH A SERVICE FOR THE PURPOSE OF FOODLANDS ACCESS

WHEREAS:

- A. The Board of the Capital Regional District wishes to establish a regional Foodlands Access Service (the "Service") to address the decline of productive farmland in the Capital Regional District; and
- B. Participating area approval is required and assent of the electors has been obtained for the entire service area by alternative approval process, pursuant to s. 342(4) of the *Local Government Act*; and,
- C. The approval of the Inspector of Municipalities has been obtained under s. 342(1)(a) of the Local Government Act.

NOW THEREFORE the Board of the Capital Regional District, in open meeting assembled, enacts as follows:

Service

- 1. The service being established and to be operated is a service to preserve and coordinate preservation and access to farmland for agricultural use, and to promote regional food security, including, without limiting the foregoing:
 - a) purchasing, leasing or otherwise acquiring land to be used for agriculture and agricultural-related activities;
 - b) providing capital funding for improvements to agricultural land, and operational funding for delivery of service programs on agricultural land;
 - c) entering into agreements with third parties for service delivery and operation of programs in support of the service;
 - d) providing grants or financial assistance to support agricultural initiatives that promote beneficial and sustainable agricultural practices and regional food security; and
 - e) delivering the service and achieving the service goals through education, outreach, and other promotional activities.

Boundaries

2. The boundaries of the service area are coterminous with the boundaries of the Capital Regional District.

Participating Areas

3. All municipalities and electoral areas within the Capital Regional District are the participating areas for this service.

CRD Bylaw No. 4602 Page 2

Cost Recovery

4. As provided in Section 378 of the *Local Government Act*, the annual cost of providing the Service shall be recovered by one or more of the following:

- a) property value taxes imposed in accordance with Division 3 of Part 11 of the *Local Government Act*:
- b) fees and charges imposed under Section 397 of the Local Government Act;
- c) revenues raised by other means authorized by the *Local Government Act* or another Act:
- d) revenues received by way of agreement, enterprise, gift, grant or otherwise.

Cost Apportionment

- 5. a) The annual costs for the service, net of grants and other revenues, shall be apportioned among the participating areas, as follows:
 - i. Fifty (50) percent of the costs shall be recovered on the basis of the population of the participating areas; and
 - ii. Fifty (50) percent on the converted value of land and improvements in the participating areas.
 - b) Population, for the purpose of this section, is the population estimate as determined annually by the Regional Planning department of the Capital Regional District.

Maximum Requisition

- 6. In accordance with Section 339(1)(e) of the *Local Government Act*, the maximum amount that may be requisitioned annually for the cost of the Service is the greater of:
 - a) One million (\$1,000,000); or
 - b) An amount equal to the amount that could be raised by a property value tax rate of \$0.00543 per one thousand dollars (\$1,000) that, when applied to the net taxable value of the land and improvements within the Service Area, will yield the maximum amount that may be requisitioned for the Service.

CRD Bylaw No. 4602 Page 3

Citation

7.	This Bylaw may be cited as the "Foodlands 2024".	Access Service Establishment	t Bylaw	No. 1,	
REA	D A FIRST TIME THIS	day of	,	2024	
REA	D A SECOND TIME THIS	day of	,	2024	
READ A THIRD TIME THIS APPROVED BY THE INSPECTOR OF		day of	,	2024	
	IICIPALITIES THIS	day of	,	2024	
APP	EIVED PARTICIPATING AREA ROVAL UNDER SECTION 342(4) OF THE AL GOVERNMENT ACT THIS	day of	,	2024	
ADO	PTED THIS	day of	,	2024	
СНА	IR	CORPORATE OFFICER			
FILED WITH THE INSPECTOR OF MUNICIPALITIES THIS day of					


Proposed Program Budget

Table 1 provides a summary of anticipated costs over the first five years of the program. Costs reflect a Class C cost estimate (+/- 25-40%), based on information from the 2022 Foodlands Trust Business Case. Further cost refinements would be undertaken once a service is established, and a qualified farm site operator is selected.

Table 1: Projected Foodlands Access Service Five Year Financial Impacts (2024 estimate)

Description	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030
Staff	\$-	\$-	\$71,750	\$72,990	\$74,230
Contract services	\$125,000	\$127,500	\$130,000	\$132,500	\$135,000
Site preparation & equipment costs	\$175,000	\$-	\$-	\$300,000	\$-
Land matching grant program	\$20,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000
Operating reserve contributions	\$-	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000
TOTAL	\$320,000	\$247,500	\$321,750	\$625,490	\$329,230

Site Maps:



Bear Hill Farm Site – Existing Features



FOODLANDS SERVICE

PARTICIPANT APPORTIONMENT SIMULATION

	Basis of Apportionment				
Service Participants	50% Converted Assessments (2024)	50% Regional Planning Population (2023)	Percent of Total	Estimated 2026 Requisition (\$)	Cost Per Average Residential Assessment*
Municipalities					
Central Saanich	940,613,176	19,215	4.4%	13,978	\$1.68
Colwood	826,945,685	21,759	4.4%	14,025	\$1.70
Esquimalt	687,695,262	19,639	3.8%	12,214	\$1.88
Highlands	133,874,982	2,690	0.6%	1,973	\$1.91
Langford	2,186,331,024	52,661	11.0%	35,343	\$1.54
Metchosin	228,180,080	5,242	1.1%	3,598	\$2.09
North Saanich	928,795,732	13,118	3.7%	11,714	\$2.01
Oak Bay	1,262,567,858	19,602	5.2%	16,555	\$2.43
Saanich	5,433,968,140	129,098	27.2%	87,205	\$1.89
Sidney	769,254,206	12,878	3.3%	10,420	\$1.25
Sooke	582,186,138	16,776	3.2%	10,393	\$1.43
Victoria	5,022,381,103	99,276	23.0%	73,451	\$1.55
View Royal	506,524,168	13,147	2.7%	8,526	\$1.80
	19,509,317,554	425,101	93.6%	299,395	
Flactoral Areas					
Electoral Areas	260 767 004	F 704	1.50/	4.004	¢4.20
Juan de Fuca	369,767,091	5,784	1.5%	4,864	\$1.20
Saltspring Island	695,133,309	12,075	3.0%	9,572	\$1.44
Southern Gulf Islands	550,966,010	5,598	1.9%	6,170	\$0.90
	1,615,866,410	23,457	6.4%	20,605	
Total	21,125,183,964	448,558	100.00%	320,000	

Prepared September 2024.

Assessment and population data is based on information used for 2024 Final Budget, subject to annual update.

^{*} The cost per average residential assessment is a theoretical calculation that provides a directional indicator on impacts to residential rate payers only. It can be used as an approximation of cost for a residential household if a home's assessment value is at or near the 'Average Residential Assessed Value'



REPORT TO REGIONAL PARKS COMMITTEE MEETING OF WEDNESDAY, JULY 24, 2024

SUBJECT Royal Oak Golf Course Lands – Interim Management Guidelines

ISSUE SUMMARY

Capital Regional District (CRD) staff are seeking approval to implement the Royal Oak Golf Course Lands Interim Management Guidelines (IMG). The purpose of the IMG is to guide the operation of the former Royal Oak Golf Course property (the property) until First Nations interests are addressed and land from the property is included within an approved park management plan.

BACKGROUND

The CRD purchased the property, a 10.92-hectare (27-acre) lot located in the District of Saanich and adjacent to Elk/Beaver Lake Regional Park and Saanich Commonwealth Place, on October 31, 2023. The property has remained vacant since the golf course closed in 2017, with the exception of some informal recreational use of the grounds by the public and a private golf business operating from the former clubhouse building whose lease was transferred to the CRD during the acquisition.

CRD staff are currently engaging with First Nations to determine their interests in the property. Once these interests are understood, staff will determine whether any land from the property should be added to Elk/Beaver Lake Regional Park or if it should be established into a separate, new regional park. Given the age of the existing 2010 Elk/Beaver Lake and Bear Hill Regional Parks Management Plan, which last went through a full management planning process in 1992, staff recommend that this plan be repealed and replaced if land from the property is added to it. The development of a new management plan for Elk/Beaver Lake and Bear Hill regional parks would provide the opportunity to incorporate all past acquisitions made to both parks since the original plan was approved, in addition to providing updated management direction that aligns with the Regional Parks and Trails Strategic Plan 2022-2032 (Strategic Plan). If land from the property is used to establish a new regional park, a separate management plan will be developed for this park. Creating a separate park management plan provides the opportunity to consider a unique park classification and management direction for the land that is distinct from Elk/Beaver Regional Park.

While discussions with First Nations are ongoing and prior to a new management plan being in place, direction is needed to guide the interim management of the property. Staff developed the IMG (Appendix A), which prioritizes building relationships and gathering information needed to better understand the property's park values and inform the development of a new park management plan. The IMG further guides the securement of the property and establishes how it is to be utilized on an interim basis, prior to a park management plan being in place. Once First Nations interests have been addressed and land from the property has been included within an approved park management plan, the IMG will no longer be used to manage the property.

ALTERNATIVES

Alternative 1

The Regional Parks Committee recommends to the Capital Regional District Board: That the Royal Oak Golf Course Lands Interim Management Guidelines be adopted.

Alternative 2

That the Royal Oak Golf Course Lands Interim Management Guidelines be referred back to staff with direction for revision.

IMPLICATIONS

Alignment with Existing Plans & Strategies

The IMG broadly supports the five priority areas within the Strategic Plan. It provides guidance to establish collaborative working relationships with First Nations to continue the CRD's reconciliatory action. It further guides gathering information needed to inform conservation, visitor experience, climate action and resiliency, and access and equity-related park management actions that could be implemented through a future park management plan.

Environmental & Climate Action

The property provides habitat for several native plant and animal species and has an important role within natural systems in the region. The IMG includes multiple interim management actions that support ecological restoration and conservation initiatives and seeks to better understand the property's natural values. It further includes interim management actions that support the development of climate change mitigation and adaptation measures that could be implemented through a future park management plan.

Initial observations have confirmed the presence of the threatened Pacific Coast population of western painted turtle (*Chrysemys picta bellii*). Steps will be taken to monitor and mitigate threats to protect and enhance habitat for this species on the property.

First Nations Implications

Six First Nations governments were identified as having asserted Territory over the property. Discussions between the CRD and these Nations began during the land acquisition process to advise them of the purchase and to begin to understand their interests in the property. An additional Nation communicated interest in the property after acquisition and has since been included in the discussions.

The CRD recently forwarded a draft copy of the IMG to these Nations for information and committed to continuing discussions to identify and pursue opportunities that respect and support First Nations self-determination. This includes identifying approaches that support First Nations environmental, social, cultural, and economic interests in the property.

