

#### **Capital Regional District** SPECIAL MEETING

625 Fisgard St., Victoria, BC V8W 1R7

#### **Notice of Meeting and Meeting Agenda Peninsula Recreation Commission**

Thursday, October 2, 2025

6:00 PM

Greenglade Room 6 2151 Lannon Way Sidney, BC V8L 3Z1 Videoconference

N. Paltiel (Chair), P. DiBattista (Vice-Chair), K. Frost, S. Garnett, P. Jones, V. Kreiser, C. McNeil-Smith, P. Murray, R. Windsor

- 1. Territorial Acknowledgement
- 2. Approval of Agenda
- 3. Adoption of Minutes
  - 3.1 Minutes of the September 18, 2025, Peninsula Recreation Commission meeting

Recommendation: That the minutes of the Peninsula Recreation Commission meeting of

September 18, 2025, be adopted as circulated.

Attachment: Minutes - September 18, 2025

- 4. Chair's Remarks
- 5. Presentations/Delegations District of Central Saanich
- 6. Commission Business

#### 6.1 2026 Budget Review and Approval Process - Panorama Recreation

Recommendation: The Peninsula Recreation Commission recommends the Committee of the Whole

recommend to the Capital Regional District Board:

That Appendix A, Operating & Capital Budget 2026-2030 - Panorama Recreation, be approved as presented and form the basis of the Provisional 2026-2030 Financial Plan.

Staff Report: 2026 Budget Review and Approval Process - Panorama Recreation Attachment:

#### 6.2 Arena Accessibility Improvement Project Revised Scope Proposal

- **Recommendations:** 1. That the Peninsula Recreation Commission:
  - endorses the revised project scope as presented in this report for the arena improvements;
  - directs staff to engage consultants to conduct further analysis including detailed design with engineering and structural assessments, and revised cost estimates based on new project timing, and report back to the PRC; and

- c. directs staff to explore funding options including levies, partnerships and grant opportunities as funding options for the addition of a Junior Team changeroom off Arena B, and report back to the PRC.
- 2. That the Peninsula Recreation Commission recommends to the Capital Regional District Board: That the five-year capital plan be amended to remove the arena improvement project.

Attachment: Staff Report: Arena Accessibility Improvement Project Revised Scope Proposal

#### 6.3 Peninsula Recreation Facility in Central Saanich – For Decision

**Recommendations:** The Peninsula Recreation Commission recommends to the Capital Regional District Board:

- 1. That the five-year Peninsula Recreation Capital Plan be amended to include the Peninsula Recreation Facility in Central Saanich project including \$1 million from Capital Reserves and an additional \$14.2 million, to be scheduled as outlined in this staff report;
- That staff engages in discussions with District of Central Saanich staff to develop a partnership agreement, including roles and responsibilities pre, during, and post construction stages;
- 3. That a draft of such an agreement and an updated project budget be brought back to the Peninsula Recreation Commission for consideration; and
- 4. That following an agreement approval, staff engage in further design to update the budget for a loan authorization bylaw recommendation to be considered by the Peninsula Recreation Commission.

Attachment: Staff Report: Peninsula Recreation Facility in Central Saanich - For Decision

#### 7. New Business

#### 8. Adjournment

The next meeting is October 23, 2025.

To ensure quorum, please advise Steve Meikle at <u>smeikle @panoramarec.bc.ca</u> if you or your alternate cannot attend.



DRAFT Minutes of a Meeting of the Peninsula Recreation Commission Held Thursday, September 18, 2025, in the Panorama Boardroom 1885 Forest Park Drive, North Saanich BC

**PRESENT** 

COMMISSIONERS: N. Paltiel (Chair), P. DiBattista, K. Frost, S. Garnett, V. Kreiser, R. Windsor, C. McNeil-Smith, C. Stock (for P. Jones)

STAFF: S. Meikle, Senior Manager; K. Beck, Manager, Program Services; L. Gregg, Manager, Facilities & Operations; L. Jones, General Manager, Parks, Recreation and Environmental Services; F. Pimental, Deputy Director of Finance, District of Central Saanich; C. Culham, Chief Administrative Officer, District of Central Saanich, A. Bowker, Administrative Secretary (Recorder)

Regrets: Commissioner Jones, Commissioner Murray

The meeting was called to order at 6:00 pm.

#### 1. Territorial Acknowledgement

Commissioner Kreiser provided a territorial acknowledgement.

#### 2. Approval of Agenda

**MOVED** by Commissioner DiBattista, **SECONDED** by Commissioner Stock, That the agenda be approved as circulated.

**CARRIED** 

#### 3. Adoption of Minutes of August 28, 2025

**MOVED** by Commissioner Stock, **SECONDED** by Commissioner DiBattista, That the minutes of the August 28, 2025 meeting be adopted.

**CARRIED** 

#### 4. Chair's Remarks

The opening of the Multi-Sport Box took place on September 2. A tour was provided for Commission members, and arrangements can be made for those who missed it. Feedback so far has been great. Thanks to PRC staff and CRD for the smooth opening.

**5. Presentations/Delegations:** There were none

#### 6. Commission Business

#### **6.1 Arena Improvement Project**

- S. Meikle spoke to Item 6.1.
- Start with an opening statement that could be relevant to both projects we are looking at tonight. Over the last 10-15 years issues such as geographic inequity, travel distance barriers and accessibility concerns have been well documented. These issues have been repeatedly affirmed through multiple strategic plans, most recently through the sub-regional facility needs assessment.
- At the April 24, 2025 PRC meeting, staff as well as consultants from HCMA presented two conceptual designs.
- Concept A focused on targeting arena upgrades with change rooms, washrooms, lobby, spectator stands and storage with the hope of improving safety, security, staff presence and accessibility throughout that facility.
- Concept B included all the elements of Concept A plus a focus on creating a space to better link the two buildings and to redevelop some internal spaces on the Panorama Centre side, which included adapting some greater levels of program flexibility, adding social gathering spaces and improving lobby washrooms, etc.
- Given that the facility needs assessment recommended that we conduct a thorough site analysis of the current PRC site to analyze options for future facility development, staff feel that Concept B should be held at this time to allow for further analysis of those site options.
- From the April meeting and as requested by the Commission, the project scope of Concept A has been broken down into staging/phasing options. The overall scope of Concept A has not changed, but each option is now presented as a standalone project. They could be done on their own and phased over time.
- There is one staff report correction notice in the second paragraph on page 5. It
  currently reads "alternatively, a 30-year amortization would reduce the annual debt
  servicing cost by \$15 million to approximately \$946,000." It should read
  "alternatively, a 30-year amortization would reduce the annual debt servicing cost by
  \$514,000."

#### Discussion ensued regarding:

- Reference to the term "junior hockey dressing room" was noted as it was not felt that this was meant to be designated as only for junior hockey. These changerooms should be available to other user groups if funded fully by the PRC. Staff confirmed that the use of that term was simply as a labelling tool and it can be changed.
- Class D estimate, line 28 shows estimate for dressing room is \$760,000 to build but estimate in Table 2 is \$1.7-\$2.1 million. The reason for those additional costs is that there are the base construction costs shown on the floor plan, but then there are the additional components such as design, etc. Staff to investigate the components of the preliminary costs for October 2 meeting and bring back a stronger analysis.
- There is \$3.3 million allocated in the capital reserve budget for the arena project in 2023/24.
- Request for a list of priorities of the phased components from a staff perspective to be provided for October 2 meeting.
- Looking at the phased approach, specifically at the arena entry, there's an
  addition of stairs beside the elevator. As there are already stairs in arena A and
  arena B, why would there be a need for more stairs? Question for consultants
  regarding the need for these additional stairs, information to be brought back to
  the Oct 2 meeting.

- Question re: potential loss of the concession in a phased approach given where
  the elevator is planned. Response: depends on how the Commission decides to
  phase projects but staff feel confident that there are options within that lobby
  space to move the concession either temporarily or permanently.
- Question re: price tag difference from arena A to arena B dressing rooms noting that Arena A is more expensive but is in a more recently built facility. Note in consultant's report about the structure of arena A needing to be altered but no noted structural issues in the older arena B. Staff will pose that question to the consultants and provide information prior to the October 2 meeting.
- Discussion regarding the impact of tax increases on residents in the current economically uncertain times and the concerns of depleting the reserves of Panorama if something unforeseen happens.

#### C Stock left the meeting at 6:40

• From initial conversations in 2023/24, the original concept was that the change rooms in arena B were going to be reoriented to take over the green space towards the parking lot and now see that we are giving up some mechanical space, staff offices, laundry room, etc. Question as to why the change in plans for re-orienting these dressings rooms? Staff responded that the current concept design eliminates any breaking of the facility envelope which can lead to significant cost increases. This question has been added to the list for staff to return with more information for the Oct. 2 meeting.

#### C Stock returned to the meeting at 6:50

No further points were made and discussion moved on to Item 6.2.

This report was received for information.

#### 6.2 Proposed Peninsula Recreation Facility in Central Saanich

- S. Meikle spoke to Item 6.2.
- Provided project overview for the proposed peninsula recreation facility in Central Saanich – first floor of a 3-floor building would encompass 10,000 sq. ft for recreation space.
- Based on current participation data along with guidance from recommendations from the 2022-2026 strategic plan, Panorama staff have identified the follow spaces for inclusion at Hovey Road site: weight room and fitness studio, pottery or ceramic studio or other space dedicated to arts programming, multi-purpose spaces for general programming, camps and licensed after school care, reception office, storage and maintenance area.
- Along with offering enhanced service delivery, the Hovey Road site has some further benefits for PRC. As PRC currently oversees booking and maintenance for some of the Centennial Park amenities, the picnic shelters, the field house, and the newly opened sport box, co-locating recreational services at the Hovey Road site would help streamline operations and allow for regular onsite supervision by staff.
- Staff considered other avenues to address the issue of geographic inequity and explored other options on the peninsula. It is important to note that the feasibility of these other options is uncertain. Further analysis at this stage risks delaying delivery

of this project and could compromise our ability to work with a municipal partner that has a current viable project on the table. Staff provided a summary of the 3 options – rent/lease existing warehouse type space (i.e. large spaces to house a weight room are required), renovating existing community spaces such as the Central Saanich Cultural Centre and/or purchasing land to build a new, standalone recreation centre. All options considered have significant risks and none are recommended by staff at this time.

- Staff provided an overview of the implications of borrowing to finance the capital costs and the operational budget for implementation of a new facility in Central Saanich.
- Following discussion, recommendations will be prepared for the October 2 meeting.

#### Discussion ensued regarding:

- Discussion re: financing options for CRD, further information on timing and impact of debt servicing to be presented at the October 2 meeting. The loan authorization bylaw is earmarked for the November CRD Board meeting should the Commission decide to move forward.
- Would like to see details on sizes of spaces for the October meeting for fitness, arts, multi-purpose rooms. Staff did go through process of analyzing existing sites and didn't see any suitable existing facilities that are worth pursuing. Renovating often exceeds the cost of a new build.
- Concerned about size. 10,000 sq ft seems small. It would be helpful to know size of current fitness facilities. The two fitness rooms at Greenglade total 1700 sq ft. Fitness studio upstairs at PRC is 1700-1800 sq ft. Given the demand staff would look at dividing up the 10,000 sq ft in such a way that weightroom space would be much larger than both of those spaces. Staff would be calculating all three weight room spaces in assessing how we're meeting needs, so it would be adding additional 2500-3000 sq ft of weight room space to the existing 3500 sq ft we already have.
- Question re: estimating participation rates and concerns due to the high percentage
  of people reporting in the FNA that they get their recreation outdoors through
  walking, hiking etc. and thus Commissioner felt staff estimates may be high. Staff
  noted challenges when estimating participation rates and stated that they utilized
  current pass and membership sales, and participation rates to estimate future
  usage.
- Question re: timing around decision making, it is important for Central Saanich to know if the PRC is interested before they take the next step on a preferred option from a municipal standpoint.
- Concern raised of whether Sidney and North Saanich would be paying only for the recreation space in the building and not for DCS Municipal Hall. Response: Central Saanich is responsible for the municipal hall, PRC only responsible for the recreation facility itself.
- Question to Central Saanich re contingency. A 30% contingency is a standard contingency for a Class C estimate. DCS is not imposing a 30% contingency on the CRD. From a CRD perspective, staff reviewed those assumptions and deemed them appropriate. Found other uncertainties which were added. If the project goes ahead, the risks can be managed through the negotiation process.
- Would PRC have to bear any responsibility for costs for any issues with Floors 2 and 3? Response, no, PRC would not bear any responsibility in this case, however,

the details of addressing liabilities such as this would be discussed in the development of an agreement.

- Question re: difference in parking estimates. Staff noted that costs are added when
  there are uncertainties that we feel we need to mitigate financially. The parking is an
  uncertainty at this stage of design. The estimate provided assumes underground
  parking for the Central Saanich project which carries a significantly higher per stall
  cost than surface level parking.
- Concern raised regarding the delineation of roles and responsibilities, that would be
  negotiated as part of an agreement with Central Saanich. It will be pivotal to manage
  risks that we are comfortable with and bring forward an agreement to the
  Commission that they are comfortable with.
- DCS Staff usage of recreation space may be part of the agreement to be discussed.
- As indicated in the report there are at least 3 options for consent processes for the loan authorization bylaw. Question raised re: timeline for that process? At this time, staff would be recommending an AAP process for this project, and we have a slot reserved for an AAP in a way that occurs before the election should the Commission be ready to proceed. Current timeline with provincial authority is seven months to provide authorization.
- It was noted that Central Saanich will cover all detailed design costs for the shell, regardless of whether PRC is included or not. It is Central Saanich's risk even if it is approved by the PRC on October 2.
- Three things Commission members have asked about: getting a clear perspective from a legal and finance perspective on ownership and debt financing; room dimensions; the inclusion of the capital and operating budget for the October 2 meeting.
- Going into the October 2 meeting, it would be prudent to see further dialogue with Central Saanich on parking issue because it is a \$3 million envelope. That number could be dramatically improved beyond the assumptions.
- Comment re: Operating budget it was helpful to get the first year of operating, there is likely a modest scaled absorption and increase in user group that could also be forecast within the budget, i.e., over a 5 year horizon from open until fully operating, what is the operating cost and offset of that debt service vs capital projects that we're still paying off, and with the arena project as well.
- Re AAP process, given that that this is not likely to happen until next year, wondering why we wouldn't wait and have this as a referendum at the election so we could reach out to maximum number of people. The options initially explored were the option of consent on behalf of municipal participants, which is the most effective option; the AAP alternative approval process which is the most practiced because of the cost efficiency. The referendum carries costs but can explore that. Ultimately it is a CRD Board decision, there will be a recommendation from this Commission to the CRD Board.

This report was received for information

7. **New Business:** There was no new business

#### 8. Adjournment

**MOVED** by Commissioner Windsor, **SECONDED** by Commissioner McNeill-Smith, That the meeting be adjourned at 7:58 pm.

	CARRIE
CHAIR	
RECORDER	



### REPORT TO PENINSULA RECREATION COMMISSION MEETING OF THURSDAY, OCTOBER 02, 2025

#### SUBJECT 2026 Budget Review and Approval Process – Panorama Recreation

#### **ISSUE SUMMARY**

This report presents Panorama Recreation's 2026-2030 budget for review and provisional approval.

#### **BACKGROUND**

Annually, the Capital Regional District (CRD) must develop a financial plan representing the operating and capital expenditure plans for the next five years. The financial plan is developed in alignment and is consistent with the legislative authority of the various CRD services which, upon approval, provides the expenditure authority for the operations of the CRD. Final budget approval is required no later than March 31 of each year.

The preliminary budget (the provisional financial plan) is developed before the legislated deadline of March 31 to allow service participants and local rate payers to make final recommendations on proposed service levels, revenue requirements and adjustments to fees and charges prior to consideration of final approval by the CRD Board.

Under Board direction, the Peninsula Recreation Commission is responsible for reviewing the budget and recommending it for approval to the Board. All service planning documents will be presented directly to the Board alongside the 2026 Provisional Budget on October 29, 2025 (under separate cover). Ultimately, the Board is responsible for the approval of all the service budgets.

#### **2026 Planning Process**

The 2026 planning process marks the final year of the four-year service planning cycle. The four-year planning cycle is designed to ensure alignment and implementation of Board strategic objectives during the election term. Following the completion of the corporate plan, staff commenced annual service planning. The service planning process identified resource implications to implement the corporate plan initiative (including Board Priorities), as well as proposed adjustments to service levels and other departmental initiatives. The service planning process gathered information necessary to assemble a provisional budget for the Peninsula Recreation Commission and Board review. The statutory five-year financial plan shows the projected revenues and expenditures, and the planned contribution of operating revenue required to fund proposed capital projects, together with planned borrowing and anticipated grants.

#### 2026 Financial Plan Approach

The service planning process is a means of aligning operational service requirements and recommendations with Board strategic priorities and the corporate plan. Service plans drive the financial planning process and provide necessary information to evaluate overall organizational requirements, new initiatives, proposed service levels and implications for the budget and financial plan.

On May 14, 2025, the Board approved the 2026 Service and Financial Planning Guidelines. The guidelines supported financial management strategies related to revenue, reserves and debt management. The guidelines also directed staff to prepare the draft financial plan review based on the timeline presented.

#### 2026 Financial Plan Overview

The Financial Plan includes operating, capital and reserve funds. The operating budget identifies the revenues and expenditures of each service. Budgeted revenues are primarily derived from sale of services, fees and charges, requisition and grants. Expenditures are determined through the application of a variety of assumptions and agreements, some of which the Board considers directly, and others that are recommended locally by participants or local service commissions.

Cost drivers such as inflation forecasts and interest rate changes have a direct impact on the overall budget. Items such as wages and benefits, supplies, utilities including electricity and natural gas, vehicles and equipment are subject to inflationary changes that influence the cost-of-service delivery.

Overall, every effort has been made to mitigate financial risk while still meeting the commission's mission of making available a wide range of recreation services and maximizing participation.

#### **ALTERNATIVES**

#### Alternative 1

The Peninsula Recreation Commission recommends the Committee of the Whole recommend to the Capital Regional District Board:

That Appendix A, Operating & Capital Budget 2026-2030 – Panorama Recreation, be approved as presented and form the basis of the Provisional 2026-2030 Financial Plan.

#### Alternative 2

The Peninsula Recreation Commission recommends the Committee of the Whole recommend to the Capital Regional District Board:

That Appendix A, Operating & Capital Budget 2026-2030 – Panorama Recreation, be approved as amended and form the basis of the Provisional 2026-2030 Financial Plan.

#### **IMPLICATIONS**

Financial Implications

#### **Operating Budget**

The 2026 budget includes a requisition increase of \$197,257, or 3.34%, from the 2025 requisition. The budget includes the financial impact of operating the new Centennial Park multi-sport box facility, which is expected to be fully operational in September 2025. Core operating expenses are budgeted to increase by 5.46% from 2025. Overall, expenditures are budgeted to increase by 8.94%, which includes funding to undertake a Panorama Recreation master plan as recommended in the recently completed recreation Facility Needs Assessment. The plan would be funded by a one-time transfer from operating reserves.

Revenues generated from user fees are expected to increase by 12.50% in 2026 reflecting user

fee increases, increased attendance, and new and expanded services. The revenue associated with new or expanded services typically has offsetting expenditures associated with it. The requisition funding ratio is expected to be 49.8% in 2026, with the remaining operating expenditures funded primarily by users.

#### **Capital Budget**

The 2026-2030 capital budget includes the financial impact of the energy recovery project, which will be completed in 2026. The capital program continues to be sufficiently funded with transfers to capital reserve. The plan includes a \$3.4 million (2026-2027) allocation for arena renovations.

The Operating & Capital Budget 2026-2030 – Panorama Recreation is attached as Appendix A for preliminary approval.

#### CONCLUSION

The service and financial planning process is integral to providing ongoing service delivery. Panorama Recreation's service plan and budget are part of the overall planning cycle for the Capital Regional District. The attached Operating & Capital Budget 2026-2030 - Panorama Recreation is ready for review by the Peninsula Recreation Commission and approval by the Capital Regional District Board.

#### **RECOMMENDATION**

The Peninsula Recreation Commission recommends the Committee of the Whole recommend to the Capital Regional District Board:

That Appendix A, Operating & Capital Budget 2026-2030 – Panorama Recreation, be approved as presented and form the basis of the Provisional 2026-2030 Financial Plan.

Submitted by:	Steve Meikle, Senior Manager, Panorama Recreation
Concurrence:	Luisa Jones General Manager, Parks, Recreation & Environmental Services
Concurrence:	Nelson Chan, MBA, FCPA, FCMA, Chief Financial Officer, GM Finance & IT
Concurrence:	Ted Robbins, B. Sc., C. Tech., Chief Administrative Officer

#### **ATTACHMENTS**

Appendix A: Operating & Capital Budget 2026-2030 – Panorama Recreation

Appendix B: Presentation - 2026 Panorama Recreation Budget

## CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT 2026 BUDGET

**Panorama Recreation** 

**COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE** 

Service: 1.44X Panorama Recreation Commission: Peninsula Recreation

#### **DEFINITION:**

To operate an ice arena, swimming pool and recreation and community use service for the Municipalities of Sidney, North Saanich and Central Saanich combined as Saanich Peninsula Recreation Service (Bylaw No. 3008 - October 9, 2002).

#### SERVICE DESCRIPTION:

This is a service for the provision of community recreation opportunities for Central Saanich, North Saanich, and Sidney through the operation, maintenance, and programming of: Panorama Recreation Centre (2 hockey arenas, 2 pools, 6 tennis courts, 2 squash courts, fitness rooms, weight room, multi-purpose rooms), Greenglade Community Centre in Sidney, Central Saanich Community and Cultural Centre and North Saanich Middle School.

#### PARTICIPATION:

50% by population and 50% on hospital assessments. North Saanich and Sidney. Central Saanich added as a participant in 1996, Bylaw No. 2363.

#### MAXIMUM LEVY:

The greater of \$11,220,000 or \$1.134 / \$1,000 on net taxable value of land and improvements.

#### **CAPITAL DEBT:**

Authorized:	Bylaw No. 4116 - Replacement of Arena Floor	\$	1,080,000
Borrowed:		\$	1,080,000
Remaining:		\$	-
Authorized:	Bylaw No. 4546 - Centennial Park Multi-Sport Box	\$	2,900,000
Borrowed:		\$	-
Remaining:		\$	2,900,000
Authorized:	Bylaw No. 4547 - Panorama Heat Recovery System	\$	2,453,000
Borrowed:		<u>\$</u>	-
Remaining:		\$	2,453,000

#### **COMMISSION:**

Peninsula Recreation Commission

Established by Bylaw # 2397 (May 1996), amended by Bylaw # 2480 (1997), Bylaw # 2759 (2000) and Bylaw # 3142 (2004). Originally established in 1976 (Bylaw # 314).

#### **FUNDING:**

Change in Budget 2025 to 2026 Service: 1.44X Panorama Recreation	Total Expenditure	Comments
2025 Budget	11,508,989	
Change in Wages & Benefits:		
Base wages & benefits change	241,639	Inclusive of estimated collective agreement changes
Step increase/paygrade change	41,113	
1 FTE transfer	(110,727)	CRD Evolve transfer to 1.118 Communications
Auxilary wages	198,541	Increased program offering
Total Change in Wages & Benefits	370,566	
Other Changes:		
Standard Overhead Allocation	33,901	Increase in 2025 operating costs
Human Resources Allocation	18,200	Increase in 2025 wages & benefits
Communication Allocation	132,872	CRD Evolve transition in 2026 reflecting consolation of Communication staff.
Software Licences	44,718	Estimated increase in licence fees
Strategic planning	200,000	Develop updated service master plan
Transfers to reserve	49,060	Reflects growth in assets and inflation
Operating supplies	56,199	Increased program offering and increase to cost of supplies.
Other Costs	21,667	
Total Other Changes	556,617	
2026 Budget	12,436,172	
Summary of % Expense Increase		
2026 Base salary and benefit change	2.1%	
Step increase/paygrade change	0.4%	
Communication staff transfer	-1.0%	
Auxilary wages	1.7%	
Standard Overhead Allocation	0.3%	
Human Resources Allocation	0.2%	
Communication Allocation	1.2%	
Software Licences	0.4%	
Strategic planning	1.7%	
Transfers to reserve	0.4%	
Operating supplies	0.5%	
Other Costs	0.2%	4.8%
% expense increase from 2025:	8.1%	
% expense increase nom 2025.	8.1%	
% Requisition increase from 2025 (if applicable):	3.3%	Requisition funding is (49)% of service revenue

Overall 2025 Budget Performance (expected variance to budget and surplus treatment)

There is an estimated one-time favourable variance of \$89,600 (0.8%) due mainly to saving on debt servicing offset by additional operating costs. This variance will be moved to Capital Reserve, which has an expected year end balance of \$5,617,000 before this transfer.

			E	BUDGET R	EQUEST		ı	FUTURE PROJ	ECTIONS	
PANORAMA RECREATION	<b>2025</b> BOARD BUDGET	2025 ESTIMATED ACTUAL	2026 CORE BUDGET	2026 ONGOING	<b>2026</b> ONE-TIME	<b>2026</b> TOTAL	<b>2027</b> TOTAL	<b>2028</b> TOTAL	<b>2029</b> TOTAL	<b>2030</b> TOTAL
OPERATING COSTS:	20201.	7.0.07.2	50502.							
Salaries and Wages	6,456,805	6,461,954	6,822,371	5,000	_	6,827,371	7,001,614	7,180,354	7,341,325	7,505,876
Utilities	625,400	625,400	634,625	-	_	634,625	647,320	660,260	673,460	686,920
Recreation Programs and Special Events	605,088	606,388	551,028	_	200,000	751,028	566,050	581,370	597,000	612,930
Operating Supplies	471,209	470,210	527,408	-	-	527,408	537,950	548,690	559,660	570,880
Maintenance and Repairs	560,114	577,114	577,488	-	-	577,488	589,030	600,810	612,820	625,080
Standard Overhead Allocation	466,007	466,007	499,908	-	-	499,908	508,907	519,085	529,466	540,056
Human Resources Allocation	225,975	225,975	244,175	-	-	244,175	285,680	299,121	287,966	290,757
Communications Allocation			-	132,872	-	132,872	138,270	141,989	147,496	150,880
Other Internal Allocations	23,047	23,047	14,106	-	10,812	24,918	14,517	14,939	15,307	15,686
Licences/Surveys/Legal/Meeting	250,432	382,558	342,049	-	-	342,049	328,190	334,740	341,430	348,230
Advertising/Printing/Brouchures/Signs	42,980	43,180	51,479	-	-	51,479	52,510	53,570	54,640	55,730
Telephone/IT & Network Systems	68,430	68,429	66,912	-	-	66,912	68,260	69,620	71,000	72,420
Vehicles, Travel and Training	111,334	118,034	117,151	-	-	117,151	119,490	121,860	124,260	126,720
Insurance	100,950	100,950	105,450	-	-	105,450	110,724	116,261	122,073	128,177
TOTAL OPERATING COSTS	10,007,771	10,169,246	10,554,150	137,872	210,812	10,902,834	10,968,512	11,242,669	11,477,903	11,730,342
*Percentage increase over prior year	, ,	, ,	5.46%	,	,	8.94%	0.60%	2.5%	2.1%	2.2%
CAPITAL / RESERVE										
Transfer to Operating Reserve Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	20,000	20,400	20,810	21,230
Transfer to Capital Reserve Fund	688,000	777,625	700,760		-	700,760	714,780	729,070	743,650	758,520
Transfer to Equipment Replacement Fund	414,000	414,000	450,300	-	-	450,300	459,310	468,490	477,870	487,430
TOTAL CAPITAL / RESERVES	1,102,000	1,191,625	1,151,060	-	•	1,151,060	1,194,090	1,217,960	1,242,330	1,267,180
*Percentage increase over prior year			4.45%			4.45%	3.74%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%
DEBT CHARGES	399,218	166,308	328,748	-	53,530	382,278	618,748	618,748	618,748	618,748
TOTAL COSTS	11,508,989	11,527,179	12,033,958	137,872	264,342	12,436,172	12,781,350	13,079,377	13,338,981	13,616,270
*Percentage increase over prior year Internal Recoveries		0.16%	4.56%			8.06%	2.78%	2.33%	1.98%	2.08%
Recoveries - Other	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_
OPERATING LESS RECOVERIES	11,508,989	11,527,179	12,033,958	137,872	264,342	12,436,172	12,781,350	13,079,377	13,338,981	13,616,270
	11,500,505	11,527,175	12,033,330	101,012	204,542	12,430,172	12,701,330	10,070,077	10,000,001	13,010,270
FUNDING SOURCES (REVENUE)										
Estimated balance C/F from current to Next ye	l .									
Balance C/F from Prior to Current year	(52,000)	(52,000)	- (4.240.405)	-	-	(4.240.405)	(4.404.500)	(4.400.000)	(4.500.500)	(4.074.400)
Fee Income Rental Income	(3,795,284) (1,156,599)	(3,808,474) (1,161,599)	(4,318,195)	-	-	(4,318,195) (1,252,767)	(4,404,560)	(4,492,660)	(4,582,520)	(4,674,160) (1,356,040)
Sponsorships	(29,380)	(29,380)	(1,252,767) (29,050)	-	-	(29,050)	(1,277,810) (29,640)	(1,303,390) (30,240)	(1,329,460) (30,850)	(31,470)
Transfer from Operating Reserve Fund	(41,517)	(41,517)	(23,030)	_	(200,000)	(200,000)	(23,040)	(50,240)	(50,050)	(31,470)
Payments - In Lieu of Taxes	(169,950)	(169,950)	(169,950)	_	-	(169,950)	(169,950)	(169,950)	(169,950)	(169,950)
Grants - Other	(366,295)	(366,295)	(370,989)	-	-	(370,989)	(378,410)	(385,980)	(393,700)	(401,570)
TOTAL REVENUE	(5,611,025)	(5,629,215)	(6,140,951)	-	(200,000)	(6,340,951)	(6,260,370)	(6,382,220)	(6,506,480)	(6,633,190)
*Percentage increase over prior year	, , , , ,	0.32%	9.44%		,	13.01%	-1.27%	1.95%	1.95%	1.95%
REQUISITION	(5,897,964)	(5,897,964)	(5,893,007)	(137,872)	(64,342)	(6,095,221)	(6,520,980)	(6,697,157)	(6,832,501)	(6,983,080)
*Percentage increase over prior year	(-,,,	(-,,,	-0.08%	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(,)	3.34%	6.99%	2.70%	2.02%	2.20%
PARTICIPANTS: North Saanich, Sidney,Centr.	 al Saanich		0.5070			3.5470	0.3970	2.7070	2.0270	2.2070
AUTHORIZED POSITIONS:										
Salaried	39.75	39.75	39.75	-1.00	0.00	38.75	38.75	38.75	38.75	38.75
Converted Auxillaries	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
			<u> </u>							

## CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT FIVE YEAR CAPITAL EXPENDITURE PLAN SUMMARY - 2026 to 2030

Service No.	1.44x Panorama Recreation	Carry Forward from 2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	TOTAL
	EXPENDITURE							
	Buildings	\$65,000	\$4,083,000	\$3,545,000	\$555,000	\$150,000	\$1,065,000	\$9,398,000
	Equipment	\$0	\$1,143,109	\$360,400	\$755,207	\$706,845	\$505,313	\$3,470,874
	Land	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Engineered Structures	\$0	\$545,000	\$350,000	\$0	\$40,000	\$0	\$935,000
	Vehicles	\$0	\$126,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$126,000
		\$65,000	\$5,897,109	\$4,255,400	\$1,310,207	\$896,845	\$1,570,313	\$13,929,874
	SOURCE OF FUNDS							
	Capital Funds on Hand	\$65,000	\$65,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$65,000
	Debenture Debt (New Debt Only)	\$0	\$1,368,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,368,000
	Equipment Replacement Fund	\$0	\$669,909	\$260,400	\$715,207	\$706,845	\$405,313	\$2,757,674
	Grants (Federal, Provincial)	\$0	\$875,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$875,000
	Donations / Third Party Funding	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Reserve Fund	\$0	\$2,919,200	\$3,995,000	\$595,000	\$190,000	\$1,165,000	\$8,864,200
		\$65,000	\$5,897,109	\$4,255,400	\$1,310,207	\$896,845	\$1,570,313	\$13,929,874

#### Definitions for the 5-year Capital Plan

Asset Class	Asset class is used to classify assets for financial reporting in accordance with the Public Sector Accounting Board (PSAB) 3150.
	L - Land
	S - Engineering Structure
	B - Buildings
	V - Vehicles
	E - Equipment
Capital Expenditure Type	Capital expenditure type is used for reporting on asset investments and may be used to justify operational needs for a service.
	Study - Expenditure for feasibility and business case report.
	New - Expenditure for new asset only
	Renewal - Expenditure upgrades an existing asset and extends the service ability or enhances technology in delivering that service
	Replacement - Expenditure replaces an existing asset
Carryforward	Represents the carryforward amount from the prior year capital plan that is remaining to be spent. Forecast this spending over the next 5 years.
Funding Source	Debt - Debenture Debt (new debt only)
	ERF - Equipment Replacement Fund
	Grant - Grants (Federal, Provincial)
	Cap - Capital Funds on Hand
	Other - Donations / Third Party Funding
	Res - Reserve Fund
	<b>WU</b> - Water Utility
	If there is more than one funding source, additional rows are shown for the project.