Equity, Diversity & Inclusion

The IMG includes an interim management action that supports the identification of barriers to access and equity within the property that could be addressed through a future park management plan.

Financial Implications

No major capital projects are proposed to be implemented while the IMG is in place and implementation costs can be covered through the CRD's regular annual budgeting process.

Staff do not intend to pursue any major repairs to the existing clubhouse building and adjacent parking lot until First Nations interests are understood. This area will remain restricted to public use while these investigations are ongoing and the existing business lease renewed on a month-to-month basis once it expires in April of 2025.

Service Delivery Implications

Since the golf course ceased operations in 2017, the former golf cart pathways have been used by the public for walking, running, dog walking and cycling. The former fairways are also frequented by disc golf players who have set up an informal disc golf course with metal tone poles (tonals) as targets. Based on observational data, CRD staff estimate that of all recreational activities currently taking place on the property, 75-80% are pedestrian pathway users and 20-25% are disc golfers.

Staff's recommendation is that the CRD continue to make the property available for public use while the IMG is in place and that the existing pathways be maintained as hiking trails for walking, running, leash-optional dog walking and similar pedestrian uses. CRD staff are currently exploring options to partner with an established recreation group to continue to allow disc golf on the property on a temporary basis while staff assess its compatibility within the regional parks system through the development of the Stewardship Plan. The consideration of formally sanctioning disc golf on the property on a long-term basis, as well as other more active outdoor recreation opportunities, such as cycling and equestrian use, would need to occur through the development of a park management plan. This will provide an opportunity for all voices to be heard and interests expressed as part of the public and First Nations engagement processes that inform management plan development.

Social Implications

Implementing the IMG clearly communicates how the CRD intends to manage the property and what recreation opportunities are available while it's in place. The IMG includes flexibility to adapt management activities based on public feedback and new information, while the CRD continues to learn more about the property and engages with First Nations.

CONCLUSION

CRD staff are seeking approval to implement the IMG to guide the operation of the Royal Oak Golf Course Lands property while conversations with First Nations are ongoing and in the absence of a park management plan. The IMG supports Strategic Plan direction and prioritizes building relationships and gathering information needed to inform the development of a new park

management plan. It further guides the securement of the property and establishes how it is to be utilized on an interim basis. Once First Nations interests have been addressed and land from the property is included in an approved park management plan, the IMG will no longer be used to manage the property.

RECOMMENDATION

The Regional Parks Committee recommends to the Capital Regional District Board: That the Royal Oak Golf Course Lands Interim Management Guidelines be adopted.

Submitted by:	Mike MacIntyre, Senior Manager, Regional Parks
Concurrence:	Luisa Jones, MBA, General Manager, Parks, Recreation & Environmental Services
Concurrence:	Ted Robbins, B. Sc., C. Tech., Chief Administrative Officer

<u>ATTACHMENT</u>


Appendix A: Royal Oak Golf Course Lands – Interim Management Guidelines (July 2024)

Royal Oak Golf Course Lands



Interim Management Guidelines

Capital Regional District | July 2024



Capital Regional District | Regional Parks 490 Atkins Avenue, Victoria, BC V9B 2Z8 T: 250.478.3344 | www.crd.bc.ca/parks

Contents

1.	Context	2
	1.1 Background	2
	1.2 First Nations Reconciliation	2
	1.3 Purpose & Connection to the Parkland Acquisition & Management Planning Processes	3
2.	Park Classification	5
3.	Interim Park Management Goals	5
4.	Interim Management Actions	6
5.	Implementation Strategy	8
	5.1 Interim Development Concept	8
	5.2 Monitoring and Review	8

1. Context

1.1 Background

The Capital Regional District (CRD) purchased the 10.92-hectare (27-acre) former Royal Oak Golf Course property (the property) on October 31, 2023. The property is located within the District of Saanich and the traditional territory of multiple First Nations who have stewarded the land since time immemorial (Map 1). Uniquely situated adjacent to Elk/Beaver Lake Regional Park, Saanich Commonwealth Place and several multi-family residential developments, the property holds value as a public outdoor recreation area and serves as a linkage to other regional green spaces and community facilities.

The property was most recently operated as a private golf course, which closed in 2017. It has remained vacant since, with the exception of some informal recreational use of the grounds by the public and a private golf business operating from the former clubhouse building. Preexisting facilities include the clubhouse building, adjacent parking lot and workshop, a series of pathways connecting the former fairways, four constructed ponds, and a number of tone poles or "tonals" (vertical metal cylinders used as targets) that were recently set up by disc golf players. Current public recreational uses include walking, running, dog walking, disc golf and cycling.

The property is located within the Coastal Douglas-fir Moist Maritime Biogeoclimatic Zone and includes areas of woodland, open fields, wetlands, and several mature trees that support a variety of bird species. Observations have confirmed the presence of the threatened Pacific Coast population of western painted turtle (*Chrysemys picta bellii*) within the property. Critical habitat has been federally designated for this population and it is protected under the *Species at Risk Act (2021)*. The property falls within the Normandy Creek sub-watershed, which drains into the Colquitz River watershed, an important river system supporting native salmon and trout species. Additional research is needed to fully understand the property's ecological values, including an assessment of ecosystem restoration opportunities, and verifying the presence and critical habitat of known and potential species at risk.

Under Saanich's *Official Community Plan Bylaw 2023*, the eastern portion of the property is within an Urban Containment Boundary and designated as Neighbourhood, while the remainder of the property is designated as Rural Areas. Under Saanich's *Zoning Bylaw 2003*, the entire property is zoned Recreation and Open Space (P-4). The majority of the property is additionally within BC's Agricultural Land Reserve.

1.2 First Nations Reconciliation

Reconciliation Goal 1, within the *Regional Parks and Trails Strategic Plan 2022-2032* (Strategic Plan), is to pursue "Strong, collaborative and mutually beneficial relationships with First Nations through

working in partnership." Priority action 1-1a within the Strategic Plan supports this goal and sets out that the CRD will "Work collaboratively with First Nations to develop and implement priority initiatives related to regional parks and regional trails, such as: Involving First Nations in land acquisition and park management planning and operations."

Six First Nations governments were identified as having asserted Territory over the property. These include the x*sepsum (Esquimalt Nation), BOKEĆEN (Pauquachin First Nation), Songhees Nation, WJOŁEŁP (Tsartlip First Nation), STÁUTW (Tsawout First Nation) and the WSIKEM (Tseycum First Nation). Conversations between the CRD and these Nations began during the land acquisition process to advise them of the purchase and to begin to understand their interests in the property. The MÁLEXEŁ (Malahat First Nation) more recently expressed interest in the acquisition and has since been included in the conversations. CRD staff will continue engaging with First Nations, during the lifespan of this Interim Management Guidelines (IMG) and beyond, to identify and pursue opportunities that respect and support First Nations' self-determination.

1.3 Purpose & Connection to the Parkland Acquisition & Management Planning Processes

The CRD's Land Acquisition Strategy 2020 to 2021 guided the identification of the property as a desirable addition to the regional parks and trails system and the CRD's Land Acquisition Fund was used to facilitate its purchase. The land acquisition process involved assessing the property for conformance with a wide range of criteria, discussions with the property owner, notifying relevant First Nations governments and obtaining approval from the CRD Board.

The purpose of this IMG document is to guide how CRD staff will operate the property while discussions with First Nations are ongoing and in the absence of a park management plan. This includes providing guidance on site securement and how the property is to be utilized on an interim basis. It further guides building relationships and gathering information needed to inform a management planning process.

Once land from the property has been included within an approved park management plan, the IMG will no longer be used to manage the property. The development of a park management plan is a multi-year process which involves extensive First Nations, stakeholder and public engagement, and approval by the CRD Board. CRD staff have refrained from determining whether any land from the property should be added to Elk/Beaver Lake Regional Park while discussions with First Nations are ongoing. Once First Nations interests are understood, CRD staff will determine whether land from the property should be added to Elk/Beaver Lake Regional Park or if it should be used to create a new regional park. If land from the property is added to Elk/Beaver Lake Regional Park, an updated management plan will be developed, which will establish management directions for that park. If land from the property is used to establish a new stand-alone regional park, a new management plan will be developed for the new park with unique management direction.



2. Park Classification

The Strategic Plan establishes a park classification system that is used to assign each regional park one of four classifications based on the intended management outcome. No classification will be assigned to the property while the IMG is in place. The classification will be determined through the future development of the park management plan once more is known about the property and First Nations interests are understood.

3. Interim Park Management Goals

The following interim park management goals are applicable to all park acquisitions and establish the outcomes of implementing the IMG to help to prioritize efforts and resources. They are intended to provide the necessary groundwork for securing the site and undertaking the future development of a park management plan.

Build Relationships

Identify First Nations, local governments, partners, and stakeholders interested in the management of the property and develop working relationships to identify shared goals and future opportunities for collaboration.

Gather Information

Gather baseline archaeological, cultural heritage, ecological, outdoor recreation and infrastructure information to identify park values and priorities to be included within a future park management plan.

Protect Park Values

Conduct site securement, including addressing interim operational, cultural, conservation and outdoor recreation needs, to protect park values.

2

4. Interim Management Actions

The following table outlines a series of interim management actions that will guide the operation of the property over the lifespan of the IMG.

Interim Management Actions	Timing
Reconciliation	
 Work with interested First Nations to gain an understanding of the property's values, including areas, features and/or species of cultural significance 	Ongoing
• Determine the environmental, social, cultural, or economic interests in the property of interested First Nations	Short-term
Complete an archaeological assessment to determine the archaeological potential of the property and document evidence of past human activity	Short-term
Identify opportunities to partner with First Nations to undertake conservation and restoration projects aimed at protecting and strengthening cultural values that could be included as actions within a future park management plan	Long-term
Conservation	
• Inventory and monitor ecological values and recreational use impacts within the property, assess potential threats and implement necessary mitigation measures	Ongoing
• Implement the impact assessment process and use best management practices, as needed, to mitigate potential impacts on the natural environment	Ongoing
Identify and manage property encroachments	Ongoing
 Undertake actions to mitigate potential impacts to known ecological values, including critical habitat and species at risk occurrences based on the current use of the property 	Short-term
• Identify, assess, and remediate sources of environmental contamination and degradation from former land use	Short-term
• Complete an assessment of priority invasive species within the property and identify and implement appropriate management actions	Short-term
• Complete an initial ecological impact assessment of the existing disc golf use on the property and amend the current use, as needed, to mitigate negative impacts to known ecological values, including western painted turtle critical habitat	Short-term
 Complete a full ecological inventory and impact assessment to document all ecological values, including species at risk, and identify potential threats, mitigation options and restoration opportunities 	Short-term
Visitor Experience	
Identify and mitigate visitor safety hazards	Ongoing
Document visitor-use patterns to better understand recreation potential	Ongoing

 Public access to the property will be provided from the adjacent Elk/Beaver Lake Regional Park, which provides appropriate visitor amenities as a primary access node 	Ongoing
• No new public vehicle or bicycle parking, toilets, benches, or garbage cans will be provided within the property—existing facilities at the adjacent Filter Beds and Beaver Lake main parking lots at Elk/Beaver Lake Regional Park will serve visitors	Ongoing
• Maintain existing established trails that provide circulation within the property and access from the adjacent Elk/Beaver Lake Regional Park as hiking trails	Ongoing
• Under the CRD's <i>Dog Management Policy Framework</i> , dogs may be off leash but must remain under effective control at all times	Ongoing
 Enforce Capital Regional District Parks Regulation Bylaw No. 1, 2018 Install minimal infrastructure needed to secure the site 	Ongoing Short-term
• Prepare an interim sign plan to guide the installation of necessary orientation and basic park regulation signage at key trail accesses and intersections	Short-term
 Provide information on the CRD's website informing the public of what outdoor recreational opportunities are available on the property in the interim and what visitor amenities can be expected 	Short-term
• Visitor access to the clubhouse building and adjacent parking lot will be restricted while the future use of this area is being considered	Short-term
• Following an initial impact assessment and implementing priority impact mitigation measures, consider entering a license agreement with an established recreation group to operate the disc golf course on a short-term basis	Short-term
 Reevaluate the disc golf license agreement once a full ecological inventory and impact assessment is complete and amend the agreement, as necessary, to protect ecological values, including critical habitat for species at risk. 	Medium-term
Climate Action & Resiliency	
 Identify opportunities to mitigate climate change, including facilitating active transportation to and from the property and improving natural atmospheric carbon sequestration on site that could be included as actions within a future park management plan 	Long-term
 Identify opportunities to adapt to climate change, including identifying natural hazards to and from the property and necessary mitigation measures that could be included as actions within a future park management plan 	Long-term
Access & Equity	
 Work with partners to identify accessibility and equity barriers and opportunities for improvement that could be included as actions within a future park management plan 	Ongoing


5. Implementation Strategy

5.1 Interim Development Concept

The Interim Development Concept establishes the location of key infrastructure and visitor amenities that will be available while the IMG is in place (Map 2). It should be noted that this concept is temporary and subject to change once First Nations interests are understood and a determination is made about whether land from the property should be added to Elk/Beaver Lake Regional Park or become a new regional park. If land from the property is added to the Elk/Beaver Lake Regional Park, an updated development concept will be prepared during the preparation of the new management plan for the park. If the land from the property is to become a new regional park, a final development concept will be prepared as part of the management plan for the park. The management planning process will include opportunities for the public and First Nations to provide feedback on the development concept, including on what park infrastructure and visitor amenities are included. The types of infrastructure and resources dedicated to installation during the IMG stage are, therefore, very limited and selected accordingly.

5.2 Monitoring and Review

At the beginning of each year, CRD staff will prepare an annual operating plan (AOP) for the property, which will outline the interim management actions that are to be completed within the property over the year, including estimated timelines and required resources. Monitoring of the implementation status of the IMG will occur during the preparation of the AOP and will provide an opportunity for staff to review implementation progress, identify outstanding management actions or new actions that are to be completed, and evaluate the success of completed or ongoing management actions.





REPORT TO THE SOOKE & ELECTORAL AREA PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION MEETING OF TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 03, 2024

SUBJECT Capital Project Funding - SEAPARC

ISSUE SUMMARY

To request approval for borrowing to fund capital projects under the Sooke & Electoral Area Parks and Recreation Commission service.

BACKGROUND

Sooke Skate Park

In 1997, the Rotary Club of Sooke initiated the construction of the Sooke Skate Park, in partnership with the Sooke & Electoral Area Parks and Recreation Commission (SEAPARC) and School District 62. The Rotary Club agreed to build the park and the Commission agreed to lease the site from School District 62 and maintain the park upon its completion. The Sooke Skate Park is currently at end of life. The current land lease is month-to-month. Long-term lease negotiations with the school board are underway.

The existing skate park structure provides very limited opportunities for an incremental renovation approach. The feature dimensioning and layout of the skate park do not meet modern design standards and the steel and concrete installation is not compliant with modern specifications. There is extensive surface deterioration. Repair of the skate park is not a financially viable option.

Staff have contracted a consultant, Radius Skate Parks, to engage with residents to establish a concept design for replacement of the Sooke Skate Park. The next steps for replacement would involve technical design, demolition and construction of a new skate park. Existing drainage issues would be addressed as part of the work. The estimated cost for detailed design and construction is \$1,100,000 and would extend the service life of the skate park by approximately 25 years. Skate Park replacement requires supplementary financing to fund the project. This would need to be grant funds or new debt. Staff have been unsuccessful in identifying applicable grant funds over the past five years.

Heat Recovery System - Phase One

In 2022, SEAPARC emitted 245 t CO₂e, the second-largest emission emitter, accounting for 16% of the CRD's facility greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. To meet the climate targets of the CRD and the District of Sooke, projects have been identified in SEAPARC's 20-year capital plan to reduce emissions.

A 2022 building condition assessment completed by engineering consultant, WSP, forms the base of the 20-year capital plan for SEAPARC. This assessment identified expected end of life of several key components between 2024 and 2030, including:

- evaluation of arena & pool mechanical systems (2024)
- replacement of pool boiler system circulation pumps (2026)

- replacement of pool dehumidifier heat exchangers (2026)
- replacement of pool/admin air handling unit and air conditioning condenser (2026)
- overhaul of pool dehumidifier unit (2030)

When analyzing these required renewals/replacements with a lens on the identified climate goals, staff have planned to initiate a heat recovery project, with detailed design in 2025 and construction in 2026 in the capital plan. Cost of this project is estimated at \$2,200,000 with a Class D estimate and will be further refined once detailed design is complete in 2025. This heat recovery project will have a significant improvement in the GHG emissions at SEAPARC Recreation Centre and will integrate pool and refrigeration systems, domestic hot water and HVAC. The estimated GHG reduction is 120 t CO₂e.

This project requires new debt to proceed, per the approved 2024-2028 budget.

As the SEAPARC service area does not include the entire Juan de Fuca electoral area, there are only two options to obtain participating area approval for borrowing, either through the staff recommended alternative approval process or by referendum/elector assent, which is very costly to conduct.

ALTERNATIVES

Alternative 1

That staff be directed to prepare a new loan authorization bylaw to fund the Sooke Skate Park replacement and phase one of the heat recovery project for SEAPARC Recreation Centre, with electoral approval obtained through the alternative approval process.

Alternative 2

That staff report back on the timeline and financial implications related to the closure and decommissioning of the Sooke Skate Park.

Alternative 3

That this report be referred back to staff for additional information.

IMPLICATIONS

Alignment with Board & Corporate Priorities

The SEAPARC Recreation Centre heat recovery project is critical to support the CRD Board and Corporate Priority on climate action and environment, reducing greenhouse gas emissions. The Sooke Skate Park aligns with the Corporate Priority to provide affordable recreation opportunities that improve livability. The skate park is a free recreational facility, open to all ages, and includes infrastructure for skateboarding, biking and scootering.

Alignment with Existing Plans & Strategies

The 2015-2030 SEAPARC Strategic Plan recommends replacement and expansion of the Sooke Skate Park. The skate park renewal is identified in 2024 in the 5-year capital plan. The heat recovery project is included in the CRD's Climate Action Strategy, and also approved in the 5-year capital plan.

Climate Action Implications

The SEAPARC Recreation Centre heat recovery project is critical to reach the corporate target to reduce greenhouse gas emissions by 45% by 2030. The estimated GHG reduction is 120 t CO_2e and will reduce SEAPARC Recreation Centre emissions by almost 50%. This project will also significantly reduce domestic water use as it is currently required in the cooling process for the refrigeration plant.

Financial Implications

The estimated cost for the skate park including detailed design and construction is \$1,100,000 and would extend the service life of the skate park by approximately 25 years.

The heat recovery project is estimated to cost up to \$2,200,000. Costs will be further refined once detailed design is complete in 2025. The budget estimate for standard replacement of certain heating and cooling components identified in the building condition assessment are estimated at \$500,000 and would be incorporated in the heat recovery project. This project is estimated to save approximately \$300,000 in operating costs over the lifetime of the equipment.

In the approved 5-year capital plan in the 2024-2028 budget, the skate renewal was proposed to be funded by capital reserves (\$250,000) and grants (\$750,000) but grant funding is not materializing due to unavailability of appropriate programs. The heat recovery project was proposed to be funded by new debt (\$2,050,000) and grants (\$150,000).

The capital reserve balance is estimated to be \$985,000 at the end of 2024 and cannot support these projects.

The proposed borrowing for both projects is \$3,150,000 (\$1,100,000 for skate park replacement, \$2,050,000 for heat recovery). The timeline to secure debt is approximately 8 months, as a loan authorization bylaw will need to be passed and elector approval is required.

Based on borrowing of \$3,150,000 million and the forecasted borrowing rate of 4.8% over a 15-year repayment period, the annual debt service cost is estimated at \$315,000. This would represent an approximate increase of 5% in the 2027 base budget. To minimize the effect on the current operating budget, short-term financing would be utilized through the design and construction phases of the projects and long-term debt issue would be planned for spring 2027 when the projects are complete. The short-term borrowing costs will have minimal impact on the 2025 requisition.

Due to these two projects, an annual increase in the transfer to the capital reserve fund is recommended, based on the CRD capital reserve guidelines, at an estimate of between \$25,000-\$75,000 and would start in 2027, once the projects are completed.

Existing SEAPARC debt for weight room equipment is retiring in 2024 and the Throup Road (golf course) property debt will be retired in 2032 (payments of approximately \$54,000 annually).

Service Delivery Implications

The current skate park is at end of life and requires replacement to meet the needs of the

community. There are safety concerns with the current deficiencies at the skate park that require future closure if the skate park is not replaced. Repair is not a financially viable option.

The heat recovery project will improve moisture in the air for the pool facility, reducing the humidex in the natatorium. This will improve the working conditions for the staff in that area. Pending further design, it may be an option to incorporate cooling for arena and/or pool, providing user comfort and climate resiliency.

Social Implications

The Sooke Skate Park provides opportunities for free recreational activity and space for social connectedness in the community.

CONCLUSION

The Sooke Skate Park is at end of life and requires replacement. Several mechanical systems are nearing end of life at SEAPARC Recreation Centre and have been incorporated into a heat recovery project to replace/renew systems while reducing greenhouse gas emissions to meet corporate targets. Borrowing in the amount of \$3,150,000 is required to proceed with these projects.