#### **CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT**

#### **5 YEAR CAPITAL PLAN**

2026 - 2030

Service #: 1.44x
Service Name: Panorama Recreation

	PROJECT DESCRIPTION			PROJECT BUDGET & SCHEDULE																
Project Number	Capital Expenditure Type	Capital Project Title	Capital Project Description	Total I	Project Iget	Asset Class	Funding Source	Carryforward from 2025	d	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	5 -	- Year Total				
17-08	Renewal	Arena concourse (lobby) roof	renew arena concourse (lobby) roof due to leakage	\$ 130,000 B C		\$ 130,000 B		\$ 130,000 B		\$ 130,000 B C		\$ 65,00	0 \$	65,000	\$ -	\$	- s	- \$ -	\$	65,000
18-02		Install Plant Maintenance SAP Program	Plant Maintenance SAP Program for PRC maintenance projects	\$	150,000	E	Res		\$	150,000	\$ -	\$	- s	- \$ -	\$	150,000				
18-03		DDC Replacement (direct digital controls)	Installation of new software controls, additional wiring and upgrading of present DDC controllers and hardware.	\$	150,000	В	Res		\$	150,000	\$ -	\$	- \$	- \$ -	\$	150,000				
18-09	Replacement	Replace Pool change room HVAC Air handling Unit	Replace Pool room HVAC Air handling Unit due to end of life	\$	50,000	В	Res		\$	50,000	\$ -	\$	- \$	- \$ -	\$	50,000				
19-15	New	Heat Recovery Plant	Construct heat recovery plant	\$ 4	,153,000	В	Debt	\$	- \$	953,000	\$ -	\$	- \$	- \$ -	\$	953,000				
19-15	New	Heat Recovery Plant	Construct heat recovery plant			В	Сар	\$	- \$	-	\$ -	\$	- \$	- \$ -	\$	-				
19-15	new	Heat Recovery Plant	Construct heat recovery plant			В	Res	\$	- \$	900,000	\$ -	\$	- \$	- \$ -	\$	900,000				
19-15	new	Heat Recovery Plant	Construct heat recovery plant			В	Grant	\$	- \$	800,000	\$ -	\$	- \$	- \$ -	\$	800,000				
22-02	Replacement	Replace Daktronic/Electronic Road Sign	Replace Daktronic/Electronic Road Sign due to end of life	\$	70,000	S	Res		\$	70,000	\$ -	\$	- \$	- \$ -	\$	70,000				
23-01		LED Lighting - Arenas, Pool, GG, Parking lot & general facility	Change lighting in all areas to LED (other than Tennis bldg)	\$	340,000	В	Res		\$	265,000	\$ -	\$	- \$	- \$ -	\$	265,000				
23-01	Renewal	LED Lighting - Arenas, Pool, GG, Parking lot & general facility	Change lighting in all areas to LED (other than Tennis bldg)		ı	В	Grant		\$	75,000	\$ -	\$	- \$	- \$ -	\$	75,000				
23-07	Replacement	Replace Chevrolet Passenger car	Replace Chevrolet Passenger car due to end of life	\$	46,000	V	ERF		\$	46,000	\$ -	\$	- \$	- \$ -	\$	46,000				
23-17	New	Centennial Park Multi-Sport Box	Covered sport box in Centennial Park, Central Saanich	\$ 4	,972,908	S	Debt		\$	415,000	\$ -	\$	- \$	- \$ -	\$	415,000				
23-17	New	Centennial Park Multi-Sport Box	Covered sport box in Centennial Park, Central Saanich			S	Grant	\$	- \$	-	\$ -	\$	- \$	- \$ -	\$	-				
23-17	New	Centennial Park Multi-Sport Box	Covered sport box in Centennial Park, Central Saanich			S	Res		\$	60,000	\$ -	\$	- \$	- \$ -	\$	60,000				
24-01	Replacement	Arena A&B insulation	Replace and install insulation Arena A&B ceiling and walls due to end of life	\$	110,000	В	Res	-	\$	110,000	\$ -	\$	- \$	- \$ -	\$	110,000				
24-11	New	Truck (gas) (IBC)	truck for notice in motion new service area	\$	80,000	V	ERF	-	\$	80,000	\$ -	\$	- \$	- \$ -	\$	80,000				
25-04	Replacement	Replace lap pool heater	Replacement of 2 gas boilers - pool	\$	100,000	E	Res	\$	- \$	-	\$ 100,000	\$	- \$	- \$ -	\$	100,000				
25-06	Renewal	Re-tile pool	Re-tile pool	\$	300,000	В	Res	\$	- \$	-	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,0	00 \$	- \$ -	\$	300,000				
25-07	Renewal	Upgrade flooring in GG	Upgrade flooring throughout the facility classrooms and hallways	\$	125,000	В	Res		\$	125,000	\$ -	\$	- \$	- \$ -	\$	125,000				
25-09	Renewal	GG HVAC Upgrade	GG HVAC replacement design & consult	\$	290,000	E	Res		\$	290,000	\$ -	\$	- \$	- \$ -	\$	290,000				
25-10	New	Boardroom IT upgrade	Boardroom IT upgrade	\$	10,000	E	Res	-	\$	10,000	\$ -	\$	- \$	- \$ -	\$	10,000				
25-12	Replacement	PRC main boiler storage tanks	PRC main boiler storage tanks	\$	60,000	E	ERF		\$	60,000	\$ -	\$	- \$	- \$ -	\$	60,000				
25-16	Renewal	WIFI upgrades PRC Centre	WIFI upgrades throughout the PRC complex	\$	100,000	E	Res	-	\$	100,000	\$ -	\$	- \$	- \$ -	\$	100,000				
25-18	New	Hearing Loops at GG & PRC	Hearing Loops at GG & PRC	\$	9,200	E	Res	-	\$	9,200	\$ -	\$	- \$	- \$ -	\$	9,200.00				
25-18	New	Hearing Loops at GG & PRC	Hearing Loops at GG & PRC			E	Grant	\$	- \$	-	\$ -	\$	- \$	- \$ -	\$	-				
26-01	Replacement	Equipment Replacement (pooled)	Annual replacement of equipment in pooled account	\$	483,909	E	ERF	\$	- \$	483,909	\$ -	\$	- \$	- \$ -	\$	483,909.00				
26-03	Replacement	Replace sound system in Arena A&B	Replace sound system in Arena A&B	\$	32,000	E	ERF	\$	- \$	-	s -	\$ 32,0	00 \$	- \$ -	\$	32,000.00				
26-05	Replacement	HVAC equipment replacement	HVAC equipment replacement link building, weight room, arena lobby, fitness studio and courts	\$	100,000	В	Res	\$	- \$	100,000	\$ -	\$	- \$	- \$ -	\$	100,000.00				
26-07	Replacement	Replace squash court floors	Replace squash court floors	\$	30,000	В	Res		\$	-	\$ -	\$ 30,0	00 \$	- \$ -	\$	30,000.00				
26-08		Upgrade pool chlorination system	Upgrade/replace pool chlorination system	\$	230,000	В	Res	\$	- \$	230,000	\$ -	\$	- \$	- \$ -	\$	230,000.00				
26-09		Fire Doors	Fire safety doors throughout Panorama Rec	\$	60,000	В	Res	\$	- \$	60,000	\$ -	\$	- \$	- \$ -	\$	60,000.00				
26-10	New	CO <sub>2</sub> tanks for the pools	Upgrade CO₂ system to control the pool pH	\$	20,000	В	Res	\$	- \$	20,000	\$ -	\$	- \$	- \$ -	\$	20,000.00				
26-11	Renewal	ARC Room Renos	ARC Room Renos	\$	30,000	В	Res	\$	- \$	30,000	\$ -	\$	- \$	- \$ -	\$	30,000.00				

Service #:	1.44x
Service Name:	Panorama Recreation

	PROJECT DESCRIPTION			PROJECT BUDGET & SCHEDULE													
Project Number	Capital Expenditure Type	Capital Project Title	Capital Project Description	Total Pro Budge		Asset Class	Funding Source	Carryforward from 2025	2	2026	2027	2028	2029		2030	5 -	- Year Total
26-12	Renewal	Weight Room Structure Upgrades	Weight Room Structure Upgrades	\$	50,000	В	Res	\$ -	\$	50,000	\$ -	\$	- \$	- \$	-	\$	50,000.00
26-13	New	Greenglade Pre-school Yard	Greenglade Pre-school Yard Enhancements	\$	40,000	E	Res	\$ -	\$	40,000	\$ -	\$	- \$	- \$		\$	40,000.00
27-01	Replacement	Equipment Replacement (pooled)	Annual replacement of equipment in pooled account	\$ 2	60,400	E	ERF	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 260,400	\$	- \$	- 9	-	\$	260,400.00
27-02	Renewal		Panorama exterior painting	\$ 1	50,000	S	Res	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 150,000	\$	- \$	- 9	-	\$	150,000.00
27-03	Renewal	Panorama lower parking lot renewal	Panorama lower parking lot renewal	\$ 2	00,000	s	Res	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 200,000	\$	- \$	- \$		\$	200,000.00
27-04	Replacement	Resurface/line painting (outdoor) Tennis courts	Resurface/line painting (outdoor) Tennis courts due to end of life	\$	40,000	s	Res		\$		\$ -	\$	- \$	40,000		\$	40,000.00
28-01	Replacement	Equipment Replacement (pooled)	Annual replacement of equipment in pooled account	\$ 3	63,207	E	ERF	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 363,20	7 \$	- \$		\$	363,207.00
28-02	Renewal		Arena changerooms, washrooms and support spaces enhancement design & renovation	\$ 3,3	85,000	В	Res	\$ -	\$	100,000	\$ 3,285,000	\$	- \$	- \$		\$ 3	3,385,000.00
28-03	Renewal	Arena B rubber floor replacement	Arena B rubber floor replacement (part of 28-02)	\$ 1	10,000	В	Res	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 110,000	\$	- \$	- \$		\$	110,000.00
28-04	Replacement	Pool air handing unit	replace pool air handling unit due to end of life	\$ 2	50,000	В	Res	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 100,00	0 \$	- \$		\$	100,000.00
28-05	Replacement	Link building roof replacement	replace link building roof due to end of life	\$ 2	00,000	В	Res	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 200,00	0 \$	- \$		\$	200,000.00
28-06	Replacement	LCD Arena B screen (Hockeyville)	replace LCD screen in areana B (Hockeyville)	\$ 1	00,000	E	ERF	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 100,00	0 \$	- \$		\$	100,000.00
28-07	Replacement		Refinish indoor tennis surface due to end of life	\$	75,000	В	Res	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 75,00	0 \$	- \$		\$	75,000.00
28-08	Renewal	Dehumidifer Socks	Upgrade Dehumidifer Socks Pool	\$	40,000	E	Res	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 40,00	0 \$	- \$		\$	40,000.00
29-01	Replacement	Equipment Replacement (pooled)	Annual replacement of equipment in pooled account	\$ 3	24,845	E	ERF	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$	- \$	324,845		\$	324,845.00
29-02	Replacement		Olympia ice resurfacer (electric units replace 7 yrs)	\$ 2	20,000	E	ERF	4	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 220,00	0 \$	- \$		\$	220,000.00
29-03	Replacement	Replace Ice Resurfacer #2	Olympia ice resurfacer (electric units replace 7 yrs)	\$ 2	20,000	E	ERF	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$	- \$	220,000		\$	220,000.00
29-07	Replacement	Replace Passenger Bus	24 passenger bus (used) (2007 Model)	\$ 1	32,000	E	ERF	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$	- \$	132,000		\$	132,000.00
29-04	Replacement	Replace Autoscubber	Autoscrubber - Ride on (old comp room)	\$	30,000	E	ERF	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$	- \$	30,000	s -	\$	30,000.00
29-05	Renewal	Arena A rubber floor replacement	Arena A rubber floor replacement	\$ 1	00,000	В	Res	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$	- \$	100,000	s -	\$	100,000
29-06	Renewal	Arena A Concrete Pads	Replace concrete pads in Ice Resurfacer area in Arena A	\$	50,000	В	Res	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$	- \$	50,000	s -	\$	50,000
30-01	Replacement	Equipment Replacement (pooled)	Annual replacement of equipment in pooled account	\$ 4	05,313	E	ERF	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$	- \$	- \$	405,313	\$	405,313.00
30-02	Replacement	Main Lobby Doors	Auto open doors Concourse main Lobby	\$	30,000	В	Res	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$	- \$	- \$	30,000	\$	30,000.00
30-03	Replacement	Arena Doors	Arena A & B interior doors from Concourse	\$	45,000	В	Res	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$	- \$	- \$	45,000	\$	45,000.00
30-04	Replacement	Slide Replacement	Waterslide replacement	\$ 8	50,000	В	Res	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$	- \$	- \$	850,000	\$	850,000.00
30-05	Replacement	Rec software system replacement	Rec software system replacement	\$ 1	00,000	E	Res	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$	- \$	- \$	100,000	\$	100,000.00
30-06	New	'	Island Room dev - Food Services	\$	65,000	В	Res	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$	- \$	- \$	65,000	\$	65,000.00
30-07	Replacement	Floor/tile Replacement link building	Floor/tile Replacement link building	\$	75,000	В	Res	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$	- \$	- \$	75,000	\$	75,000.00
		*														\$	-
			Grand Total	\$ 20,1	42,782			\$ 65,000	\$	5,897,109	\$ 4,255,400	\$ 1,310,20	7 \$	896,845 \$	1,570,313	\$	13,929,874

1.44x **Panorama Recreation** Service:

Project Number 17-08

Capital Project Title Arena concourse (lobby) roof

Capital Project Description leakage

renew arena concourse (lobby) roof due to

Project Rationale \*\*\*2020 Update\*\*\* Roof is at end of life. Project on hold due until energy recovery project is complete as dehumification equipment will be relocated from this roof. \*\*\*2021 Update\*\*\* waiting on heat recovery project. \*\*\*2022 Update\*\*\* roof will be replaced once dehumidifier replacement is complete

Project Number 18-02

Capital Project Title Install Plant Maintenance SAP Program

Capital Project Description Plant Maintenance SAP Program for PRC maintenance projects

Project Rationale SAP Plant Maintenance (PM) project focused on maintaining the already purchased, built and/or installed assets. Support departments' enhanced asset management activities to manage lifecycles and to plan and schedule maintenance activities as well as monitor job costs.\*\*\*2018 Update\*\*\* update through David Hennigan, I.T. does not have the resources to initiate this project at this time. Will readdress as staffing availablity and I.T. priorities change \*\*\* 2019 Update\*\*\* No change \*\*\*2020 Update\*\*\* No change \*\*\*2021 Update\*\*\* no change \*\*\*2021 Update\*\*\* preliminary project work began in 2023. expected to come online in 2025

Project Number 18-03

Capital Project Title DDC Replacement (direct digital controls)

Installation of new software controls. Capital Project Description additional wiring and upgrading of present DDC controllers and hardware.

Project Rationale Installation of new software controls, additional wiring and upgrading of present DDC controllers and hardware. The present DDC system were installed in 1977. The software and hardware is nearing the end of its life cycle and requires upgrades to the software and controllers in order to fully automate the facilities. Integration will tie into the new Perfect Mind software which will ensure the two systems communicate, offering seamless bookings, control the HVAC, lighting and automated building controls. Houle Electric has provided a quote for this work. This will extend the automation controls for an additional 15 years. Budget includes contingency, hazmat, other unknown costs. \*\*\*2018 update\*\*\* project to initiate after energy recovery study and/or project construction. There are likely recommendations from the study to help guide the needs of the DDC system upgrade \*\*\*2019 Update\*\*\* waiting energy recover project approval. This project should directly follow energy recovery in 2021, If energy recovery project does not move forward project should happen in 2020 \*\*\*2020 Update\*\*\* heat recovery project approved combining funding for this project. Approval contingent on securing a minimum of \$700k in grant funding \*\*\*2021 Update\*\*\* waiting on decision on ICIP grant \*\*\*2024 Update\*\*\* to begin after energy recovery

Project Number 18-09

Capital Project Title
Replace Pool change room HVAC Air handling Unit

Capital Project Description Replace Pool room HVAC Air handling Unit due to end of life

Project Rationale Replace existing air handler unit installed in 2003 as it has received its end of life. This unit supplies tempered air to all pool change rooms. Quote from Island Temperature Controls has been received for replacement of existing unit. Budget includes contingency, hazmat and engineering support. \*\*\*2018 Update\*\*\* project likely to tie in to energy recovery project. On hold until study is complete. \*\*\*2019 Update\*\*\* awaiting energy recovery project approval. This unit near end of life. IF energy recovery does not get approved this unit should be replaced immediately+\*\*\*2024 Update\*\*\* to begin as part of energy recovery project

Project Number 19-15

Capital Project Title Heat Recovery Plant

Capital Project Description Construct heat recovery plant

Project Rationale Total Project Budget 2.8M. assumed 700k grant funded, dehumidifier replacement project (320k) and weight room HVAC (50k) all part of 2.453M. \*\*\*2020 Update\*\*\* project approved for \$2.4M contingent on \$700k grant funding. Also to ulitize remaining funding from projects 17-04 and 18-09 \*\*\*2021 Update\*\*\* waiting on decision on ICIP grant \*\*\*2022 Update\*\*\* ICIP Unsuccessful, new energy recovery design without dehumidification will follow. \*\*\*\*2024 update\*\*\*\*\* project design has began in 2023. Completion expected in 2025

Service:	1.44x	Panorama Recreation	
Project Number	22-02	Capital Project Title Replace Daktronic/Electronic Road Sign	Capital Project Description Replace Daktronic/Electronic Road Sign due to end of life
Project Rationale	Replacement of existing Electric R	oad Signage due to end of life ***2024 Update*** Defer to 2025	
Project Number	23-01	Capital Project Title LED Lighting - Arenas, Pool, GG, Parking lot & general facility	Capital Project Description Tennis bldg)  Change lighting in all areas to LED (other than Tennis bldg)
		oversion projects from multiple years ***2021 Update*** project likely to start in 20 cted to start in 2024 with new Hydro account manager and incentives.*** Defer to	
Project Number	23-07	Capital Project Title Replace Chevrolet Passenger car	Capital Project Description Replace Chevrolet Passenger car due to end of life
	end of lifecycle ***2019 update*** 2023 *** Waiting to see if vehicle	project moved to 2021, for electification ***2021 Update*** waiting on decision or received in 2024	n level 2 charger grant ***2024 Update*** vehicle request form submitted in
Project Number	23-17	Capital Project Title Centennial Park Multi-Sport Box	Covered sport box in Centennial Park, Central Saanich
Project Rationale	Covered sport box in Centennial P	ark, Central Saanich, Project expected to be completed Q1 2025	
Project Number	24-01	Capital Project Title Arena A&B insulation	Capital Project Description Replace and install insulation Arena A&B ceiling and walls due to end of life
Project Rationale	Replace and install insulation Aren	a A&B ceiling and walls due to end of lifecycle and Increase building efficiency	
Project Number	24-11	Capital Project Title Truck (gas) (IBC)	Capital Project Description truck for notice in motion new service area
Project Rationale	Vehicle transportation required to	support expended community service	
Project Number	25-04	Capital Project Title Replace lap pool heater	Capital Project Description Replacement of 2 gas boilers - pool
Project Rationale	replace boilers for back up pool he	at due to end of life cycle	

Service:	1.44x	Panorama Recreation	
Project Number	25-06	Capital Project Title Re-tile pool	Capital Project Description Re-tile pool
Project Rationale	replace pool tile liner due to end of	f life	
Project Number	25-07	Capital Project Title Upgrade flooring in GG	Capital Project Description classrooms and hallways
Project Rationale	Upgrade flooring throughout the G	reenglade facility classrooms and hallways	
Project Number	25-09	Capital Project Title GG HVAC Upgrade	Capital Project Description GG HVAC replacement design & consult
Project Rationale	GG HVAC replacement design & o	consult - update old systems put in by school district on that building	
Project Number	25-10	Capital Project Title Boardroom IT upgrade	Capital Project Description Boardroom IT upgrade
Project Rationale	Upgrade the technology in our boa	ardrooms to improve functionality of hybrid meetings and recording	
Project Number	25-12	Capital Project Title PRC main boiler storage tanks	Capital Project Description PRC main boiler storage tanks
Project Rationale	Replace PRC main boiler storage	tanks due to end of life	
Project Number	25-16	Capital Project Title WIFI upgrades PRC Centre	Capital Project Description WIFI upgrades throughout the PRC complex
Project Rationale	WIFI upgrades throughout the PR	C complex to cover dead-zone areas and instability of service	
Project Number	25-18	Capital Project Title Hearing Loops at GG & PRC	Capital Project Description Hearing Loops at GG & PRC
Project Rationale	Increase accessible for the hearing	g impared with installation of an Assistive Listening System, Window Intercom syste	em and Induction Loop Amplifier

Service:	1.44x	Panorama Recreation	
Project Number		Capital Project Title Equipment Replacement (pooled)	Capital Project Description Annual replacement of equipment in pooled account
Project Rationale	Annual replacement of equipment	in pooled account due to end of life cycle	
Project Number	26-03	Capital Project Title Replace sound system in Arena A&B	Capital Project Description Replace sound system in Arena A&B
Project Rationale	replace due to end of life cycle		
Project Number	26-05	Capital Project Title HVAC equipment replacement	HVAC equipment replacement link building,  Capital Project Description weight room, arena lobby, fitness studio and courts
Project Rationale	replacement due to end of life cycl	е	Courts
Project Number	26-07	Capital Project Title Replace squash court floors	Capital Project Description Replace squash court floors
Project Rationale	refinish squash court floor due to e	end of life	
Project Number	26-08	Capital Project Title Upgrade pool chlorination system	Capital Project Description Upgrade/replace pool chlorination system
Project Rationale	Upgrade/replace pool chlorination sy	stem to new more efficient salt water chlorination system. Parts for old system becor	ming cost prohibitive.
Project Number	26-09	Capital Project Title Fire Doors	Capital Project Description Fire safety doors throughout Panorama Rec
Project Rationale	Fire Marshall has deemed the doors i	necessary. They have been inactive for 13 years. Once tested if they fail test they are le	egally required to be replaced.

Service: 1.44x **Panorama Recreation** Project Number 26-10 Capital Project Title CO2 tanks for the pools Capital Project Description Upgrade CO2 system to control the pool pH Project Rationale Cost saving measure. Expected 1-2 year payback on capital cost. Current delivery service is unreliable. Capital Project Title ARC Room Renos Project Number 26-11 Capital Project Description ARC Room Renos Project Rationale Should the arena expansion project not go through we are looking at renovating this room to have a couple office spaces in it to have a better staff presence in the arenas as well we are at capacity for offices. Project Number 26-12 Capital Project Title Weight Room Structure Upgrades Capital Project Description Weight Room Structure Upgrades Project Rationale As the weight room was originally a viewing area for the pool, the Back wall of the weight room is not solid enough for the activities that take place. The wall moves with the slightest pressure applied and the drywall is cracked and chipping off. Project Number 26-13 Capital Project Title Greenglade Pre-school Yard Capital Project Description Greenglade Pre-school Yard Enhancements Project Rationale Looking to install shade sails for the playground outside. The summer days are proving too hot for the little kids that spend hours outside. Capital Project Description Annual replacement of equipment in pooled account Project Number 27-01 Capital Project Title Equipment Replacement (pooled) Project Rationale Annual replacement of equipment in pooled account due to end of life cycle Project Number 27-02 Capital Project Title Panorama exterior painting Capital Project Description Panorama exterior painting

Project Rationale renew exterior painting at Panrorama due to end of lifecycle

rvice:	1.44x	Panorama Recreation	
Project Number	27-03	Capital Project Title Panorama lower parking lot renewal Capital Project Description Panorama lower parking lot renewal	
Project Rationale	refinish lower parking lot due to en	d of lifecycle	
Project Number	27-04	Capital Project Title Resurface/line painting (outdoor) Tennis courts  Capital Project Description Resurface/line painting (outdoor) Tennis courts Capital Project Description	
Project Rationale	refinish and resurface outdoor ten	is court surface and lines due to end of lifecycle	
Project Number	28-01	Capital Project Title Equipment Replacement (pooled)  Capital Project Description  Annual replacement of equipment in pooled account	
Project Rationale	Annual replacement of equipment in	pooled account due to end of life cycle	
Project Number	28-02	Capital Project Title Arena renovation  Capital Project Description  Capital Project Description  Arena changerooms, washrooms and support spaces enhancement design & renovation	t
Project Rationale	Arena changerooms, washrooms, later date and funding could be see	eception area and support spaces enhancement design & renovation - original design started in 2017 during Hockeyville but plan to continue deferred until ured	
Project Number	28-03	Capital Project Title Arena B rubber floor replacement Capital Project Description Arena B rubber floor replacement (part of 28 02)	3-
Project Rationale	to be completed with Arena chang	eroom and support spaces enhancements	
Project Number	28-04	Capital Project Title Pool air handing unit Capital Project Description replace pool air handling unit due to end of life	
Project Rationale	replace pool air handling unit due		

Service:	1.44x	Panorama Recreation	
Project Number	28-05	Capital Project Title Link building roof replacement	Capital Project Description replace link building roof due to end of life
Project Rationale	replace link building roof due to en	nd of life	
Project Number	28-06	Capital Project Title LCD Arena B screen (Hockeyville)	Capital Project Description replace LCD screen in areana B (Hockeyville)
Project Rationale	IT recommended end of life		
Project Number	28-07	Capital Project Title Refinish indoor tennis surface	Capital Project Description Refinish indoor tennis surface due to end of life
Project Rationale	Refinish indoor tennis surface due	to end of life	
Project Number	28-08	Capital Project Title Dehumidifer Socks	Capital Project Description Upgrade Dehumidifer Socks Pool
Project Rationale	Replace Dehumidifier socks at sar	ne time as Pool air handling unit replacement [28-04]	
	·		
	-		
Project Number	29-01	Capital Project Title Equipment Replacement (pooled)	Capital Project Description Annual replacement of equipment in pooled account
Project Rationale	Annual replacement of equipment	in pooled account due to end of life cycle	
Project Number	29-02	Capital Project Title Replace Ice Resurfacer #1	Capital Project Description Olympia ice resurfacer (electric units replace 7 yrs)
Project Rationale	End of Life replacement - last pur	chased in 2022	
L			

Service:	1.44x	Panorama Recreation	
Project Number	29-03  End of Life replacement - last pur	Capital Project Title Replace Ice Resurfacer #2	Capital Project Description Olympia ice resurfacer (electric units replace 7 yrs)
Project Kationale	End of Life replacement - last pur	mased in 2022	
Project Number	29-04	Capital Project Title Replace Autoscubber	Capital Project Description Autoscrubber - Ride on (old comp room)
Project Rationale	End of Life replacement		
Project Number	29-05	Capital Project Title Arena A rubber floor replacement	Capital Project Description Arena A rubber floor replacement
Project Rationale	Replace rubber flooring surface du	e to end of life	
Project Number	29-06	Capital Project Title Arena A Concrete Pads	Capital Project Description Replace concrete pads in Ice Resurfacer area in Arena A
Project Rationale	Replace concrete pad in Arena A	n ice resurfacer bay	
Project Number	30-01	Capital Project Title Equipment Replacement (pooled)	Capital Project Description Annual replacement of equipment in pooled account
Project Rationale	Annual replacement of equipment	n pool account due to end of life	
Project Number	30-02	Capital Project Title Main Lobby Doors	Capital Project Description Auto open doors Concourse main Lobby
Project Rationale	Replacement due to end of life		

Service:	1.44x	Panorama Recreation	
Project Number Project Rationale	30-03  Replacement due to end of life	Capital Project Title Arena Doors	Capital Project Description Arena A & B interior doors from Concourse
Project Number Project Rationale	30-04 Replacement due to end of life	Capital Project Title Slide Replacement	Capital Project Description Waterslide replacement
Project Number Project Rationale	30-05 Replacement / Upgrade of softwa	Capital Project Title Rec software system replacement	Capital Project Description Rec software system replacement
Project Number Project Rationale		Capital Project Title Island Room Development uce to potentially include a food services.	Capital Project Description Island Room dev - Food Services
Project Number Project Rationale	30-07 Replacement due to end of life	Capital Project Title Floor/tile Replacement link building	Capital Project Description Floor/tile Replacement link building

#### 1.44X Panorama Recreation Operating Reserve Summary 2026 - 2030 Financial Plan

#### Profile

#### **Panorama Recreation**

Established by Bylaw No. 4145 to enable CRD services to set aside operating funds to cover cyclical expenditures, unforeseen operating expenses, special one-time operating projects, as well as to mitigate fluctuations in revenue.

#### **Operating Reserve Schedule - FC 105302**

Operating Reserve Schedule	Actual	Est Actual			Budget		
Projected year end balance	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030
Beginning Balance	428,520	456,942	425,425	233,425	253,425	273,825	294,635
Planned Purchase	-	(41,517)	(200,000)	-	-		-
Transfer from Ops Budget	8,404	-	-	20,000	20,400	20,810	21,230
Interest Income*	20,018	10,000	8,000				
Total projected year end balance	456,942	425,425	233,425	253,425	273,825	294,635	315,865

Assumptions/Background:

<sup>\*</sup> Interest should be included in determining the estimated ending balance for the current year. Interest in planning years nets against inflation which is not included.

## 1.44X Panaroama Recreation Asset and Reserve Summary Schedule 2026 - 2030 Financial Plan

#### **Asset Profile**

#### Saanich Peninsula Recreation

Assets held by the Panaroama Recreation service consist of pools, arenas, administration building, courts (request, squash and tennis) and various vehicles and equipment to support service delivery.