RECOMMENDATION

The Sooke & Electoral Area Parks and Recreation Commission recommends to the Capital Regional District Board:

That staff be directed to prepare a new loan authorization bylaw to fund the Sooke Skate Park replacement and phase one of the heat recovery project for SEAPARC Recreation Centre, with electoral approval obtained through the alternative approval process.

Submitted by:	Melanie Alsdorf, Manager, SEAPARC Recreation
Concurrence:	Luisa Jones, MBA, General Manager, Parks, Recreation & Environmental Services
Concurrence:	Nelson Chan, MBA, FCPA, FCMA, Chief Financial Officer
Concurrence:	Kristen Morley, J.D., Acting Chief Administrative Officer



REPORT TO TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE MEETING OF WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 11, 2024

SUBJECT Bylaw No. 4630: Regional Transportation Service

ISSUE SUMMARY

To approve the establishment of the Regional Transportation Service (RTS) for the purpose of providing transportation-related services within the Capital Regional District (CRD).

BACKGROUND

Transportation is a priority for residents and the CRD Board. The region has three transportation goals: reduce carbon pollution; support higher rates of walking, cycling and transit use; and address congestion. A 2023-2026 CRD Board strategic priority is to present options for transportation governance change so residents can access convenient, green and affordable multi-modal transportation systems to enhance livability.

On July 10, 2024, the CRD Board unanimously directed staff to draft an establishment bylaw for a RTS that allows for the implementation of all eight service categories, to be brought back for readings by Q4 2024.

Establishing a Regional Transportation Service

The proposed RTS aims to provide more tools to advance regional connectivity and integrated mobility. CRD's existing transportation functions are focused on a coordinating and monitoring role, limited to planning, data collection and analysis, and policy support, and collaboratively working with local governments, the Province and partner agencies to advance actions in the 2014 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP).

This new service would grant the CRD expanded authority to create programs, develop project offerings, and provide funding opportunities to drive transformative changes in transportation behaviour and advance the development of a robust Regional Multi-modal Network (RMN). Unlocking new functions through establishing a regional transportation service will lead to better and more reliable services, unified advocacy and messaging, enhanced regional consistency, better integration between land use and transportation and efficient service delivery through economies of scale and removal of repetition.

A successful service will demonstrate to the Province of British Columbia that the CRD is ready to take on the additional responsibilities necessary to achieve the regional vision for transportation. The RTS will provide resources for a greater range of tools to support and complement both regional and local government-led initiatives.

Local Government Engagement

In the summer and fall of 2023, the CRD engaged with member local governments, electoral areas and partner agencies to solicit feedback on regional transportation governance. The feedback provided the CRD with a baseline understanding of the aspects of transportation governance that local governments are interested in exploring further.

On December 13, 2023, the CRD Board directed staff to initiate concept development and analysis work on an inclusive list of potential transportation service categories. The CRD Board also directed staff to plan for engagement activities and schedule a workshop for Q2 2024.

Staff from each of the local governments, electoral areas, BC Transit, Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure (MoTI), BC Ferries, Victoria Airport Authority, and Island Corridor Foundation continue to participate in ongoing discussions related to this work through the Transportation Working Group (TWG) and/or one-on-one meetings.

Transportation Governance Workshop

Mayors, councillors, electoral area directors, First Nations, and senior local government staff provided feedback at a transportation workshop hosted by the CRD on May 24, 2024, supported by senior staff from BC Transit, MoTI and Island Health. Workshop participants gained clarity and discussed the benefits and concerns of potential new functions related to establishing an RTS. Roundtable discussions covered eight potential service categories:

- Active Transportation and Road Safety
- New Mobility Services
- Behaviour Change
- Transit and Mobility Hubs

- Multi-modal Network and Connectivity
- Data Management and Traffic Analysis
- Grants and Funding
- Transportation Plans

Feedback received at the workshop indicated that participants generally supported the eight categories. Participants recognized the significant dependencies between each category. As such, all eight categories play a vital role in meeting the region's integrated transportation aspirations. Based on the feedback from the workshop and direct stakeholder engagement, the RTS establishment bylaw (Appendix A) has been written with a broad scope to allow for policy, plans, administration, programming, projects and studies to be developed.

Transportation Service Priorities

In the first two years of the RTS, the top priority will be to update the 2014 RTP including the vision, goals and priorities guiding long-term transportation planning and direct the integrated actions and programs undertaken by the RTS. Local government partners, the Province, BC Transit, BC Ferries, Victoria Airport Authority, Island Corridor Foundation, Island Health, First Nations, other stakeholders and the general public will be engaged to ensure a wide range of perspectives and interests are represented. Existing programs currently delivered by the CRD will be expanded to support the needs of an updated integrated RTP, including data collection, monitoring, behaviour change and transportation demand management (TDM) programs.

Concurrent with the RTP update, new programs that align with regional priorities can be developed, including feedback from the TWG. All new programs will be approved through the standard CRD service planning and financial planning processes, where CRD Board members provide input, refine program design, and ensure alignment with regional priorities.

With an updated and integrated RTP with other relevant transportation and transit plans, the CRD will have provided an opportunity to create a unified and consistent long-term vision for transportation, transit, and complete communities across the region. Through the ongoing implementation of the RTS, the CRD will be well-positioned to support local governments in developing transit-oriented communities and to work with BC Transit, the Province, and the federal government to pursue expressions of higher-order transit for the region, including working collaboratively as a regional partner in pursuing Canada Public Transit Fund investment opportunities.

As a growing number of commuters shift to active transportation modes, continual improvement of the portion of the regional trail network being consolidated into the RTS will be an ongoing strategic consideration. Balancing the increasing use of the three regional trails as transportation corridors with continuing recreational uses will remain important, such as through the infrastructure improvements approved through the Regional Trail Widening and Lighting Project.

Supporting Local Projects of Regional Significance

In addition to developing new programs and projects run by the CRD, the RTS will seek to partner with local governments to provide capital project cost-shared grant funding for local government infrastructure investments of regional importance. These capital projects primarily focus on advancing multi-modal infrastructure projects on the RMN yet will also consider improved connectivity to regional trails, mobility hubs, and facilities at mobility hubs. Through the RTP update, assessment criteria will be developed to guide the prioritization of RMN and connectivity projects for regional funding support. This program intends to provide supportive funds to local governments, ideally with additional partner support funding from senior-level governments for high-priority capital projects of regional significance.

The proposed maximum requisition for the service includes \$5 million (M) of requisition space that would allow for either direct grant support for capital projects or for annual debt servicing costs that would enable approximately up to \$80.0M of borrowing based on current rates from the Municipal Finance Authority (MFA). It should be noted that regional districts can only take out loans to support capital projects and assets for which they have ownership of or use agreement for. For capital projects in which the CRD does not have a controlling interest, such as local bike lanes or transit facilities, supporting grants can only come from requisition or other revenue sources.

Governance and Service Design Study

A service design and feasibility study is underway to provide potential governance for best delivering the CRD's current services and expanded functions.

Until otherwise directed, a new RTS would report to the CRD Board through the Transportation Committee, supported by the TWG and Traffic Safety Commission, with consideration for updated Terms of Reference to best support the expanded RTS mandate. A separate bylaw would be passed if subsequent governance change is desired, to be informed by the final recommendation from the governance and service design study.

In the future, it may be determined that additional transportation functions beyond the current legislative ability of the CRD would be beneficial. Establishing and implementing the RTS prepares the region to lead discussions with the Province to create this type of new regional scale entity (e.g., provincial authority or crown corporation). At that time, the CRD, local governments and affected agencies will be able to assess what governance model beyond what the CRD is able to implement itself would best deliver on the regional transportation goals.

ALTERNATIVES

Alternative 1

The Transportation Committee recommends to the Capital Regional District Board:

- 1. That Bylaw No. 4630, "Regional Transportation Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2024", be introduced and read a first, second and third time;
- 2. That participating area approval be obtained by regional alternative approval process;
- 3. That Bylaw No. 4630, be forwarded to the Inspector of Municipalities for approval; and
- 4. That staff be directed to continue to consider governance options and report back in Q1, 2025.

Alternative 2

That this report be referred back to staff for additional information based on Transportation Committee direction.

IMPLICATIONS

Alignment with Board & Corporate Priorities

A 2023-2026 CRD Board strategic priority is to present options for transportation governance change so residents can access convenient, green and affordable multi-modal transportation systems to enhance livability. This priority shifts focus from goal setting to implementation through a new CRD transportation service. Initiative 4a-1 in the CRD Corporate Plan is to develop governance options, including consideration of a new transportation service or authority.

Alignment with Existing Plans & Strategies

CRD plans and strategies have informed concept development and analysis for service design. Relevant plans are the Regional Growth Strategy (RGS), the RTP, the Regional Parks and Trails Strategic Plan, the Regional Trails Management Plan, the Climate Action Strategy, and the Intergovernmental Relations Policy. The service establishment bylaw will align with the overall visions, objectives, and actions of CRD's existing plans.

Climate Implications

The CRD Board has declared a climate emergency. In 2022, on-road transportation accounted for 42% of all carbon pollution in the region. Encouraging new travel behaviours is among the solutions to get more people to take transit, walk and cycle, which will reduce carbon pollution. A significant aspect of the new service is enabling the CRD to offer an expanded regional role to support behaviour change and TDM with new tools and programs.

By better coordinating transportation and housing development, the goal is to align future plans to efficiently locate services and guide growth. From an environmental and climate perspective, focusing growth in areas well served by transit and active transportation can significantly reduce greenhouse gas emissions from travel.

Equity, Diversity & Inclusion Implications

Actions taken through the RTS will consider the needs of equity-seeking groups through concept development and analysis. Ensuring equitable access to transportation will be an important lens through which the new service will analyze and propose solutions to improve travel for all residents of the region.

Financial Implications

The proposed service bylaw includes a 2025 maximum requisition equaling \$20.0M, inclusive of a 10% long-term contingency. The components that have informed this threshold are:

	Current / Baseline	Increase	RTS Max Requisition
Operating Expenses	\$1.3M	\$1.3M	\$2.6M
Staffing Resources	\$2.1M	\$1.1M	\$3.2M
Debt Servicing Costs (Trails Widening and Lighting Project and E&N Trail loan servicing)	\$5.6M	-	\$5.6M
Reserve Transfers	\$1.3M	\$0.5M	\$1.8M
Cost Sharing Regional Significant Projects	-	\$5.0M	\$5.0M
Contingency for Long-Term Growth	-	\$1.8M	\$1.8M
Total	\$10.3M	\$9.7M	\$20.0M

Table 1: Draft Financial Model

The future maximum requisition amounts will be adjusted based on the net taxable value of the land and improvements within the Service Area.