#### Summary

Reserve/Fund Summary	Est Actual	Budget					
Projected year end balance	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	
0. 11.15			242.224	.=			
Capital Reserve	5,617,484	3,599,044	318,824	452,894	1,006,544	600,064	
Equipment Replacement Fund	533,666	321,057	519,967	273,250	44,275	126,392	
Total projected year end balance	6,151,150	3,920,101	838,791	726,144	1,050,819	726,456	

#### **Capital Reserve Fund Schedule**

Reserve Fund: 1.44X Saanich Peninsula Recreation Service Capital Reserve Fund (Bylaw No. 3038)

#### 1.44X Saanich Peninsula Recreation Service Capital Reserve Fund CASH FLOW

Capital Reserve Fund	Est Actual			Budget		
	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030
Beginning Balance	5,402,084	5,617,484	3,599,044	318,824	452,894	1,006,544
Planned Capital Expenditure (Based on Capital Plan)	(672,600)	(2,919,200)	(3,995,000)	(595,000)	(190,000)	(1,165,000)
Transfer from Operating Budget*  Donations \$ other Sponsorships	688,000	700,760	714,780	729,070	743,650	758,520
Interest Income**	200,000	200,000	-	-	-	-
Ending Balance \$	5,617,484	3,599,044	318,824	452,894	1,006,544	600,064

<sup>\*\*</sup> Interest should be included in determining the estimated ending balance for the current year. Interest in planning years nets against inflation which is not included.

1.44X Panaroama Recreation Equipment Replacement Fund Schedule (ERF) 2026 - 2030 Financial Plan

#### **Equipment Replacement Fund Schedule (ERF)**

#### ERF Fund: 1.44X Saanich Peninsula Recreation Service Equipment Replacement Fund

Equipment Replacement Fund	Est Actual	Budget					
	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	
Beginning Balance	1,146,779	533,666	321,057	519,967	273,250	44,275	
Planned Purchase (Based on Capital Plan) Transfer to Capital Fund	(1,035,233)	(669,909)	(260,400)	(715,207)	(706,845)	(405,313)	
Transfer from Operating Budget Equipment and Vehicle Disposal Proceeds	414,000 1,120	450,300	459,310	468,490	477,870	487,430	
Interest Income*	7,000	7,000					
Ending Balance \$	533,666	321,057	519,967	273,250	44,275	126,392	

<sup>\*</sup> Interest should be included in determining the estimated ending balance for the current year. Interest in planning years nets against inflation which is not included.

# Peninsula Recreation Budget 2026

October 2, 2025



- 1. 2025 Q2 Financials
- 2. 2026 Budget Overview
- 3. Capital Plan
- 4. Debt Summary





2025
Financial
Statement of
Operations –
January –
June

	% of Budget Used Budget 2025		2025 Estimated	YTD 2025	YTD 2024
Direct Operating Revenues	48%	5,322,178	5,503,326	2,574,734	2,266,061
Direct Operating Expenses	47%	4,100,661	4,237,391	1,918,109	1,747,297
Contribution Direct Operations	54%	1,221,517	1,265,935	656,625	518,764
Indirect Expenses – Admin, Maintenance	48%	5,878,110	6,046,857	2,826,557	2,572,484
Indirect Revenues	77%	288,847	288,847	221,950	176,923
Net Contributions (Deficit)	45%	(4,367,746)	(4,492,075)	(1,947,982)	(1,876,797)
Transfers & Debt	20%	1,530,218	1,297,308	312,617	428,446
Net Before Requisition	38%	(5,897,964)	(5,789,383)	(2,260,598)	(2,305,243)
Requisition	0%	5,897,964	5,897,964	-	-
Projected Surplus /(Deficit)			\$ 108,581		



## 2026 Operating Expenditures

		2025	2025	2026	2026	2026	2026	2026 vs 2	2025
	PANORAMA RECREATION	BOARD	ESTIMATED	CORE	ONGOING	ONE-TIME	TOTAL	Increase / (De	
		BUDGET	ACTUAL	BUDGET				· ·	%
	OPERATING COSTS:								
1	Salaries and Wages	6,456,805	6,461,954	6,822,371	5,000	-	6,827,371	370,566	
2	Utilities	625,400	625,400	634,625	-	-	634,625	9,225	
3	Recreation Programs and Special Events	605,088	606,388	551,028	-	200,000	751,028	145,940	2
	Operating Supplies	471,209	470,210	527,408	-	-	527,408	56,199	1
5	Maintenance and Repairs	560,114	577,114	577,488	-	-	577,488	17,374	
6	Standard Overhead Allocation	466,007	466,007	499,908	-	-	499,908	33,901	
7	Human Resources Allocation	225,975	225,975	244,175	-	-	244,175	18,200	
8	Communications Allocation			-	132,872	-	132,872		
9	Other Internal Allocations	23,047	23,047	14,106	-	10,812	24,918	1,871	
10	Licences/Surveys/Legal/Meeting	250,432	382,558	342,049	-	-	342,049	91,617	3
13	Advertising/Printing/Brouchures/Signs	42,980	43,180	51,479	-	-	51,479	8,499	1
14	Telephone/IT & Network Systems	68,430	158,054	66,912	-	-	66,912	(1,518)	
15	Vehicles, Travel and Training	111,334	118,034	117,151	-	-	117,151	5,817	
16	Insurance	100,950	100,950	105,450	-	-	105,450	4,500	
	TOTAL OPERATING COSTS	10,007,771	10,258,871	10,554,150	137,872	210,812	10,902,834	895,063	
	*Percentage increase over prior year			5.46%			8.94%		
	CAPITAL / RESERVE								
18	Transfer to Capital Reserve Fund	688,000	688,000	700,760		-	700,760	12,760	
19	Transfer to Equipment Replacement Fund	414,000	414,000	450,300	-	-	450,300	36,300	
	TOTAL CAPITAL / RESERVES	1,102,000	1,102,000	1,151,060	-	-	1,151,060	49,060	
20	DEBT CHARGES	399,218	166,308	328,748	_	53,530	382,278	(16,940)	ļ .




## 2026 Revenues

		2025	2025	2026	2026	2026	2026	2026 vs 2	2025
		BOARD	ESTIMATED	CORE	ONGOING	ONE-TIME	TOTAL	Increase / (De	ecrease)
	FUNDING SOURCES (REVENUE)	BUDGET	ACTUAL	BUDGET				\$	%
24	Balance C/F from Prior to Current year	52,000	52,000	-	-	-	-		
25	Fee Income	3,795,284	3,808,474	4,318,195	-	-	4,318,195	522,911	13.78%
26	Rental Income	1,156,599	1,161,599	1,252,767	-	-	1,252,767	96,168	8.31%
27	Sponsorships	29,380	29,380	29,050	-	-	29,050	(330)	-1.12%
28	Transfer from Operating Reserve Fund	41,517	41,517	-	-	200,000	200,000	158,483	381.73%
29	Payments - In Lieu of Taxes	169,950	169,950	169,950	-	-	169,950	-	0.00%
30	Grants - Other	366,295	366,295	370,989	-	-	370,989	4,694	1.28%
	TOTAL REVENUE	5,611,025	5,629,215	6,140,951	-	200,000	6,340,951	729,926	13.01%
31	REQUISITION	5,897,964	5,897,964	5,893,007	137,872	64,342	6,095,221	197,257	3.34%
	Increase by funding source	Requisition	\$ 197,257	24%					
		Sale of Services	\$ 618,749	76%					



## User Pay Ratio

Target: 50% / 50%





## 2026 Requisition

		2024	2025	2026(est.)
Requisition	Requisition	\$5,499,173	\$5,897,964	\$6,095,221
Increases	Increase (\$)	\$238,775	\$398,791	\$197,257
	Increase (%)	4.54%	7.14%	3.34%
Requisition by	North Saanich	\$1.770	\$1.878	\$1.942
Municipality	Sidney	\$1.609	\$1.728	\$1.786
(millions)	Central Saanich	\$2.120	\$2.291	\$2.368
	Total	\$5.399	\$5.897	\$6.095
Cook	North Saanich	\$20.75	\$22.64	\$23.40
Cost per \$100,000	Sidney	\$25.09	\$26.93	\$27.83
per \$100,000	Central Saanich	\$26.70	\$28.73	\$29.69



2026 Planned
Capital
Expenditures
>\$100,000



Energy Recovery Plant	\$ 2,653,000
Centennial Park Multi-Sport Box	\$ 475,000
LED Lighting - Arenas, Pool, GG, Parking Lot, and general facility	\$ 340,000
GG HVAC upgrade	\$ 290,000
Pool chlorination system	\$ 200,000
Install Plant Maintenance SAP Program	\$ 150,000
Direct Digital Control (DDC) Replacement	\$ 150,000
Upgrade flooring in GG	\$ 125,000
Arena A&B insulation	\$ 110,000
HVAC equipment, various PRC	\$ 100,000
Arena renovation – Design	\$ 100,000



2027-2030
Planned
Capital
Expenditures
>\$100,000

2027		2029	
Arena renovation	\$ 3,385,000	Ice Resurfacer	\$ 220,000
Panorama lower parking lot renewal	\$ 200,000	24 Passenger Bus	\$ 132,000
Panorama Exterior Painting	\$ 150,000	Arena A rubber floor replacement	\$ 100,000
Re-tile pool (phase 1)	\$ 150,000		
Arena B rubber floor replacement	\$ 110,000		
Replacement of 2 gas boilers – pool	\$ 100,000		
2028		2030	
Ice Resurfacer	\$ 220,000	Waterslide replacement	\$ 850,000
Link building roof replacement	\$ 200,000	Recreation Software replacement	\$ 100,000
Re-tile pool (phase 2)	\$ 150,000		
Pool air handling unit	\$ 100,000		
LCD Arena B screen	\$ 100,000		




# Transfers to Reserves





# Reserve Fund Balances






## Debt

Summary

### **Debt Servicing**



	Initial Amount		Maturity
Arena	\$	1,080,000	2032
Energy Recovery	\$	2,453,000	2041
Sport Box	\$	2,900,000	2041
	\$	6,433,000	



#### Recommendation

The Peninsula Recreation Commission recommends the Committee of the Whole recommend to the Capital Regional District Board:

That Appendix A, Operating & Capital Budget 2026-2030 – Panorama Recreation, be approved as presented and form the basis of the Provisional 2026-2030 Financial Plan.



# Thank you





## REPORT TO PENINSULA RECREATION COMMISSION MEETING OF THURSDAY, OCTOBER 2, 2025

#### **SUBJECT** Arena Accessibility Improvement Project Revised Scope Proposal

#### **ISSUE SUMMARY**

To provide the Peninsula Recreation (PRC) Commission with a revised project scope focused on priority components of the overall project and to seek direction for further analysis including detailed design, engineering and structural assessments.

#### **BACKGROUND**

Accessibility and operational challenges in the current arena complex have been well documented and supported through recommendations in both the 2022 Strategic Plan and the 2025 Facility Needs Assessment (FNA). In June 2024, the PRC directed staff to proceed with concept designs and cost estimates for the Arena Improvement Project. These initial concepts were presented to the PRC in April 2025. Concept A specifically addresses longstanding deficiencies in Arena A (1997) and Arena B (1977), including inadequate changerooms, outdated washrooms, and poor connectivity to the main complex. These issues had been previously identified but remained unresolved. Concept B built upon and included the improvements in Concept A with a further focus on creating an improved link between the primary Panorama facility and the arena complex, along with a redevelopment of some internal spaces in the Panorama facility. Given the recommendations from the FNA, staff do not recommend proceeding with Concept B currently.

At the September 18, 2025 PRC meeting, detailed information was presented for review (Appendix A). This report outlines a proposed scope revision for Concept A of the Arena Improvement Project focused on the priority areas of the changerooms in Arena B and improvements to the arena complex lobby.

#### **REVISED PROJECT SCOPE**

Following the September 18, 2025, PRC meeting discussions and after reviewing the questions posed at this meeting with the Consultants and in consideration of budget constraints, staff recommend that the scope of the project be revised to focus on: the Arena B changerooms (Table 1, item # 2), and the arena lobby improvements (Table 1, item # 4).

Further, staff propose that at this time, improvements to the Junior Team Changeroom (Table 1, item #7) the spectator areas (Table 1, item #3) and the Arena A changerooms (Table 1, item #1) be slated as longer-term priorities in future arena improvements. Staff also propose that alternative solutions and funding options be explored for the concession improvements (Table 1, item #5) and lobby storage capacity (Table 1, item #6). In discussions with the current Panorama maintenance team and the consultants, staff have determined that there are other options to increase and improve the storage capacity in the arena complex and as such it is not necessary to tie item #6 (Lobby storage expansion) to item #4 (Lobby improvements) any longer.

Table '	Table 1: Concept A - Cost Estimates (September 18, 2025)							
Ref#	Component	Subcomponent		Estimated Cost (\$) (see Note 1 below)		Estimated Requisition Impact (\$/household/year) (See notes 2 & 3 below)		
			Low-End	High-End	Low-End	High-End		
1	Arena Changeroom Upgrades	Arena A only	\$4M	\$5M	\$20.40	\$25.50		
2		Arena B only	\$1.86M	\$2.32M	\$9.48	\$11.83		
	Subtotal-All Changerooms	Arena A & B	\$5.86M	\$7.32M	\$29.88	\$37.32		
3	Accessible Spectator Viewing Improvements	Including elevator	\$2.3M	\$2.87M	\$11.73	\$14.63		
4	Arena Lobby Expansion & Improvements	Lobby expansion, washroom upgrades	\$3.85M	\$4.82M	\$19.63	\$24.57		
5		Concession Modernization	\$223,000	\$278,000	\$1.14	\$1.42		
6		Lobby Storage Expansion	\$1.10M	\$1.38M	\$5.61	\$7.04		
7	Jr. B Dedicated Changeroom		\$1.71M	2.14M	\$8.72	\$10.91		

#### Table 1 Notes:

Cost estimates are provided at a Class D level (40%+ margin of error).

Total

Annual per household cost of debt servicing calculated bases on a 15-year amortization 2. period at 4.5%.

\$15.02M

\$18.78M

\$76.57

\$95.74

Estimates on requisition impact are for reference only. The actual requisition impact may 3. vary and is dependent of other factors, such as increase in folio numbers in the service area.

Modernizing the Arena B changerooms is the most urgent component of Concept A. Originally built in 1977, these changerooms are significantly undersized, lack accessibility features, and offer virtually no privacy for toileting or showering. This makes them unsuitable for current user needs and non-compliant with contemporary standards for safety and inclusivity, including Hockey Canada's 2023 Changeroom Policy. The policy emphasizes the importance of providing safe environments that respect the privacy and dignity of all athletes, supported by features such as private changing and showering options, none of which exist in Arena B.

The policy does not require gender-specific changerooms, but instead promotes inclusive environments supported by minimum attire guidelines and access to private spaces within each changeroom. Without private stalls teams may request multiple changerooms to meet policy expectations, creating scheduling challenges during peak ice times. Additionally, assigning separate rooms, such as for female athletes, increases supervision requirements under the policy's "Rule of Two," placing added pressure on minor sport groups. Without upgrades, Arena B risks falling short of policy standards, limiting its ability to support sanctioned programs and straining facility operations.

Challenges exist in the current concept designs when focusing solely on the Arena B changerooms as this would include utilizing the space of the current maintenance staff offices, a larger staff room and storage spaces. Replacing these spaces has not been addressed in the current concept designs.

The revised scope proposed would also focus on lobby improvements which are essential for resolving persistent safety and operational challenges. The current layout limits visibility and control, making it difficult for staff to monitor and supervise this busy area. Risk management concerns such as unauthorized facility usage, theft and vandalism become more likely in an unsupervised facility. Creating a focal reception area would improve oversight, streamline circulation, and enable both ice surfaces to be programmed for community use.

#### **Junior Team Changeroom**

Staff are proposing that the improvements to the Junior Team Changeroom, the spectator areas and the Arena A changerooms be slated as longer-term priorities in future arena improvements. The concept of adding a Junior Team changeroom on the opposite side of Arena B, creating a larger changeroom and office space suitable for multiple user groups requires further discussions with potential user groups to clearly define needs prior to the development of further design concepts. A cost-sharing partnership with the Junior Hockey team and/or other potential partners should be explored in more detail. Similarly, upgrades to the concession area require further consultation with the current operators and users to further clarify needs. Similarly, cost sharing partnerships should also be explored. Staff propose undertaking further analysis returning to the PRC for direction.

#### **Uncertainty Considerations**

Given the level of uncertainty surrounding elements of the conceptual designs by both staff and the PRC members, staff are recommending further analysis and assessment be conducted on the revised scope to mitigate the level of uncertainty. The primary challenges with the conceptual designs as presented to date is a lack of clarity around what elements or components would be included in the new Arena B changerooms (i.e. how many individual shower stalls could be accommodated in the proposed room space) and a lack of information on potential structural and/or engineering components connected to a retrofit project of an older facility.

#### **ALTERNATIVES**

#### Alternative 1

- 1. That the Peninsula Recreation Commission:
  - a. endorses the revised project scope as presented in this report for the arena improvements;
  - b. directs staff to engage consultants to conduct further analysis including detailed design with engineering and structural assessments, and revised cost estimates based on new project timing, and report back to the Peninsula Recreation Commission; and
  - c. directs staff to explore funding options including levies, partnerships and grant opportunities as funding options for the addition of a Junior Team changeroom off Arena B, and report back to the Peninsula Recreation Commission.
- 2. That the Peninsula Recreation Commission recommends to the Capital Regional District Board:

That the five-year capital plan be amended to remove the arena improvement project.

#### Alternative 2

That the Peninsula Recreation Commission provide alternative direction to staff.

#### **IMPLICATIONS**

Alignment with Existing Plans & Strategies

Both the 2022–2026 Panorama Recreation Strategic Plan and the 2025 Sub-regional Facility Needs Assessment affirm the need to modernize the arena changerooms and support spaces, with a focus on improving accessibility, promoting inclusion, and enhancing operational efficiency.

Equity, Diversity & Inclusion Implications

Providing a more accessible and inclusive facility for all arena users is the primary objective of the Arena Improvement Project. Although the ice surfaces have been modernized and accessibility improvements have been made, such as the installation of automatic doors from the lobby into each arena, several additional improvements are required to the changerooms, lobby and viewing areas to provide a welcoming, inclusive and accessible experience for arena users and spectators.

#### Service Delivery Implications

The revised scope of the Arena Improvement Project aims to modernize the supporting infrastructure of a well-used, aging facility to meet current standards for accessibility, safety and user experience. The project is not expected to significantly expand existing services or introduce new programming; however, the proposed facility enhancements will provide greater participation opportunities for a segment of the population that has previously faced accessibility barriers in this facility.

Focusing on improving the lobby design of the arena facility also presents an opportunity to address operational challenges given the lack of staff presence and visibility within the arena facility itself. There are no direct sightlines between the reception area or staff offices and the main lobby and gathering spaces of the arena facility. This presents a risk management issue as the likelihood of unauthorized facility usage, theft and/or vandalism rises in an unsupervised facility.

#### Financial Implications

The PRC has approved funding in the 2025 Capital Plan of \$120,000 for detailed design work for the arena project, to date, approximately \$95,000 remains. Staff recommend utilizing this funding to engage consultants to provide further detailed design and the necessary engineering assessments.

The current proposed capital budget has \$3.3 million earmarked for arena enhancements in 2027, with additional funding planned from 2026 to 2030 for specific arena upgrades, including insulation and flooring replacements.

Based on debate and discussion with PRC at the September 18, 2025, commission meeting staff are recommending an amendment to the five-year capital plan to remove the area project until completion of the aforementioned engineering and design work can be completed. Additionally, staff would propose deferring the prioritized projects until the end or beyond the current five-year

plan. Revisions to cost estimates, including construction cost escalators will be reported back to commission when the engineering and design work is completed.

By removing the project, the capital reserve will not realize the \$3.3 million draw down for arena enhancements in 2027.

#### **NEXT STEPS**

To advance the Arena Improvement Project, staff propose the following steps:

#### Winter 2025 /Spring 2026

• Develop procurement documents to engage consultants in the required assessments and analysis.

#### Fall 2026

 Consultants to be confirmed, detailed design and engineering assessments to begin by late fall 2026.

#### **CONCLUSION**

The Peninsula Recreation Commission, through the 2022 Strategic Plan and the 2025 Subregional Facility Needs Assessment, has identified the modernization of the arena complex as a priority for future service delivery enhancement. The Arena Improvement Project includes critical upgrades to accessibility, safety, and operational efficiency in Arenas A and B. Given the levels of uncertainty surrounding the conceptual designs and the risks involved in a facility retrofit, a revised project scope and further analysis is recommended. The proposed revised scope focuses on the most critical needs which include the accessibility challenges of the Arena B changerooms and safety/security risks of an unsupervised facility lobby area. The recommendations presented here support the continued efforts to enhance the participant experience of users while also increasing the accessibility features in the arena complex to support a greater diversity of usage of this facility.

#### **RECOMMENDATIONS**

#### Alternative 1

- 1. That the Peninsula Recreation Commission:
  - a. endorses the revised project scope as presented in this report for the arena improvements;
  - directs staff to engage consultants to conduct further analysis including detailed design with engineering and structural assessments, and revised cost estimates based on new project timing, and report back to the PRC; and
  - c. directs staff to explore funding options including levies, partnerships and grant opportunities as funding options for the addition of a Junior Team changeroom off Arena B, and report back to the PRC.
- 2. That the Peninsula Recreation Commission recommends to the Capital Regional District
  - That the five-year capital plan be amended to remove the arena improvement project.

Submitted by:	Steve Meikle, M.A., Senior Manager, Panorama Recreation
Concurrence:	Luisa Jones, General Manager, Parks, Recreation & Environmental Services
Concurrence:	Nelson Chan, MBA, FCPA, FCMA, Chief Financial Officer
Concurrence:	Ted Robbins, B. Sc., C. Tech., Chief Administrative Officer

#### **ATTACHMENT**

Appendix A: Staff Report: Arena Improvement Project (September 18, 2025)



## REPORT TO PENINSULA RECREATION COMMISSION MEETING OF THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 18, 2025

#### **SUBJECT** Arena Improvement Project

#### **ISSUE SUMMARY**

To provide the Commission with a proposed approach to proceed with detailed design for the Arena Improvement Project.

#### **BACKGROUND**

The Panorama Recreation Centre includes two arenas—Arena A with its lobby (1997) and Arena B (1977) that face longstanding challenges related to accessibility, changerooms, washrooms, spectator access, operational efficiency, and connectivity to the main complex. These issues have been identified repeatedly through planning and engagement processes, with previous design concepts in 2009 and 2017 not advancing beyond early stages.

The 2022–2026 Strategic Plan prioritizes modernization of arena support spaces, specifically directing short-term design work and cost-analysis for changerooms and adjacent area upgrades, with potential secondary benefits to accessibility and efficiency. In June 2024, the Panorama Recreation Commission (PRC) directed staff to consult stakeholders and develop design options with preliminary cost estimates. Two concepts were presented in April 2025, after which the PRC requested revisions to address scope and budget concerns. This report provides an updated, phased approach in response.

#### Sub-Regional Recreation Facility Needs Assessment

On November 23, 2023, the PRC directed staff to work with consultants to conduct a Sub-Regional Recreation Facility Needs Assessment (FNA), which was recently completed and reported for information at the August 28, 2025 PRC meeting. The purpose of the FNA was to explore opportunities and challenges through the analysis of current inventory, programming and participation data related to demographic projections, best practices and trends, public engagement and consideration of service delivery models and strategies to generate recommendations for the future development of recreation facilities on the peninsula.

The findings and recommendations of the FNA support the need in the short-term to modernize the arena complex with a focus on accessibility and inclusivity. Additional findings and recommendations include improved access to food services at the Panorama Recreation Centre, the development and expansion of social gathering spaces in existing facilities, and the redevelopment of under-utilized, single purpose spaces into multi-use, adaptable programming spaces.

#### Recap - Design Concepts and Estimated Costs

As presented to the PRC in April, 2025 (Appendix A), Concept A focuses on targeted arena upgrades—changerooms, washrooms, lobby, spectator stands, and storage—while improving safety, security, and staff presence through a new skate shop, reception desk, and offices.

Concept B builds on this scope by extending improvements into the main recreation centre, adding enhanced connectivity, expanded community gathering and programming spaces, a redeveloped reception area, and new food service capacity. Nevertheless, further opportunities for recreation service delivery were identified in the FNA, and as such, a more detailed site analysis is also recommended before proceeding with changes proposed in Concept B.

Table 1: Costs Summary Concepts A & B

Concept	Subcomponents		Estimated Cost (\$) (see Note 1 below)		nated on Impact shold/yr) ses 2 & 3 ow)
		Low-End	High- End	Low-End	High- End
A	Changerooms, arena lobby expansion, arena lobby washrooms, spectator accessibility, concession upgrades, storage and office spaces	\$15.02M	\$18.78M	\$76.57	\$95.74
В	Concept A plus enhanced connectivity between buildings, enhanced food services, main facility lobby and washroom upgrades, squash court/facility programming enhancements	\$10.98M	\$13.3M	\$55.98	\$67.81
Total - Bo	th concepts	\$26M	\$32.08M	\$132.55	\$163.14

#### Table 1 Notes:

- 1. Cost estimates are provided at a Class D level (40%+ margin of error).
- 2. Annual per household cost of debt servicing calculated bases on a 15-year amortization period at 4.5%.
- 3. Estimates on requisition impact are for reference only. The actual requisition impact may vary and is dependent of other factors, such as increase in folio numbers in the service area.

#### **PROJECT PHASING**

To provide greater flexibility and to manage higher costs than initially anticipated staff are presenting Concept A as a phased approach, dividing the original scope into smaller, stand-alone components. Each phase can be advanced independently and, in any sequence, (with the exception of items 4 and 6 in table 2 below), allowing critical improvements to be prioritized and implemented over time. At the same time, and to remain aligned with priorities identified in the FNA, staff recommend that Concept B not be advanced at this stage. Appendix B provides a detailed list of the breakdown of phasing and elements of the overall project, where Table 2 summarizes the estimated costs as presented by the consultant.

**Table 2: Modified Concept A Cost Estimates** 

Ref#	Component	Subcomponent	Estimated Cost (\$) (see Note 1 below)		Estimated Requisition Impact (\$/household/yr) (See notes 2 & 3 below)	
			Low-End	High-End	Low-End	High-End
1	Arena Changeroom Upgrades	Arena A only	\$4M	\$5M	\$20.40	\$25.50
2		Arena B only	\$1.86M	\$2.32M	\$9.48	\$11.83
	Subtotal-All Changerooms	Arena A & B	\$5.86M	\$7.32M	\$29.88	\$37.32
3	Accessible Spectator Viewing Improvements	Including elevator	\$2.3M	\$2.87M	\$11.73	\$14.63
4	Arena Lobby Expansion & Improvements	Lobby expansion, washroom upgrades	\$3.85M	\$4.82M	\$19.63	\$24.57
5		Concession Modernization	\$223,000	\$278,000	\$1.14	\$1.42
6		Lobby Storage Expansion	\$1.10M	\$1.38M	\$5.61	\$7.04
7	Jr. B Dedicated Changeroom		\$1.71M	2.14M	\$8.72	\$10.91
	Total		\$15.02M (See Note 4)	\$18.78M (See Note 4)	\$76.57	\$95.74

#### Table 2 Notes:

- 1. Cost estimates are provided at a Class D level (40%+ margin of error)
- 2. Annual per household cost of debt servicing calculated bases on a 15-year amortization period at 4.5%
- 3. Estimates on requisition impact are for reference only. The actual requisition impact may vary and is dependent of other factors, such as increase in folio numbers in the service area.
- 4. These figures represent an assumption only; there is an increased risk of inefficiencies in phasing a project of this nature with respect to additional costs which may be incurred with each phase.

#### **IMPLICATIONS**

#### Alignment with Existing Plans & Strategies

The Arena Improvement Project aligns directly with the 2022–2026 Panorama Recreation Strategic Plan, which prioritizes modernization of arena support spaces with a focus on equity, accessibility and functionality. Concept A directly supports Strategic Actions A1 and A2 by advancing design work and stakeholder engagement for changerooms and adjacent spaces. Proceeding with Concept A demonstrates timely progress on these priorities and reinforces the PRC's commitment to an inclusive, accessible and modern recreation infrastructure.

Concept B aligns with additional strategic priorities and recommendations outlined in the FNA. These include improved food services opportunities and gathering spaces in the Panorama Recreation Centre, further accessibility improvements, and revitalization of underused, single-use spaces. However, the FNA recommends additional space planning and site analysis of the main complex and grounds to address long-term facilities planning, prior to conducting any additional capital projects to these areas.

#### Climate Implications

While climate impacts are not explicitly detailed, Concept A incorporates energy-efficient design and sustainable building practices. Planned upgrades to insulation, lighting, roofing, HVAC systems and building envelope will reduce the facility's carbon footprint and support long-term sustainability. These improvements align with broader climate action goals and equipment specific details and emissions impacts will be integrated during the detailed design phase. Concept B provides additional opportunities for energy-efficient design by reconfiguring high traffic areas such as the entry to the main lobby.

Depending on the project scope, as determined by the PRC, the Capital Regional District's (CRD) Green Building Policy supporting energy efficient and sustainable building designs may be triggered under the requirements for major retrofits. Both Concept A and B are well-positioned to meet the requirements of the CRD's Green Building Policy given the existing connection of the Panorama Recreation Centre to the heat recovery plant at the nearby Saanich Peninsula Wastewater Treatment Facility. As a result, the requirements in the Green Building Policy are not anticipated to have a material impact on the estimated project costs.

#### Equity, Diversity & Inclusion Implications

Equity, diversity, and inclusion are central to the Arena Improvement Project. Concept A addresses longstanding accessibility issues by upgrading changerooms, washrooms, the lobby and spectator areas (Listed in Appendix B as elements 1a, 1b, 2, and 3a). These improvements support increased female participation, evolving inclusion standards, and the need for privacy and safety in shared spaces—ensuring equitable access for all users.

Upgrading both arenas promotes consistent service delivery and removes participation barriers. Advancing Concept A reflects PRC's commitment to inclusive, accessible recreation infrastructure.

#### Financial Implications

The estimated cost of implementing all components of Concept A is approximately \$15.02 million to \$18.78 million. Pursuing Concept B would require an additional investment of \$10.98 million to \$13.73 million.

The 2025 Capital Plan has allocated \$120,000 for design work, which is expected to cover the detailed design and feasibility study for a single-phase project. Furthermore, \$3.285 million has been earmarked for arena enhancements in 2027, with additional funding planned from 2026 to 2030 for specific arena upgrades, including insulation and flooring replacements.

To finance the capital investment, borrowing will be necessary. At a 4.5% interest rate over 15 years, servicing a \$15.02 million loan would cost approximately \$1.46 million annually, resulting

in a 24.8% increase in requisition—equivalent to about \$76.57 per household per year. At the upper end of the cost estimates, \$18,78 million would cost approximately \$1.825 million annually, resulting in a 30.1% increase in requisition—equivalent to about \$95.75 per household per year

Alternatively, a 30-year amortization would reduce the annual debt servicing cost by \$15.02 million to approximately \$946,000, leading to a 16.37% increase in requisition, or about \$50.57 per household annually. At the upper end of the cost estimates, with a 30-year period, \$18.78 million would cost approximately \$1.205 million annually, resulting in a 20.5% increase in requisition—equivalent to about \$63.24 per household per year.

This proposed capital project will require new debt to proceed as outlined above. Staff will continue to pursue grants funds to reduce the need for borrowing. If the Board elects to pursue conventional Municipal Finance Authority financing for the project, elector approval will be required under the Local Government Act.

#### Service Delivery Implications

Arena B primarily supports the Junior A hockey team and associated user groups, while Arena A remains a critical venue for youth and community programming. This dual role underscores the need to ensure consistent service quality across both facilities.

Concept A addresses key service delivery challenges, including changeroom allocation, accessibility, safety and staff visibility. Upgraded facilities will improve safety, supervision and operational efficiency in both arenas. These enhancements support high-demand periods, enable hosting of higher-tier tournaments and ensure equitable access for all users.