The "Current / Baseline" costs represent the combined CRD expenditures for existing transportation functions from both the Regional Planning and Parks divisions. This includes planning, data management, and behaviour change programs, as well as regional trails management, operation, and maintenance.

The "Increase" column represents the projected budget required to expand on existing programs, develop new programs and undertake capital investments to accomplish the region's transportation goals. This includes increases in operating expenses of \$1.3M, staffing resources to increase service capacity of \$1.1M, and a \$1.8M contingency for long-term growth of the service. The financial model was developed by analyzing the expected increase in effort for each of the proposed activities and programs across the eight transportation categories and was informed by KPMG's work which is summarized in appendix C.

Also included in the "Increase" column is a \$5.0M allocation to provide cost-sharing grants through requisition or debt servicing to support local transportation projects of regional significance. This granting or borrowing will be guided by the prioritization criteria that will be developed through an updated RTP, which will be a top priority for the RTS in the early years of the service.

Cost control for new and expanded programs will be accomplished through the annual service planning process. Larger capital investments beyond simple grant support will also require a loan authorization bylaw for each project. Regarding operating expenses and staffing resources, the values provided in Table 1 are the best possible budget estimates, supported by Appendix C. Before service commences, validating these estimates with Parks Services to determine an operational model for the RTS will be essential. In particular, the operational management of regional trails shifting from Parks to the RTS will be a complex process and more details will be provided when staff reports back in Q1 2025.

It should be noted that the baseline debt servicing costs for the Regional Trails Widening and Lighting Project and the E&N Trail loan are accounted for in the maximum requisition, as the intent of the RTS is to service the loan for these projects. These loans will continue to be held by the Regional Parks service and are expected to remain with them for the duration of the loans, with the RTS transferring funds for loan repayment.

The "RTS Max Requisition" column provides a breakdown of the maximum requisition for the service. This maximum does not represent the initial budget allocation for the service, intended to grow over time from the "Current / Baseline" as programs are expanded or added. Expansion of the service is expected to occur over approximately a minimum of five years (i.e., 2030 or later), with updating the RTP being a critical early step to reconfirm and update strategic priorities and to develop an approach for prioritization of new programs and capital investments.

Given that the CRD is always the title owner of regional trails, asset transfer resulting from the shift of regional trails from Parks to the RTS can be considered at a future date as a final operating model for delivering the RTS is informed by validated budget estimates, inclusive of the proportion of Parks services serving regional trails as compared to the balance of the trail system. In the short term, regional trail assets will be held by the Parks division where the loan authorization needs to remain until the RTS is ready to take over the responsibility of the asset, including renewal of leasehold agreements. From the perspective of continuing to serve the public, it is important to maintain the current level of service and ensure there is no disruption to the management and operations of the regional trails during the interim period where the RTS is being established.

Regarding the cost apportionment model, staff recommend that the costs for the RTS be apportioned based on converted assessment for the participating partners. This apportionment is consistent with that of existing Regional Planning and Regional Parks services. The proposed cost apportionment for participants for 2025 is presented in Appendix B.

Wherever possible, the CRD will take a leadership role in seeking to secure funding streams from senior levels of government on behalf of the region to further leverage local government and/or CRD funding in achieving RTP goals. Speaking with a unified regional voice on transportation will strengthen the case for permanent funding streams and bring essential resources to the region.

First Nations Implications

Staff will continue to inform and engage with First Nations through government-to-government meetings and will be considering additional outreach later in 2024 or early 2025.

Intergovernmental Implications

Local governments, the CRD, BC Transit and MoTI each have different areas of responsibility for planning, regulating, operating and maintaining roads and pedestrian and cycling infrastructure. The responsibilities and authorities included in the RTS establishing bylaw are not intended to alter or take on responsibility for authorities currently held by local governments, BC Transit, or the Province.

The RTS will act only within CRD areas of responsibility and staff will work closely with partners to implement policies and programs. In some cases, such as standardizing wayfinding signage across the region, the RTS could lead the development of these standards or model bylaws, which local governments would adopt and implement. In other initiatives, such as education and awareness programs, the RTS could engage local government staff to develop the materials and then be responsible for running the program regionally.

Legal Implications

A regional district may operate any service it deems desirable, provided that on establishment of the service by way of service establishing bylaw, it received participating area approval. There are three options to obtain participating area approval: regional alternative approval process; municipal consent on behalf with alternative approval process (AAP) in the electoral areas; and referendum/elector assent.

As a regional service focused on transportation is most effective if all municipalities and electoral areas participate, a regional AAP is recommended. This approach would put the responsibility of objecting to the service on the electors, who, if 10% were not in favour, could determine not to proceed. Should an AAP approach be selected, a communications plan will be developed to inform the public about the proposed new service prior to the AAP process.

An alternative is the municipal consent process, which requires unanimous approval of all local governments and electoral areas (EA). This approval process was not recommended as any one municipality or EA can effectively and indefinitely veto the creation of the service. Finally, while a referendum would give voters a direct say on the service, it is significantly more costly than an AAP and has a longer timeline than the other options.

From a timing perspective, following third reading, the bylaw is referred to the Inspector of Municipalities for approval. Once approval is received, then it is expected the AAP process would begin in Q1 2025.

Governance Implications

When the RTS commences, the Transportation Standing Committee will be the single governance body that advises the CRD Board on decisions related to transportation, from planning to programs, to capital infrastructure and operation and maintenance. Regional trail active

transportation-related matters were previously reported to both the Regional Parks Standing Committee and the Transportation Standing Committee. In the RTS governance model, the Regional Parks Standing Committee will no longer be responsible for reporting on the active transportation use of regional trails; instead, it will focus on trails and parks for recreation.

A specific governance and operational model for how the RTS will function is being developed; staff recommends reporting back to the Board in Q1 2025 with greater clarity on more operating details on the continuation of the existing Transportation Standing Committee governance model as well as other potential governance options. Another governance model being explored within the legislative abilities of the CRD is that of a delegated commission, which could be empowered with decision-making authority and could include non-elected membership. These governance model considerations will be addressed in a staff report to be considered by the Board in Q1 2025.

Regional Growth Strategy Implications

The RGS is the key expression of the region's long-term vision for guiding growth. Objective #4 of the RGS is focused on transportation and improving multi-modal connectivity and mobility.

Through the creation of the RTS, existing transportation functions within the Regional Planning and Regional Parks divisions will be consolidated and expanded upon, and new programs will be developed. The service will offer new tools to better deliver on the transportation objectives of the RGS.

With a mandate to integrate transportation and land use planning, the service will be well-positioned to support the alignment of regional transportation options with the development plans of local governments. With the future need to update the RGS in addition to the RTP, the RTS will strengthen the region's capacity to integrate transportation and land-use planning.

Service Delivery Implications

CRD's Legislative Services, Legal, Finance and Regional Parks divisions are working together to create the service and ensure all aspects are considered and addressed efficiently. This is a significant interdepartmental effort that will help set up the service for long term success. The project timeline assumes that the implementation of a new RTS will begin in the second half of 2025.

Regional Trails will continue to operate its current mandate under CRD Regional Parks until a transportation service is established and a transition process has been finalized. This approach includes all capital projects such as CRD Regional Trail Widening and Lighting.

By growing incrementally and delivering tangible benefits to the region, local governments will have the time and opportunity to see how the service has benefitted their community and to consider their support of further expansion of programs or potential capital investments, including new RMN and regional connectivity cost-sharing funding programs with the CRD. This incremental approach would not limit the CRD's ability to continuously study and plan for future programs and investments and new service offerings as the RTS would still follow the normal service and financial planning and Board approval process.

CONCLUSION

The CRD is seeking approval for the establishment of the RTS for the purpose of providing transportation related services within the capital region, and that participating area approval be obtained by the regional alternative approval process.

The goal of creating a RTS is to create more tools to advance regional connectivity and integrated mobility. CRD's existing transportation functions are focused on a coordinating and monitoring role, limited to planning, data collection and analysis, and policy support, collaboratively working with local governments, the Province and partner agencies. A new transportation service would

consolidate existing CRD transportation functions, with additional scope to develop new programs in approved service categories that the CRD can deliver without requiring legislative change. The draft RTS establishment bylaw (Appendix A) has been written with a broad scope to allow for policy, plans, administration, programming, projects, and studies to be developed.

RECOMMENDATION

The Transportation Committee recommends to the Capital Regional District Board:

- 1. That Bylaw No. 4630, "Regional Transportation Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2024", be introduced and read a first, second and third time;
- 2. That participating area approval be obtained by regional alternative approval process;
- 3. That Bylaw No. 4630, be forwarded to the Inspector of Municipalities for approval; and
- 4. That staff be directed to continue to consider governance options and report back in Q1, 2025.

Submitted by:	Noah Brotman, Research Planner, Regional Planning		
Concurrence:	Kevin Lorette, P. Eng., MBA, General Manager, Planning & Protective Services		
Concurrence:	Luisa Jones, MBA, General Manager, Parks, Recreation & Environmental Services		
Concurrence:	Nelson Chan, MBA, FCPA, FCMA, Chief Financial Officer, GM Finance & IT		
Concurrence:	Kristen Morley, J.D., General Manager, Corporate Services & Corporate Officer		
Concurrence:	Ted Robbins, B. Sc., C. Tech., Chief Administrative Officer		

ATTACHMENTS

Appendix A: Bylaw No. 4630, "Regional Transportation Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1,

2024." (draft)

Appendix B: Participant Cost Apportionment Simulation

Appendix C: KPMG Base Governance and Operating Model for a Regional Transportation

Service

CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT BYLAW NO. 4630

A BYLAW TO ESTABLISH A REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION SERVICE

WHEREAS:

- A. Under section 332 of the *Local Government Act* a regional district may, by bylaw, establish and operate any service the Board considers necessary or desirable for all or the part of the regional district;
- B. The Board of the Capital Regional District wishes to establish a service for the purpose of addressing transportation needs within the Capital Regional District;
- C. Participating area approval is required and elector approval has been obtained for the entire service area by alternative approval process, pursuant to s. 342(4) of the *Local Government Act*; and
- D. The approval of the Inspector of Municipalities has been obtained under section 342(1)(a) of the Local Government Act:

NOW THEREFORE the Board of the Capital Regional District in open meeting assembled enacts as follows:

Service

- 1. (a) The service being established and to be operated is a regional transportation service (the "**Transportation Service**") for the purpose of providing services in relation to transportation, including, without the limiting the foregoing:
 - i. Development of transportation policies, plans, programs, projects, and studies, including but not limited to public transit, active transportation, mobility hubs, transportation demand management, and road and trail safety;
 - ii. Provision of transportation information and data services;
 - iii. Management of regional trails that serve a regional transportation purpose, as determined by the Capital Regional District Board, including regional trail planning, policy development, constructing, operating and maintaining regional trail infrastructure, capital planning, and management of land tenure;
 - iv. Acquiring and holding land and interests in land required for the purpose of providing transportation services, including for the establishment and operation of transportation corridors and regional trails;
 - v. Acquiring, receiving, holding, distributing, and granting funding for transportation infrastructure and programs, including by entering into funding agreements, grant agreements, and partnering agreements with other public authorities;
 - vi. Construction, operation, and management of capital works and facilities that

CRD Bylaw No. 4630 Page 2

- support transportation, including but not limited to active transportation, mobility hubs, and other transportation infrastructure;
- vii. Providing services and support to municipal participants and other public authorities relating to transportation services, including but not limited to public transit, active transportation, mobility hubs, transportation demand management, and road and trail safety;
- viii. Managing, operating, and maintaining other transportation systems that serve a regional transportation purpose and are within the jurisdiction of the Capital Regional District.
- (b) Subsection (a) is not intended to alter or affect the dedication as regional trail of any designated regional trail nor to impair the use of the designated regional trails for the purpose of public recreation and enjoyment and ancillary nature conservation.
- (c) Subsection (a) is not intended to alter or affect the statutory powers of the Province of British Columbia or of any municipal participant in respect of a public highway within their respective jurisdictions.

Boundaries

2. The boundaries of the Transportation Service are the boundaries of the Capital Regional District.

Participating Areas

3. All municipalities and electoral areas within the Capital Regional District are the participating areas for this service.

Cost Recovery

- 4. As provided in Section 378 of the *Local Government Act*, the annual cost of providing the Service shall be recovered by one or more of the following:
 - (a) property value taxes imposed in accordance with Division 3 of Part 11 of the *Local Government Act*:
 - (b) fees and charges imposed under Section 397 of the Local Government Act;
 - (c) revenues raised by other means authorized by the *Local Government Act* or another Act;
 - (d) revenues received by way of agreement, enterprise, gift, grant or otherwise.

Cost Apportionment

5. The annual costs for the service, net of grants and other revenues, shall be apportioned among the participating areas on the basis of the converted value of land and improvements in the participating areas.

CRD Bylaw No. 4630 Page 3

Maximum Requisition

6. In accordance with Section 339(1)(e) of the *Local Government Act*, the maximum amount that may be requisitioned annually for the cost of the Service is the greater of:

- (a) Twenty Million (\$20,000,000); or
- (b) An amount equal to the amount that could be raised by a property value tax rate of \$0.10834 per ONE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$1,000.00) that, when applied to the net taxable value of the land and improvements within the Service Area, will yield the maximum amount that may be requisitioned for the Service.

Citation

7. This Bylaw may be cited as "Regional Transportation Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2024".

CHAIR	CORPORATE OFFICER	
ADOPTED THIS	day of	, 2025
RECEIVED PARTICIPATING AREA APPROVAL UNDER SECTION 342(4) OF THE <i>LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT</i> THIS	day of	, 2025
APPROVED BY THE INSPECTOR OF MUNICIPALITIES THIS	day of	, 2024
READ A THIRD TIME THIS	day of	, 2024
READ A SECOND TIME THIS	day of	, 2024
READ A FIRST TIME THIS	day of	, 2024

FILED WITH THE INSPECTOR OF MUNICIPALITIES THIS day of

Participant Cost Apportionment Simulation

	Converted		Current	Current Cost per	Maximum	Maximum Cost per
	Assessments	Percent	Requisition	Avg. Residential	Requisition	Avg. Residential
Service Participants	2024	of Total	(\$)	Assessment *	(\$)	Assessment *
<u>Municipalities</u>						
Central Saanich	940,613,176	4.5%	458,615	\$55.17	890,514	\$107.12
Colwood	826,945,685	3.9%	403,194	\$48.84	782,900	\$94.83
Esquimalt	687,695,262	3.3%	335,299	\$51.48	651,067	\$99.97
Highlands	133,874,982	0.6%	65,273	\$63.31	126,744	\$122.93
Langford	2,186,331,024	10.3%	1,065,989	\$46.35	2,069,881	\$90.00
Metchosin	228,180,080	1.1%	111,254	\$64.47	216,027	\$125.19
North Saanich	928,795,732	4.4%	452,853	\$77.78	879,326	\$151.04
Oak Bay	1,262,567,858	6.0%	615,590	\$90.54	1,195,320	\$175.81
Saanich	5,433,968,140	25.7%	2,649,438	\$57.56	5,144,540	\$111.77
Sidney	769,254,206	3.6%	375,065	\$45.14	728,282	\$87.65
Sooke	582,186,138	2.8%	283,856	\$39.10	551,177	\$75.91
Victoria	5,022,381,103	23.8%	2,448,761	\$51.81	4,754,876	\$100.60
View Royal	506,524,168	2.4%	246,966	\$52.18	479,545	\$101.32
	19,509,317,554	92.4%	9,512,152		18,470,199	
Electoral Areas						
Juan de Fuca	369,767,091	1.8%	180,287	\$44.41	350,072	\$86.24
Salt Spring Island	695,133,309	3.3%	338,926	\$51.12	658,109	\$99.27
Southern Gulf Islands	550,966,010	2.6%	268,634	\$39.11	521,620	\$75.94
	1,615,866,410	7.6%	787,848		1,529,801	
<u>Total</u>	21,125,183,964	100.0%	10,300,000		20,000,000	

Prepared September 2024

Assessment data is based on information used for 2024 Final Budget, subject to annual update

^{*} The cost per average residential assessment is a theoretical calculation that provides a directional indicator on impacts to residential rate payers only. It can be used as an approximation of cost for a residential household if a home's assessment value is at or near the 'Average Residential Assessed Value'



Base Governance and Operating Model For a Regional Transportation Service

Capital Regional District

KPMG LLP

September 2024



Background & purpose:


KPMG has been engaged to support the Capital Regional District ("CRD") in developing governance and operating models to deliver a transportation service for the Region (the "Project"). The service is intended to accelerate the adoption of, and enhance coordination, among the member jurisdictions towards the outcomes and objectives of the Regional Transportation Plan ("RTP"). This includes the development of:

- 1 A base case governance and operating model to deliver the scope of a Regional Transportation Service ("RTS"), intended to be a first step towards formalizing delivery of a coordinated regional service. The RTS expands the depth and breadth of the existing transportation service, delivered by the CRD, within the bounds of the existing CRD legislation. Not all outcomes of the RTP will be delivered through the scope of the RTS.
- 2 A concept operating and governance model for RTS and alternate models to deliver the scope of a Long-Term Regional Transportation Entity ("LT RTE"). The scope of services delivered by the LT RTE will require legislative change and cannot be delivered within the existing CRD authorities. All outcomes of the RTP can be achieved through the scope of an LT RTE.

The overview of the Project is shown in Figure 1 below, with the scope of this Report outlined. This Report provides an overview of the scope of the RTS, the required base case governance and operating model for delivery of the RTS and the cost analysis undertaken to estimate the steady state operational cost of the service and the maximum requisition. It has been estimated to support the RTS Establishment Bylaw submission to the Board in September.

Further analysis is ongoing to shortlist and evaluate the alternative governance and operating models for the scope of an RTS and LT RTE and will be submitted for Board consideration at a future date.

Figure 1: Overview of the Project



RTS: scope and base case governance structure

The RTS consolidates the existing transportation activities performed through both the Regional Parks and Regional Planning Services whilst increasing the breadth and depth of these activities. Expansion of activities is limited by the bounds of existing legislation (i.e., CRD's current authorities). Whilst not all outcomes of the RTP can be fully realized through the scope of an RTS, the increased scope and focus on regional transportation activities will enable greater ability to deliver on multi-modal priorities. Implementing the RTS does not require legislative change and can be delivered within a similar design to the current governance and operating model.

Scope

The scope of the RTS was defined by the CRD to span eight transportation service categories. These categories expand the breadth and depth CRD's transportation activities within current legislation and forms the foundation for achieving the multi-modal priorities within the RTP. An illustrative summary of the expansion of activities across each transportation category, from current state to the RTS, is shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Summary of the expansion of breadth and depth of activities in RTS across the pre-established transportation categories *

Transportation categories transportation activities	Active Transportation & Road Safety	New Mobility	Behaviour Change	Transit & Mobility Hubs	Multi-modal Network & Connectivity	Transportation Plans
Develop Regional Strategy						
Define standards and levels of service						
Coordinate policy / bylaws						
Develop multi-modal bylaws						
Develop and monitor policy						
Prioritize & agree on priorities						
Design & execute projects						
Operate & maintain assets						
			Current Stat	te		Not within the bounds of the CRD legislation

^{*} The current state transportation activities are also to be performed in the RTS.



The additional transportation categories of Data Management & Traffic Analysis, and Funding & Grants, are enabling categories to deliver the other six categories noted. Data Management & Traffic Analysis predominantly increases in depth through the expansion of the existing activities performed such as increased data collection and accessibility, whilst Funding & Grants is envisioned to support new activities such as funding partnerships and joint procurement.

Governance model to deliver the proposed RTS

The proposed RTS governance model leverages existing transportation-related committees (e.g., Transportation Standing Committee) and supporting structures from the current state governance model. For the purposes of this Project's base case definition, the RTS is established as a new division reporting to the existing Transportation Standing Committee.

The consolidation of the existing CRD transportation activities into one division with a single governance body will support the CRD to achieve the goals of the RTP. The Transportation Standing Committee will provide transportation recommendations to the Board based on a single, coordinated transportation vision for the Region.

Operating model to deliver the proposed RTS

The proposed RTS expands the depth and breadth of the existing transportation service delivered by the CRD. Through discussions and working sessions with the CRD, it was estimated that the additional support required to deliver the RTS scope equates to approximately a 40% uplift in the number of full-time equivalents ("FTEs"), when compared to current state resources. An increase in funding is also required for the provision of goods and services to implement and run the expanded breadth and depth of transportation activities. This is captured in the cost analysis below.

The uplift of 40% was determined in consultation with the CRD and through analyzing the expected increase in effort for each of the proposed activities and programs across the eight transportation categories. This uplift reflects the steady state FTE requirement to sustain the RTS.

Cost analysis of the RTS

An indicative and directional exercise was conducted with CRD inputs and guidance to develop a high-level cost analysis that estimates a range for the:

- approximate steady state cost of the RTS operating under the base case operating and governance model; and
- maximum requisition* of the RTS for the purposes of CRD creating a new service establishment bylaw, with a contingency included.
- * Maximum requisition represents the legal upper limit amount the CRD can levy in taxes from member jurisdictions each year.