#### Social Implications

Concept A enhances lobby and viewing areas in both arenas, fostering social connection and community well-being. These upgrades respond to public demand for inclusive, welcoming spaces and support mental health through improved opportunities for interaction. Advancing Concept A strengthens Panorama's role as a social anchor in the region while maintaining a manageable project scope.

#### **NEXT STEPS**

Following the discussion of this report, staff will prepare a recommendation for the Commission's consideration, to be presented at a special meeting scheduled for October 2, 2025.

#### **CONCLUSION**

In its 2022-2026 Strategic Plan, the Peninsula Recreation Commission (PRC) identified the modernization of arena support spaces as a strategic priority, and this has been supported by the recent Sub-Regional Recreation Facility Needs Assessment. As directed by the PRC, staff considered two concept designs developed by HCMA Architecture, with Concept A offering targeted improvements to accessibility, safety and operational efficiency in Arenas A and B. A recommendation on the matter is planned for October 2, 2025.

#### **RECOMMENDATION**

There is no recommendation. This report is for information only.

Submitted by:	Steve Meikle, M.A., Senior Manager, Panorama Recreation
Concurrence:	Luisa Jones, MBA, General Manager Parks Recreation & Environmental Services
Concurrence:	Nelson Chan, MBA, FCPA, FCMA, Chief Financial Officer, GM Finance & IT
Concurrence:	Ted Robbins, B. Sc., C. Tech., Chief Administrative Officer

#### **ATTACHMENTS**

Appendix A: Staff Report to Peninsula Recreation Commission - Arena Improvement Project Concept Designs (April 24, 2025)

Appendix B: HCMA Arena Improvement Scope Breakdown – Supplement (March 19, 2025)



## REPORT TO PENINSULA RECREATION COMMISSION MEETING OF THURSDAY, APRIL 24, 2025

#### **SUBJECT** Arena Improvement Project Concept Designs

#### **ISSUE SUMMARY**

To provide concept design options and preliminary cost estimates on the Arena Improvement Project.

#### **BACKGROUND**

Panorama Recreation offers programs and services to the community on two arena surfaces. Arena B at Panorama Recreation Centre was built in 1977, with arena A and the current arena lobby added in 1997 in response to growing community demand. The refrigeration plant was replaced in 2015, and the floor, boards and glass were replaced in arena B in 2017.

While there have been many successes in the arena, there are also several challenges. Despite upgrades to exterior and interior arena doors, the arena continues to lack basic accessibility and inclusivity features that would support both participation in activities and spectatorship for those with disabilities or mobility challenges. There are several barriers which encumber access to both changerooms and the ice surfaces. There is currently no mechanism for those who use mobility devices or strollers to sit with others in the common spectator areas.

The arena facility also presents operational challenges given the lack of staff presence and visibility within the arena facility itself. There are no direct sightlines between the reception area or staff offices and the main lobby and gathering spaces of the arena facility. This presents a risk management issue as the likelihood of theft and/or vandalism rises in an unsupervised facility. Furthermore, the current first aid notification system uses a wall mounted first aid call button system between the arenas and the reception desk. Although functional, in the case of a major medical emergency, the additional time to summon first aid support to the arena could present increased risk.

The 2022-2026 Panorama Recreation Strategic Plan highlighted recommendations to modernize the arena support spaces with a focus on equity, accessibility and functionality. In September 2023, the Peninsula Recreation Commission approved the 2024 Capital Plan, which included \$20,000 for design and consultant services for arena changerooms and support spaces enhancements. In June 2024, the Commission recommended that staff be directed to engage a consultant to provide design options and preliminary cost estimates for the arena improvement project. The current capital plan includes \$3.285 million in 2027 for enhancements to changerooms, washrooms, support spaces and common areas.

In August 2024, staff engaged HCMA architectural firm to complete this initial work on the project. Since then, Panorama Recreation staff have worked with HCMA to identify and prioritize accessibility, inclusion and functional improvements to the arena changerooms and adjacent support spaces. This analysis centered on architectural aspects of space planning for operational efficiencies and current best practices focused on accessibility. Two conceptual design options for order-of-magnitude costing have been developed to provide a range of service delivery

opportunities for the proposed project: Concept A and Concept B.

#### Concept A:

The first concept presents a focus on arena spaces, accessibility and functionality as it pertains to arena dressing rooms, washrooms, lobby space, spectator stands, storage space and connectivity to the main recreation centre building. Enhancements to the arena lobby include the development of office space and a reception desk to improve staff presence in the arena facility.

#### Concept B:

In addition to the enhancements offered in Concept A, Concept B improves on the connectivity between the arena facility and the main recreation centre, redevelops spaces within the main recreation centre to enhance programming and service delivery options, offers an improved main reception area and office spaces, proposes a food services operational space and enhances primary community gathering spaces. Concept B offers greater potential to meet future growth needs while also enhancing accessibility in the lobby gathering space of the main recreation centre.

#### **IMPLICATIONS**

Alignment with Existing Plans & Strategies

In April 2022, the Peninsula Recreation Commission approved the 2022-2026 Panorama Recreation Strategic Plan. The Plan includes a strategy to modernize the arena support spaces with a focus on equity and functionality. There are two actions pertaining to this strategy:

- A1: Proceed with design work and cost analysis for enhancements to the arena dressing rooms and adjacent support spaces. (Short Term: 0 to 3 Years)
- A2: Engage in dialogue with arena stakeholders to explore dressing room upgrades and partnership opportunities that can provide a public benefit. (Short Term: 0 to 3 Years)

Initiating dialogue with arena stakeholders and engaging a consultant to provide design options and preliminary cost estimates for the Arena Improvement Project supports the advancement of this strategy and corresponding strategic actions in a timely manner as defined within the Plan.

Concept B includes further facility enhancements, which are relevant to the following recommendations from the 2022-2026 Panorama Recreation Strategic Plan:

- Food Services Make a decision on the best course of action to enhance food services at the Panorama Recreation Centre.
  - Action A6: Develop a business case for the provision of food services at Panorama Recreation Centre. (Short Term: 0 to 3 Years)

Equity, Diversity & Inclusion Implications

Providing a more accessible and inclusive facility for all arena users is the primary objective of the Arena Improvement Project. Although the ice surfaces have been modernized and accessibility improvements have been made, such as the installation of automatic doors from the lobby into each arena, several additional improvements are required to the changerooms, lobby and viewing areas to provide a welcoming, inclusive and accessible experience for arena users and spectators.

Both concept designs (Concepts A and B) include improvement to the following areas:

- Accessible dressing rooms
- Increased number of and accessibility of arena washroom spaces
- Accessible upper floor spectator and viewing opportunities
- Connectivity between the arena building and the main recreation centre
- Expanded and enhanced arena lobby space to improve mobility, safety, security and opportunities for social gathering.

#### Service Delivery Implications

Although community demand for ice time and the arena facility remains high, the condition of changerooms and adjacent spaces is increasingly impactful to users. Changes in recreation, such as inclusion standards, desire for social spaces, requirements for improved privacy in changerooms and increasing female participation in hockey and lacrosse, present challenges around equitable facility use and effective allocation of changerooms. The ability to host competitions and tournaments may be impacted in future if improvements to dressing rooms are not made.

Both concept designs include options for enhanced delivery of services and programs via improved accessibility features, as outlined above. Both designs also include enhancements to the primary lobby space of the arena facility, which may support increased user activity during high demand skate sessions.

Concept B provides further opportunities for program and service delivery enhancements with a greater level of enclosed space linking the arena building to the main recreation centre. This linkage includes space for the addition of food services and enhanced community gathering spaces. Concept B also considers the redevelopment of spaces within the main recreation centre to enhance service delivery options through the provision of improved multi-functional spaces.

#### Social Implications

Public Health restrictions during the pandemic emphasized the importance of social connectedness to physical and mental health. Creating gathering spaces that feel psychologically safe and welcoming strengthens social connections. The arena lobby is a space for gathering in the community; however, the current size and layout is prohibitive to socialization. There is community demand for expanded food services at Panorama Recreation, which could be achieved through the Arena Improvement Project by enhancing the existing arena lobby and concession area.

Both Concepts A and B include enhancements to the arena lobby space, which will provide greater opportunities for social gathering and interactions as patrons ready for a skate session. Concept B provides greater enhancements to the community gathering spaces in the main recreation centre and includes options for the provision of food services functionality adjacent to this improved community space.

#### Financial Implications

The 2024 Capital Plan included \$20,000 for a consultant to provide conceptual design options for arena changerooms and support space enhancements. The 2025 Capital Plan includes \$100,000

for detailed design work.

The two concept designs are presented with Class D estimates, including contingencies and other design costs, as follows:

- Concept A: approximately \$17 million
- Concept B: approximately \$27 million

With respect to future operating budgets, both concept designs provide opportunities for growth in service delivery; however, Concept B provides a greater opportunity for revenue generation through the redevelopment of additional spaces to enhance their multi-functionality and ability to offer a greater range of programming.

Should the Commission require further information on the Arena Improvement Project, direction to staff to explore further options, engage in further analysis and/or to bring back further concepts would be beneficial.

#### **CONCLUSION**

Accessibility and operational improvements to the arenas at the Panorama Recreation Centre have been identified in several strategic planning processes since before 2010. In 2024, with Commission approval, staff engaged architectural consulting firm HCMA to conduct a feasibility study outlining conceptual design options and Class D estimates for the identified improvements to both arenas. At this time, the feasibility report is being presented to the Commission for information only.


#### **RECOMMENDATION**

There is no recommendation. This report is for information only.

Submitted by:	Steve Meikle, Senior Manager, Panorama Recreation							
Concurrence:	Glenn Harris, Acting General Manager, Parks, Recreation & Environmental Services							
Concurrence:	Nelson Chan, MBA, FCPA, FCMA, Chief Financial Officer, GM Finance & IT							

#### **ATTACHMENT**

Appendix A: HCMA Panorama Recreation Centre Improvement Feasibility Study





Panorama Recreation Centre Improvement Feasibility Study

Final Report

## **Table of Contents**

1.0	Executive Summary	3
2.0	Background	6
3.0	Existing Facility Review	1
4.0	Options for Facility Improvement	18
5.0	Appendices	17

## 1.0 Executive Summary

## **Executive Summary**

In support of Panorama Recreation Centre's planning for modernization improvements to the facility, **hcma** conducted a review of the building with a focus on improving accessibility and functionality for athletes, members of the community, and staff. Observations from the review were discussed with Panorama staff and ranked in order of highest priority. Scopes of work were developed to form two options for consideration and costing.

#### **Summary of observations:**

- The existing facility has several accessibility challenges including a significant lack of accessible ancillary facilities, field-of-play areas and spectator seating options.
- The washroom facilities do not meet current code requirements for either quantity or accessibility.
- The Arena lobby's small area and inefficient configuration often results in congestion for arena users.
- Dressing rooms in both arenas are undersized and do not meet current inclusiveness or accessibility best practices.
- Spectator seating lacks proper accessibility, with an insufficient number of designated wheelchair viewing areas separated from other spectators.
- Administrative and staff spaces are scattered across the facility, resulting in less effective facility operations.
- The recreation centre has two separate lobbies, one for the Arenas and one for the main facility, which itself has two distinctly separate building entries. This leads to a convoluted and inefficient user experience.

#### **Recommended scopes for improvement:**

- Accessibility Enhancements: Upgrade all public spaces to meet CSA B651:23 and Rick Hansen Foundation (RHFAC) accessibility standards.
- Washroom & Dressing Room Upgrades: Increase quantity of washrooms to meet current occupant loads and upgrade facilities to be fully accessible.
- Arena Lobby Redesign: Expand the space, improve traffic flow, and introduce permanent staff presence to enhance supervision and user experience.
- **Spectator Seating Upgrades:** Provide inclusive, integrated seating areas that allow wheelchair users to sit with companions.
- Wayfinding & Signage: Implement consistent, high-contrast, and tactile signage throughout the facility to improve navigation.
- Operational & Risk Management Enhancements: Improve staff areas
  and increase staff presence in the arena facility by consolidating office
  spaces and creating more efficient work environments, thus creating a
  greater level of staff presence and immediacy to address first aid, code
  of conduct incidences and/or to minimize the higher level of risk of theft
  or vandalism common to an unsupervised, open facility.
- Multi-Purpose Room Expansion: Repurposed underutilized spaces like one of the squash courts to meet demand for programming areas.
- Exterior & Parking Improvements: Enhance accessible parking and pedestrian pathways. At minimum, improve the visibility and access to the South entry of the main lobby and reception.

#### **Next Steps:**

Should the Panorama Recreation Commission approve staff to proceed to the next phase of planning, the following would be our recommendation for next steps.

- Develop a Detailed Schematic Design & Cost Estimate: Engage a core team of design consultants, which could include Structural, Mechanical, Electrical, Civil & Landscape disciplines, to refine the preferred option into a detailed schematic design and develop a more accurate cost estimate.
- **Seek Funding & Approvals:** Secure necessary funding and approvals from municipal authorities and community partners.
- Develop Phased Implementation Plan: Prioritize high-impact upgrades and establish a timeline for phased improvements if necessary.
- **Engage the Community:** Conduct outreach and information sessions to gather input and ensure alignment with community needs.

## 2.0 Background



## Background

#### Introduction

hcma was engaged by Panorama Recreation Centre to conduct an existing facility review with a focus on accessibility and functionality for athletes, members of the community, and staff. This review is intended to support future modernization improvements to the facility through an assessment of spaces against current best practices, identifying and prioritizing scopes of work for improvement, and developing two improvement options for order-of-magnitude costing. The existing facility review was conducted in October 2024, and the proposed improvement scopes were developed in November 2024.

Spaces included Arena A & B, the shared arena lobby, and the remaining recreation centre, excluding pool & tennis facilities. The review was centred on architectural aspects of space planning, patron access, and inclusivity. It excluded structural, mechanical, electrical, building envelope, and other systems assessments.

#### **Assessing Accessibility**

The following table summarizes the overall accessibility assessment of each space within the facility for sport athletes (applicable areas) and patrons/spectators (all areas). A 'yes' was assigned if a minimum level of accessibility was met. Within this context, a minimum level of accessibility means patrons and athletes can access all key functional spaces including field of play, washrooms/change rooms, and spectator seating within proximity of other users. They are not isolated or separated from other patrons. An example of what would be considered isolating would be a non-accessible main entrance accompanied by a separated secondary accessible entrance.

While a yes indicates a minimum level of accessibility exists, additional upgrades to ensure a positive experience of meaningful access is highly recommended. Meaningful access is defined as a holistic approach to access that meets the accessibility needs of all users at a site across the entire user experience. It creates a built environment that anticipates the needs of all its users and meets those users' needs as equals. See the accessibility assessment for each area when considering any improvements.

Facility Component	Accessible field of play	Accessible ancillary facilities for athletes	Accessible ancillary facilities for spectators / public
Arena Lobby	N/A	No	No
Arena A	No	No	No
Arena B	No	No	No
Recreation Centre	Yes	Yes	Yes

#### **Referenced Standards**

The assessment of each facility was based on the following standards:

- BC Building Code (BCBC) 2024
- CSA B651:23 Accessible Design for the Built Environment
- Rick Hansen Foundation Accessibility Certification (RHFAC) V4.0

The CSA and RHFAC standards promote dignifying and meaningful access that is based on user needs and context. The BCBC captures only a fraction of all features, qualities, and

characteristics of our built environments related to accessibility and inclusivity more broadly. The guidance RHFAC and CSA provide for creating accessible parking, entries, circulation, assembly seating, and washrooms is most relevant to this review of Panorama Rec. Please refer to CSA B651:23 and RHFAC V4.0 for full requirements, specific dimensions, and helpful diagrams.

#### **General Accessibility Guidance**

Below is a general summary of key considerations for accessibility in a recreation facility to provide context for what homa has reviewed in the facility assessment.

#### Parking and drop-off

Accessible parking stalls should have clear markings, access aisles, clear vertical and horizontal signage, and clearly marked safe paths of travel across vehicle lanes. Some stalls should have larger dimensions to accommodate accessible vans. Accessible drop-off areas should have similar features on top of being located as close as possible to relevant entrances and areas.

Curb ramps are crucial along paths of travel from parking stalls and drop-offs.

#### **Exterior circulation**

Ensure exterior accessible paths of travel are wide enough to allow people to pass each other and are as free as possible of cracks, bumps, obstructions, and pooling water or ice. Logical and direct routes are best. Provide seating with options for arms rests and back rests at regular intervals along paths of travel greater than 30 metres.

Grade changes should be mitigated with ramps with a 1:20 slope where possible. All ramps need contrasting and graspable handrails on both sides, level landings for rest for longer ramps, and visual indicators at the top and bottom of each run.

#### **Interior Circulation**

Consider where automatic door openers may be most needed along interior circulation routes, for example into climate-controlled areas such as ice arenas where doors cannot be propped open.

#### **Stairs**

All exterior and interior stairs of a venue should have the following features regardless of elevator access, as they are beneficial to a wide range of spectators and visitors:

- Highly contrasting tactile warning strip indicators at the top of stairs
- Continuous, graspable, contrasting handrails on both sides with proper extensions
- Non-slip contrasting nosing strips that are visible both ascending and descending
- No open risers

#### Reception, signage, and communication systems

Consider assistive listening and other types of technologies to assist spectators and athletes with varied hearing, sight, and other disabilities. These are important at check-in, service, or reception desks, and could help with spectators' experiences following live sporting events. Research what technologies – from extra large score screens to cell phone apps – are available.

For reception or orientation areas, ensure all tables/desks have sufficient knee clearance. The built-in reception desks at nearly every venue assessment were not accessible due to height or lack of adequate knee clearance. Also provide seating with backrest and armrest options.

Provide abundant, clear, evident, and consistent signage across all venues. Signage is important to easy and positive experiences arriving at and entering a venue, and then identifying where you want to go and how you will get there.

Ensure all washroom locations and accessible washrooms are clearly signed, and that sandwich board or pedestal signage do not obstruct accessible paths of travel.

#### Washrooms + changerooms

Dozens of details and dimensions for clear space, knee clearance, and the type and location of amenities / accessories add up to create truly accessible washrooms. It is important that hardware and the placements of amenities do not require significant dexterity, strength, or flexibility to use. All hardware, controls, and levers in accessible washrooms should be operable with a closed fist.

Consider providing the following:

- Sharps containers (useful for many medical needs)
- Benches/seating in change rooms that provide backrest and armrest options
- Two seats in accessible showers to allow transfer to one that is dry
- Automatic door openers for multi-stall washrooms with accessible stalls
- Emergency call systems/buttons in single-user washrooms
- Adult change tables

#### Accessible spectator seating

All accessible spectator seating areas should be clearly demarcated and signed and collocated with other seating. They should have moveable companion seats and allow spectators to sit as close as possible to viewing boards or windows. Ensure railings or other materials do not block the view from these areas at lower eye levels.

It is crucial that accessible seating has wide, clear circulation around it so that people using these areas are not bothered, jostled, or feel they are in the way. Ensuring adequate circulation space around accessible spectator and companion seats.

Where possible, create accessible seating options to provide choice and accommodate preferences. Provide handrails where possible to benefit many spectators and mitigate slipping on and slopes or stairs.

Consider providing moveable seats with armrests and backrests at each venue that could be positioned in various areas, with some available upon request. Clearly communicate availability.

#### **Lighting levels**

Lighting levels can be insufficient in many indoor washrooms and circulation areas. Ensure lighting promotes ease of use in every stall. Minimize shadows and glare from reflection materials.

If applicable due to the time-of-day events are hosted, adequate exterior lighting should be provided for all vehicular and circulation routes as well as for all ancillary and field of play areas.

#### **Operational considerations**

Operational strategies and considerations are key to creating accessibility for many features, including many listed above. The following list provides examples of where operations can help ensure accessible spectator and athlete experiences:

- Winter conditions. Proper snowing clearing to create compacted, firm, level surfaces for circulation and viewing areas is important. Ensure snow is cleared right up to all automatic door openers as well. Consider how operations can support snow and ice maintenance on all surfaces (including outdoor seating surfaces, handrails, and signage).
- <u>Temporary surfaces</u>. Consider the use of roll-out or modular hard surface materials to create accessible outdoor routes and areas.
- Parking and drop-off areas. Snow and ice cover surface markings. Determine strategies to create clear markings, including safe paths of travel across vehicle lanes.

- <u>Shuttle service</u>. Determine how shuttle service with powered vehicles can create a welcoming experience for disabled spectators and athletes. This is most applicable for venues where the field of play or spectator viewing areas are far from parking, drop-off, or entry areas.
- <u>Live streaming</u>. Consider how live streaming, if provided, may support various accessibility needs.
- <u>Communications</u>. Share key information on the location and features of parking, washrooms, accessible seating, and other amenities online and via event emails so that spectators can plan for their needs.
- Flow management. Consider propping open doors managing direction flows through circulation routes.
- <u>Seating</u>. Consider how moveable seats with armrest and backrests options can be made available to those who need them.
- <u>Lighting</u>. Consider enhanced outdoor lighting strategies for any events happening at dusk of after dark.
- Enhanced safety and support. Improving the oversight and supervision of the facility will aid in individuals feeling an enhanced level of safety and support as staff are more visibly on hand to offer aid when required.

# 3.0 Existing Facility Review

# Arena Lobby

### **Overall Assessment**

Is the field of play accessible: N/A
Are ancillary facilities accessible for athletes: No
Are ancillary facilities accessible for spectators: No

### **Critical Areas for Improvement**

### **Public washrooms**

There is insufficient washroom capacity in the arena for the current occupant load of the arena. The assumed combined occupant load for both arenas is 520 people, required 8 male and 15 female washrooms. Current provided washrooms total 3 male, 5 female, and 1 universal washroom, supporting 150 people. Additionally, the accessible washroom does not meet current code or CSA requirements for size, clearance, and amenities.

### **Connection from Main Reception**

Users are required to visit the main reception to access key components of the arena (e.g. dressing room key pickup). The current connection is limited to an exterior sidewalk with limited signage, which makes wayfinding confusing for patrons of the rec centre. Clearly delineating this important path is crucial for the facility, either through a new consolidated lobby or a landscape design solution with improved lighting and wayfinding.

### **Emergency Systems**

Exit signage need to be updated to include the "green running person" graphic to meet current code. Emergency systems to have both visual and audio fire alarms in both public and private spaces.

### Important Areas for Consideration

### **Traffic Flow:**

The arena lobby is too small for busy days, which can experience upwards of 100-200 people. Furthermore, the concession located near the entry door results in congestion. Public skate users are required to pass through doors 6 separate times when renting skates. This is also a source of congestion between the lobby and Arena A.

### Signage and Wayfinding

There is lack of consistency in the location of wall-mounted fixtures, signage type, size, colour contrast, and location across the lobby. Signage also lacks raised lettering or tactile markings. This makes wayfinding challenging for users and is particularly difficult for those with vision or cognitive accessibility needs.

### **Other Considerations**

### **Operations & Risk Mitigation**

There is currently no permanent staff presence in the lobby. The ability for this space to include an office or small reception area would be beneficial for staff to provide passive supervision to address code of conduct incidents, reduce risk of theft or vandalism, and for staff to provide user services as required, including safety and first aid. There is also no activity space for children. Having a designated area for kids helps with supervision, controlling conflicts and reducing facility damage. Finally, the multipurpose room (MPR) would benefit from being closer to the rink with clear line of sight and direct access, which aligns with the intended use of the MPR. In general, an MPR outfitted with skate flooring and visual access both into the rink and lobby would improve the sense of welcoming and activity levels in the space.

# Arena A

### **Overall Assessment**

Is the field of play accessible: No Are ancillary facilities accessible for athletes: No Are ancillary facilities accessible for spectators: No

### **Critical Areas for Improvement**

### **Dressing rooms**

Dressing rooms are very small. The washroom and shower facilities do not meet current best practices or code requirements for inclusivity and accessibility.

### **Spectator Viewing**

With an anticipated capacity of 120 people in Arena A, there should be enough wheelchair accessible seating provided for 4 users minimum, as required by building code. The current accessible viewing platform is equipped with a ramp but is separated from all other spectators with no space for a companion. All other spectator seating is located on the second level. There is no lift access available for accessible viewing to be located on the same level as non-accessible viewing. This creates a segregated and isolating experience for users and is highly discouraged.

### **Emergency Systems**

Exit signage need to be updated to include the "green running person" graphic to meet current code. Emergency systems to have both visual and audio fire alarms in both public and private spaces. The current emergency system with medical assistance call button was implemented due to lack of staff presence. Modifying space to have permanent staff presence in the facility is highly recommended as it would allow for more reliable and faster response times. At a minimum, the call button should be replaced with a solution that enables two-way communication, allowing reception staff to provide first aid responders with relevant context to better anticipate the necessary support.

### **Important Areas for Consideration**

### **Stairs**

The second level is accessed primarily via stairs. There is a lack of colour contrast at stair nosings and at handrails and guardrails. There should also be tactile attention indicators to warn users of level changes.

### **Skate Shop**

There are sightline issues for the skate shop in its current location, and its connection to both the lobby and Arena B are limited. Its current location is a source of congestion both for Arena A and for the lobby, and its distance from Arena B prevents opportunities for both rinks to be used. The service counter at the skate shop is high for children, smaller statured people, and those in mobility devices.

### **Other Considerations**

### **Exterior Building Envelope**

Interior insulation panels are damaged and require repair, particularly along the southwest-facing wall and roof.

### **Ice Surface Accessibility**

Level changes between skate flooring and the ice surface provides limited ability for sledge hocky in either arena. A further review is required to determine feasibility of making both rinks accessible.

# Arena B

### **Overall Assessment**

Is the field of play accessible: No Are ancillary facilities accessible for athletes: No Are ancillary facilities accessible for spectators: No

### **Critical Areas for Improvement**

### **Dressing rooms**

Dressing rooms have reasonable dressing area, but the washroom and shower facilities do not meet current best practices or code requirements for inclusivity and accessibility.

### **Spectator Viewing**

With an anticipated capacity of 400 people in Arena B, there should be enough wheelchair accessible seating provided for 8 users minimum, as required by building code. The current accessible viewing platform is equipped with a ramp but is separated from all other spectators with no space for a companion. All other spectator seating is located on the second level. There is no lift access available for accessible viewing to be located on the same level as non-accessible viewing. This creates a segregated and isolating experience for users and is highly discouraged.

### **Emergency Systems**

Exit signage need to be updated to include the "green running person" graphic to meet current code. Emergency systems to have both visual and audio fire alarms in both public and private spaces. The current emergency system with medical assistance call button was implemented due to lack of staff presence. Modifying space to have permanent staff presence in the facility is highly recommended as it would allow for more reliable and faster response times. At a minimum, the call button should be replaced with a solution that enables two-way communication, allowing reception staff to provide first aid responders with relevant context to better anticipate the necessary support.

### **Important Areas for Consideration**

### **Stairs**

The second level is accessed primarily via stairs. There is a lack of colour contrast at stair nosings and at handrails and guardrails. There should also be tactile attention indicators to warn users of level changes.

### **Junior Hockey**

The junior hockey team office, dressing rooms, storage, and utility areas are distributed across both levels at Arena B. It would be beneficial to consolidate these spaces for a sense of cohesion for the team as well as management of the spaces for facility operators.

### **Staff Room**

The staff room is too small, shared with storage, and with no access to the outdoors, both visually and physically. It is located far away from other staff areas and is primarily used by maintenance staff. It would ideally be consolidated with other admin areas in the facility.

# Main Recreation Facility

### **Overall Assessment**

Is the field of play accessible: Yes Are ancillary facilities accessible for athletes: Yes Are ancillary facilities accessible for spectators: Yes

### **Critical Areas for Improvement**

### **Connection from the Arena**

Users are required to visit the main reception to access key components of the arena (e.g. dressing room key pickup). The current connection is limited to an exterior sidewalk with limited signage, which makes wayfinding confusing for patrons of the rec centre. Clearly delineating this important path of travel is crucial for the facility, either through a new consolidated lobby or a landscape design solution with improved lighting and wayfinding.

Access to the arena is provided by a secondary entrance, which is not obvious for new patrons. The back-and-forth nature of the outdoor connection is not intuitive. This entry needs to be more prominent.

### **Main Entrance**

The circular vestibule and limited space between it and the reception causes blind spots and confusion. A transparent entry sequence and additional space for queuing at reception is recommended.

### **Important Areas for Consideration**

### **Community Boardroom**

The community boardroom is too small for its current use to host the monthly commission meeting, which also sees members of the public in attendance. Additional area is required to seat the expected number of people in attendance. It is also located in an isolated area, halfway down a ramp, creating additional accessibility challenges. A larger room in a more prominent location closer to the main lobby is recommended.

### Staff Spaces

Administrative spaces are segmented and distributed across the facility, which is challenging for operators. The layouts of each also do not serve the needs of staff effectively. It is recommended that these spaces are consolidated for more efficient use of space and time and to build connection amongst staff.

### **Colour Contrast**

Throughout the facility, colour contrast can be improved at handrails, guardrails, and interior stair nosings.

### **Accessible Parking**

Accessible parking is denoted with marked asphalt. Further clarity can be provided with vertical signage. Curb ramps directly tying into the sidewalk from the access aisles is also recommended.

### **Other Considerations**

### **Squash Courts**

The squash courts are under-utilized. Given programmatic demands on the rec center, remove one to create a more multipurpose space is recommended to meet the need for higher demand activities. For example, the fitness studio/MPR is heavily used and could benefit from expansion.

### **Main Lobby**

There is limited crush space and non-fixed seating available for public use. The variety and availability of these free, non-programmed spaces in front of "controlled" spaces play an important role in a thriving community centre.

### **Small MPR**

The small MPR in the lobby is difficult to program given its size and shape. It is recommended that this space be reconfigured to host uses that then opens up other programmatic opportunities elsewhere in the facility, such as indoor programming space for summer camps.

### **Other Assessment Details**

### **Access from the Exterior**

The parking area is located next to the building with relatively safe paths of travel o the building. There is accessible parking located close to the entry. There are clear markings and level changes to provide pedestrians with a clear transition towards the sidewalk and main entry. The addition of curb ramps to access aisles would further improve accessible parking.

### **Entrance**

Entrances are level and equipped with either automatic sliding doors or auto door operators.

### **Interior Circulation, Wayfinding, & Amenities**

There are no significant barriers to clearances and paths of travel. Traffic flow and wayfinding can be improved as discussed in sections above. A variety of fixed seating is provided in the lobby areas, including ones with back and arm rests. The seating is colour-contrasted from adjacent surfaces. The following items could also be upgraded to enhance accessibility:

- Lowering the mounting height of the water fountain to meet CSA B651:23 dimensions
- Increasing colour contrast of doors, handrails, guardrails, and stair nosings to distinguish them from adjacent surfaces
- Additional moveable furniture for flexibility of use

### **Washrooms and Changerooms**

Washrooms are discussed above in each section as a critical area for improvement, both in quantity, size, and availability of amenities to meet current best practices and to meet building code minimums.

### **Exterior Storage**

Storage containers located in the exterior space behind the arena lobby are not fully weatherproof and have access and usability challenges.

### **Tennis Courts**

The tennis courts are well utilized. Consider adding lines for pickleball to allow dual usage.


### **Fitness Centre**

Access and visibility to the fitness centre is not obvious to many users, partially due to its location on the second level. As this is a key amenity, improved wayfinding and awareness of the space is needed. Segmented zoning would benefit from a refreshed layout.

# 4.0 Options for Facility Improvement

# Option A

See following page for layout sketch.







Panorama Recreation Center - Arena Improvement Study

Concept Layout (Opt 1) - Arena Improvments

November 22, 2024

1:250

# Option B

See following page for layout sketch.



Panorama Recreation Center - Arena Improvement Study

# 5.0 Appendices



10<sup>th</sup> December 2024

### **HCMA Architecture + Design**

400–675 West Hastings Street Vancouver, BC V6B 1N2

Attention: Corey Grobe

Director, Victoria Office Lead

Architectural Technologist AIBC, CPHD

Terminal City Club Tower
Suite 609 - 837 West Hastings Street
Vancouver, BC

Vancouver, BC V6C 3N6

T: (604) 568-3711

www.lec.bc.ca

# PANORAMA RECREATION CENTRE ARENA IMPROVEMENTS NORTH SAANICH, BC

We have reviewed the project documents by HCMA Architecture + Design and prepared a final Class D Project Capital Cost Estimate and enclose our report.

Pricing has been included at Q4 2024 local unit rates noting the current uncertainty and volatility of the market. Supply chain issues currently being experienced may have unknown (short and long term) impacts on pricing levels and anticipated projected construction escalation.

Current market instability is a significant short- and long-term cost and schedule risk item (supply chain fulfilment of orders in a timely manner may create potential for critical path related construction delays).

Please note the conditions on which the costs are based, and the items excluded.

Yours very truly,

For LEC GROUP

Ross Templeton MRICS, PQS

**Partner** 

ross@lec.ca

RT/eh

3337/R241210Est



# PANORAMA RECREATION CENTRE ARENA IMPROVEMENTS NORTH SAANICH, BC 10<sup>th</sup> December 2024

Class D Project Capital Cost Estimate

### Submitted To:

Corey Grobe
Director, Victoria Office Lead
Architectural Technologist AIBC, CPHD



Class D Capital Cost Project Estimate - 10th December 2024

### PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The project encompasses the proposed two feasibility design renovation improvements options for the existing Panorama Recreation Centre Arena, in North Saanich BC with concept design layout scope defined by HCMA Architecture + Design (refer to the concept design for renovation scope definitions).

- Option 1 (Renovation Arena Improvements)
- Option 2 (Renovation Arena + Main Lobby Improvements)

Class D capital cost project and construction estimates are typically +/- 30-50% in accuracy 18 out of 20 times with many variables influencing the final construction price including most importantly the final design scope parameters, final specifications (output specification, performance specifications, proprietary specifications), final drawings, contractors' contractual obligations, extent of supplementary conditions, number of compliant bidders, volatility of the market, supply chain issues and market activity at time of tender. Please refer to the exclusions section.

Pricing has been included at Q4 2024 local unit rates noting the current uncertainty and volatility of the market. Supply chain issues currently being experienced may have unknown (short and long term) impacts on pricing levels and anticipated projected construction escalation.

### PROJECT CAPITAL COST ESTIMATE SUMMARY: Option 1 (Renovation Arena Improvements)

DESCR	PTION	\$
A.	Land (Including Legal, Accounting, Taxes)	Excluded
В.	Construction (Q4 2024 Net \$)	\$8,885,000
C.	Allowances (QS Design Pricing, Escalation & Owners CO Contingencies)	\$4,318,000
D.	Total Escalated Construction Cost Including Allowances – Q4 2026 \$	\$13,203,000
E.	Professional Fees (Allowance)	\$2,377,000
F.	Connection Fees & Permits (Allowance)	\$232,000
G.	Owners Internal Costs including FF&E (Allowance)	\$1,452,000
Н.	Owners Soft Cost Project Contingency (Allowance)	\$203,000
l.	Sub-Total (Items D to H)	\$17,467,000
J.	GST	Excluded
L.	Financing Charges	Excluded
M.	Total Escalated Project Cost – Q4 2026 \$	\$17,467,000

Class D Capital Cost Project Estimate - 10th December 2024

### PROJECT CAPITAL COST ESTIMATE SUMMARY: Option 2 (Renovation Arena + Main Lobby Improvements)

DESCRIPTION	\$
A. Land (Including Legal, Accounting, Taxes)	Excluded
B. Construction (Q4 2024 Net \$)	\$13,913,000
C. Allowances (QS Design Pricing, Escalation & Owners CO Contingencies)	\$6,761,000
D. Total Escalated Construction Cost Including Allowances – Q4 2026 \$	\$20,674,000
E. Professional Fees (Allowance)	\$3,721,000
F. Connection Fees & Permits (Allowance)	\$358,000
G. Owners Internal Costs including FF&E (Allowance)	\$2,274,000
H. Owners Soft Cost Project Contingency (Allowance)	\$318,000
I. Sub-Total (Items D to H)	\$27,345,000
J. GST	Excluded
L. Financing Charges	Excluded
M. Total Escalated Project Cost – Q4 2026 \$	\$27,345,000

### **AREA ANALYSIS**

Areas as defined in the concept design:

Approximate Gross Floor Areas (GFA) of Renovated Areas (no functional program areas provided):

Option 1 (Renovation Arena Improvements)  $GFA = 1,739 \text{ m}^2$ 

 $GFA = 2,877 \text{ m}^2$ Option 2 (Renovation Arena + Main Lobby Improvements)

### **PROJECT CALENDAR**

We have allowed for a midpoint of construction of Q4 2026 for both options.



Class D Capital Cost Project Estimate - 10th December 2024

### CONTRACT CONDITIONS

The costs are based on the work being executed through a construction management (with fixed lump sum option) agreement on standard form documents with no onerous conditions.

Competitive tenders will be received from at least five qualified general contractors / construction managers and three qualified subcontractors for each major sub trade.

A procurement strategy review should be undertaken by the City, for risk analysis and time/cost/quality key objectives before selecting the project procurement strategy.

### **QS DESIGN PRICING CONTINGENCY ALLOWANCE**

At this stage of the design, we have allocated a QS design pricing contingency allowance of 15% (renovation risk at concept design stage).

This contingency is used to help offset any differences between our assumptions and those of the design team. This is not an item that should be used for cost savings as this percentage ultimately gets absorbed into the construction cost as the design progresses.

### OWNERS CONSTRUCTION CHANGE ORDER CONTINGENCY ALLOWANCE

Construction projects are rarely completed without some level of change and often additional scopes of work are required. We recommend the owner carry an additional sum of 15% (renovation risk at concept design stage) of the construction cost in their budget to help offset any unforeseen costs that may arise during construction. This contingency allowance should be re-assessed at schematic design stage when all design consultants/engineers will be engaged. At this time, no design consultant engineers are engaged, and "high" renovation includes seismic and code upgrades.

We have included this allowance within the project estimate.

This Construction Change Order Contingency allowance is owner owned and will not be included in the tender returns but should be set aside in a separate budget for the owner to manage during the construction period.



Class D Capital Cost Project Estimate - 10th December 2024

### **ESCALATION CONTINGENCY ALLOWANCE**

An escalation contingency allowance to an assumed construction midpoint of Q4 2026 (12.4%) has been included as tender pricing for major trades will be price and schedule locked in at that time. This is based on a compounding rate of construction escalation of 6% per annum.

We recommend carrying an escalation allowance of 6% per annum for future years (compound calculated) based on current industry predictions and known factors. The impact of the US election result is unknown at this time (e.g. tariffs, NAFTA etc).

Projecting future escalation carries risk given unknown future market conditions, local and world economy status, general cost of living, CPI, prime rates, supply chains, micro/macro economics local, national and world political situation etc.

An additional escalation contingency should be added in the event of schedule slippage.

### **EXCLUSIONS**

**Land Costs** 

Underground parking

New build (apart from Option 2 addition)

Aquatic, Tennis

Upgrades required beyond allowances (no-design) carried at this stage

**GST** 

Public Art

Project financing costs

Works outside of project area

Afterhours / weekend work / shift premium

Utility charges beyond allowance provided

Construction works beyond the concept scope

Phasing of the works or accelerated schedule

Extraordinary market conditions

Escalation beyond the assumed included mid-point of construction of Q4 2026

Abnormal subsurface conditions (geotechnical or environmental) and/or unexpected existing building conditions



Class D Capital Cost Project Estimate - 10th December 2024

### **METHODOLOGY**

The costs were developed through measurement of materials, labour, equipment and items of work in as much detail as the documents would provide. Allowances are included where measurement was not practical. All measurement was carried out in accordance with the Standard Method of Measurement published by the Canadian Institute of Quantity Surveyors.

### **DOCUMENTS AND DATA**

This cost estimate has been prepared using information from the following documents:

Description	Date	Author
Panorama Rec_Arena Improvement_Concept Costing_20241122	22 <sup>nd</sup> November 2024	HCMA Architecture + Design
2024-09-23 Site Photos	23 <sup>rd</sup> September 2024	HCMA Architecture + Design
24-11-28 Panorama Recreation Centre Arena Improvements Class D Estimate Report - hcma review	6 <sup>th</sup> December 2024	HCMA Architecture + Design



Class D Capital Cost Project Estimate - 10th December 2024

A. LAND COST	PROJECT COST SUMMARY		Option 1 (Renovation Arena Improvements)	Option 2 (Renovation Arena + Main Lobby Improvements)
B. CONSTRUCTION (04 2024 NET \$   SOUTH   SUBJECT			Footonia d	Ended
1. Building (04. 2024 NET S EXC. LUDING ALL. CONTINGENCIES)	i Land, Property Tax, Legal Fees			
2 Parking (Surface and/or Underground Parking) (Excluded) 3 On Site Works (Parking Curbs, Hard/Soft Landscape/M&E Utilities (Allowance) 5,0% \$4,200,00 Excluded 5,0% \$8,885,000 Excluded 5,0% \$8,885,000 \$13,313,000  C. ALLOWANCES/CONTINGENCIES 1 QS Design Pricing Allowance 2 Owners Post Tender Construction Change Order Allowance 3 Escalation Allowance (Mid-point of construction Q4 of 2026 ® 9k.p.a.) 12,4% \$1,482,000 \$2,274,000  D. TOTAL ESCALATED CONSTRUCTION COST INCLUDING ALLOWANCES 4 2026 \$13,203,000 \$2,077,000  D. TOTAL ESCALATED CONSTRUCTION COST INCLUDING ALLOWANCES 4 2026 \$13,203,000 \$2,077,000  F. CONNECTION FEES & PERMITS 1 City Planning & Development Fees (Excluded from Renovation, DCC n/a) 2 (Oth Suliding Permit Fees; Allowance 1,0% Sulface) 3 Utility Connection Fees; Allowance 2 2,5% S13,2000 \$227,000  G. OWMERS INTERNAL COSTS 1 Owner's Planning and Administrative Cost, Allowance 2 2 0 were's Planning and Administrative Cost, Allowance 5,5% S660,000 \$1,034,000  S Project Commissioning; Allowance 5,5% S660,000 \$1,034,000  S Project Commissioning; Allowance 6,5% S660,000 \$1,034,000  S Project Commissioning	B. CONSTRUCTION (Q4 2024 NET \$)		I	
3 On Site Works (Excluded) 4 Off Site Works (Excluded) 5 (10 Site Works (Excluded) 5	1 Building (Q4 2024 NET \$ EXCLUDING ALL CONTINGENCIES)	Renovation	\$8,465,000	\$13,253,000
## A Off Site Works (Excluded)   Excluded   Excluded   Excluded   S8,885,000   \$13,913,000   \$13,913,000   \$13,913,000   \$13,913,000   \$13,913,000   \$10,910	5,1		Street Add Street	N. 23
C. ALLOWANCES/CONTINGENCIES  1 QS Design Pricing Allowance 2 Owners Post Tender Construction Change Order Allowance 3 Escalation Allowance (Mid-point of construction C4 of 2026 @ 9% p.a.)  D. TOTAL ESCALATED CONSTRUCTION COST INCLUDING ALLOWANCES  E. PROFESSIONAL FEES (ALLOWANCE)  E. PROFESSIONAL FEES (ALLOWANCE)  F. CONNECTION FEES & PERMITS 1 City Planning & Development Fees (Excluded from Renovation, DCC n/a) 2 Utility Connection Fees; Allowance 3 Utility Connection Fees; Allowance 4 Owner's Project Management; Allowance 5 Owner's Project Management; Allowance 5 Owner's FF&E, Sports Equipment, AV & Kitchen; Allowance 5 Project Commissioning; Allowance 6 Public Art  H. OWNERS NOT COSTS PROJECT CONTINGENCY (ITEMS E to G)  L. FINANCING CHARGES  M. TOTAL ESCALATED PROJECT COST  Q4 2026 \$ \$17,467,000 \$27,345,000  STATISTICS 1 Gross Floor Area (m*) (Renovated Areas only) J. GROSS Floor Area (m*) (Renovated Areas only) J. Gross Floor Area (m*) (Renovated Areas only) 2 Gross Construction Cost (S/m*) (Item D)  STATISTICS 1 Gross Floor Area (m*) (Renovated Areas only) 2 Gross Construction Cost (S/m*) (Item D)  STATISTICS 1 Gross Floor Area (m*) (Renovated Areas only) 2 Gross Floor Area (m*) (Renovated Areas only) 3 Gross Floor Area (m	, ,	5.0%		177.31.31.31
C. ALLOWANCES/CONTINGENCIES  1 OS Design Pricing Allowance 2 Owners Post Tender Construction Change Order Allowance 3 Escalation Allowance (Mid-point of construction Q4 of 2026 @ 5% p.a.) 5 2,400 000 5 3 Escalation Allowance (Mid-point of construction Q4 of 2026 @ 5% p.a.)  D. TOTAL ESCALATED CONSTRUCTION COST INCLUDING ALLOWANCES  D. TOTAL ESCALATED CONSTRUCTION COST INCLUDING ALLOWANCES  E. PROFESSIONAL FEES (ALLOWANCE)  D. TOTAL ESCALATED CONSTRUCTION COST INCLUDING ALLOWANCES  E. PROFESSIONAL FEES (ALLOWANCE)  D. TOTAL ESCALATED CONSTRUCTION COST INCLUDING ALLOWANCES  E. PROFESSIONAL FEES (ALLOWANCE)  D. TOTAL ESCALATED CONSTRUCTION COST INCLUDING ALLOWANCES  D. TOTAL ESCALATED PROJECT COST  D. TOTAL ESCALAT	4 Oil Sile Works (Excluded)			
1 QS Design Pricing Allowance 2 Owners Post Tender Construction Change Order Allowance 15.0% \$1,333,000 \$2,007.000 \$3.2400.000 \$3. Escalation Allowance (Mid-point of construction Q4 of 2026 @ 6% p.a.) \$1,552,000 \$2,274.000 \$3.4318,000 \$6,761.000 \$4,318,000 \$6,761.000 \$4,318,000 \$6,761.000 \$5.751	O ALLOWANGEO/GONTINGENGIES			
2 Owners Post Tender Construction Change Order Allowance 3 Escalation Allowance (Mid-point of construction Q4 of 2026 @ 6% p.a.) 124% \$1,452,000 \$2,274 000 124% \$1,452,000 \$5,751,000 124% \$1,452,000 \$5,751,000 124% \$1,452,000 \$5,751,000 125,4318,000 \$5,751,000 126,4318,000 \$5,751,000 127,345,000 \$2,7345,000 124,522,740,000 125,4318,000 \$20,674,000 126,4318,000 \$20,674,000 127,345,000 \$2,377,000 \$3,721,000 127,345,000 128,4318,000 \$2,377,000 \$3,721,000 128,4318,000 \$2,377,000 \$3,721,000 128,4318,000 \$2,377,000 \$3,721,000 128,4318,000 \$2,377,000 \$3,721,000 128,4318,000 \$2,377,000 \$3,721,000 128,4318,000 \$2,377,000 \$3,721,000 128,4318,000 \$3,100,000 128,4318,000 \$3,100,000 128,4318,000 \$3,100,000 138,4318,000 \$3,100,000 138,4318,000 138,4318,000 \$3,100,000 138,4318		15.00/	\$4 333 000	\$2.097.000
3 Escalation Allowance (Mid-point of construction Q4 of 2026 @ 6% p.a.)  D. TOTAL ESCALATED CONSTRUCTION COST INCLUDING ALLOWANCES  E. PROFESSIONAL FEES (ALLOWANCE)  E. PROFESSIONAL FEES (ALLOWANCE)  E. CONNECTION FEES & PERMITS  1 City Planning & Development Fees (Excluded from Renovation, DCC n/a) 2 City Building Permit Fees (Excluded from Renovation, DCC n/a) 3 Utility Connection Fees; Allowance 3 Utility Connection Fees; Allowance (scope TBD)  G. OWNERS INTERNAL COSTS  1 Owner's Project Management; Allowance 2 Owner's Project Management; Allowance 3 Owner's FFAE; Sports Equipment, AV & Kitchen; Allowance 4 Project Insurance; Allowance 5 0.0% 5 Project Commissioning; Allowance 5 Project Constitution of Excluded	y y		and the second s	The state of the s
D. TOTAL ESCALATED CONSTRUCTION COST INCLUDING ALLOWANCES   Q4 2026 \$ \$13,203,000 \$20,674,000 \$2,074,000 \$2,377,000 \$3,721,000 \$3,721,000 \$3,721,000 \$3,721,000 \$3,721,000 \$3,721,000 \$3,721,000 \$10,000 \$2	· ·		9 100 110 110 110	
E. PROFESSIONAL FEES (ALLOWANCE)   18.0%   \$2,377,000   \$3,721,000		= 1		
F. CONNECTION FEES & PERMITS	D. TOTAL ESCALATED CONSTRUCTION COST INCLUDING ALLOWANCES	Q4 2026 \$	\$13,203,000	\$20,674,000
1 City Planning & Development Fees (Excluded from Renovation, DCC n/a) 2 City Building Permit Fees; Allowance 3 Utility Connection Fees; Allowance 3 Utility Connection Fees; Allowance (scope TBD) 5100,000 5100,000 5100,000 5100,000 5232,000 5358,000 5358,000 5358,000 5358,000 537,000 520 WNERS INTERNAL COSTS 1 Owner's Project Management; Allowance 2 .5% 1 Owner's Project Management; Allowance 2 .5% 2 .5% 2 .330,000 3 .0wner's Planning and Administrative Cost; Allowance 3 .0wner's Pfaes, Sports Equipment, AV & Kitchen; Allowance 4 .5.% 5 .98,000 5 .10,30,4,000 5 Project Insurance; Allowance 5 .5.% 5 .586,000 5 .10,30,000 5 Project Commissioning; Allowance 6 .0.5% 5 .866,000 5 .10,30,000 5 .10,30,000 5 .10,30,000 6 Public Art 5 .1,452,000 5 .2,274,000  H. OWNERS SOFT COSTS PROJECT CONTINGENCY (ITEMS E to G) 5 .5% 5 .5% 5 .5% 5 .5% 5 .7,467,000 5 .27,345,000  L. FINANCING CHARGES 5 .1,7467,000 5 .27,345,000  STATISTICS 1 Gross Floor Area (m²) (Renovated Areas only) 2 .2,877 m² 2 .877 m² 2 .878	E. PROFESSIONAL FEES (ALLOWANCE)	18.0%	\$2,377,000	\$3,721,000
1 City Planning & Development Fees (Excluded from Renovation, DCC n/a) 2 City Building Permit Fees; Allowance 3 Utility Connection Fees; Allowance 3 Utility Connection Fees; Allowance (scope TBD) 5100,000 5100,000 5100,000 5100,000 5232,000 5358,000 5358,000 5358,000 5358,000 537,000 520 WNERS INTERNAL COSTS 1 Owner's Project Management; Allowance 2 .5% 1 Owner's Project Management; Allowance 2 .5% 2 .5% 2 .330,000 3 .0wner's Planning and Administrative Cost; Allowance 3 .0wner's Pfaes, Sports Equipment, AV & Kitchen; Allowance 4 .5.% 5 .98,000 5 .10,30,4,000 5 Project Insurance; Allowance 5 .5.% 5 .586,000 5 .10,30,000 5 Project Commissioning; Allowance 6 .0.5% 5 .866,000 5 .10,30,000 5 .10,30,000 5 .10,30,000 6 Public Art 5 .1,452,000 5 .2,274,000  H. OWNERS SOFT COSTS PROJECT CONTINGENCY (ITEMS E to G) 5 .5% 5 .5% 5 .5% 5 .5% 5 .7,467,000 5 .27,345,000  L. FINANCING CHARGES 5 .1,7467,000 5 .27,345,000  STATISTICS 1 Gross Floor Area (m²) (Renovated Areas only) 2 .2,877 m² 2 .877 m² 2 .878	F CONNECTION FEES & PERMITS			
2 City Building Permit Fees; Allowance   1.0%   \$132,000   \$207,000   \$100,		2.0%	Excluded	\$51,000
\$232,000   \$358,000				
G. OWNERS INTERNAL COSTS   1 Owner's Project Management; Allowance   2.5%   \$330,000   \$517,000   2 Owner's Planning and Administrative Cost; Allowance   1.5%   \$198,000   \$310,000   3 Owner's Planning and Administrative Cost; Allowance   1.5%   \$198,000   \$310,000   4 Project Insurance; Allowance   1.5%   \$198,000   \$310,000   5 Project Commissioning; Allowance   0.5%   \$66,000   \$103,000   6 Public Art   Excluded   Exclud	3 Utility Connection Fees; Allowance (scope TBD)		\$100,000	\$100,000
1 Owner's Project Management; Allowance   2.5%   \$330,000   \$517,000			\$232,000	\$358,000
2 Owner's Planning and Administrative Cost; Allowance   1.5%   \$198,000   \$310,000   3 Owner's FR&E, Sports Equipment, AV & Kitchen; Allowance   5.0%   \$660,000   \$1,034,000   4 Project Insurance; Allowance   1.5%   \$198,000   \$310,000   5 Project Commissioning; Allowance   0.5%   \$66,000   \$103,000   6 Public Art   Excluded	G. OWNERS INTERNAL COSTS			
3 Owner's FF&E, Sports Equipment, AV & Kitchen; Allowance   5.0%   \$660,000   \$1,034,000   4 Project Insurance; Allowance   1.5%   \$198,000   \$310,000   5 Project Commissioning; Allowance   0.5%   \$66,000   \$103,000				
4 Project Insurance; Allowance   1.5%   \$198,000   \$310,000   \$5 Project Commissioning; Allowance   Excluded	<b>1</b>			
5 Project Commissioning; Allowance 6 Public Art Excluded Excluded Excluded Excluded Excluded Excluded S1,452,000 \$2,274,000  H. OWNERS SOFT COSTS PROJECT CONTINGENCY (ITEMS E to G)  I. SUB-TOTAL (ITEMS E to H)  S17,467,000  \$27,345,000  L. FINANCING CHARGES Excluded  S0  S17,467,000 \$27,345,000  L. FINANCING CHARGES Excluded S0  S17,467,000 \$27,345,000  STATISTICS 1 Gross Floor Area (m²) (Renovated Areas only) 2 Gross Construction Cost (\$\frac{5}{2}\text{m}^2}) (Item D)  \$7,185 /m² \$7,185 /m² \$7,185 /m²				
Excluded   Excluded   Excluded   Excluded   Excluded   \$1,452,000   \$2,274,000   \$2,274,000   \$1,452,000   \$2,274,000   \$1,452,000   \$2,274,000   \$1,452,000   \$1,452,000   \$1,467,000   \$27,345,000   \$1,467,000   \$27,345,000   \$1,467,000   \$27,345,000   \$1,467,000   \$27,345,000   \$1,467,000   \$27,345,000   \$1,467,000   \$27,345,000   \$1,467,000   \$27,345,000   \$1,467,000   \$27,345,000   \$1,467,000   \$27,345,000   \$1,467,000   \$27,345,000   \$1,467,000   \$1,				
\$1,452,000   \$2,274,000     H. OWNERS SOFT COSTS PROJECT CONTINGENCY (ITEMS E to G)   5.0%   \$203,000   \$318,000     I. SUB-TOTAL (ITEMS E to H)   \$17,467,000   \$27,345,000     J. GST - EXCLUDED   Excluded   \$0   \$0     K. PROJECT COST   \$17,467,000   \$27,345,000     L. FINANCING CHARGES   Excluded   \$0   \$0     M. TOTAL ESCALATED PROJECT COST   Q4 2026 \$ \$17,467,000   \$27,345,000     STATISTICS   1 Gross Floor Area (m²) (Renovated Areas only)   1,739 m²   2,877 m²   2 Gross Construction Cost (\$/m²) (Item D)   \$7,593 /m²   \$7,185	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
I. SUB-TOTAL (ITEMS E to H) \$17,467,000 \$27,345,000  J. GST - EXCLUDED Excluded \$0 \$0  K. PROJECT COST \$17,467,000 \$27,345,000  L. FINANCING CHARGES Excluded \$0 \$0  M. TOTAL ESCALATED PROJECT COST Q4 2026 \$ \$17,467,000 \$27,345,000  STATISTICS  1 Gross Floor Area (m²) (Renovated Areas only) \$1,739 m² \$2,877 m² \$2 Gross Construction Cost (\$/m²) (Item D) \$7,593 /m² \$7,185 /m²			\$1,452,000	
J. GST - EXCLUDED       Excluded       \$0       \$0         K. PROJECT COST       \$17,467,000       \$27,345,000         L. FINANCING CHARGES       Excluded       \$0       \$0         M. TOTAL ESCALATED PROJECT COST       Q4 2026       \$17,467,000       \$27,345,000         STATISTICS <ul> <li>1 Gross Floor Area (m²) (Renovated Areas only)</li> <li>2 Gross Construction Cost (\$/m²) (Item D)</li> <li>\$7,593 /m²</li> <li>\$7,185 /m²</li> </ul> J. Gross Floor Area (m²) (Renovated Areas only)       \$7,593 /m²       \$7,185 /m²	H. OWNERS SOFT COSTS PROJECT CONTINGENCY (ITEMS E to G)	5.0%	\$203,000	\$318,000
K. PROJECT COST       \$17,467,000       \$27,345,000         L. FINANCING CHARGES       Excluded       \$0       \$0         M. TOTAL ESCALATED PROJECT COST       Q4 2026       \$17,467,000       \$27,345,000         STATISTICS <ul> <li>1 Gross Floor Area (m²) (Renovated Areas only)</li> <li>2 Gross Construction Cost (\$/m²) (Item D)</li> <li>\$7,593 /m²</li> <li>\$7,185 /m²</li> </ul>	I. SUB-TOTAL (ITEMS E to H)		\$17,467,000	\$27,345,000
K. PROJECT COST       \$17,467,000       \$27,345,000         L. FINANCING CHARGES       Excluded       \$0       \$0         M. TOTAL ESCALATED PROJECT COST       Q4 2026 \$       \$17,467,000       \$27,345,000         STATISTICS       1 Gross Floor Area (m²) (Renovated Areas only)       1,739 m²       2,877 m²         2 Gross Construction Cost (\$/m²) (Item D)       \$7,593 /m²       \$7,185 /m²	J. GST - EXCLUDED	Excluded	\$0	\$0
L. FINANCING CHARGES         Excluded         \$0         \$0           M. TOTAL ESCALATED PROJECT COST         Q4 2026 \$ \$17,467,000         \$27,345,000           STATISTICS         1 Gross Floor Area (m²) (Renovated Areas only)         1,739 m²         2,877 m²           2 Gross Construction Cost (\$/m²) (Item D)         \$7,593 /m²         \$7,185 /m²	K BROUEST COST		¢47.467.000	
M. TOTAL ESCALATED PROJECT COST       Q4 2026 \$ \$17,467,000       \$27,345,000         STATISTICS <ul> <li>1 Gross Floor Area (m²) (Renovated Areas only)</li> <li>2 Gross Construction Cost (\$/m²) (Item D)</li> <li>\$7,593 /m²</li> <li>\$7,185 /m²</li> </ul> Add 2026 \$ \$17,467,000         \$27,345,000         \$1,739 m²       2,877 m²         \$7,185 /m²       \$7,185 /m²	K. PROJECT COST		\$17,467,000	\$27,345,000
STATISTICS       1 Gross Floor Area (m²) (Renovated Areas only)       1,739 m²       2,877 m²         2 Gross Construction Cost (\$/m²) (Item D)       \$7,593 /m²       \$7,185 /m²	L. FINANCING CHARGES	Excluded	\$0	\$0
1 Gross Floor Area (m²) (Renovated Areas only)       1,739 m²       2,877 m²         2 Gross Construction Cost (\$/m²) (Item D)       \$7,593 /m²       \$7,185 /m²	M. TOTAL ESCALATED PROJECT COST	Q4 2026 \$	\$17,467,000	\$27,345,000
2 Gross Construction Cost (\$/m²) (Item D) \$7,593 /m² \$7,185 /m²			1.730 m²	2.877 m²

- Optional costs/credits: **(hcma only not for LEC action)**1. Add ~1,500m2 of outdoor plaza hardscape: \$790,500
  2. Add indoor program space ~\$3,000 \$6,000/m2 built area.
  3. Delete Junior Hockey 'Annex': (\$760,200)
  4. Reduce CO allowance



**Class D Capital Cost Project Estimate** 

### NET BUILDING COST ESTIMATE (Q4 2024 \$ excluding contingencies)

December 10, 2024

### Option 1 (Renovation Arena Improvements)

HIGH CRITICAL REQUIREMENT HIGHLY RECOMMENDED MED

Rec Centre" as per LOW RECOMMENDED **HCMA** 

Denotes "Interior -

	Component	Renovation Type (Low / Medium /High)	Net Area	Total Buildin	g Estimate (Q4 2024 \$)
			m²	\$/m²	\$
	I A				
	Approximate Areas	1.014	20	4.070	00.000
1	Staff Room (Community Boardroom)	LOW	30 81	1,073	32,200
2	MPR (Community Boardroom)	LOW		919	74,200
3	WC (at Recreation Centre Vestibule)	HIGH	7	6,257	46,300
4	Vestibule (Recreation Centre)	MED	12	2,350	27,500
5	Lobby/WC/Comms room	MED	35	2,690	93,600
6	Machine Room/Lift	HIGH	14	9,091	130,000
7	Dressing A1 (Accessible)	HIGH	62	6,048	377,400
8	Dressing A2	HIGH	61	6,048	366,500
9	Dressing A3	HIGH	60	6,049	360,500
10	Dressing A4 (Accessible)	HIGH	62	6,049	372,000
11	Players Benches	HIGH	37	4,892	181,000
	Entry area (stairs, activity zone, skate				
12	change & arena lobby)	MED	299	2,352	703,500
13	Arena Front Desk & Skate Shop	HIGH	46	3,948	181,600
14	Concession	HIGH	25	3,948	98,700
	Washrooms (new gender neutral) -				
15	Arena change area	HIGH	92	6,258	574,500
16	Lobby Circulation Area	MED	123	3,108	381,100
17	General Storage	HIGH	123	3,305	407,500
18	Office Maintenance Manager	HIGH	7	3,642	24,400
19	Arena Staff/Coordinator Open Office	HIGH	16	3,640	58,600
20	Dressing B1	MED	50	4,032	202,800
21	Dressing B2	MED	50	4,032	201,200
22	Dressing B3	MED	54	4,031	218,500
23	Dressing B4	MED	50	4,032	201,200
	Designated Wheelchair Viewing Area			,	,
24	(ref. item #34)	LOW	25	324	8,100
25	Dryland Training Area	FLOOR PAINT ONLY	47	53	2,500
26	Upper Level MPR	LOW	27	920	25,200
27	Upper Lobby/Lift/Stairs	HIGH	119	3,948	468,200
	Junior Hockey Home Dressing Room &			2,210	. : 3,200
28	Office	HIGH	126	6,048	760,200
			.20	5,5 .6	7 55,200
	Sub-Total		1,739	\$3,784 /m²	\$6,579,000