The basis of the RTS cost comprises four broad segments of cost categories (see Error! Reference source not found. for details):

1 operating expenditures;

- 2 arowth contingencies:
- 3 capital expenditures; and
- 4 one-time implementation costs to manage the process of establishing the service.

RTS Maximum Requisition	Reference costs for RTS
RTS operating expenditure	Capital expenditure – not considered in
Salaries and benefits	the RTS maximum requisition. Funded through a combination of capital reserve
O&M (excluding labour)	funds, equipment reserve funds, and debt
Provision of Goods & Services	servicing
Other operating costs	One-time implementation cost – not
Future governance costs	considered in the RTS maximum requisition. Amount captured by the
Reserve funds: ORF, CRF, ERF	'growth contingency' in the maximum
Debt servicing costs	requisition calculation.
Cost-sharing regional significant projects	
RTS Growth contingency	

Figure 2: Overview of RTS cost categories

Note: Only #1 operating expenditures (includes reserve funds and debt servicing costs) and #2 growth contingency contribute to the maximum requisition calculation. The #4 one-time implementation cost is assumed to be captured under the #2 growth contingency amount. #3 Capital expenditures are funded through #1 operating expenditures, either through capital reserve funds ("CRF"), equipment replacement funds ("ERF") or debt servicing costs. As such, #3 capital expenditures and #4 one-time implementation costs are not separately accounted for in the RTS maximum requisition calculation; instead, their costs are captured under #1 operating expenditures and #2 growth contingency, respectively.

Estimations developed for this Project are illustrative in nature and relied on cost information provided and assumptions validated by the CRD. Summary values are presented in ranges to reflect the illustrative nature of estimates presented as an order-of-magnitude reference for the purposes of this Project. Variability of actual costs incurred within each year reflect fluctuations of these cost items over the past five years of cost information reviewed.

Limitations of this analysis

All information and data used in the development of this cost analysis was either provided by the CRD finance team, developed iteratively in conjunction with the CRD finance team and other key staff, or gathered from publicly available resources. The information was continuously reviewed, refined, and validated with relevant CRD staff throughout the analysis. As such, inputs gathered and used for this Project represent a point-in-time assessment of the cost estimations. The reader is advised that variability will exist year-to-year, and will change subject to macro- and micro-economic shifts in the political, commercial, and public realms.

KPMG did not independently verify information provided by the CRD for this analysis, and, accordingly, the analysis and results presented herein do not constitute auditable results or forecasts. KPMG expresses no opinion regarding the accuracy of the cost and operational information provided by the CRD. CRD management has been responsible for directing KPMG on inputs used and adjustments applied for this analysis.



Methodology

Three methodologies were used to derive the cost inputs for the RTS, where applicable.

1 Use CRD's historical averages as the base, apply a factor of current state to scale up.

This methodology is applicable to cost categories where historical financial data is considered sufficiently representative to serve as a basis for future projections. Therefore, the 5-year historical averages between 2019 and 2023 are used as the starting point, and the RTS cost input is determined based on the effort required to deliver services in comparison to the current state.

2 Use CRD's planned future cost, or industry benchmarking price.

In certain instances, planned future costs were available and provided by the CRD, which was deemed to serve as a more accurate benchmark for the RTS cost input. Hence, a 5-year average cost between 2024 and 2028 was taken to estimate a steady state annual cost for the RTS. For staff paygrades, benchmarking rates from the Canadian Union of Public Employees ("CUPE") were applied.

3 Use CRD's directional input.

For cost items where neither of the first two approaches (i.e., method #1 or method #2) were applicable or deemed representative for the RTS projection, the CRD's directional input was used to generate a more realistic estimate.

Analysis

As presented in Figure 2, eight (8) cost items make up the total estimated operating costs for the RTS. These eight items plus growth contingency make up the total estimated maximum requisition to be considered for establishing the RTS. Below, a description of input sources, adjustment factors, and rationales for adjustments applied for each of the cost categories are described.

Salaries and benefits

This cost item includes salaries and wages, including benefits paid to staff. The annual costs for the RTS have been derived using the 2024 Staffing Cost Estimate for High Level IBC's, based on the 2025 rates for managers and CUPE rates for non-management staff. Non-management staff costs are divided into CUPE paygrades J13-17, and J18-21 to reflect the pay difference between lower-level staff responsible for operations and maintenance related work for trails, and senior-level non-management staff responsible for planning and strategy related activities.

From the current state, an estimated 40% uplift in total FTE is required to deliver the expanded breadth and depth of activities of the RTS. To support the 40% increase in the number of FTE required, a 55%* uplift in salaries and benefits is required to support this. Refer to notes above on how the uplift amounts were estimated and validated with CRD.

Salaries and benefits	# FTE	RTS estimated annual cost ('000s and rounded to nearest thousand)	Compared to Current State
Management staff	3	\$483	
Non-management staff CUPE J13-17	13	\$1,326	† 550/
Non-management staff CUPE J18-21	10	\$1,316	î 55%
Seasonal staff	2	\$85	
Total salaries and benefits	28	\$3,210	1 55%

^{* 55%} increase due to hiring more senior level staff. As well, average paygrades calculated using industry benchmark, which are slightly higher than current CRD pay rates.

Operations and Maintenance ("O&M") (excluding labour)

O&M encompasses costs related to vehicles & equipment, maintenance & repairs, excluding labour-related costs (captured under *Salaries and benefits*). Historically, O&M spending has been operating at a deficit due to constrained funding, as indicated by CRD staff (i.e., actual annual O&M for the service exceeds annual budget). Hence, a 20% uplift has been applied in the RTS, at CRD's directional input, to reflect both the historic deficit and increase in O&M required to support the shift of trails from recreational to active transportation corridors.

O&M (excluding labour)	RTS estimated annual cost ('000s and rounded to nearest thousand)	Compared to Current State
O&M (excluding labour)	\$209	† 20%

Provision of goods and services

The provision of goods and services is comprised of contracts for services, program development costs, and the Traffic Safety Commission. Consistent with the estimated 40% uplift in FTE required to support the increased breadth and depth of activities, a 40% uplift is also applied to contract for services for the RTS.

Program development costs encompass costs for existing and new programs to be delivered. The CRD finance and regional planning teams have constructed a bottom-up plan that outlines the uplift for program development cost based on the eight transportation categories.



Provision of goods and services	RTS estimated annual cost ('000s and rounded to nearest thousand)	Compared to Current State
Contract for services	\$213	1 40%
Traffic Safety Commission cost	\$75	_*
Program development cost	\$1,024	1 445%
Total provision of goods and services	\$1,312	1 216%

^{*}The Traffic Safety Commission has no additional uplift in cost as the additional breadth and depth of its scope in the RTS is captured in the bottomup planning for program development costs.

Other operating costs

Other operating costs consists of all other operating costs, including allocations, insurance, utilities & disposal, operating supplies, legal, and more. Similar to FTEs and contract for services, a 40% uplift has also been applied to capture the increase in cost required to deliver the increased breadth and depth of new activities in the RTS.

Other operating costs	RTS estimated annual cost ('000s and rounded to nearest thousand)	Compared to Current State
Other operating costs	\$1,014	1 40%

Future governance costs

In consultation with the CRD and consistent with the current state, members of existing advisory committees, commissions and working groups are not compensated separately as related costs are captured in their salaries. As the current state governance is adopted for the RTS governance model, no additional future governance costs are estimated to be incurred in the RTS.

Future governance costs	RTS estimated annual cost ('000s and rounded to nearest thousand)	Compared to Current State
Future governance costs	-	n/a

Reserve funds

There are three categories of reserve funds: Operating Reserve Fund ("ORF"), CRF and ERF. The ORF is designed to build up a reserve to fund future one-time initiatives, cyclical and unexpected program costs, and leverage cost-share grant opportunities for non-capital projects and programs. The CRF and the ERF, along with debt servicing costs, will fund the RTS capital expenditures.

The ORF for the RTS has been based on the *CRD Operating Reserve Guidelines*. For the CRF, the CRD's Regional Parks Service provided planned figures for 2024-2028 and the 5-year average, with additional contingency to build up a long-term reserve as the projection for the RTS.

Similar to the CRF, the ERF also uses a 5-year average of the planned 2024-2028 expenditures provided by the Regional Parks Service, plus the 5-year historical average from 2019-2023 provided by the Regional Planning Service. An additional contingency has also been added to the ERF for the RTS. The magnitude of contingency defined with guidance by the CRD.

Costs are indicative only and based on historical planning with no major trail or leasehold improvements included. Future reserve transfers will be determined based on future capital and program planning activities.

Reserve funds	RTS estimated annual cost ('000s and rounded to nearest thousand)	Compared to Current State
ORF	\$100	-*
CRF	\$1,500	† 44%
ERF	\$250	† 63%
Total reserve funds	\$1,850	† 32%

^{*}For comparison purposes, the Current State indicates no budgeted ORF transfers due to sufficient Regional Planning ORF balance. Past transfers from 2019-2023, comprising of surplus budget and grant funds, are inconsistent and unreliable for future planning.

Debt servicing costs

Debt servicing costs are dedicated to capital costs of leasehold improvements, specifically the expansion and widening of regional trails for active transportation. The average annual cost for debt servicing in the current state is \$5.6 million and this is expected to remain consistent in the RTS, as directed by the CRD finance team.

Debt servicing costs	RTS estimated annual cost ('000s and rounded to nearest thousand)	Compared to Current State
Debt servicing costs – trails	\$5,600	-



Cost-sharing regional significant projects

This is a new cost category, identified by the CRD, to leverage future capital cost-sharing projects or initiatives for regionally significant projects as identified in the RTP. The CRD has advised that \$5 million should be set aside annually to contribute to cost-sharing initiatives.

Cost-sharing regional significant projects	RTS estimated annual cost ('000s and rounded to nearest thousand)	Compared to Current State
Cost-sharing regional significant projects	\$5,000	1 new cost category

Summary

The annual steady state expenditure for the RTS in 2030 and onwards ranges between \$18.0 million and \$18.4 million. For the purposes of this summary, the average annual steady state operating cost of RTS is assumed to be approximately \$18.2 million.

Cost categories	RTS ('000s and rounded to nearest thousand)	
Annual expenditure at steady state (annual operating expenditure)		
Salaries and benefits	\$3,210	
O&M (excluding labour)	\$209	
Provision of goods and services	\$1,312	
Other operating costs	\$1,014	
Future Governance costs	-	
Reserve funds	\$1,850	
Debt servicing costs	\$5,600	
Cost-sharing regional significant projects	\$5,000	
TOTAL annual operating expenditure	\$18,195 (\$17,953 - \$18,418)	

The maximum requisition is calculated as the highest value from the annual steady state RTS cost range (i.e., total annual operational expenditure cost range), plus additional costs allocated for *growth contingency*. *Growth contingency* is incorporated into the calculation of the maximum requisition to accommodate for any unforeseen capital or operating expenses and ensure there is room for future growth of the new RTS. A 5-10% amount of the annual operating expenditure was recommended by the CRD for the *Growth contingency* to round the maximum requisition to the nearest million.