**Class D Capital Cost Project Estimate** 

### **NET BUILDING COST ESTIMATE (Q4 2024 \$ excluding contingencies)**

December 10, 2024

### **Option 1 (Renovation Arena Improvements)**

HIGH CRITICAL REQUIREMENT

MED HIGHLY RECOMMENDED

Denotes "Interior - Rec Centre" as per
LOW RECOMMENDED

HCMA

	Component	Renovation Type (Low / Medium /High)	Net Area	Total Building Estimate (Q4 202	
			m²	\$/m²	\$
29	South Entry Plaza - hardscape	LOW	369	526	194,000
30	South Entry Plaza - canopy	HIGH	179	3,152	563,000
	New window wall/Kawneer (approx. 16m				
31	length)	HIGH		allow	144,000
32	Repair existing wall (approx. 24m length)	HIGH		allow	108,000
33	Add windows (approx. 11m length)	HIGH		allow	66,000
	Add glass guards (approx. 18m length)				
34	(ref. item #24)	LOW		allow	41,000
	General Allowance to General Spaces and Associated Scopes/Adjoining spaces/scopes not listed/not defined (%				
35	allowance of total \$ above)	-		10.0%	770,000
	TOTAL BUILDING COST (Q4 2024 \$ Excluding Contingencies & all soft costs) (Rounded to nearest thousand \$)		1,739 m²	\$4,868 /m²	\$8,465,000

### Exclusions/Notes:

- Ice scope excluded. Tennis scope excluded. Aquatic scope excluded. Main Lobby excluded (ref: Option 2)
- The above estimate is for net building construction cost only. Refer to Project estimate.
- QS Design pricing contingency and Owners construction contingency are excluded. Refer to Project estimate.
- On-site works is excluded (except scopes identified above). Refer to Project estimate.
- Off-site works are excluded.
- Soft costs such as professional fees, DCCs, permits, management, FF&E are excluded. Refer to Project estimate.
- The above estimate is current Q4 2024 dollars and no escalation has been included. Refer to Project estimate.
- Goods & Services Tax



**Class D Capital Cost Project Estimate** 

NET BUILDING COST ESTIMATE (Q4 2024 \$ excluding contingencies)

**December 10, 2024** 

### Option 2 (Renovation Arena + Main Lobby Improvements)

HIGH CRITICAL REQUIREMENT
MED HIGHLY RECOMMENDED
LOW RECOMMENDED

Denotes "Interior -Rec Centre" as per HCMA

	Component	Renovation Type (Low / Medium /High)	Net Area	Total Building Estimate (Q4 2024 \$)		
		· ,	m²	\$/m²	\$	
	Approximate Areas					
1	Staff room	MED	42	2,520	105,600	
2	Storage	MED	16	1,839	28,500	
3	MPR Community Boardroom	MED	46	2,276	105,400	
	Washrooms (new gender neutral) -					
4	Recreation Centre lobby area)	HIGH	85	7,811	665,500	
5	Small fitness MPR (Squash Court)	MED	46	2,276	105,400	
6	MPR (Community Boardroom)	LOW	81	919	74,200	
7	Lobby/Gathering Space	MED	237	2,688	636,300	
8	Vestibule (at entry)	HIGH	12	3,944	48,900	
9	Admin Offices & Reception	MED	42	2,274	95,300	
10	Large Fitness & Dance MPR	LOW	159	918	146,000	
11	Machine Room/Lift	HIGH	14	9,091	130,000	
12	Dressing A1 (Accessible)	HIGH	62	6,048	377,400	
13	Dressing A2	HIGH	61	6,048	366,500	
14	Dressing A3	HIGH	60	6,049	360,500	
15	Dressing A4 (Accessible)	HIGH	62	6,049	372,000	
16	Players Benches	HIGH	37	4,892	181,000	
	Entry area (stairs, activity zone, skate		-	-,	,	
17	change & arena lobby)	MED	299	2,352	703,500	
18	Arena Front Desk & Skate Shop	HIGH	46	3,948	181,600	
19	Concession	HIGH	25	3,948	98,700	
	Washrooms (new gender neutral) -			-,	,:	
20	Arena change area	HIGH	92	6,258	574,500	
21	Lobby Circulation Area	MED	123	3,108	381,100	
22	General Storage	HIGH	123	3,305	407,500	
23	Office Maintenance Manager	HIGH	7	3,642	24,400	
24	Arena Staff/Coordinator Open Office	HIGH	16	3,640	58,600	
25	Dressing B1	MED	50	4,032	202,800	
26	Dressing B2	MED	50	4,032	201,200	
27	Dressing B3	MED	54	4,031	218,500	
28	Dressing B4	MED	50	4,032	201,200	
	Designated Wheelchair Viewing Area			.,002		
29	(ref. item #40)	LOW	25	324	8,100	
30	Dryland Training Area	FLOOR PAINT ONLY	47	53	2,500	
31	Upper Level MPR	LOW	27	920	25,200	
32	Upper Lobby/Lift/Stairs	HIGH	119	3,948	468,200	
33	Lower Floor New Build addition	NEW BUILD	324	4,704	1,525,500	
34	Upper Floor New Build addition	NEW BUILD	213	4,704	1,001,900	
) .	Junior Hockey Home Dressing Room &	55,25	2.0	1,104	1,001,000	
35	Office	HIGH	126	6,048	760,200	
	Sub Tata		2 077	¢2.700./ -3	¢40.040.700	
	Sub-Total		2,877	\$3,769 /m²	\$10,843,700	



**Class D Capital Cost Project Estimate** 

**NET BUILDING COST ESTIMATE (Q4 2024 \$ excluding contingencies)** 

December 10, 2024

### Option 2 (Renovation Arena + Main Lobby Improvements)

HIGH CRITICAL REQUIREMENT
MED HIGHLY RECOMMENDED
LOW RECOMMENDED


Denotes "Interior -Rec Centre" as per HCMA

	Component	Renovation Type (Low / Medium /High)	Net Area	Total Buildin	g Estimate (Q4 2024 \$)
			m²	\$/m²	\$
36	South Entry Plaza - hardscape	LOW	142	527	75,000
	New window wall/Kawneer (approx. 16m				
37	length)	HIGH		allow	144,000
38	Repair existing wall (approx. 24m length)	HIGH		allow	108,000
39	Add windows (approx. 11m length)	HIGH		allow	66,000
	Add glass guards (approx. 18m length)				55,555
40	(ref. item #29)	LOW		allow	41,000
41	Add sprung wood flooring	-		allow	20,000
	Add moveable partition (approx. 12m				·
42	length)	-		allow	38,000
43	Add handrails (approx. 43m length)	-		allow	22,000
	Allowance for underpinning adjoining				
44	areas (approx. 83m length)	=		allow	166,000
	General Allowance to General Spaces and Associated Scopes/Adjoining spaces/scopes not listed/not defined (%				
45	allowance of total \$ above)	-		15.0%	1,729,000
	TOTAL BUILDING COST (Q4 2024 \$ Excluding Contingencies & all soft costs) (Rounded to nearest thousand \$)		2,877 m²	\$4,606 /m²	\$13,253,000

### Exclusions/Notes:

- Ice scope excluded. Tennis scope excluded. Aquatic scope excluded.
- The above estimate is for net building construction cost only. Refer to Project estimate.
- QS Design pricing contingency and Owners construction contingency are excluded. Refer to Project estimate.
- On-site works is excluded (except scopes identified above). Refer to Project estimate.
- Off-site works are excluded.
- Soft costs such as professional fees, DCCs, permits, management, FF&E are excluded. Refer to Project estimate.
- The above estimate is current Q4 2024 dollars and no escalation has been included. Refer to Project estimate.
- Goods & Services Tax







# Panorama Recreation Centre - Improvement Scope Breakdown



This document has been digitally certified with digital certificate and encryption technology authorized by the Architectural institute of BC. The authorization to 16°C. The authoritative to 50 you in digital form. Any printed version can be relied upon as a true copy of the original when supplied by the architect, bearing images of the professional soal and digital of the professional soal and digital digitally-certified electronic file provided by the architect.

Supplement to PRC Improvement Feasibility Study (March 19, 2025)

# Improvement Scope of Work Breakdown Summary

In response to feedback from the Panorama Recreation Commission on hcma's initial improvement feasibility study, dated March 19, 2025, we are providing a breakdown of individual improvement scopes of work. This breakdown is intended to clarify the scale and estimated cost of each scope, which was originally presented collectively as "Option 2", and to support further consideration.

The cost ranges noted for each scope have been derived from the cost estimate prepared in Q4 2024 as part of the initial hcma report. These ranges include net construction costs as well as a proportionate share of other project related costs, with escalation contingencies applied to a projected construction midpoint of Q4 2026. The total project budget will ultimately depend on the selected scopes, resulting complexity as well as the project sequencing and timelines. To reflect this variability, a 25% allowance has been added to the upper end of each cost range.

For full details on the planned scopes of work and for the cost estimation methodology, assumptions, and risks, please refer to the homa report and cost estimate.

### 1a. Arena A Dressing Rooms

- Project Cost Estimate Range: \$3,990,000 \$4,988,000
- Programme Added:
  - Larger and accessible dressing rooms with improved toilet and shower facilities
  - Accessible playing surface
- Programme Lost:
  - Fifth dressing room
  - Skate shop\* (or multi-purpose space if skate shop relocated)

### 1b. Arena B Dressing Rooms

- Project Cost Estimate Range: \$1,862,000 \$2,327,000
- Programme Added:
  - Larger dressing rooms with improved toilet and shower facilities
- Programme Lost:
  - Staff room\*
  - Staff Office\*

...continued on following page.

### 2. Arena Entry & Spectator Access

- Project Cost Estimate Range: \$2,303,000 \$2,879,000
- Programme Added:
  - o Accessible Mezzanine level for spectator viewing.
  - o Mezzanine Level Multipurpose Room
- Programme Lost:
  - Concession\*

### 3a. Arena Lobby Expansion

- Project Cost Estimate Range: \$3,858,000 \$4,822,000
- Programme Added:
  - Code compliant washroom facilities
  - o Larger lobby & skate change area
  - Central skate shop
- Programme Lost: n/a

### 3b. Arena Lobby Concession

- Project Cost Estimate Range: \$223,000 \$279,000
- Programme Added:
  - Concession
- Programme Lost: n/a

### 3c. Arena Lobby Storage Expansion\*\*

- Project Cost Estimate Range: \$1,109,000 \$1,386,000
- Programme Added:
  - o Large indoor storage room
  - Administrative offices
- Programme Lost: n/a

### 4. Junior Hockey Expansion

- Project Cost Estimate Range: \$1,718,000 \$2,148,000
- Programme Added:
  - Dedicated Junior hockey dressing room & office
- Programme Lost: n/a

### 5. Main Facility Improvements

- Project Cost Estimate Range: \$10,984,000 \$13,730,000
- Progamme Added:
  - o Interior connection between Arena and Main facility
  - Lobby & Gathering Space
  - o Improved Reception & Administrative Offices
  - o Larger Community Boardroom
  - o Flexible Multi-purpose Rooms
  - o New entry vestibules
- Programme Lost: n/a


<sup>\*</sup> Programme lost only if work completed in isolation.


<sup>\*\*</sup> Assumes Scope 3a - Arena Lobby Expansion proceeds.

# Sketches: Improvement Scopes of Work

Refer to the following pages for sketches identifying improvement scopes of work as summarized below:

- 1. Existing Layout (for reference)
- 2. Improvement Scopes or Work (1a) Arena A Dressing Rooms, (1b) Arena B Dressing Rooms & (2) Arena Entry & Spectator Access
- 3. Improvement Scopes of Work (3a) Arena Lobby Expansion & (3b) Arena Lobby Concession
- 4. Improvement Scopes of Work (3c) Arena Lobby Storage Expansion, (4) Junior Hockey Expansion & (5) Main Facility Improvements








Panorama Recreation Center - Arena Improvement Study

**Existing Layout** 

August 27, 2025







# Cost Estimate: Excerpt

Refer to the following pages for an excerpt from the Cost Estimate, clarifying which individual areas correspond to the various improvement scopes of work. Cost estimates shown are net construction costs (Q4 2024) only and do not include any contingencies, escalation, or other project related costs.



**Class D Capital Cost Project Estimate** 

NET BUILDING COST ESTIMATE (Q4 2024 \$ excluding contingencies)

December 10, 2024

### Option 2 (Renovation Arena + Main Lobby Improvements)

HIGH CRITICAL REQUIREMENT MED HIGHLY RECOMMENDED LOW RECOMMENDED

Denotes "Interior -Rec Centre" as per HCMA

	Component	Renovation Type (Low / Medium /High)	Net Area	Total Buildin	g Estimate (Q4 2024 \$)	Scone
		<b>3</b> ,	m²	\$/m²	\$	ď
	Approximate Areas					Ī
1	Staff room	MED	42	2,520	105,600	
2	Storage	MED	16	1,839	28,500	
3	MPR Community Boardroom	MED	46	2,276	105,400	
	Washrooms (new gender neutral) -					
4	Recreation Centre lobby area)	HIGH	85	7,811	665,500	
5	Small fitness MPR (Squash Court)	MED	46	2,276	105,400	
6	MPR (Community Boardroom)	LOW	81	919	74,200	
7	Lobby/Gathering Space	MED	237	2,688	636,300	
8 9	Vestibule (at entry) Admin Offices & Reception	HIGH MED	12 42	3,944	48,900	-
10	Large Fitness & Dance MPR	LOW	159	2,274 918	95,300 146,000	ł
11	Machine Room/Lift	HIGH	139	9,091	130,000	2
12	Dressing A1 (Accessible)	HIGH	62	6,048	377,400	
13	Dressing A2	HIGH	61	6,048	366,500	
14	Dressing A3	HIGH	60	6,049	360,500	1
15	Dressing A4 (Accessible)	HIGH	62	6,049	372,000	
16	Players Benches	HIGH	37	4,892	181,000	
	Entry area (stairs, activity zone, skate			.,	(#2 - \$200k)	
17	change & arena lobby)	MED	299	2,352	(#3a - \$503k) <del>703,500</del>	
18	Arena Front Desk & Skate Shop	HIGH	46	3,948	181,600	3
19	Concession	HIGH	25	3,948	98,700	3
	Washrooms (new gender neutral) -					
20	Arena change area	HIGH	92	6,258	574,500	3
21	Lobby Circulation Area	MED	123	3,108	381,100	
22	General Storage	HIGH	123	3,305	407,500	
23	Office Maintenance Manager	HIGH	7	3,642	24,400	3
24	Arena Staff/Coordinator Open Office	HIGH	16	3,640	58,600	
25	Dressing B1	MED	50	4,032	202,800	
26 27	Dressing B2	MED MED	50 54	4,032	201,200	1
28	Dressing B3 Dressing B4	MED	50	4,031 4,032	218,500 201,200	
	Designated Wheelchair Viewing Area	IVIED	50	4,032	201,200	
29	(ref. item #40)	LOW	25	324	8,100	
30	Dryland Training Area	FLOOR PAINT ONLY	47	53	2,500	١,
31	Upper Level MPR	LOW	27	920	25,200	2
32	Upper Lobby/Lift/Stairs	HIGH	119	3,948	468,200	
33	Lower Floor New Build addition	NEW BUILD	324	4,704	1,525,500	
34	Upper Floor New Build addition	NEW BUILD	213	4,704	1,001,900	5
	Junior Hockey Home Dressing Room &			, , , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	T
35	Office	HIGH	126	6,048	760,200	4
	Sub-Total		2,877	\$3,769 /m²	\$10,843,700	



**Class D Capital Cost Project Estimate** 

**NET BUILDING COST ESTIMATE (Q4 2024 \$ excluding contingencies)** 

December 10, 2024

### Option 2 (Renovation Arena + Main Lobby Improvements)

HIGH CRITICAL REQUIREMENT
MED HIGHLY RECOMMENDED
LOW RECOMMENDED

Denotes "Interior -Rec Centre" as per HCMA

	Component Renovation Type (Low / Medium /High)		Net Area	Total Building E	stimate (Q4 2024 \$)	
		m²	\$/m²	\$		
36	South Entry Plaza - hardscape	LOW	142	527	75,000	5
37	New window wall/Kawneer (approx. 16m length)	HIGH		allow	144,000	2
38	Repair existing wall (approx. 24m length)			allow	108,000	1:
39	Add windows (approx. 11m length)	HIGH		allow	66,000	3
40	Add glass guards (approx. 18m length) (ref. item #29)	LOW		allow	41,000	2
41	Add sprung wood flooring	-		allow	20,000	
42	Add moveable partition (approx. 12m length)	-oP		allow	38,000	5
43	Add handrails (approx. 43m length)	-		allow	22,000	J
44	Allowance for underpinning adjoining areas (approx. 83m length)			allow	166,000	
45	General Allowance to General Spaces and Associated Scopes/Adjoining spaces/scopes not listed/not defined (% allowance of total \$ above)	-		15.0%	1,729,000	All
	,					
	TOTAL BUILDING COST (Q4 2024 \$ Excluding Contingencies & all soft costs) (Rounded to nearest thousand \$)		2,877 m²	\$4,606 /m²	\$13,253,000	

### Exclusions/Notes:

- Ice scope excluded. Tennis scope excluded. Aquatic scope excluded.
- The above estimate is for net building construction cost only. Refer to Project estimate.
- QS Design pricing contingency and Owners construction contingency are excluded. Refer to Project estimate.
- On-site works is excluded (except scopes identified above). Refer to Project estimate.
- Off-site works are excluded.
- Soft costs such as professional fees, DCCs, permits, management, FF&E are excluded. Refer to Project estimate.
- The above estimate is current Q4 2024 dollars and no escalation has been included. Refer to Project estimate.
- Goods & Services Tax





## REPORT TO PENINSULA RECREATION COMMISSION MEETING OF THURSDAY, OCTOBER 2, 2025

## **SUBJECT** Peninsula Recreation Facility in Central Saanich – For Decision

## **ISSUE SUMMARY**

To provide the Peninsula Recreation Commission (PRC)Commission with an options analysis of proposed funding and approaches to proceed with the development of an agreement to construct and operate a recreation facility in Central Saanich.

## **BACKGROUND**

Both 2022–2026 Panorama Recreation Strategic Plan and the 2025 Sub-regional Facility Needs Assessment (FNA) affirm the need to address geographic inequities in the distribution of recreation services on the peninsula through the development and operation of a recreation facility in Central Saanich. Furthermore, the FNA offered several other recommendations which would be addressed by the development of a new recreation facility in Central Saanich. These include increased weight room and fitness studio spaces, additional social gathering spaces and co-location of recreation facility spaces with other community amenities such as housing, etc. In Spring 2024, District of Central Saanich (DCS) staff-initiated discussions with the PRC to consider a potential partnership with the District to incorporate recreation space into the proposed redevelopment of their municipal facilities. Staff have since been engaged with DCS in the development of conceptual designs for the designated recreation spaces within this municipal facility.

On September 18, 2025, detailed information on this project was presented to the PRC for review (Appendix A). This follow-up report addresses questions posed by the PRC and outlines an approach for advancing the proposed Peninsula Recreation facility in Central Saanich initiative.

## PROPOSED PENINSULA RECREATION FACILITY IN CENTRAL SAANICH

As requested at the September 18, 2025, meeting, Table 1 provides an overview of the proposed sizing of spaces within the proposed Peninsula Recreation Facility in Central Saanich in comparison to existing facilities which host similar activities or programming. The notes listed below the table provide an overview of further details regarding the purpose, equipment and structure of the proposed spaces.

**Table 1: Proposed Facility Room/Amenity Size with Comparison** 

Proposed Facility		Comparable Facilities		
Room/Amenity	Est. Size	Room/Amenity	Size	
Weight Room (*1)	3000 sq ft	Greenglade (GG) Weight Room	1700 sq ft	
Fitness Studio (*2)	1500 sq ft	PRC Fitness Studio	1700 sq ft	
Pottery/Ceramics (*3)	1500 sq ft	GG Pottery Studio	568 sq ft	
Art Studio (*4)	500 sq ft	-	-	
Multipurpose (*5)	1250 sq ft	GG Room 6	858 sq ft	
Washrooms/changerooms, common areas (*6)	1750 sq ft	PRC Link Changerooms & partial lobby	1300 sq ft	
Reception, offices, storage, custodial (*7)	500 sq ft	GG offices, reception, storage	690 sq ft	
TOTAL	10,000 sq ft		6,816 sq ft	

### Table 1 Notes:

- 1. Weight Room: Variety of cardio, free weight and multi-functional machines (e.g. squat rack, Smith machine, cable cross). Lockers/cubbies within.
- 2. Fitness Studio: Accessible from common areas and weight room, serve as open floor space when programs not in session.
- 3. Pottery/Ceramics includes hand building, wedging, wheels, sinks, storage, self-contained kiln room and glazing room.
- 4. Art Studio: Accessible from common areas and pottery/ceramics, can function as expanded pottery space when art programs not in session.
- 5. Multipurpose: Licensable for 20 children, kitchenette, integrated a/v, room divider, accessible/open from common areas to facilitate gatherings/community living room.
- 6. Washrooms/changerooms, common areas: Accessible and inclusive with sinks in common area and individual stalls for toileting, showering, changing. Minimum one stall equipped with lift and adult change table.
- 7. Reception, offices, storage, custodial: General storage included in each room size, one custodial/maintenance room, reception counter, two staff offices.

## **Updated Capital Costs**

At the September 18, 2025 meeting, staff were directed to review the costs estimates in more detail. Table 2 presents a revised cost summary with amended estimates for the parking allocation. After further discussions with DCS staff it has been confirmed that underground parking will no longer be pursued as an option for recreation facility patron usage and thus the cost for the provision of underground parking is not applicable any longer. Furthermore, details of the potential cost sharing model for parking have been addressed and the cost applied to the updated estimates is focused on any additional parking spaces at Centennial Park required to support the overall parking plan for the Hovey Road site.

Table 2: Peninsula Recreation Facility in Central Saanich Capital Updated Cost Summary

Cost Type	Updated Cost Estimates	Initial Costs Estimates (September 18 Staff Report)	
Land Acquisition Costs	\$0	\$0	
Utility Servicing Costs	\$0	\$0	
Parking	\$0.165M (*1)	\$3M (*2)	
Total Building Cost	\$13.3M	\$13.3M	
Project Management Fees	\$1.4M	\$1.6M	
Startup Equipment Costs	\$0.33M	\$0.33M	
Total Estimated Capital Cost	\$15.19M	\$18.23M	

#### Table 2 Notes:

- New as of October 2, 2025, estimate for parking has been revised based on potential cost sharing for any additional parking to be located at Centennial Park, across Wallace Drive from the Hovey Road site and the removal of potential to fund underground parking stalls for PRC.
- 2. September 18, 2025, Estimate is based on discussions with DCS staff and the potential for sharing costs of underground parking needed to meet parking requirements at the Hovey Road site.

Should staff be directed to proceed with further negotiations for this project, an updated cost estimate would be provided to the Commission at the time an agreement with DCS is brought forward for approval.

## Forecasted Operating Budget

At the September 18 meeting, staff were directed to provide projections of operating budgets. Staffing is the primary cost driver, with approximately 6.5 full-time equivalent positions required to support operations and resident programming. Revenue forecasts assume a 10% increase in admissions and pass sales and a 15% rise in registered fitness program revenues, informed by participation growth at the Greenglade Community Centre and current waitlists. Internal reallocations within the Panorama Recreation operating budget—including \$50,000 in maintenance wages and \$40,000 in lease and operating costs—will further improve efficiencies by consolidating programs currently delivered at other sites. These internal reallocations have been included in Table 3, labelled as the "internal reallocations" row.

Table 3: Proposed Central Saanich Facility Operating Budget Overview 2028-2035

	Operating Costs – Central Saanich Recreation Facility								
Co	omponent	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035
1.	Revenues-Admissions & Passes (*1)	156K	167K	179K	191K	200K	211K	221K	232K
2.	Revenues- Program Fees (*2)	746K	798K	854K	913K	960K	1M	1.06M	1.11M
	Total Revenues:	902K	965K	1.03M	1.10M	1.16M	1.21M	1.28M	1.34M
3.	Expense-Salaries & Wages (*3)	741K	786K	833K	883K	918K	955K	993K	1.03M
4.	Expense-Operating & Custodial Supplies, Utilities (*3)	97K	103K	109K	116K	121K	128K	134K	140K
5.	Transfer to Equipment Replacement Fund (ERF) (*4)	35K	37K	39K	41K	43K	45K	47K	49K
6.	Transfer to Capital Reserve	375K							
7.	Transfer to Operating Reserve	10K	10K	10K	11K	11K	11K	12K	12K
	Total Expenses:	1.26M	1.31M	1.37M	1.43M	1.47M	1.51M	1.56M	1.61M
8.	Internal Re-Allocations (*5)	-90K	-92K	-94K	-96K	-97K	-99K	-101K	-103K
Total (Net):		-266K	-254K	-239K	-226K	-211K	-204K	-179K	-161K
9.	Debt Servicing Costs 15-year Financing (*6)	1.4M							
	Net with 15-year Debt Servicing Costs	-1.67M	-1.65M	-1.64M	-1.63M	-1.61M	-1.60M	-1.58M	-1.56M
10.	Debt Servicing Costs 30-year Financing (*7)	912K							
	Net with 30-year Debt Servicing Costs	-1.18M	-1.17M	-1.15M	-1.14M	-1.12M	-1.12M	-1.09M	-1.07M

## Table 3 Notes:

- 1. Admissions & Pass Revenue: Based on 1% population growth for baseline increase to attendance, 3% fees and charges increase, plus additional growth in attendance as follows: 3% in 2029-2031 (7% total increase to revenue), 1% in 2032 onward (5% total increase in revenue).
- 2. Program Fee Revenue: Based on 1% population growth for baseline increase to attendance, 3% fees and charges increase, plus additional growth in offerings and attendance as follows: 3% in 2029-2031 (7% total increase to revenue), 1% in 2032 onward (5% total increase in revenue).
- 3. Salaries & Wages, Operating Supplies: Based on 6% increase from 2029-2031 to account for higher program growth, and 4% for subsequent years.
- 4. Equipment Replacement Fund Transfer: Based on 5% increase annually.
- 5. Internal Re-Allocations: Includes consolidation of maintenance hours currently allocated to Centennial Park facilities and removal of Central Saanich Cultural Centre lease fees.
- 6. This is the debt servicing costs specific to the Peninsula Recreation Facility in Central Saanich project and based on a 15-year amortization period at 4.5%.
- 7. This is the debt servicing costs specific to the Peninsula Recreation Facility in Central Saanich project and based on a 30-year amortization period at 4.5%

## **ALTERNATIVES**

#### Alternative 1

The Peninsula Recreation Commission recommends to the Capital Regional District Board:

- That the five-year Peninsula Recreation Capital Plan be amended to include the Peninsula Recreation Facility in Central Saanich project including \$1 million from Capital Reserves and an additional \$14.2 million, to be scheduled as outlined in the Financial Implications section of this staff report;
- 2. That staff engages in discussions with District of Central Saanich staff to develop a partnership agreement, including roles and responsibilities pre, during, and post construction stages;
- 3. That a draft of such an agreement and an updated project budget be brought back to the Peninsula Recreation Commission for consideration; and
- 4. That following an agreement approval, staff engage in further design to update the budget for a loan authorization bylaw recommendation to be considered by the Peninsula Recreation Commission.

### Alternative 2

- 1. The Peninsula Recreation Commission endorses borrowing and debt servicing over a longer amortization period than the 15-year period outlined in the Financial Implications section of this report.
- 2. The Peninsula Recreation Commission provides alternative direction to staff.

## **IMPLICATIONS**

Alignment with Existing Plans & Strategies

The proposed recreation facility in Central Saanich directly supports the 2022–2026 Panorama Recreation Strategic Plan, which recommends exploring cost-effective opportunities to address identified gaps in Central Saanich. It also responds to findings from the 2019 Central Saanich Recreation Needs Assessment and the 2025 Sub-Regional Facility Needs Assessment, which identified a need for additional indoor recreation space, particularly in Brentwood Bay and surrounding communities.

## Climate and Environmental Implications

The CRD has established an internal Green Building Policy that ensures new construction and major renovations are low carbon, use energy and water efficiently, minimize waste and utilize green infrastructure. If the new recreation facility in Central Saanich will be owned by the CRD, it will be required to meet Step 4 of the BC Energy Step Code and may include other sustainability requirements as outlined in the policy. Confirmation of specific equipment and building design requirements will be confirmed following confirmation of the preferred ownership model and as part of the detailed design process should the PRC provide direction to proceed.

Equity, Diversity & Inclusion Implications


Promoting equity, inclusion, and access across all programs and services is a core strategy in the 2022–2026 Panorama Recreation Strategic Plan. Geographic equity remains a key concern for residents, particularly those living beyond a 10-minute drive from existing indoor recreation facilities. The proposed facility addresses this gap by improving access for southern peninsula communities. Its diverse programming—including arts, fitness, and children's activities—ensures broad community benefit and aligns with Panorama Recreation's commitment to inclusive service delivery.

Financial Implications

## **Debt Financing Options**

Staff are proposing that expenses in 2026, estimated at \$1M, be funded through Capital Reserves and the balance of the project be financed through borrowing. The project has a total estimated cost of \$15.2 million with \$1 million funded through Capital Reserves and the remaining \$14.2 million to funded through debt financing. The borrowing is proposed to be scheduled as \$6 million in 2027 and the remaining funds of \$8.2 million in 2028.

Based on the Board-approved guidelines, the optimal borrowing term (where the cost–affordability balance intersects most effectively with financing costs) is 15 years.



Based on current borrowing scenarios for \$14.2M in borrowing, a 15-year amortization at 4.5% interest would result in annual debt servicing cost of approximately \$1.4 million. This equates to a 23.4% increase in the requisition or roughly \$72 per household per year.

An alternative approach is to align the borrowing term with the life of the asset. Under a 30-year amortization, annual debt servicing costs for \$14.2 million in borrowing would be approximately \$912,000, resulting in a 15.5% requisition increase in the first year, or about \$48 per household per year.

However, a 30-year amortization significantly increases overall costs due to interest payments over the term adding an incremental \$7 million over a 15-year term. Given this impact, staff are recommending a 15-year amortization period.

Appendix B provides summary tables comparing debt servicing costs and cumulative requisition impacts under both 15-year and 30-year amortization scenarios along with the cumulative requisition impact when adding the new debt for this project to existing debt servicing commitments.

## Legal Implications

## District of Central Saanich and Capital Regional District Project Agreement

A detailed and thorough agreement must be reached with the District of Central Saanich to ensure considerations for ownership, project management, construction processes and operational responsibilities are clearly defined and agreed upon. Regarding structuring agreements, typically a memorandum of understanding is entered into between the parties to a joint construction project setting out mutual responsibilities and interests, working towards a head-of-terms or functional or design specification for the work. This is then used to determine the project delivery and procurement method, and finally the contribution and decision-making responsibility of each party to the funding agreement. Staff are proposing this agreement is obtained as the first step in the project and prior to a loan authorization request.

## **NEXT STEPS**

To advance the proposed recreation facility in Central Saanich, a coordinated approach will be required across design, funding, and governance processes.

### Fall 2025

## **October**

- 2026 Budget Presentation (October 2, 2025).
- Central Saanich facility for Decision (Oct 2, 2025).
- If the PRC elects to proceed as recommended in the three reports, staff will:
  - Prepare an amendment to the CRD's provisional five-year capital and operating budgets, with CRD Board consideration at Final 2026 Budget Approval.
  - Work with DCS staff to develop a draft agreement for the PRC and CRD Board approval (October - December).
- As soon as an agreement is reached with DCS, and endorsed by the CRD Board:
  - Staff will proceed with project design (with DCS) to gain more certainty on costs.
- Detailed design work for the recreation facility in Central Saanich is anticipated to begin in late fall 2025.

### Winter/Spring/Summer 2026

- Upon costs estimate refinement:
  - Staff will develop the loan authorization bylaw and elector approval process recommendation for PRC and the CRD Board approvals.
- Depending on the option selected, the elector approval process will commence, and process

will be undertaken during this time frame.

- Detailed design for the recreation facility in Central Saanich will continue, led by DCS staff in collaboration with Panorama Recreation and architectural consultants.
- If Elector approval is reached:
  - Procurement processes for the projects will be initiated, with coordination between the CRD and DCS for shared infrastructure and construction planning.

#### Fall 2026

• Construction of the recreation facility in Central Saanich and DCS Municipal building is targeted to begin.

## CONCLUSION

The Peninsula Recreation Commission has identified geographic equity as a priority in its 2022–2026 Strategic Plan and the 2025 Sub-regional Facility Needs Assessment and the proposed recreation facility in Central Saanich directly addresses this need. The Central Saanich facility addresses service gaps in an underserved area, offering purpose-built spaces for fitness, arts, and multipurpose programming. Staff have engaged with District of Central Saanich staff in developing concept designs and have proposed recommendations for operational considerations and funding approaches. The opportunity to develop a new recreation facility in partnership with the District of Central Saanich provides a viable option to enhance recreation service delivery on the peninsula.

## **RECOMMENDATIONS**

The Peninsula Recreation Commission agrees in principle to engage in a partnership with the District of Central Saanich to construct a Recreation Facility within their Civic Redevelopment Project at Hovey Road.

The Peninsula Recreation Commission recommends to the Capital Regional District Board:

- 1. That the five-year Peninsula Recreation Capital Plan be amended to include the Peninsula Recreation Facility in Central Saanich project including \$1 million from Capital Reserves and an additional \$14.2 million, to be scheduled as outlined in this staff report;
- 2. That staff engages in discussions with District of Central Saanich staff to develop a partnership agreement, including roles and responsibilities pre, during, and post construction stages;
- 3. That a draft of such an agreement and an updated project budget be brought back to the Peninsula Recreation Commission for consideration; and
- 4. That following an agreement approval, staff engage in further design to update the budget for a loan authorization bylaw recommendation to be considered by the Peninsula Recreation Commission.

Submitted by:	Steve Meikle, M.A., Senior Manager, Panorama Recreation				
Concurrence:	Luisa Jones, General Manager, Parks, Recreation & Environmental Services				
Concurrence:	Kristen Morley, J.D., General Manager, Corporate Services and Corporate Officer				

Concurrence:	Nelson Chan, MBA, FCPA, FCMA, Chief Financial Officer, GM Finance & IT
Concurrence:	Ted Robbins, B. Sc., C. Tech., Chief Administrative Officer

## **ATTACHMENT**

Appendix A: Staff Report: Proposed Peninsula Recreation Facility in Central Saanich (September 18, 2025)

Appendix B: Financial Considerations – Funding Options and Cumulative Debt Servicing Cost Estimates (October 2025)



## REPORT TO PENINSULA RECREATION COMMISSION MEETING OF THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 18, 2025

## **SUBJECT** Proposed Peninsula Recreation Facility in Central Saanich

## **ISSUE SUMMARY**

To provide an analysis of the service delivery plans and budgets associated with the operation of community recreation spaces within the District of Central Saanich (DCS) Municipal Facility Redevelopment project. The proposal to add community recreation space in Central Saanich is aligned with recommendations in the Panorama Recreation Strategic Plan and the recently completed Sub-Regional Facility Needs Assessment.

## **BACKGROUND**

Exploration into expanded recreation services in the southern communities of the peninsula is well established, supported by findings from the 2019 Central Saanich Needs Assessment and the 2022–2026 Panorama Recreation Strategic Plan. For instance, action item A3 of the Strategic Plan states, "Explore cost-effective opportunities to meet indoor community space needs and identified gaps in Central Saanich. Undertaking this action may require further feasibility analysis and/or partnership discussions." On November 23, 2023, the Peninsula Recreation Commission (PRC) directed staff to work with consultants to conduct a Sub-Regional Recreation Facility Needs Assessment (FNA) through 2024 and 2025. The FNA was recently completed, with results presented to the PRC at its August 28, 2025 meeting.

In Spring 2024, (DCS) staff initiated discussions with the PRC to consider a potential partnership. These conversations focused on assessing the feasibility of incorporating purpose-built recreation space into the redevelopment plans. At its June 27, 2024 meeting, the PRC rose from a closed session and reported: "The Peninsula Recreation Commission supports exploring recreation facilities in the District of Central Saanich." In October 2024, the PRC again rose from a closed meeting to report: "That staff be directed to continue to explore recreation facilities with the District of Central Saanich as the District develops preliminary concept drawings and completes community engagement as part of the Civic Redevelopment project." During Winter and Spring 2025, Panorama Recreation staff collaborated with DCS staff and architectural consultants on concept designs for the Civic Redevelopment Project.

## PROPOSED PENINSULA RECREATION FACILITY IN CENTRAL SAANICH

## <u>Civic Redevelopment – District of Central Saanich</u>

The DCS is currently exploring options for its Municipal Facility Redevelopment Project at two potential locations: the existing site on Mt. Newton X Road and a proposed site on Hovey Road. Only the Hovey Road site can support community recreation space which is further detailed in the: Central Saanich Civic Facility Concept Design Report (Appendix A). In total, 10,000 square feet of ground floor space is under consideration for community recreation, with municipal offices on the second floor and council chambers on the third floor. Parking—underground or surface—will be finalized during detailed design. The recreation area could include five or more rooms, designed for specific services or flexible multipurpose use.

Using current participation data, along with guidance from the 2022–2026 Panorama Recreation Strategic Plan and the FNA, Panorama Recreation staff identified several key programming amenities for the proposed recreation facility. The following recreation spaces were prioritized for inclusion at the Hovey Road site:

- weight room and fitness studio
- pottery/ceramics and other space dedicated to arts programming
- licensed after-school care
- multipurpose/multi-use space for general programming and camps
- reception, office, storage and maintenance/janitorial spaces

The inclusion of community recreation space in the DCS Municipal Facility Redevelopment Project responds to several priorities identified in the FNA. These include a multipurpose facility in Central Saanich, expanded fitness space, co-location of recreation with other community services, improved accessibility, and enhanced social gathering areas. In June and July 2025, the DCS presented three design concepts for community engagement. One concept at the Hovey Road site included recreation space, while the other two—one at Hovey Road and one at Mt. Newton X Road—did not. Community support during engagement was strong for the Hovey Road site containing community recreation space.

## Service Delivery Analysis

The proposed facility could serve as a vibrant social hub, fostering community connection through multipurpose spaces and co-location with civic services. By integrating recreation with municipal functions, the project encourages cross-sector engagement and supports the development of inclusive, welcoming spaces for residents of all ages and backgrounds. The new purpose-built facility could allow Panorama Recreation to expand programming currently at capacity, particularly in pottery and fitness. These services, which are limited by space constraints at existing sites, could benefit from spaces that are specifically designed to support these programs.

As Panorama Recreation currently oversees bookings and maintenance for Centennial Park amenities, including the newly opened Multi-Sport Box, co-locating recreation services at Hovey Road could streamline operations and allow for regular on-site supervision by staff, improving efficiency and responsiveness across all adjacent facilities.

Promoting equity, inclusion, and access across all programs and services is a core strategy in the 2022–2026 Panorama Recreation Strategic Plan. Geographic equity remains a key concern for residents, particularly those living beyond a 10-minute drive from existing indoor recreation facilities. The proposed facility would improve access for residents in Brentwood Bay and Keating, who face documented travel barriers to Panorama Recreation Centre. While the Hovey Road site is only about eight minutes away from the Panorama Recreation Centre location, the Hovey Road site offers a shorter travel time from the Brentwood and Keating areas by both transit and personal vehicles thus supporting improved geographic equity in service delivery.

### Co-location of Facilities

Including community recreation space within the redeveloped DCS municipal facility offers both economies of scale and strategic advantages compared to building a stand-alone recreation facility. A co-location model takes advantage of existing municipal infrastructure and land, which may result in significant cost and resource efficiencies. These efficiencies include:

- Elimination of land acquisition expenses
- Shared structural components (e.g., roofing and foundation systems)
- Integrated mechanical systems that reduce energy consumption, particularly through improved air handling and ground-floor cooling
- Operational synergies (e.g., security and janitorial services, etc.)

Beyond financial and operational efficiencies, co-location offers community engagement benefits. Residents visiting the municipal facility for civic services may be introduced to recreation programs they were previously unaware of, creating cross-marketing opportunities. The facility's proximity to Centennial Park also enables operational synergies, such as coordinated supervision, maintenance, and programming across multiple sites.

Further optimization of resources is anticipated through the relocation of existing programs currently delivered at the Central Saanich Cultural Centre in Brentwood Bay. Panorama Recreation offers group fitness classes, after-school care and camps at that site, which would be transitioned to the new facility at Hovey Road. This consolidation would eliminate duplication of services, reduce lease and maintenance costs, and improve operational efficiency by centralizing staffing and programming in a purpose-built space with additional resources including reception and supervisory staff. An overview of anticipated annual operating revenues and expenditures associated with the proposed facility is available in Appendix B.

Challenges may also exist in co-locating a community recreation facility within a larger municipal structure. These may include limitations in design flexibility due to shared building constraints, potential scheduling conflicts between civic and recreational uses, and the need for clear delineation of operational responsibilities and cost-sharing agreements between municipal and recreation service providers. Careful planning and collaboration during the detailed design phase will be essential to mitigate these challenges and ensure that the recreation spaces are functional, welcoming, and responsive to community needs.

## Project Management and Procurement Plan

For a large-scale capital construction project involving both municipal and regional government agencies, a dual-agency project management approach should balance collaboration with clearly defined responsibilities. One option is to establish a joint governance committee to oversee shared infrastructure, construction coordination, site access, and parking. This would require a formal agreement outlining cost-sharing arrangements, project roles, and decision-making authority. A master schedule, unified communication plan, and joint risk register would help maintain alignment and minimize disruptions throughout the project lifecycle.

Should the PRC provide direction to proceed with a project in the DCS Civic Redevelopment, procurement for this project would be completed in partnership with the DCS. Through an agreed-upon process, Capital Regional District (CRD) staff would provide feedback and direction concerning the design features of the community recreation space while ensuring that the CRD policies and guidelines are adhered to. Given the dual-agency nature of the project, procurement planning will also need to account for shared infrastructure and coordination between municipal and regional components. The recreation component of the construction project would be overseen by the CRD. Further details on roles and responsibilities would be clarified as part of the negotiation process with the DCS and brought back to the PRC for approval.

## Other Sub-Regional Opportunities for the Provision of Recreation Space

While alternative options to improve recreation access on the southern peninsula may exist, their feasibility and effectiveness remain uncertain. Further analysis at this stage would risk delaying delivery of a Strategic Plan priority and could compromise PRC's ability to partner on a project already underway, without assurance of a better outcome. High-level analysis and risks of potential alternatives include seeking:

- Rent/lease existing facility spaces For example, warehouse or industrial buildings in the Keating area may offer sufficient square footage to accommodate recreation uses such as weight rooms. However, finding a space that meets key requirements such as adequate ventilation, natural lighting, and a welcoming atmosphere, may be challenging. Additionally, this option may involve land use complications or building code upgrade requirements, which could make it administratively complex and costly. These may include zoning restrictions, permitting delays, and the need for significant renovations to meet safety and accessibility standards.
- Renovate or add to existing community facilities Panorama Recreation currently operates programs at the Central Saanich Cultural Centre and the Centennial Park Fieldhouse under lease agreements with the DCS. In their current condition, these facilities do not adequately meet the recreation needs of southern peninsula residents. However, further exploration may be warranted to assess the potential for upgrades or additions. Since both facilities are located on District-owned land, any changes would require additional discussions around ownership, lease terms, and long-term use.
- Acquire land and build a new stand-alone recreation facility acquiring land in an appropriately zoned area within the DCS is a possible avenue which requires further exploration. Additional factors to consider here may include land acquisition costs, utility servicing costs, parking availability and location relative to residents experiencing distance/travel barriers. This potential is further explored in the Financial Considerations below. It is important to note that staff did not find an appropriately zoned land in the current market, rather, staff are aware of some potential suitable properties.

## **Financial Considerations**

## Capital Cost Estimates

Adding recreation space to the Hovey Road site is projected to increase the base building cost by approximately \$10.2 million, including design and consultant fees. With a 30% contingency applied by the DCS, the total capital investment is estimated at \$13.3 million. An additional \$3 million contingency is recommended to address potential parking requirements including the potential for additional costs associated with underground parking. Project management and other fees applicable to the PRC costing are estimated at \$1.6 million. Further capital costs of approximately \$330,000 will be required for initial equipment purchases to outfit a weight room, fitness studio, pottery studio and multipurpose spaces. The total capital costs associated with this proposal are approximately \$18.2 million.

At the base building cost plus contingencies, without factoring the parking contingency, the cost for this facility is \$1,330 per square foot. The DCS plans to build the facility to post disaster

construction standards. This generally increases the overall cost per square foot for construction purposes.

The following table compares the costs of the current proposal and a benchmark estimation for land acquisition and building a stand-alone community recreation centre of the same size and with the same service delivery options.

Table 1: Comparison of Costs between Current DCS Proposal and Construction of Standalone Recreation Facility

Cost Type	Current Proposal – Shared DCS Recreation Facility	Benchmark Estimate – Stand-alone DCS Recreation Facility
Land Acquisition Costs	\$0	\$2M (*see Note 1)
Utility Servicing Costs	\$0	\$0.2M
Parking	\$3M (*see Note 2)	\$2M (*see Note 3)
Total Building Cost	\$13.3M	\$11.75M (*see Note 4)
Project Management Fees	\$1.6M	\$0 (included in total building cost)
Startup Equipment Costs	\$0.33M	\$0.33M
Total Estimated Capital Cost	\$18.23M	\$16.28M
Estimated Requisition Impact (\$/household/year)	\$92.94	\$83.00

### Table 1 Notes

- 1. Estimate is based on high-level review of potentially available parcels in the Keating area. Sites are not actively in the market and further investigation to validate estimates would be required.
- 2. Estimate is based on discussions with DCS staff and the potential for sharing costs of underground parking needed to meet requirements at the Hovey Road site.
- 3. Estimate based on surface parking with 200 spaces.
- 4. Estimate based on the average cost per square foot of similar recreation construction projects as outlined in Appendix C: \$1,175 per square foot. This average cost per square foot includes design, consultant and project management costs.
- 5. Annual per household cost of debt servicing calculated bases on a 15-year amortization period at 4.5%. Estimates on requisition impact are for reference only. The actual requisition impact may vary and is dependent of other factors, such as increase in folio numbers in the service area.

## Capital Borrowing and Debt Servicing

To finance this capital investment, borrowing will be required. At a 4.5% interest rate over 15 years, debt servicing on a \$18.2 million loan would add an annual expense of approximately \$1.8 million to Panorama Recreation's operating budget, resulting in a 30.1% increase in requisition in the first-year debt servicing is required. The annual debt servicing will equate to approximately \$93 per household per year. A 30-year amortization would reduce the annual debt servicing cost to approximately \$1.07 million, increasing the requisition by approximately 20% in the first year of debt servicing. The additional financing costs for debt servicing over 30 years (versus 15 years) equate to \$12.2 million or approximately \$61 per household annually.

## Operating Budget Estimates

Projected revenues for the new facility are estimated at \$902,000, with expenses projected at \$873,000, resulting in a modest net operating income of \$29,000 before debt servicing. The majority of expenses are attributed to staffing, including the addition of approximately 6.5 full-time equivalents positions, such as auxiliary staff, to support operations and lead resident programming.

Revenue projections are based on a 10% increase in admissions and pass sales, and a 15% increase in registered fitness program revenues. These estimates are informed by participation growth trends at the Greenglade Community Centre, current waitlists for fitness programs, and historical increases used in annual budget development. The new facility is expected to attract both existing and new patrons. While some redistribution from Panorama Recreation Centre may help reduce crowding, particularly in the weight room, it will not contribute to new revenue generation.

Efficiencies may be achieved by reallocating existing resources within the Panorama Recreation operating budget. This includes approximately \$50,000 in maintenance wages currently allocated to Centennial Park amenities, and \$40,000 in lease and operating costs associated with the Central Saanich Cultural Centre. Programs currently delivered at the Brentwood Bay site, such as group fitness, after-school care, and camps, would be consolidated at the new Hovey Road facility, reducing duplication and optimizing resource use. The internal reallocations have not been included in the financial projections presented in this report.

## **Legal Implications**

If capital borrowing were required to fund the CRD's capital costs (be it for a partnership with DCS' Civic Redevelopment or for constructing a stand-alone facility in an alternative location), a loan authorization would need to be adopted. A loan authorization requires participating area approval, which can be done by unanimous consent of the municipal participants; by way of alternative approval process, which requires no more than 10% of electors to not be opposed; or by way of referendum (also called elector assent). If participants approve, the next step is approval from the Inspector of Municipalities. As a restriction on capital borrowing, the borrowing bylaw must set out the amount, the term, and the purpose of the borrowing. It must be tied to CRD ownership or long-term interest in the property being constructed.

Regarding structuring agreements, typically a memorandum of understanding is entered into between the parties to a joint construction project setting out mutual responsibilities and interests, working towards a head-of-terms or functional or design specification for the work. This is then used to determine the project delivery and procurement method, and finally the contribution and decision-making responsibility of each party to the funding agreement. DCS has provided a preliminary term sheet (Appendix D) which will help inform the negotiation process should PRC provide direction to proceed with the project.

## **Ownership Considerations**

Further planning and coordination between agencies are required to clarify facility ownership, define responsibilities, and establish long-term operational arrangements. Several options are being considered that support a collaborative, shared-use model. To borrow for the CRD's

contribution to capital, the CRD must have an ownership interest in the facility. This could be done by way of strata, air space parcel, tenants-in-common, long-term lease, or other methods suitable to secure an ownership right and permit capital borrowing. Should the PRC provide direction to continue with this project, further analysis will be required to determine the most effective path for ownership and financing regulations.

## Climate and Environmental Considerations

The CRD has established an internal Green Building Policy that ensures new construction and major renovations are low carbon, use energy and water efficiently, minimize waste and utilize green infrastructure. If the new Panorama Recreation Facility in Central Saanich will be owned by the CRD, it will be required to meet Step 4 of the BC Energy Step Code, including stringent limits on greenhouse gas intensity thermal energy demand intensity and total energy use intensity. The policy also mandates a number of other sustainability requirements, and includes optional pathways for enhanced climate leadership, such as LEED or WELL certifications. Confirmation of specific equipment and building design requirements will be confirmed following confirmation of the preferred ownership model as part of the detailed design process should Commission provide direction to proceed.

## **NEXT STEPS**

Following the discussion of this report, staff will prepare a recommendation for the PRC's consideration, to be presented at a special meeting on October 2, 2025.

Pending approval from the PRC, DCS Council and the CRD Board, detailed design work for the proposed recreation spaces at the Hovey Road site is proposed to begin in late Fall 2025. If supported, the PRC and Panorama Recreation will incorporate estimated costs into an amendment to the CRD's provisional five-year capital budget for Board consideration. Preparation for the approval of borrowing to finance this project will follow in the Fall of 2025. Design development and procurement processes are expected to proceed through Spring/Summer 2026, with construction targeted to begin in Fall 2026.

## **CONCLUSION**

The Peninsula Recreation Commission (PRC) has been exploring expanded recreation services in Central Saanich, supported by recommendations in the 2022 Panorama Recreation Strategic Plan and by the recently completed Sub-Regional Facility Needs Assessment. Staff collaborated with the District of Central Saanich (DCS) to evaluate the feasibility of including recreation space within the proposed Civic Redevelopment Project at the Hovey Road site. The proposed recreation space totals approximately 10,000 square feet with flexible and adaptable space options to meet current and future participation demands in the community. Staff recommend proceeding with detailed design work for the recreation component, contingent on the PRC and the DCS's Council approval. If supported, the project will be incorporated into the Capital Regional District's provisional five-year capital budget, with construction targeted to begin in Fall 2026.


## RECOMMENDATION


There is no recommendation. This report is for information only.

Submitted by:	Steve Meikle, M.A., Senior Manager, Panorama Recreation
Concurrence:	Luisa Jones, MBA, General Manager, Parks, Recreation & Environmental Services
Concurrence:	Nelson Chan, MBA, FCPA, FCMA, Chief Financial Officer & General Manager, Finance & Technology
Concurrence	Kristen Morley, J.D., Corporate Officer & General Manager, Corporate Services
Concurrence:	Ted Robbins, B. Sc., C. Tech., Chief Administrative Officer

## **ATTACHMENTS**

- Appendix A: Central Saanich Civic Facility Concept Design Report (June 27, 2025)
- Appendix B: Operating Budget Summary for District of Central Saanich Municipal Facility Community Recreation Spaces (September 2025)
- Appendix C: Comparable Recreation Construction Projects in BC since 2022 (September 2025)
- Appendix D: Draft Term Sheet from District of Central Saanich (September 2025)





APPENDIX A





Central Saanich Civic Facility
Concept Design Report

# Table of Contents

ntroduction	03
General Project Process	04
Design Assumptions	05
Architectural Drawings + Renderings	10
Appendices	

## Introduction

### **Background**

The District of Central Saanich is undertaking a pivotal project to develop a new Civic Facility to serve as a hub for municipal offices, fire and police services with an option to also potentially include recreation amenities. For this stage of the project, the District has asked the consultant team to generate three conceptual building design options accross two potential sites.

As requested by the District, the three options have been designed to be equivalent except for those aspects that are unique to site or program.

Options 1 + 2, located at the Hovey Road site are essentially the same in terms of siting, footprint, parking (surface and underground), location of main entrance, exterior expression, plus building system approach. In addition, the design of the fire and police department spaces are the same for both options. The difference being that in Option 1 the municipal departments are located on the first floor with the council chamber on level 2, whereas in Option 2 the recreation programming is located on level 1, municipal programming on level 2 and council chambers on level 3.

For Option 3, located at Mount Newton Cross Road, the different site considerations have resulted in a differently shaped building from Options 1 + 2, however the same approach has been taken for the arrangement of building program, exterior expression and building system design. The differences come from the requirement to building the new Civic building while keeping the existing operational and then selling off roughly half of the site for future development. This has resulted in a different floorplan shape, but all building systems will be comparable, how public and staff navigate between space follow the same approach to Options 1 + 2 and despite the different massing, can have the same exterior expression.

## Common to all options

- Underground parking required for all options
- Energy Performance Targets (LEED Silver, BC Energy Step Code, Step 2 or 3) required for all options
- Accessibility Targets same for all options
- Construction Approach (overall systems approach same for all options)
- Exterior Envelope (Walls, windows, doors same for all options)
- Interior Assemblies and Finishes same for all options

## Two Sites, Three Options

Council defined the 3 following options to be explored in this phase of the project



## Site 1:

## Hovey Road

### Option 1

- 2 Storey
- Civic facility program (Municpal, Fire, Police)
- Surface and underground parking

#### Option 2

- 3 Storey
- Civic facility PLUS 1000m² dry floor recreation
- Surface and underground parking as required
- Additional parking as required for recreation program



## Site 2:

## 1903 Mt. Newton Cross Rd

## Option 3

- 2 Storey
- Civic facility program (Muncipal, Fire, Police)
- Locate parking at 'rear' of site to permit continuous operation and future development of 'front' corner property
- Site has +/- 2m slope allowing for potentially less excavation for underground parking
- Involves subdividing existing site into two separate properties and eventual sale of East property for private development.
- Requires an approach to construction that will allow current civic facility to continue to operate at existing location while new facility is being built on adjacent site
- · Costing will capture portion of new internal road.






# **General Project Process**

## Overview

Following on a design sprint process where hcma worked from the Central Saanich District offices for a week, engaging directly with stakeholder groups, the consultant team has developed three schematic design concepts to be submitted for high-level costing. Costing results will be used in a Staff Report to be submitted to Central Saanich Council for review and approval to proceed to public engagment in June.

## **Overall Project Schedule**





# **Building Design**

## **Architectural**

The following are the assumptions being used for concept design pricing of the three options.

#### **Exterior Materials**

- Walls to be clad with metal and/or cementitious panels on thermally broken insulated walls. Energy modelling and building envelop analysis will determine final composition and detailing.
- High performance aluminum windows with a combination of 'punched' windows set within insulated walls in most areas plus larger areas of curtain wall windows are the main entrance and other featured locations.
- Roofs to be low slope (flat), with access as needed for rooftop equipment.

#### Interior Partitions

- Typical areas will have wood stud partitions with acoustic insulation and painted drywall finish to achieve acoustic and fire ratings, as necessary
- Glazed partitions as needed to provide visual connections while maintaining acoustic and security separations (around lobby, council chambers, multipurpose rooms, meeting rooms and department separations)
- Wood paneling or slats will be included in the main lobby and council chambers.
- Concrete block partitions
- Basement area of the police station for holding cells and associated spaces.
- Main floor of fire station in the apparatus bays and support areas.

#### Floor Finishes

- Typical floors will be finished in sheet resilient flooring or carpet tile
- Entrance lobby will have polished concrete or porcelain tile
- Washrooms will have ceramic tiles
- The firehall apparatus bays and support areas will have polished concrete floors

#### Ceilings

- Typical ceilings will have suspended acoustic tiles, with drywall bulkheads where needed for routing of services and ceiling features
- The lobby and council chambers will have a premium finish, either exposed wood structure or suspended wood slats with acoustic absorbing material

## Structural

Police and fire stations are required by the BC Building Code to meet post-disaster structural requirements. Although the municipal hall portion of the building and the possible recreation portion of the building are not required to meet the same post disaster structural level, it may end up being optimal to combine the full building structurally with all areas meeting post disaster structural levels rather than isolating the different areas. This will be confirmed during the Schematic Design (Phase 2) stage of the project, following the selection of the preferred option.

The building's structure will likely be a combination of concrete, steel and possibly mass timber in featured areas such as the entrance atrium and top floor columns and roof. The one level underground parking structure will be constructed on cast in place concrete, with the option of concrete sheer walls, elevator core and stair cores. Alternatively, it may prove beneficial to have the sheer walls built of steel. Final determination to follow in the schematic design stage through further design refinement including consideration of possible steel tariffs and other market considerations that a construction manager will be able to advise on. Upper-level columns above parking garage will likely be of steel or wood, with similar considerations during schematic design stage.

## Mechanical

The building is being designed to meet the unique requirements of the different occupancy types and will be an energy efficient, robust and optimized to the District's capital and operational needs. Although the building houses emergency responders and will be designed to meet their needs during and after a disaster, it is understood that the building will not function as a gather space for the public in a disaster. The District's EOC will remain at the Firehall #1

#### **High Performance Building Design Objectives:**

- Robust and resilient core M&E systems
- Exceptional building enclosure
- Ultra-high efficiency mechanical heating and cooling
- Focus on occupant wellness--> optimized indoor air quality
- Low-carbon performance--> electrified building
- Demonstrate leadership in sustainability

The mechanical and electrical systems will be designed to meet the following design and performance criteria:

- Optimal thermal comfort, ease of operation, system controllability, and noise privacy.
- Integration of mechanical systems with interior and architectural building expression.
- Sustainable features, including those for optimizing energy- and water-efficiency, to the extent practical for a modern commercial office building.
- Maintaining functionality and security for the Fire, Police and Municipal spaces.
- Optimize life-cycle ownership and operation cost by minimizing complexity, incorporating a plan for measurement and verification, and mitigating energy costs by including alternate energy source and technology options.

Special attention is required in the fire station areas as a result of the apparatus bays and support areas. From an energy perspective, the regular opening of the apparatus bay doors results in significant heat loss. As a result, the apparatus bays will be semi-isolated from the rest of the building through insulated assemblies and airtight doors and the apparatus bays will be semi-heated spaces.

From an occupant health perspective, a vehicle exhaust system will be included for improved firefighter health, as well as additional care taken in the air quality of decontamination and gear storage areas.

The building will be sprinklered throughout.

Cooling to be provided in commonly occupied spaces.





# **Building Design**

## **Electrical**

Backup power generation will be provided to the building for key operations specific devices, some lighting, and selected mechanical equipment. The loads will be confirmed during detailed design. In general, the backup power distribution will provide power to a panel in each of the civic centre, police station, and fire department to cover strategic loads within these areas.

IT and Security systems will be designed to the District standards.

Although on-site solar power generation is not included in the project, provision can be provided for future installation. The large rooftop and limited shading at both sites would make this a viable option should the District pursue in the future as technology advancements mean that photo voltaic systems are become more and more financially viable.

Electric Vehicle charging stations will be provided for the site. Preliminarily these will be provided for level 2 x 40A chargers from a dedicated panel 200A three phase panel, located in a convenient location near the parking space. During further design this may be expanded to suit the site's needs

LED fixtures shall be used for all lighting on the project.

## Civil

The civil servicing calculations have been undertaken conservatively due to limited existing utility capacity information available. Frontage improvements are focussed on accommodating all modes of travel, implementing the District's proposed facilities from Council-endorsed plans. The design seeks to enhance the infiltration, retention and storage of rainwater.

#### **Hovey Road Site**

- Without a sanitary system model or upstream inputs, existing excess capacity cannot be predicted, although the added demand likely represents less than 1% of the existing 400mm diameter asbestos cement (AC) sewer main's full pipe flow.
   Upgrades are not anticipated to be required at this stage but should be confirmed with modeling as design progresses.
- Given the anticipated fire flow demands of the Hovey Road site, upsizing of the existing water main along Hovey Road is almost certainly required (approx. 260m). It is highly likely that upstream water mains may also need to be upsized as a result; this will need to be confirmed in further design stages with water modeling or input from the District's Water Master Plan. It should be assumed that an additional hydrant is required to service the Hover Road site, although this should be confirmed in further stages of design.
- A combination of on-site infiltration / retention capacity and storage capacity is required to meet provisions in the District's Surface Water Management Bylaw No. 1606.
- A new crosswalk on the north side of the Hovey Road and Wallace Drive intersection creates a safe and direct connection between the new civic facility and Centennial Park.
- Curb extensions will enhance pedestrian refuge space and visually narrow the road width while reducing crossing distance. Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacons (RRFBs) should be included at this location for costing purposes, although further analysis should be conducted at a later design stage to confirm applicability.
- Active transportation facilities along the Wallace Drive frontage provide safe "All Ages and Abilities" connectivity, including separated bike lanes and wide sidewalks.
- Future improvements on the west side of Wallace Drive include a pedestrian pathway (identified in the Central Saanich Active Transportation Plan), as well as formalized on-street
- parking and parking-protected bicycle lanes.
- Pedestrian and bicycle connectivity to the Hovey Site from Wallace Drive is achieved through the entry and community plaza spaces. However, for conservative costing purposes, a 1.8m-2.5m separated sidewalk could be considered if a future connection is desired along Hovey Road (the Active Transportation Plan identifies a future roadside pedestrian

- facility)
- If possible, conversations should be initiated with BC Transit in future to relocate the existing northbound bus stop along Wallace Drive to north of Hovey Road, enabling better access to the site and Centennial Park.