Makeup of maximum requisition	RTS ('000s and rounded to nearest thousand)
Maximum steady state annual expenditures	\$18,418
Growth contingency*	\$1,582
Maximum requisition	\$20,000

*In practice, the growth contingency could range between \$1.6 million and \$2 million, depending on the actual steady-state annual expenditures within the projected range (i.e., range of \$18.0 - \$18.4 million).

Limitations to the RTS

This Report focuses on the estimated financial implications to deliver the RTS. The figures presented in this Report reflect the approximate, associated steady-state operating costs for the purposes of understanding a potential maximum requisition for CRD's service establishment bylaw. Whilst the RTS is expected to drive an integrated and consolidated transportation service for the region, it is limited by existing legislation and is unable to deliver on all the outcomes of the RTP. Further analysis is being conducted to explore longer-term governance and operating models to support the delivery of the scope of an LT RTE, which will require legislative change. Analysis on options of governance and operating models for an LT RTE will be submitted for Board consideration at a future date.

© 2024 KPMG LLP, an Ontario limited liability partnership and member firm of the KPMG global organization of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Limited, a private English company limited by guarantee. KPMG Canada provides services to KPMG LLP.

This Report has been prepared by KPMG LLP ("KPMG") for Capital Regional District ("Client") pursuant to the terms of our engagement agreement with Client dated 2024-02-21 (the "Engagement Agreement"). KPMG neither warrants nor represents that the information contained in this Report is accurate, complete, sufficient or appropriate for use by any person or entity other than Client or for any purpose other than set out in the Engagement Agreement. This Report may not be relied upon by any person or entity other than Client, and KPMG hereby expressly disclaims any and all responsibility or liability to any person or entity other than Client in connection with their use of this Report.

CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT BYLAW NO. 4560

A BYLAW TO AMEND BYLAW NO. 2587, ROYAL THEATRE LOCAL SERVICE AREA ESTABLISHMENT BYLAW NO. 1, 1998

WHEREAS:

- A. Under Bylaw No. 2587, "Royal Theatre Local Service Area Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 1998", the Regional Board established a service for the purpose of purchasing, maintaining, equipping, operating, and selling the Royal Theatre;
- B. The Board wishes to amend Bylaw No. 2587 to modernize the bylaw and provide greater budgeting flexibility to ensure the Royal Theatre can be maintained, equipped, and operated in a way that promotes the long-term sustainability and usefulness of the facility; and
- C. Pursuant to section 349 of the *Local Government Act* (*LGA*), an establishing bylaw may be amended with the consent of at least 2/3 of the participants and, pursuant to section 346 of the *LGA*, a municipal council may give consent on behalf of a municipal participating area.

NOW THEREFORE, the Capital Regional District Board in open meeting assembled hereby enacts as follows:

- 1. Bylaw No. 2587, "Royal Theatre Local Service Area Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 1998", is hereby amended as follows:
 - (a) By deleting Sections 4, 5 and 6 in their entirety and substituting the following:

Cost Recovery

- 4. The annual cost of providing the service shall be recovered pursuant to Section 378 of the *Local Government Act* by one or more of the following:
 - a) property value taxes imposed in accordance with Division 3 [Requisition and Tax Collection] of Part 11 of the Local Government Act;
 - b) fees and charges imposed under Section 397 of the Local Government Act;
 - c) revenues raised by other means authorized under the *Local Government Act* or another Act; or
 - d) revenues received by agreement, enterprise, gift, grant or otherwise.

Maximum Requisition

- 5. In accordance with Section 339(1)(e) of the *Local Government Act*, the maximum amount that may be requisitioned annually for the cost of the service is the greater of:
 - a) five hundred and eighty thousand (\$580,000); or
 - b) an amount equal to the amount that could be raised by a property value tax rate of \$0.00590 per One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000) that, when applied to the net taxable value of land and improvements in the Service Area, will yield the maximum amount that may be requisitioned for the service.

Apportionment

- 6. a) The annual costs for the service, net of grants and other revenues, shall be apportioned among the participating areas, as follows:
 - i. fifty (50) per cent of the costs on the basis of the population of the participating areas; and
 - ii. fifty (50) per cent of the costs on the converted value of land and improvements in the participating areas.
 - b) Population, for the purpose of this section, is the population estimate as determined annually by the Regional Planning department of the Capital Regional District.
- (b) By deleting the paragraph under the heading "Grants in Lieu of Taxes" and substituting the following:
 - 7. For the purpose of Section 389 of the *Local Government Act* funds paid to the Regional District in respect of the service will be held to the credit of the participant making the payment.
- (c) By deleting Section 8 in its entirety and substituting the following:

Committee and Operation

- 8. a) The Board of the Regional District may maintain, operate, equip and sell the Royal Theatre in accordance with the applicable provisions of the *Local Government Act*.
 - b) The Board of the Regional District may by bylaw provide for the establishment of a committee for the purpose of managing the administration and operation of the Royal Theatre and may, in relation to the committee, delegate the powers of the Regional Board under section 263 of the *Local Government* Act.

2. This bylaw may be cited for all purposes No. 1, 1998, Amendment Bylaw No. 1, 202		Theatre Local Service	Area Estal	olishment Bylaw
READ A FIRST TIME THIS	12 th	day of	July,	2023
READ A SECOND TIME THIS	12 th	day of	July,	2023
READ A THIRD TIME THIS	12 th	day of	July,	2023
APPROVED BY AT LEAST TWO-THIRDS OF PARTICIPANTS THIS	27 th	day of	June,	2024
APPROVED BY THE INSPECTOR OF MUNICIPALITIES THIS	19 th	day of	July,	2024
ADOPTED THIS	th	day of		202_
CHAIR		CORPORATE OFFI	CER	

FILED WITH THE INSPECTOR OF MUNICIPALITIES THIS _____ day of _____ 2024.

CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT

BYLAW NO. 4588

A BYLAW TO AUTHORIZE THE BORROWING OF FIFTY MILLION DOLLARS (\$50,000,000) FOR THE PURPOSE OF WIDENING AND LIGHTING REGIONAL TRAILS

WHEREAS:

- A. The Board of the Capital Regional District adopted Bylaw No. 1749, "Regional Parks Extended Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 1989" for the functions of acquiring, developing, operating, and maintaining Regional Parks, subject to the Park (Regional Act);
- B. The Board of the Capital Regional District requires financing for the widening and lighting of sections of the Galloping Goose and Lochside regional trails (the Project);
- C. The portion the Project cost to be funded by debt servicing is the sum of Fifty Million Dollars (\$50,000,000), which is the amount of debt intended to be authorized by this bylaw;
- D. Pursuant to the *Regional District Liabilities Regulation*, BC Reg 261/2004, elector approval is not required if borrowing for a regional park or trail service and consent on behalf of the participants shall be obtained pursuant to section 349(1)(b) of the *Local Government Act*;
- E. The approval of the Inspector of Municipalities is required under Section 406 and 407 of the *Local Government Act*: and
- F. Financing is to be undertaken by the Municipal Finance Authority of British Columbia pursuant to agreements between it and the Capital Regional District.

NOW THEREFORE the Capital Regional District Board in open meeting assembled hereby enacts as follows:

- 1. The Board is hereby empowered and authorized to undertake and carry out or cause to be carried out the acquisition of land and to do all things necessary in connection therewith and without limiting the generality of the foregoing:
 - a) to borrow upon the credit of the Capital Regional District a sum not exceeding Fifty Million Dollars (\$50,000,000); and
 - b) to acquire all such real property, easements, rights-of-way, leases, licenses, rights, or authorities as may be requisite or desirable for or in connection with the Project.

- 2. The maximum term for which debentures may be issued to secure the debt intended to be created by this bylaw is fifteen (15) years.
- 3. This Bylaw may be cited as "Regional Parks Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 1, 2024".

READ A FIRST TIME THIS	13 th	day of	March,	2024
READ A SECOND TIME THIS	13 th	day of	March,	2024
READ A THIRD TIME THIS	13 th	day of	March,	2024
APPROVED BY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL CONSENT PROCESS PER S.346 and S.347 OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT THIS	13 th	day of	June,	2024
APPROVED BY THE INSPECTOR OF MUNICIPALITIES THIS	26 th	day of	July,	2024
ADOPTED THIS		day of		202
CHAIR	CORP	ORATE OFFICER		

day of

FILED WITH THE INSPECTOR OF MUNICIPALITIES THIS

CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT BYLAW NO. 4601

A BYLAW TO AMEND A BYLAW TO AMEND THE GANGES SEWER SYSTEM ESTABLISHING BYLAW (BYLAW NO. 1923)

WHEREAS:

- A. Under Bylaw No. 1328, "Ganges Sewer Defined Area Bylaw, 1985", the Board established a Defined Area for sewage collection and disposal, and converted it into a service by establishing Bylaw No. 1923, "Ganges Sewer System Establishing Bylaw, 1991";
- B. The Board wishes to amend Bylaw No. 1923 to include Lot B Plan VIP20705 Section 19 Range 4E Cowichan Portion North Salt Spring (also known as 105 Kilner Road) in the Service Area and to adjust language to reflect the current Service Area in a single schedule; and
- C. The Electoral Area Director may provide elector assent in writing pursuant to s.349 of the *Local Government Act*;

NOW THEREFORE, the Board in open meeting assembled hereby enacts as follows:

- 1. Bylaw No. 1923, "Salt Spring Island Ganges Sewerage Local Service Establishment Bylaw, 1991", is hereby amended:
 - (a) by deleting Schedule "A" and inserting the attached Schedule "A", which includes Lot B Plan VIP20705 Section 19 Range 4E Cowichan Portion North Salt Spring, also known as 105 Kilner Road;
- 2. This bylaw may be cited for all purposes as the "Salt Spring Island Ganges Sewerage Local Service Establishment Bylaw, 1991, Amendment Bylaw No. 14, 2024".

READ A FIRST TIME THIS	8 th	day of	May,	2024
READ A SECOND TIME THIS	8 th	day of	May,	2024
READ A THIRD TIME THIS	8 th	day of	May,	2024
CONSENTED TO BY THE ELECTORAL AREA DIRECTOR OF SALT SPRING ISLAND THIS	29 th	day of	May,	2024
APPROVED BY THE INSPECTOR OF MUNICIPALITIES THIS	19 th	day of	July,	2024
ADOPTED THIS		day of		202_

CHAIR	CORPORATE OFFICER