#### Mount Newton Cross Road Site

- Without a sanitary system model or upstream inputs existing excess capacity cannot be predicted, although the added demand likely represents less than 2% of the existing 200mm diameter vitrified clay (VC) sewer main's full pipe flow. Capacity upgrades are not anticipated to be required at this stage but should be confirmed with modeling as design progresses.
- Existing water infrastructure in the area consists of 200mm AC water main along Mt Newton Cross Road. No upgrades are anticipated at this stage resulting from redevelopment demand; this should be confirmed with modeling at a later date.
- It should be assumed for costing purposes that an additional hydrant is required to service the site, although this should be confirmed in further stages of design.
- A combination of on-site infiltration / retention capacity and storage capacity is required to meet provisions in the District's Surface Water Management Bylaw No. 1606. A stormwater detention tank will be required due to the tight site. Placement of the storm tank should be determined at a later design stage, accounting for existing site drainage primarily flowing north to south.
- Active transportation facilities along the Mt Newton Cross Road frontage will provide safe "All Ages and Abilities" connectivity, including separated bike lanes and wide sidewalks in alignment with the District's Saanichton Village Design Plan. These should be raised at the entrances for emergency vehicles and at the fire hall apron, to emphasize vulnerable road user presence.
- The Mt Newton Cross Road / Wallace Drive intersection is envisioned as compact on the southeast corner, with additional space achieved through the future removal of the eastbound channelized right turn lane. Curb extensions are proposed here to narrow vehicle lanes, aligning with the Saanichton Village Design Plan.
- Active transportation facilities along Wallace Drive align with the District's Active Transportation Plan to prioritize All Ages and Abilities infrastructure, including sidewalks and physically protected uni-directional bike lanes.





## **Building Design**

## Landscape

#### **Hovey Road Site**

The conceptual landscape design for the Hovey Road site envisions a welcoming, ecologically grounded, and community-focused civic space that connects people to place.

- A new crosswalk on the north side of the Hovey Road and Wallace Drive intersection creates a safe and direct connection between the new civic facility and Centennial Park an important community asset offering baseball fields, tennis courts, a playground, lawn bowling, picnic areas, and forest trail access. At the southwest corner of the site, an entry plaza welcomes visitors with a native plant garden and sculpted berms that rise up to ~1.5 metres along Wallace Drive. These landscaped landforms offer visual screening and a buffer from traffic noise, while creating a natural, immersive arrival experience.
- Within the garden, a small gathering node offers an intimate space framed by moss-covered boulders, rain gardens, and Garry Oak ecosystem plantings. A 3-metre-wide accessible trail that is graded to be 8% and includes a handrail. The path winds through the landscaped berm, linking the entry plaza to Wallace Drive and its north sidewalk.
- It is intended that future collaboration between the landscape architect and the WJOLELP (Tsartlip) and STÁUTW (Tsawout) First Nations will inform opportunities for cultural expression. Informal seating is offered on natural boulders and custom benches, designed with poured-in-place concrete bases and wood tops integrated into the berms.
- Along Wallace Drive, large-caliper street trees will create a shaded green edge that enhances the building's presence and softens the street. A 4.5-metre-wide boulevard is planted with a short-stemmed meadow mix, reflecting the area's agricultural heritage.
- Transitioning from the entry garden, visitors arrive at the Farm Heritage Plaza – an open-air space defined by long linear rows of plantings and canopy trees that offer moments for rest and gathering. Custom benches with Corten steel bases and cedar

seating echo the rural-industrial character of the region.

- The Farm Heritage Plaza leads into a large community gathering plaza designed to accommodate food trucks, market stalls, public art, a monument or cenotaph, and ample seating. This space serves as a civic heart and flexible venue for public events.
- A row of medium-sized trees and low planting along the south property line preserves open views to existing boulevard trees and Centennial Park. Pedestrians can cross the bioswale via a defined connection that links directly to the tennis court entrance
- A rollover curb with removable bollards at the plaza entry allows for occasional vehicle access during special events or vendor set-up, enhancing flexibility of use.
- A one-way drive along the south edge of the site includes four accessible parking stalls and designated drop-off and waiting spaces, providing convenient access for all users.
- Paving throughout the plaza will feature long, linear bands of unit pavers intersected by curvilinear bands of coloured concrete.
   These patterns will be informed by the building's architectural geometry, reinforcing spatial rhythm and visual interest.
- Pedestrian pathways link parking areas to the main entrance, with planting and a guardrail fence provided along the parkade ramp for safety and visual cohesion.
- A secure fence will separate the municipal staff parking lot from the public parking area, with a gated access point available for staff use if required.
- A private staff patio with tables and chairs offers an outdoor retreat for coffee breaks and lunches, tucked into the landscape for comfort and privacy



Hovey Rd Site

#### **Mount Newton Cross Road Site**

The Mount Newton site is quite limited in terms of site area for hard and soft landscape treatments. The north and west sides of the site will be taken up by surface parking areas and vehicle ramp down to the basement. The west and south sides of the site will be taken up by a new internal road, sidewalks and surface parking. The remainder of the site will be developed by a future development partner. It is recommended that the District develop a public realm concept design that can guide the improvements in the future through agreements and negotiations.

- A woonerf-style elevated crosswalk will create a continuous, curbless surface that visually and physically connects the building's lobby to the outdoor plaza. This shared surface will prioritize pedestrian movement while calming vehicle traffic, reinforcing the site's community-focused design intent.
- Street trees (6-8cm caliper size) in metal grates will be paired with integrated bench seating to provide shaded, comfortable rest areas along the pedestrian corridors. These tree-lined edges will enhance the walkability and human scale of the streetscape.
- Screening along the western edge of the site will incorporate dense planting and potential fencing or vertical elements to provide privacy and acoustic buffering for the adjacent police and fire department parking areas. This treatment will ensure operational zones remain discreet and protect neighbouring properties from disturbance.



Mt Newton X Rd Site





## **Post-Disaster Construction**

The new civic building will be designed and built to post-disaster standards as requested by the District. At a minimum, the BC Building Code (BCBC) sets out requirements for structural aspects of buildings and classifies a building's importance factor as "Low" (buildings with low numbers of occupants), "Normal", "High" (community centres, schools), and "Post-Disaster" (fire, rescue and police stations). The importance factor impacts lateral and vertical loads differently and independently for Earthquake, Wind and Snow. Following the requirements of the BCBC, only the police and fire department areas of the building are required to meet post-disaster and if recreation is included in the Hovey Road option, then that portion of the building will be built to a high importance factor.

From a mechanical perspective the plumbing systems will be designed to be operational but contingent on connections to services such as water and sewer surviving a significant seismic event. No provisions such as water treatment or sewage storage or treatment has been considered. Limited heating or cooling capacity will be provided following a significant seismic event and will depend partly on the size of emergency generator and size of fuel tank on site. Select critical areas such as server rooms could receive cooling, but it is anticipated that occupants would 'make do' with a building that is cooler or warmer than standard room temperatures. In the mild climate of Vancouver Island, this is typically considered an acceptable approach given that there is low likelihood of drastically low or high temperatures happening concurrently with a significant seismic event. The District can decide on this risk factor and additional redundancies for heating and cooling after a seismic event can be added. Other possible disaster scenarios to consider are wildfire events which can be addressed through changing of intake air filters as needed and lastly, in the southern Vancouver Island zone, high temperature events are become more common, and this can be addressed through higher cooling capacity in the mechanical systems.

For the electrical systems, the emergency power generation on site will ensure uninterrupted operation to select areas of the building. It is understood that the District will maintain the EOC at the Fire Station #1. With that in mind, it is anticipated that limited coverage for the municipal hall areas of the building for short periods of time, with only the police and fire department areas to run on an emergency generator following longer power outages. The consultant team will work with the district to refine which areas within police and fire departments (and municipal hall) need to be powered and for how long. This will then determine the size of the emergency generator (or generators) and the size of the fuel tank.

#### Post-Disaster: Single Building vs. Multiple Buildings

As noted previously, only the police and fire departments are required to meet post-disaster requirements of the Building Code. The consultant team has considered three options for combining a building with post-disaster and non-post-disaster areas and has determined that it would be most cost effective when considering both first construction costs and ongoing maintenance costs to join the building structurally instead of separating into independent areas.

### The three options identified are:

### 1. Fully joined

The entire building is joined together with all areas structurally built to post-disaster standards. Although there would be additional cost with building a stand-alone municipal hall as post-disaster, there are structural efficiencies in combining this particular building as lateral forces can be shared across the entire building. Stair cores and sheer walls that restrict the horizontal movement of the building can be spread out evenly through the entire building, resulting in structural efficiencies. With the entire building meeting post-disaster standards, the exiting stairs in the municipal hall area can be used by both police and fire departments.

## 2. Seismic gap

The building would appear to be joined together but would be separated with a moveable joint running through the entire building, roughly 200-300mm wide between post-disaster and non-postdisaster areas. Each side of the seismic gap would move independently and therefore requires duplicate vertical (columns) and lateral resisting structure (sheer walls). Although the size of each sheer wall on the municipal hall (non-post-disaster) side may be smaller there would be more of them. Additionally, not all of the municipal hall areas could be designed as non-post disaster as the upper floors are located over police areas below. Therefore, a portion of the upper floor(s) of the municipal hall area would need to meet post-disaster standards in order to provide a second, safe exit from the second storey of the police station and in order to align the seismic gap vertically on all levels. Lastly, the full underground parking and basement areas would be designed to withstand the post-disaster loading. For the mechanical systems (plumbing. heating, fire suppression) the seismic gap results in costly flexible joints and/or duplication of vertical shafts on either side of the joint. Lastly, from a building expression point of view, roof overhangs would be more difficult (costly) to incorporate in a building with a seismic

#### 3. Independent buildings

The approach here would be to separate post-disaster and non-post disaster into wholly separate buildings. This would mean that the entire municipal hall could be built to non-post-disaster standards so there would be an apparent reduction in cost for some of the individual structural elements such as sheer walls and cores. However, this would be offset by the additional stairs (two in each building), sheer walls, heating and cooling systems and additional exterior walls.

In summary, due to the limited savings that may be possible by reducing the importance factor of the municipal hall portion of the building (with some being on the post-disaster side) and the added cost and complexity related to the seismic gap or multiple buildings, it is recommended by the consultant team that the building be designed as one building from a post-disaster lens.





# **Traffic and Parking**

## **Traffic**

BUNT Associates analyzed existing and future traffic patterns adjacent to the two sites and found that mitigation would not be necessary from a vehicle operations perspective. However, is noted that pedestrian infrastructure are likely required at both the Wallace Drive and Mt Newton Crossroad intersection as well as at Wallace Drive and Hovey Road intersection to address pedestrian safety.

## **Parking Demand**

## **Building Program**

The building program developed by the District outlines the parking requirements for each department for staff, fleet vehicles, visitors, and bicycles.

**TBD** 

Municipal Hall Staff/Visitor Accessible Fleet - regular Fleet - oversized	55 2 4 4
Total	65
Fire Staff/Visitor Accessible Fleet - regular Fleet - oversized	14 1 2 3
Total	20
Police Staff Visitor Accessible Fleet	16 5 1 15
Total	37
Grand Total	122

### **Existing Parking Demand**

To understand the parking demand of the municipal facility, BUNT Associates, the traffic consultant on the project, conducted a parking supply and demand assessment over the course of one week to evaluate existing conditions.

The existing municipal facility, which includes the Municipal Hall, Police Department, and Fire Department, currently provides 87 parking spaces. BUNT observed an average demand of 50 spaces, resulting in an average occupancy rate of approximately 57 percent. Based on this analysis, Bunt considers the existing supply of 87 spaces to be sufficient to accommodate the future parking needs of the municipal facility. Additional analysis may be necessary to look at peak demand times such as may occur during tax payment season.

#### **Recreation Parking**

BUNT has also conducted a preliminary analysis of potential parking needs that would be generated by adding a recreation component at the Hovey Site.

For recreation space, the current Central Saanich municipal by-law requires a rate of 2 parking stalls per 10m² of gross floor area, therefore the addition of a 10,000 square foot (1000 m²) recreation component would result in a requirement for 200 additional parking stalls.

The addition of 200 stalls to the 122 stalls called for by the building program would result in a need for a total of 322 stalls. The maximum number of stalls that can be accommodated as surface parking on the Hovey site is approximately 100 stalls and this would therefore result in a need to accommodate an additional 222 stalls by either providing underground parking and/or finding an accepatble off-site solution. However, based on their preliminary analysis, BUNT suggests that 34 additional stalls for the type of recreation component currently being contemplated would likely be sufficient. BUNT also notes that a case could be made to reasonably expect that some overflow parking be accommodated across Wallace Drive adjacent to Centennial Park at peak periods.

#### Surface Parking Capacity

The three design options presented in this report provide a mix of surface and underground parking, depending on what the site and potential underground area can accomodate. For all design options there are parking options to be confirmed. There is the question of how much, if any, underground parking should be built since underground parking is typically more costly than surface parking. With that said, the Mt. Newton site has a natural grade slope of approximately 4m from the north to south of the site and it may prove cost effective to build underground parking by taking advantage of the existing natural grade specific to this site.

# Option 1A Includes Underground Parking (Hovey Road – Municipal Hall, Police and Fire)

There are roughly 82 surface parking stalls, plus an additional 34 underground for police for a total of 116 stalls on site. This falls short of the requirements by 6 parking stalls. We can work with the District to determine if there is a possible reduction in required parking or if the additional 6 stalls must be provided. These additional stalls could be located on the south side of the building where the designs show a public plaza space. Alternatively, it may be acceptable that the additional stalls are to be located across Wallace Drive along Centennial Park.

# Option 1B Surface Parking Only (Hovey Road – Municipal Hall, Police and Fire)

There are roughly 100 surface parking stalls. This falls short of the requirements by 22 parking stalls. We can work with the District to determine if there is a possible reduction in required parking or if the additional 22 stalls must be provided. It may be acceptable that the additional stalls are to be located across Wallace Drive along Centennial Park.

### Option 2A

## **Includes Underground Parking**

(Hovey Road - Municipal Hall, Police, Fire and Recreation)

There are roughly 82 surface parking stalls, plus an additional 34 underground for police for a total of 116 stalls on site. This falls short of the requirements by 40 parking stalls if it is assume that 34 stalls would suffice for the recreation component. There is room to add an additional 22 surface parking stalls at the south of the building where site plans show a public plaza for a maximum total of 138 stalls on site (See Option 2B). This is still up to 26 stalls short of the required number of stalls if all police, fire, municipal hall and recreation parking is required at the same time. The parking requirements and how they are met when recreation is added to the building program will need to be discussed further should this be the favoured option. There may be opportunities to look in detail at different peak times for different program areas and there may also be options to provide overflow parking across Wallace Drive along Centennial Park.

## Option 2B

### **Surface Parking Only**


(Hovey Road – Municipal Hall, Police, Fire and Recreation)

Same as Option 1B except there is a need for additional parking for recreation as noted in Option 2A.

#### Option 3

(Mt. Newton - Municipal Hall, Police and Fire)

There are roughly 70 surface parking stalls, plus an additional 23 underground for police for a total of 93 stalls on site. This falls short of the requirements by 19 parking stalls. We can work with the District to determine if there is a possible reduction in required parking or if the additional 19 stalls must be provided. There is an option to increase the size of the property to the south side of the building to provide for these stalls and in fact there appears to be room for up to 25 additional stalls. We can work with the District to find the optimum number of parking stalls versus land value should this become the preferred option.



Potential additional surface parking at South of Mt Newton Site





(Option 2 only)

# Costing

The costing is based on the drawings and reports prepared by the design consultants as summarized in this report and in appendices. The base building assumptions are the same across all options, with differences coming from factors associated with the two different sites, building program and parking. There were several revisions made to reduce and refine the costing and further analysis is required by the District to compare the pricing of these options with other options being explored.

A design contingency has been included within this report with the understanding that the District is carrying an overall contingency.

The cost per unit area is relatively high in this report and we understand that the District may engage another cost consultant for a comparative analysis using the same design drawings. This is a common approach taken with other municipal clients and is sometimes completed by a construction manager or a cost consultant.

The costing exercise of the various options is extremely helpful in comparing the budget implications of the options being considered by the District. To that end, below are a few of they key points to look at when reviewing the costing report to help in understanding the differences.

The estimated construction cost of the project may be summarized as follows:

		Option 1A Hovey 2-Storey	Option 1B Hovey 2-Storey Op 1A with UG Parkirg Removed and Max Surface Parking	Option 2A Hovey 3-Storey	Option 2B Hovey 3-Storey Op 2A with UG Parking Removed and Max Surface Parking	Option 3 I/It Newton 2-Storey
Desc	ription	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
A.	Construction					
	A1 Base Building	26,131,500	22,686,400	32,062,100	28,829,800	24,330,200
	A2 Interior Fit-out	16,162,100	15,243,200	19,410,100	18,491,200	16,060,100
	A3 Site Development	5,070,200	5,088,600	5,039,300	5,077,100	2,620,800
	NET CONSTRUCTION COST	\$47,363,800	\$43,018,200	\$56,511,500	\$52,398,100	\$43,011,100
В.	Contingencies					
	B1 Design Contingency (12%)	5,683,700	5,162,200	6,781,400	6,287,800	5,161,300
	TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST	\$53,047,500	\$48,180,4(10	\$63,292,900	\$58,685,900	\$48,172,400
	Gross Floor Area (m²)	3,801 m²	3,801 n <sub>1</sub> 2	5,060 m²	5,060 m²	3,801 m²
	Unit Net Construction Cost (\$/m²)	\$12,461/m²	\$11,318/n <sub>1</sub> 2	\$11,168/m²	\$10,355/m²	\$11,316/m²
	Unit Total Construction Cost (\$/m²)	\$13,956/m²	\$12,676/n <sub>1</sub> 2	\$12,508/m²	\$11,598/m²	\$12,674/m²

#### Notes on costing

#### Note 1

The building cost for Option 1A and Option 3 (same program, different site) are slightly different due to building geometry and level of design development at this stage. They should be considered the 'same' for decision making purposes.

## Note 2

The increase in base building cost for adding recreation (Option 2A over Options 1A) is roughly \$6m plus an additional \$3.2m for interior fit out for a total of \$9.2m before contingencies. A detailed analysis of cost sharing for the additional space would need to be completed in a later stage of the design process.

#### Note 3

The difference between 1A and 1B of \$4.4M is due to the cost of underground parking. There is a minimal increase in site development costs for relocating the underground spaces to surface parking.

#### Note 4

The site development cost for Option 3 is lower due to smaller site and less street frontage.





**Architectural Drawings + Renderings** 

## Option 2A

Hovey Road Site 3 Storey Includes Recreation Program Landscaped Plaza at South West Includes Underground Parking



## Site Plan










## Option 2B

Hovey Road Site 3 Storey Includes Recreation Program Surface Parking instead of Plaza at South West No Underground Parking



## Site Plan









# Option 2A only

Hovey Road Site 3 Storey Includes Underground Parking Includes Recreation Program





# Option 2B only

Hovey Road Site 2 Storey No Undergroud Parking No Recreation Program








# Option 2A + 2B


Hovey Road Site 3 Storey Includes Underground Parking Includes Recreation Program





## Option 2A + 2B

Hovey Road Site 3 Storey Includes Underground Parking Includes Recreation Program








# Option 2A + 2B


Hovey Road Site 3 Storey Includes Underground Parking Includes Recreation Program



## Level 3













View of Entrance from Hovey Rd





View of Firehall from Wallace Drive



We are **hcma**. We believe human connections are the best path to solving the fundamental problems of our time.



As a gesture of respect, peace, and friendship, We acknowledge and respect the lekwenen peoples on whose territory the land of this feasibility study stands and the Songhees, Esquimalt and WSÁNEĆ peoples and all their ancestors who have lived on and served as faithful stewards of these lands.

# OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY FOR DISTRICT OF CENTRAL SAANICH MUNICIPAL FACILITY COMMUNITY RECREATION SPACES

# September 2025

Beyond the debt servicing costs, the operational budget for the community recreation space at Hovey Road is as follows:

Item	Revenues	Expenses
Admissions and Pass Sales	\$156,000	
Program Registration (fitness, arts, general and afterschool)	\$746,000	
Salaries and Wages (6.5 full-time equivalents)		\$741,000
Operating supplies, utilities, equipment, etc.		\$97,000
Annual equipment replacement fund transfer		\$35,000
Totals	\$902,000	\$873,000
Net (before debt servicing costs)	\$29,000	
Annual debt servicing (\$15M, 15 years @ 4.5%)		\$1,460,000
Net with debt servicing (15 years)	(\$1,431,000)	
Annual debt servicing (\$15M, 30 years @ 4.5%)		\$963,000
Net with debt servicing (30 years)	(\$934,000)	

# COMPARABLE RECREATION CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS IN BRITISH COLUMBIA SINCE 2022

# September 2025

Facility Name	Completion Year	Size (square feet)	Total Cost / Cost per Square Foot (at time of construction)	Cost per Square Foot to 2025 (see note below)	Key Features	Additional Notes
Esquimalt Gorge Park Pavilion	2022	11,500	\$10M/\$870	\$1,073	Multipurpose rooms, boardroom, kitchen, patios, solar panels	Two levels, elevator, energy- efficient
Steveston Community Centre & Library (Richmond, BC)	Est. 2026	60,000	\$90M/\$1,500	\$1,500	Gym, library, plaza, fitness studio, underground parking	LEED Gold, high cost per square foot
Lynn Creek Community Recreation Centre (North Vancouver, BC)	2025	27,000	\$25.7M	\$952	Gym, multipurpose rooms, daycare, library kiosk, public art	Automated library, leased daycare
BC)	Av	erage Co	l st per Square F	oot: \$1,175	•	

### Note:

The cost per square foot has been adjusted to reflect 2025 numbers, utilizing cumulative inflationary increases as compiled through Statistics Canada, the Non-Residential Building Construction Price Index.

## "Draft Terms Sheet" or "Memorandum of Understanding"

Shared Civic Facility and Recreation Centre – Lot A Hovey Road

Between the "District of Central Saanich" and the "Capital Regional District"

The District of Central Saanich and the Capital Regional District have outlined preliminary terms for jointly developing, owning, and managing a shared three-storey civic and recreation facility on Hovey Road, detailing land ownership, facility scope, budget allocation, governance, financial terms, legal considerations, and next steps including landholding mechanisms and budget updates.

#### 1. Parties

District of Central Saanich (DCS, Landowner)

Capital Regional District (CRD)

#### 2. Purpose

To establish preliminary terms for the joint development, ownership, and governance of a shared civic facility and recreation centre on Hovey Road.

#### 3. Landholding

Ownership: DCS owner of the site.

Structure: Landholding arrangement to be determined — strata subdivision or long-term capital lease (CRD to advise).

#### 4. Facility Scope (Appendix A)

Three Storey Civic Facility:

Municipal Hall, Fire Hall, and Police facilities. 4,000 m<sup>2</sup> (40,000 sq. ft.)

100 surface parking stalls.

Community spaces: emergency reception centre, public meeting rooms.

#### Recreation:

Ground-level 1,000 m<sup>2</sup> (10,000 sq. ft.) recreation space, plus shared lobby.

Recreation operated by the Peninsula Recreation Commission (PRC) sub regional service and owned by the CRD.

#### 5. Budget & Capital Contributions

Budget Basis: Current estimate at Class C level.

Land Costs: Carried by DSC

Allocation Model: Shared on a square-metre percentage basis.

Project and Construction Management: To be led by DSC.

CRD Carrying Costs: CRD will be solely responsible for its own internal carrying costs (e.g., legal, communications, internal administration), and such costs will not form part of the shared capital budget.

DCS Carrying Costs: CRD will be solely responsible for its own internal carrying costs (e.g., legal, communications, internal administration), and such costs will not form part of

the shared capital budget.

Construction Oversight: DCS to act as construction manager, reporting to the Joint Project Team.

Table1. - Financial Responsibility Table:

	DCS	CRD
Hard Costs		
Building Construction	Responsible for	Responsible for
	own	own
Contingency	Responsible for	Responsible for
	own	own
Soft Costs		
Consultants (Architect as prime). Contract	Responsible for	Responsible for
awarded to HCMA	own. % based on	own. % based on
	construction costs	construction costs
Construction Manager	Yes	No
Internal Staffing	Responsible for	Responsible for
	own	own
Other (legal, procurement, communication)	Responsible for	Responsible for
	own	own

## 6. Operating Costs

Allocation Model: Shared on a square-metre percentage basis.

Replacement Reserve: Shared on a square-metre percentage basis.

Civic Space: Fully funded and managed by Central Saanich.

Recreation Space: Fully funded and managed by PRC/CRD.

Shared Spaces: Pro-rated by floor area unless otherwise agreed, and managed by DCS.

Review Cycle: Cost allocations reviewed every [X] years.

NOTE: Shared service agreements may be contemplated for cleaning services etc. To be determined by Joint Management Team.

# 7. Governance & Project Management

Joint Project Team: Representatives from CRD and Central Saanich to oversee design, construction, and commissioning.

Steering Committee (Or Strata Board): Senior staff from each Party to resolve issues and oversee budgets. Mandatory Annual Meeting, and as required.

#### 8. Financial Terms & Payments

Payment Schedule: CRD to make quarterly payments to Central Saanich, based on project construction invoices.

Final Reconciliation: Adjustments at project completion based on actual costs and agreed shares.

Audit Rights: Each Party may review project financial records.

Change orders: Changes and costs allocations to be reviewed by the Joint Project Team.

### 9. Legal & Regulatory

Governed by Local Government Act, Community Charter, and CRD and DCS bylaws.

Insurance and indemnity provisions aligned with ownership/space shares.

Development approvals subject to DCS requirements.

Procurement governed by DCS Sustainable Purchasing Policy 2017.

#### 10. Term

Agreement remains in force for the life of the facility, or [X] years.

This will be dependent on ownership model and may include a renewal clause.

#### 11. Dispute Resolution

Escalation path: negotiation  $\rightarrow$  mediation  $\rightarrow$  arbitration.

### 12. Next Steps

Confirm facility and landholding ownership mechanism (strata vs. lease).

Complete CRD parking study.

Update budget to Class B before tender.

Finalize financial and operating agreements.

#### 13. Annex - Definition of Carrying Costs

For the purpose of this agreement, 'Carrying Costs' refers to all internal costs incurred by CRD/DCS in support of the project, including but not limited to:

Legal services and fees

Communications and public relations activities

Internal project administration and staffing

These costs are the sole responsibility of each party and are not to be included in the shared capital budget or operating allocations.

#### 14. Approvals

This partnership is contingent on final approval by each parties' governing authority.

# 15. Signatures

Ted Robbins, Chief Administrative Officer Capital Regional District

Date:

Christine Culham, Chief Administrative Officer District of Central Saanich

Date:

# FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS – FUNDING OPTIONS AND CUMULATIVE DEBT SERVICING IMPACTS

#### October 2025

### **Debt Financing over 15-year and 30-year Amortization Periods**

### 15-Year Amortization Period

Based on current borrowing scenarios for \$14.2M in borrowing, a 15-year amortization at 4.5% interest would result in an annual debt servicing cost of approximately \$1.4 million, equating to a 23.4% increase in requisition or roughly \$72 per household per year.

The following table provides a summary of the debt servicing costs associated with financing of this proposed project over a 15-year amortization period as well as the cumulative impact of the debt servicing costs from this project added to committed debt servicing costs associated with the Centennial Park Sport Box and the Panorama Centre Heat Recovery Project.

Table 1: Requisition Impact of a 15-year Amortization Period for the Proposed Peninsula Recreation Facility in Central Saanich

10 Year Requisition Impact of a 15-year Amortization Period										
	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035
Total Funding by Debt (*1)	\$1.0M (*2)	\$7.0M	\$14.2M	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Annual Debt Servicing Costs (*3)		\$680K	\$1.4M	\$1.4M	\$1.4M	\$1.4M	\$1.4M	\$1.4M	\$1.4M	\$1.4M
Est. Annual Requisition Impact per house (*4)		\$41	\$72	\$72	\$72	\$72	\$72	\$72	\$72	\$72
Total Cumulative Req. impact per household with Budget Projections (*5)		\$74	\$114	\$121	\$129	\$136	\$143	\$151	\$159	\$167
Total Req. Impact with Budget Projections (*6)		\$1.3M	\$2.2M	\$2.3M	\$2.5M	\$2.6M	\$2.8M	\$2.9M	\$3.1M	\$3.2M

#### Table 1 Notes:

- 1. Cost estimates are provided at a Class D level (40%+ margin of error). The project funding is proposed to be phased over the three years of 2026 to 2028. For the first year, \$1 million is required, for 2027 an additional \$6 million is required and then in 2028 the remaining funds of \$8.2 million will be required.
- 2. Expenditures in 2026 would be funded through capital reserves, should the PRC approve of the recommended amendments to the 5-year capital plan as proposed in the October 2, 2025, Arena Accessibility Improvement Project Revised Scope Proposal staff report.
- 3. Annual debt servicing costs and annual per household cost of debt servicing calculated based on a 15-year amortization period at 4.5%.
- 4. Rounded to the nearest dollar for formatting purposes.
- 5. Total requisition impact in cost per household per year, adding the new impacts from this project to the current proposed requisition changes projected in the current Panorama

- Recreation Operating budget.
- 6. Total requisition impact per year, adding the new impacts from this project to the current proposed requisition changes projected in the current Panorama Recreation Operating budget.

# 30-year Amortization Period

A 30-year amortization for \$14.2 million in borrowing equates to annual debt servicing costs of approximately \$912,000, increasing the requisition by approximately 15.5% in the first year of debt servicing. This annual debt servicing will equate to approximately \$48 per household per year.

The following table provides a summary of the debt servicing costs associated with financing of this proposed project over a 15-year amortization period as well as the cumulative impact of the debt servicing costs from this project added to committed debt servicing costs associated with the Centennial Park Sport Box and the Panorama Centre Heat Recovery Project.

Table 2: Requisition Impact of 30-year Amortization Period – Peninsula Recreation Facility in Central Saanich

10 Year Requisition Impact of a 30-year Amortization Period										
	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035
Total Cumulative Project Funding (*1)	\$1.0M (*2)	\$7.0M	\$14.2 M	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Annual Debt Servicing Costs (*3)	N/A	\$449 K	\$912 K	\$912 K	\$912 K	\$912 K	\$912 K	\$912 K	\$912 K	\$912 K
Est. Annual Requisition Impact per house (*4)		\$24	\$48	\$48	\$48	\$48	\$48	\$48	\$48	\$48
Total Cumulative Req. impact per household with Budget Projections (*5)		\$56	\$89	\$96	\$104	\$112	\$119	\$127	\$134	\$143
Total Req. Impact with Budget Projections (*6)		\$1.1M	\$1.7M	\$1.8M	\$2.0M	\$2.1M	\$2.3M	\$2.4M	\$2.6M	\$2.7M

#### Table 2 Notes:

- 1. Cost estimates are provided at a Class D level (40%+ margin of error). The project funding is proposed to be phased over the three years of 2026 to 2028. For the first year, \$1 million is required, for 2027 an additional \$6 million is required and then in 2028 the remaining funds of \$8.2 million will be required.
- 2. Expenditures in 2026 would be funded through capital reserves, should the PRC approve of the recommended amendments to the 5-year capital plan as proposed in the October 2, 2025, Arena Accessibility Improvement Project Revised Scope Proposal staff report.
- 3. Annual debt servicing costs and annual per household cost of debt servicing calculated based on a 30-year amortization period at 4.5%.
- 4. Rounded to the nearest dollar for formatting purposes.
- 5. Total requisition impact in cost per household per year, adding the new impacts from this project to the current proposed requisition changes projected in the current Panorama Recreation Operating budget.
- 6. Total requisition impact per year, adding the new impacts from this project to the current proposed requisition changes projected in the current Panorama Recreation Operating budget.

<u>Comparing 15-year versus 30-year Amortization</u>
The total debt servicing costs for a 15-year amortization period at 4.5% will be approximately \$22.16 million whereas the total project costs utilizing a 30-year amortization period at 4.5% will be approximately, \$28.93 million. The additional financing costs for debt servicing over 30 years (versus 15 years) equate to approximately \$6.77 million.